

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bernard Koesters  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 8:45 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bernard Koesters

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bernard Koesters

### Email

suenbern@frontier.com

### Docket

E100-Sub180

### Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the rules for rooftop solar investors after the fact. The NCUC should conduct a full cost benefit study per the the current house bill 589. Duke has a monopoly and the state allows Duke energy to pass along any costs without any regard for them being required to be cost effective. We have made personal investment in solar energy to help meet out personal goals and reduce the use of natural gas and oil and now Duke wants to reduce the benefits for all who have already invested in solar plus discourage future use of solar energy all so Duke can make more money at out expense. These changes will encourage home solar users to invest in battery packs and avoid selling back to Duke at all.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** William Russell Gaffney  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 8:46 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by William Russell Gaffney

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

William Russell Gaffney

### Email

russgaffney@outlook.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

This is my submission requesting that the Utilities commission reject Duke Energy's change in Net Metering.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Brad Hall  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Brad Hall

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Brad Hall

### Email

bhhall@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is not right for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. The rules set in place currently informed my decision to invest in solar on my house. Changing the rules will dis-incentivize homeowners from investing in solar, making it more difficult to achieve energy security and mitigate climate change effects. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Mridul Bansal  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mridul Bansal

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mridul Bansal

### Email

mridulbansal4u@yahoo.co.in

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I will request Duke Energy to make net metering follow calendar year instead of June - May. Solar power generated in the months of March, April and May is more than we can consume and eventually the credits earned expire on June 1st.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Paul Krueger  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:08 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Krueger

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Paul Krueger

### Email

paulkrueger@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do not allow Duke to change the net metering practice for residential solar installations. The only reason I went with my installation was the long-term math... federal subsidies, the Duke subsidy, paired with the 1:1 net metering plan made the installation fiscally possible. Without the 1:1 net metering, the calculation changes entirely. Furthermore, this would prevent future installations as Duke changes the long-term math.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** joel brandon  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:08 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by joel brandon

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

joel brandon

### Email

Joelbrandon3@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Duke is already allowed to steal our energy as they reset the net metering at the end of May. This should be criminal as we are required to sign an affidavit allowing this in order to hook up to the grid. DO NOT LET DUKE STEAL ANY MORE FROM US! STOP THEIR THEFT OF OUR POWER! WE PAID FOR THESE SOLAR PANELS AND YOU LET DUKE TAKE THE POWER FROM US FOR FREE.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Todd Mitchell  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:09 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Todd Mitchell

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Todd Mitchell

### Email

ToddMitchOH@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing in regard to the changes proposed by Duke to the net metering system. As a citizen with a rooftop solar platform that is currently creating clean energy and supplementing an aging grid, I have a vested interest in this proposal. I demand that we do not allow corporations to continue to loot and plunder our country, our planet's health, and us citizens. I want a full cost benefit study to be done on rooftop solar, including the benefits of a distributed grid, ecological impact, and government cost savings. Why should my electricity I generate cleanly be worth less than theirs?

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Eric Caster  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:09 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Eric Caster

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Eric Caster

### Email

eocaster@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Net metering is necessary for solar panels to make any financial sense. With net metering the payback period is 10plus years. Without net metering it would be substantially longer.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Joseph Pacelli  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:10 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph Pacelli

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Joseph Pacelli

### Email

joepacelli0504@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering rules for its residential customers in North Carolina

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Francis Sferrazza  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:10 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Francis Sferrazza

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Francis Sferrazza

### Email

s3francis@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy's consistent attempts to wring more money from its customers for the sole benefit of delivering more profit to its shareholders prove that it does not deserve its monopoly status. Duke should be taken into public ownership so it will stop stealing from the people it is supposed to be serving. I put actual money into a solar system with the expectation that the energy I produce would be reimbursed at a certain rate. Duke's greedy attempt to change that rate is theft from people who responsibly invest in sustainable energy. This combined with Duke's woefully insufficient decarbonization plan prove that Duke does not deserve the public's esteem, or trust, nor its privileged position. If Duke is so poor that it must now steal from customers that produce solar energy, then it is no longer viable as a private concern. I will be organizing in my community and spreading the message that Duke is no longer viable and must be taken into public ownership. Thieves!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Darin Beery  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Darin Beery

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Darin Beery

### Email

darin98@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy's plan to eliminate net metering. It's wrong environmentally. It's wrong for a large and growing solar industry in NC, and it's wrong ethically. Their reasons for the request are specious on their face. If it's \*not\* possible to reject their request outright, then at the very least it's morally and ethically correct to permanently grandfather in existing installations. We installed solar on our house with a very clear expectation of how that electricity would be treated. Changing net metering would basically guarantee that we would never pay back that investment (I've already recommended to a neighbor that they \*not\* install solar specially because of this request). Quite simply....it's not fair to change the rules of the game after play has already begun.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Steven Trau  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Steven Trau

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Steven Trau

### Email

sptrau@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy is quite obviously engaging in anti consumer practices by trying to limit the effectiveness of rooftop solar in order to increase their own profits.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** John McClean  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John McClean

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John McClean

### Email

Johnnymac\_700@msn.com

### Docket

E 100 sub 180

### Message

Please tell Duke no rule changes.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Dalton Cook  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dalton Cook

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dalton Cook

### Email

daltoncook95@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I hope North Carolina would push more incentive and support to Solar Energy and Individual Solar Producers. We should not allow a powerhouse like Duke Energy to continue to punish and gain monetize value from their users who support their system and receive no breaks from their contributions.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Hongbo zhao  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Hongbo zhao

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Hongbo zhao

### Email

Albert.etomic@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello, As a rooftop solar owner, I strongly against the Dukes proposed change to the net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sara Haines  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:12 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sara Haines

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sara Haines

### Email

sara.m.haines@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) to investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to the current net energy metering (NEM) are made as required by NC House Bill 589. Aside from legislation, I hope the NCUC will consider the lifetime and local economics that consumer solar adds to reduce carbon emission and help meet North Carolina's goal of reducing carbon emissions 70% by 2030. Please, hear how individual consumers like myself will be harmed and future consumer installations will be in jeopardy by Duke's proposed changes to NEM. I chose to install a solar PV system (6.2 kW) on my home this year for one main reason, to reduce carbon emissions to the atmosphere. NEM made it affordable for me to do so. Now if NEM is changed and increases my costs, it will be a hardship for me. Every year, my system alone should prevent about 260,000 lbs (130 tons) of CO2 emissions by not burning gas and supplying the grid with clean energy. Every single consumer solar rooftop installation is important. Without the current NEM, this goal to reduce carbon emissions is in jeopardy. I am saddened to think that other potential consumers that would want to install PV systems on their homes might not if the NCUC allows Duke's proposed changes.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Munan Xu  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:13 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Munan Xu

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Munan Xu

### Email

muxu526@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear NCUC, I am a residential owner of solar panels and have great concerns about the proposal to change the rules around net metering. At a time when we should be doing all we can to incentivize solar and alternative energy production changing the rules will devalue the investment homeowners, and businesses have placed into distributed solar production. The state's net metering rules is already restrictive, does not properly incentivize installation of excess generation capacity, and is not competitive with other states where residents can earn credits on surplus generation that do not roll over within one calendar year, or where producers are actually paid directly for their excess generation. I strongly encourage the commission do a full cost benefit analysis of rooftop solar as required by HB 589 before changing these rules, and would look forward to actual progress towards meeting the state's renewable energy goals. Thank you for your consideration. Munan

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Rocky L Pavkov  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:13 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Rocky L Pavkov

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Rocky L Pavkov

### Email

rpavkov@maxonlift.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is very important to me that our home solar energy panels are a continued push wihtin the state.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jared Peck  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:13 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jared Peck

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jared Peck

### Email

jared.peck1@gmail.com

### Docket

E100 SUB180

### Message

Do not change the economics of net metering. Solar is a benefit to our state and we should continue to foster opportunities for expansion of that diversified power source.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kyle Wall  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:13 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kyle Wall

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kyle Wall

### Email

klwall@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do not change the current net metering rules as per this docket.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Cale Fahrenholtz  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:14 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Cale Fahrenholtz

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Cale Fahrenholtz

### Email

cfahrenholtz@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a NC resident and recent purchaser of solar panels to power my entire power needs, and contribute clean energy to the grid, it is unfair that Duke energy is trying to retroactively change the value of my solar installation. I spend much of my savings to promote NC businesses and clean energy, and Duke is trying to make this worth less than agreed upon. Please do not let Duke Energy dictate net metering rules and changes to an already agreed upon system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David A Phipps  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:15 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David A Phipps

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David A Phipps

### Email

daphipps@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is not fair for Duke Energy to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Patrick Stevenson  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:15 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Patrick Stevenson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Patrick Stevenson

### Email

stevensonpat@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We installed solar panels on our roof in 2019 and added more panels in 2020 to offset our carbon footprint and to save on energy costs. I'm already unhappy that Progress/Duke wipes the slate of all credits on May 31 of every year, but the new proposed changes to their netmetering are much worse! PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE DO NOT allow them to move forward with the proposed plan. It hurts existing homeowners like us that have already invested 10's of thousands of dollars, and it discourages others from looking into renewable energy. Don't be stupid! Do what is right for your constituents and the planet, and stop worrying about lining your pockets with lobbyist money.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** James Wise  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:16 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James Wise

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James Wise

### Email

jbenjaminswise@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Solar energy is so important to the future of the state's energy grid. Any attempts by Duke Energy to reduce net metering will reduce the incentive for further investment in this vital resource. The net metering arrangement that Duke Energy is trying to dismantle slowly is part of the reason I put a \$25 k investment into solar panels on my old house in Durham. That investment is still providing clean, reliable energy to the grid. If anything, we should be promoting those net metering policies to all the other utility providers in NC to INCREASE the amount of solar energy our state is producing.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Young  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:17 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Young

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Young

### Email

david6746@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a new Solar customer and I did this based on current conditions... It does not seem fair to me to change it and especially this quickly since I just installed my system. How can you have any integrity and the new energy system if you are going to change the rules as you go along please do not go down this path thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Daniel Grassel  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:17 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Daniel Grassel

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Daniel Grassel

### Email

danielg@duck.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Strongly oppose the proposed changes to Duke's net metering for rooftop solar customers. Also, strongly oppose Duke Energy on the whole.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Aaron T.Banks  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:17 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Aaron T. Banks

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Aaron T. Banks

### Email

AnDBanks@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal to limit the growth of rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Michael Lynch  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:17 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Lynch

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Lynch

### Email

velopirate@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I feel strongly that residential solar production should be an integral piece of our state's energy strategy. With that said, the Commission should first do the required cost-benefit analysis that is mandated by House Bill 589. In addition, it is inherently unfair for Duke Energy to change the value of our solar investment retroactively. By all appearances, Duke Energy is viewing residential solar as a competitor instead of as part of the solution.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Natalie Gerardot  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:18 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Natalie Gerardot

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Natalie Gerardot

### Email

fairviewartfarm@gmail.com

### Docket

E 100 sub 180

### Message

I just purchased our solar array and batteries. This was an investment for my daughter, and we were told net metering would be protected in north Carolina. This docket was purposed just after we signed paperwork and if net metering, while it's great it remains for the loan life, we're not happening we would have saved the \$80000 and just kept paying our electric bill. This system costs way more than our bill, but to be green we decided it was worth it if the cost was offset with net metering. It's a win win for us and the environment and Duke, as we increase their green energy production. We should be paid for that.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

---

**From:** Jim Booe  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:18 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jim Booe

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jim Booe

### Email

jimbooe.bbr@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is unfair to make a responsible decision based changing rules. I purchased a rooftop solar system and under the rules at the time, the payback was at least 12 years (not including interest expense). This was a decision driven partly by want to help the environment. To change the net metering rules would make this a bad decision and I would not have made it.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Thad Johnson  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:19 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Thad Johnson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Thad Johnson

### Email

kjmoylan@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke energy is out of control and does not care about the environment. Stop their greedy ways and don't let them add fossil gas plants, modular nuclear reactors and and coal. There are better ways to create energy such as with rooftop solar. Let's make a plan to make solar energy affordable and doable for everyone in our state.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Hannah Epperson  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:19 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Hannah Epperson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Hannah Epperson

### Email

hreppers@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is unfair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively! Duke is already punishing solar panel owners with their "reclaim date" policy. Additionally, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Our planet doesn't have time for you to make the transition to solar any more difficult or expensive than it already is.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** AnneMarie Kelsey  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:19 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by AnneMarie Kelsey

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

AnneMarie Kelsey

### Email

Annemariek95@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

This concerns me as a solar owner as I have only had my pannels for 2 years! They were a 10 year investment. The cost before the solar was outrageous and unpredictable now I pay my solar bill and a connection fee. I feed excess power into the grid and get pennies for it the excess. To make it even worse would force my hand to purchase a back up battery instead of all excess going back into the system as I cannot afford to go through what I did the first few years owning this home. Duke should be ashamed proposing this as Americans are already struggling and why retroactively take back the original benefits of going solar? That's like saying oh you did your part to reduce energy usage but now what we charge others through the nose for we aren't gonna pay who is producing it. Customers already have complained about Duke Energy so we did something about it and now Duke Energy is irritating us again. This is very upsetting...

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Uren Patel  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:19 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Uren Patel

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Uren Patel

### Email

patel.uren@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Pamela Banks  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:22 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Pamela Banks

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Pamela Banks

### Email

pbanks@coastal24.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I entered into the expense of solar energy to help lower my monthly energy bill as I am a 1income household and every dollar counts. Cost of living is already high enough. The expense of solar was supposed to help things long term, not hurt to do to increases by one company. Its not fair for Duke Energy to change the value of my solar investment retroactively...or at all. If a change is necessary there should be a cost -benefit analysis for rooftop solar before making any change to net metering rules. (see HB 589). Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bentley Morley  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:22 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bentley Morley

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bentley Morley

### Email

9bmorley@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Please keep the current straightforward net metering rules. Thank you, Bentley Morley

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Patrick McKee  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:23 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Patrick McKee

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Patrick McKee

### Email

mckeesmc@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

This is ridiculous and should not be approved! Essentially Duke is saying that they don't want a cleaner earth. The value of clean power produced from homes that have solar panels is greater than the power produced by Duke, imagine if everyone had solar panels how much that could change our world.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Lois Jarrell  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:24 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lois Jarrell

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lois Jarrell

### Email

jarrell.lois@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a solar customer, I feel that Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my and other residents' solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Additionally, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Please listen to the voices who will be negatively impacted the most!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Harold Waters  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:25 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Harold Waters

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Harold Waters

### Email

buster0823@aol.com

### Docket

docket number E-100 Sub 180

### Message

duke needs to buy at same rate we buy, since they don't like to clean up their own messes that are caused by lack of putting money back into infrastructure. i would like to collect record profits every year and pass my cost onto someone else.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Delesha Carpenter  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:25 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Delesha Carpenter

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Delesha Carpenter

### Email

dmcarpenter@unc.edu

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We invested in rooftop solar for our home with the understanding that it would pay for itself within 10 years. Duke's proposed plan ruins this and is a disincentive for others to purchase rooftop solar. A cost-benefit analysis should be done before changing these rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** MARK S HALL  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:26 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by MARK S HALL

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

MARK S HALL

### Email

mshall7990@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Imploring the Commission to follow the law (HB589) and do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar before allowing Duke Energy to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. By changing the net metering rules, it would negatively affect all who have spent the monies to install solar, to not only help their personal energy usage, but to help supplement Duke Energy itself. Please reconsider and do this analysis.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** A Leslie Morrow  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:27 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by A Leslie Morrow

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

A Leslie Morrow

### Email

morrowlish@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I want to encourage adoption of roof-top solar across NC as well as other renewable sources of power. Duke's proposal of more nuclear power is forward looking and it would be great IF these smaller modules were actually available! Since they are not, we need to take advantage of solar power and eliminate the use of fossil Fues in the plan for the future. If Duke won't support net-metering, homes should be mandated to get battery modules to store power and Duke Progress should be phased out of residential electricity. They are not working for North Carolina. They are working for their shareholders. Don't miss the opportunity for real progress in your work. Thank-you for your service to NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Andrew DeBinder  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:27 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Andrew DeBinder

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Andrew DeBinder

### Email

acdebinder@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The proposed changes make absolutely no sense. How are solar customers not paying our share? If we are generating our own power and giving the excess to them we are helping them. They don't let us keep our excess for more than a year so we are unable to rack up substantial excess. By passing these changes Duke is the only one getting any benefits and the likelihood of other homeowners getting solar will drop significantly. Whether you believe in the climate crisis or not, solar energy is just a no-brainer and has almost no negative impact.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Richard Ross  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:27 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Ross

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Richard Ross

### Email

richardhross@me.com

### Docket

docket number E-100 Sub 180

### Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Julia Szeto  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:27 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Julia Szeto

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Julia Szeto

### Email

juliakimj@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am an electrical engineer that works in the solar industry and I have a rooftop solar system on my house. North Carolina has been a leader in solar and the changes to the rules threaten the access to rooftop solar and the jobs that go along with that. The Utilities Commission is mandated to regulate the utilities in the interest of the public. Duke Energy is just one company and the proposal for the change in the net metering rules will benefit Duke not the public. For far too long the Commission has been deferential to Duke. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Rooftop solar is good for all electricity ratepayers by lowering the need for expensive fossil fuel power and providing low-cost power to the grid on a daily basis and particularly during periods of high demand. This has been proven in numerous studies in recent years including a 2020 study that South Carolina regulators relied on in ordering changes to Dominion Energy net metering that are far preferable to those sought by Duke Energy in our state. The commission should be changing the rules to give the customers a bigger incentive to invest in solar and to give more access to low income communities. Please reconsider the Duke Energy plan for net metering changes.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Nimit Patel  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:27 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Nimit Patel

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Nimit Patel

### Email

nimitpatel1994@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** James Michal Land  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:29 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James Michal Land

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James Michal Land

### Email

mland357@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do not change the rules for net metering. One of the contributing factors in our decision to install 32 solar panels was that we could net meter with Duke Energy in a fair and equitable manner. We are already helping Duke Energy by reducing the load on the grid and thereby preventing them from having to expand it. We are happy to do our part in reducing carbon emissions by producing clean energy for our home and our EV. However we made a huge investment believing that Net Metering would remain fair and equitable. Thank you. Sincerely, J. Michael Land Morehead City

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Leone Lettsome  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:29 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Leone Lettsome

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Leone Lettsome

### Email

LeoneLettsome@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I have solar panels and my energy was out for two days...two whole days, just horrible. Additionally, Duke didn't give me my energy rebates because they set unrealistic timelines for installation associated with qualifying for the rebate. Duke should not be able to capitalize on my willingness to support the environment and my community. This is my rooftop, I pay for the panels and then Duke still bills solar customers a base charge even when they have collected more than enough energy from the household. It just doesn't make sense.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Vincent Stemp  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:29 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Vincent Stemp

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Vincent Stemp

### Email

the.spine.surfs.alone@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy has always put profits ahead of the customers, employees, services, and the environment. Please don't let them twist solar net metering to their irresponsible ends!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Michael Sharp  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:30 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Sharp

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Sharp

### Email

drsharp@michaelsharpmd.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a roof top solar energy consumer of Duke Energy and a citizen of NC extremely concerned with climate change and its effects on life on our planet, I ask the Commission to perform the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that is required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Michael Sharp, MD

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Dawson A Mims  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:31 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dawson A Mims

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dawson A Mims

### Email

mimsda@med.unc.edu

### Docket

docket number E-100 Sub 180

### Message

we are paying attention and vote/support candidates that promote Solar and clean energy. Please do not support coal and other fossil fuels. Do not support energy that relies on sources from countries that intend us harm.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bhupesh Patki  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:31 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bhupesh Patki

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bhupesh Patki

### Email

bhupeshpatki@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

We should forever rollover the solar credits, that will help more homes to sign up for this program

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David E Beuning  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:32 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David E Beuning

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David E Beuning

### Email

dave.beuning@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To whom it may concern, This change in the electrical network billing for my home solar is unfair as I went into this agreement based on the expected financial return from my investment in solar. I'm helping to lower the load on the overall network during peak summer AC loads, the fact that Duke wants to charge me extra for this is unconscionable.  
Regards, Dave Beuning

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Layne Russell  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:32 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Layne Russell

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Layne Russell

### Email

LRussellobx@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy's proposal to alter the net metering program in place for solar power is nothing short of appalling. In the current state of affairs with respect to climate change - which is clearly going full tilt with catastrophic repercussions, ANY actions which disincentive alternative energy is unconscionable and to state the obvious counter to efforts to protect the environment. Further, I find it objectional in the extreme that a corporation would be allowed to completely alter terms of an agreement for thousands of individuals who spent their own funds to install PV panels with the clear and written agreement for net metering at a set rate. Customer payback on PV panel installation is decades in most cases - the motivation was not financial. That said, decimating the what was a fair net metering agreement is unacceptable. If as Duke Energy put it the fair cost of energy is \$0.03/kWh, then that is what I would expect to PAY Duke Energy for any of their generated power I use. If I calculate the cost of my solar energy coming from my roof sent back to Duke Energy including the cost of PV panels and even excluding the cost of labor, they owe me far more than \$0.12/kWh and certainly more than \$0.03/kWh. Clearly I have no choice with whom I obtain electrical services from and being held hostage to a monopoly with one goal of making money at the expense of citizens and more importantly the environment is unacceptable. As the oversight commission for utilities, I am requesting as a resident of NC that the commission require Duke Energy to maintain current net metering practices and payments. Thank you, Layne Russell

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kris Klenke  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:33 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kris Klenke

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kris Klenke

### Email

kris.klenke@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello committee, Duke energy should not be allowed to change any of the net metering rules. We live in an age where the power companies are the monopoly and we have no choice but to use them. This is just a play by the shareholders to squeeze more money out of the area. Duke is providing a service to provide power that I may or may not use. I made a capital investment of solar and may or may not supply power back to the grid for other to use. I'm paying \$15/month in "facility fees" regardless if I use any grid power or not. This is a fee I cannot offset so I've paid Duke for the ability to export power back to the grid. In reality Duke should be happy it's a kWh1:1 ratio and not .093¢ per kWh exported like most civilized states have. Since Duke is a monopoly owned by the shareholders the solar community is at a position to give back to the community by sharing our power to keep costs down. We the net metering community should and must maintain the 1:1 ratio since like Duke we're providing a service. We're not owned by shareholders who profit greatly as Duke rips off the community. Keep Net Metering as is, and don't let Duke influence the need to keep expanding solar, looking at alternative fuel source (Unlike Duke who loves coal and the ash it creates).

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Caroline Paulsen  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:33 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Caroline Paulsen

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Caroline Paulsen

### Email

caroline.e.paulsen@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Curtis Martin  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:33 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Curtis Martin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Curtis Martin

### Email

martin.curtis.j@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal. It would devalue solar energy production and slow the growth of clean energy in North Carolina. We are a forward-thinking state in many ways, and we are attracting many high profile companies to the area who are also forward-thinking as a result. We've made many steps toward real progress in this state, and accepting this proposal would be a huge step backward. As a solar owner myself, a large part of the reason I invested in solar for my home was because I felt that I would be fairly compensated for my energy generation. Changing the way we are compensated in a way that negatively impacts solar owners is anti-consumer, and frankly not what I signed up for when I purchased this system.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Chris Losch  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:34 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Chris Losch

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Chris Losch

### Email

clsch7@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal as it will hurt tax paying home owners like myself that have invested in solar energy for their home.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Allyson Singletary  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:34 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Allyson Singletary

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Allyson Singletary

### Email

washingtonally@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Nothing needs to change

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Phil Jewett  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:34 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Phil Jewett

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Phil Jewett

### Email

philjewett@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

On average, I currently produce 1.2 megawatts of electricity each month and only consume 450-500 kilowatts per month. Duke is receiving quite a bit of power from me at my expense and now they want to make changes. Perhaps the change should be that I they actually pay me for my excess power. Under the current system they reset my credits to zero in May. How unfair of them.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jaideep Rao  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:35 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jaideep Rao

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jaideep Rao

### Email

jjrao2@gmail.com

### Docket

SP-42046 Sub 0

### Message

I installed solar panels this year with expectations that this is the right thing to do and to benefit from Net Metering policy presented to me while making my decision. I kindly urge you not to disrupt the current net metering policy in favor of a company whose objectives is to reward its shareholders. Kindly try to make it better to entice more customers such as me adopt renewable energy rather than discourage them.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Mark Wagenhofer  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:35 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Wagenhofer

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mark Wagenhofer

### Email

mark.wagenhofer@td.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Once the investigation is completed reject the proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Louis and Jean Doyle  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:35 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Louis and Jean Doyle

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Louis and Jean Doyle

### Email

JMDLDD@twc.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

In order to help reduce our carbon impact on the environment, we installed solar panels last year to support our whole household. The cost was \$66,000 which is being financed. We made this decision based on the agreement of compensation of 1 Kwt Hour for 1 Kwt Hour produced and sent back to Duke. The plan for Duke to reduce this compensation should not be allowed. It does not encourage anyone to install solar power. Another aspect of Duke's agreement with the state (that we were not informed about) is the fact that they are allowed to reset your balance of energy produced back to zero every May 31st. They took over 2500 Kwt Hours that we produced for absolutely no compensation. I call that stealing!! At least some states require some compensation for that but not NC. Please do not allow this to happen.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Eugene & Pam Bruckschen  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:36 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Eugene & Pam Bruckschen

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Eugene & Pam Bruckschen

### Email

pammiepoo089@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy Corp- Our rooftops, our energy, not Duke's. Please consider doing the right thing for solar customers in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Paul Weathers  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:36 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Weathers

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Paul Weathers

### Email

prdubzz@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Basically I feel that I paid a lot of money for all my solar equipment and that it is my property to do with as I see fit. And, I believe that the sun's power is universally free to everyone on the planet. In principle I should be able to collect the sun's energy and generate the power I want from it without a third party being involved that profits from it. However, I realize that Duke has a control over the situation. I not 100% happy with the current system but I accept it. What I don't like is Duke wanting to cut into my business and make me pay more for my energy. I feel they already are receiving a huge benefit from residential solar providers and shouldn't be taking more from us.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Patrick Summers  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:37 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Patrick Summers

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Patrick Summers

### Email

PatrickSummers87@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke is a disappointment. After NC taxpayers had to clean up their mess, we now have to take less cash for our solar investments. It's absolutely ridiculous. it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules