STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. W-218, SUB 526

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF
APPLICATION BY AQUA NORTH CAROLINA, INC.,
202 MACKENAN COURT, CARY, NORTH CAROLINA 27511
FOR AUTHORITY TO ADJUST AND INCREASE RATES FOR WATER
AND SEWER UTILITY SERVICE IN ALL SERVICE AREAS IN
NORTH CAROLINA

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF **DEAN R. GEARHART**ON BEHALF OF AQUA NORTH CAROLINA, INC.

June 12, 2020

| 1 | 13- Adjustment to post test year additions PAA |
|----|---|
| 2 | 14- Adjustment for Mid South growth related PAA to 03/31/20 |
| 3 | 15- Adjustment to post test year additions accumulated amortization - PAA |
| 4 | 16- Adjustment to update advances for construction to 03/31/20 |
| 5 | 17- Adjustment to ADIT - post test year additions |
| 6 | 18- Adjustment to ADIT - unamortized rate case expense |
| 7 | 19- Adjustment to ADIT - unamortized repair tax credit |
| 8 | 20- Adjustment to ADIT - protected EDIT |
| 9 | 21- Adjustment to update customer deposits to 03/31/20 |
| 10 | 23- Adjustment to cash working capital |
| 11 | 26- Adjustment to depreciation study |
| 12 | 27- Adjustment to repair tax credit |
| 13 | 29- Adjustment to remove JoCO transmission revenue deficit |
| 14 | 31- Adjustment to average tax accruals |
| 15 | 32- Adjustment to service revenues |
| 16 | 33- Adjustment for late payment fees |
| 17 | 34- Adjustment to uncollectibles and abatements |
| 18 | 35- Adjustment to remove capitalize labor |
| 19 | 36- Adjustment to add transportation regular payroll |
| 20 | 37- Adjustment to update salaries and wages March 31, 2020 |
| 21 | 38- Adjustment to remove leave without pay |
| 22 | 39- Adjustment to reflect actual standby and overtime salaries and wages |
| 23 | 44- Adjustment to update pensions and benefits to March 31, 2020 |
| 24 | 46- Adjustment to remove unqualified benefits from Corporate Sundries |
| 25 | 47- Adjustment to fuel for production |
| 26 | 48- Adjustment to sludge removal |
| 27 | 49- Adjustment to purchased power |
| 28 | 50- Adjustment to materials and supplies |

| 1 | | 51- Adjustment to testing |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | 52- Adjustment to contractual services - legal |
| 3 | | 54- Adjustment to contractual services - other- corporate sundries |
| 4 | | 55- Adjustment to contract services - other- accrued expenses |
| 5 | | 58- Adjustment to remove capitalize miscellaneous expense |
| 6 | | 61- Adjustment to remove unqualified misc exp. for Corporate Sundries |
| 7 | | 63- Adjustment to Contra-OH allocations |
| 8 | | 64- Adjustment to amortized EDIT |
| 9 | | 65- Adjustment to payroll taxes |
| 10 | | 67- Adjustment to contract services - other- Temporary labor |
| 11 | | 68- Rounding difference |
| 12 | | The Company agrees with the items above, although the final dollar |
| 13 | | amounts of some line items depend on other factors still to be settled in the |
| 14 | | case and may change. |
| 15 | Q. | DO YOU AGREE WITH THE PUBLIC STAFF'S ADJUSTMENT TO |
| 16 | | INSURANCE EXPENSE? |
| 17 | A. | No. There is one element of the Public Staff adjustment that the Company |
| 18 | | contends is incorrectly calculated and needs to be adjusted. The corporate |
| 19 | | allocation factors used to allocate insurance expense to its states is |
| 20 | | recalculated by the Public Staff in rate cases to validate their approved |
| 21 | | amounts for recovery. Witness Feasel's independent check to recalculate |
| 22 | | and validate the payroll allocation factors is incorrect. |
| 23 | | Insurance costs are allocated to Aqua North Carolina based on total payroll |
| 24 | | dollars. In Feasel Exhibit I Schedule 6-1(a), witness Feasel recalculates |
| | • | |

(\$8,467,427) and leaves out the direct capital labor portion of NC payroll (\$2,014,483); this capital labor amount can be seen in Aqua NC's rate case filing as W-1 Item 10, Exhibit B1/B2-7 (line 6 for overtime labor & line 7 for regular labor). The sum of the expense and capital components together represents total NC payroll of \$10,481,909. General liability and worker's compensation are computed based on total payroll. Feasel Exhibit I Schedule 6-1(a) fails to consider total NC payroll in her allocation factors used to calculate their proposed adjustment and, resultantly, understates the amounts allocated to Aqua North Carolina in her calculation. The allocation factors recalculated by witness Feasel for Aqua North Carolina are 7.75% for general liability (line 5) and 8.59% for workers compensation (line 6).

The Company requests that the capital labor amount of \$2,014,483 be added to witness Feasel's payroll allocation factor calculation – specifically to Schedule 6-1(a) line 1, column a.

If this direct capital labor amount is included, the resulting Aqua North Carolina's payroll factors would be 9.21% for general liability and 10.21% for workers compensation (versus the 7.75% and 8.59% calculated by witness Feasel).

The impact of this requested change, as it stands now, would be an increase in expense recovery of \$28,498. This amount could change if there are any changes to the final Aqua NC payroll amounts approved in this proceeding.

Discussions between the Company and witness Feasel on this specific matter are ongoing.

- Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH PUBLIC STAFF WITNESS JUNIS' ASSERTIONS
 RELATED TO AQUA'S REQUEST FOR DEFERRED ASSET / LIABILITY
 TREATMENT FOR INSURANCE CLAIMS PAID IN EXCESS OF (ASSET)
 OR LESS THAN (LIABILITY) THE COMMISSION-APPROVED ANNUAL
 CLAIM EXPENSE IN THIS RATE CASE?
- A. No. Aqua North Carolina has experienced significant reductions to its insurance expense recovery of claims expense for workers compensation, automotive and general liability in past rate cases. The Public Staff's methodology to calculate the amount of recoverable insurance claims expense has been to utilize a five-year average of actual claims paid. The amount of insurance claims expense charged to the Company from corporate is based on a fully developed claim vs actual claims paid. Since fully developed claims estimate the total amount of claims to be paid and actual claims paid are based on history, there is a gap. Aqua's allowed recovery in past rate cases has been based on the Public Staff's methodology.

In Docket No. W-218, Sub 497, Aqua NC has incurred claims totaling \$587,076, with an expensed amount (net of the capitalized portion) of \$490,870. After adjusting to the five-year history of claims paid, the expense amount that Aqua NC received in the rate order was \$135,606 (Cooper Schedule 3-3(a) Revised lines 13-15). This was a reduction to

Aqua NC's insurance expense of \$355,264.

In this proceeding, for the first time, the Company included in its filing the adjustment to reduce its expense for claims to the Public Staff's methodology using the five-year average of paid claims. During discovery, the paid claims amounts were updated to include the amounts for the years 2015 through 2019 (5 years). As it stands now, using this five-year average methodology, Aqua will recover claims expense that will be \$322,000 less than the actual claims amounts charged to it in 2020. Aqua has agreed in this case to accept that reduction, but requests that the approved five-year average, representative of the annual amount of claims paid and allowed in rates, be trued-up to actual claims paid as a regulatory asset or liability to be recovered in future rate cases.

While the Company did agree, in this rate case filing, to include this claims adjustment as a decrease to its insurance expense request, the Company does *not* agree with the *concept* of this adjustment.

Aqua America provides insurance coverage for its states' operations at a greatly reduced cost compared to the actual costs that would be incurred if the states had to provide said coverage individually.

In the Fall of 2019, Aqua North Carolina received a quote for stand-alone coverage for Workers Compensation, Automotive and General Liability that was in excess of \$3,000,000. (See Gearhart Exhibit 1 – NC Stand-Alone Insurance).

During 2020, The Company will incur a cost of \$735K for this same insurance coverage.

This comparison demonstrates the reasonableness of the Company's current approach to insurance coverage and its full recovery. This approach does have some risk / opportunity due to actual claims being different than the developed projections done by a claim's adjuster specialist. For that reason, what Aqua is proposing in this case is the use of a simple over / under balancing account for these actual claims paid so neither the Company's ratepayers nor its shareholders "win" or "lose".

The Company will incur total claims related charges of approximately \$587K in 2020, which equates to about \$491K in expense. However, in this rate case, the Company stands to recover \$136K in expense related to these policies.

The calculations related to claims referenced in this section are attached as "Gearhart Exhibit 2-Insurance Claims Adjustments"

Witness Junis, at page 45 of his prefiled testimony, suggests the following could happen if the Company were allowed to recover the costs that it actually incurs:

...Aqua's guaranteed recovery of all workers' compensation claims would disincentive Aqua's employee safety education and practices, including the provision of safe work places and personal protective equipment such as hard hats, safety glasses, and steel-toed boots. Again, the guarantee would be a disincentive [to] Aqua to minimize workers' compensation claims.

These suggestions are speculative, unfounded, and should be given zero

weight to the discussion at hand. Aqua America and Aqua North Carolina place an exceptional amount of attention to the safety culture of the utility. Every Aqua state is responsible for safety initiatives and metrics and none of them, aside from Aqua North Carolina, are challenged on their insurance expense recovery in their respective rate cases.

- Q. WITNESS FEASEL PROPOSES THAT 17.5% OF BONUSES PAID
 TO NORTH CAROLINA EMPLOYEES AS WELL AS 50% OF
 STOCK OPTIONS, RESTRICTED STOCK AND PERFORMANCE
 SHARES GRANTED TO NORTH CAROLINA EMPLOYEES
 SHOULD BE ALLOCATED TO SHAREHOLDERS. DO YOU
 AGREE WITH THIS ASSESSMENT?
- A. No. The short-term incentive ("STI") bonuses, stock options, restricted stock and performance shares are part of the total compensation package, paid to attract and retain qualified employees at Aqua North Carolina. The financial metrics that witness Feasel cites as arguments for this adjustment, actually reinforce to Aqua employees that it is their responsibility to serve the Company's customers in a prudent and efficient manner. The Company's ability to provide reliable service to its customers is directly related to its financial viability and linking a portion of those employees' compensation to a financial target encourages employees to achieve customer-based objectives in a cost-efficient manner.

2

3

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT NC EMPLOYEE BONUS ADJUSTMENTS WERE PROPOSED BY THE PUBLIC STAFF IN THE COMPANY'S 2018 RATE CASE AND HOW IT DIFFERS FROM THIS CASE.

In the Company's 2018 Sub 497 rate case, the Public Staff proposed to allocate 30% of (STI) bonuses paid to Aqua NC supervisory employees. In this proceeding, the requested adjustment has been expanded to also include stock options, restricted stock, and performance share grants. This adjustment has also been expanded to cover these items for all Aqua North Carolina employees; not just supervisors. Aqua North Carolina non-supervisory employees are eligible for bonuses referred to as "Chairman Awards" and during the test year, these awards were paid to over one hundred Aqua North Carolina employees.

Q. PLEASE **EXPLAIN** HOW THE COMMISSION TREATED ADJUSTMENTS TO NC **EMPLOYEE BONUSES** IN THE COMPANY'S 2018 RATE CASE IN DOCKET NO. W-218, SUB 497. In the December 2018 SUB 497 Rate Case Order, the Commission found that adjustments to supervisory employee bonuses was "unreasonable and inappropriate," concluding that approving the Public Staff's position on this issue "would send the wrong message" to Aqua NC and its North Carolina supervisory personnel." The

Company believes the Commission should deny the Public Staff's

request in this proceeding for the same reasons set forth in the Rate

Case Order in the Sub 497 proceeding, especially now that the proposed adjustment would impact all levels of Aqua North Carolina employees.

Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH THE PUBLIC STAFF'S ADJUSTMENT TO REGULATORY COMMISSION EXPENSE?

A. No, as this number is not final as of the date of this rebuttal testimony. Aqua will soon be providing an update of actual and projected rate case expense through the close of the hearing in this case and requests that the Commission approve the requested amount for inclusion in rates in this proceeding. The Company has requested a two-year amortization of the final rate case expense. This amortization period reflects the fact that the test year in Aqua NC's current Sub 526 rate case is two years later than the test year in its previous case (9/30/2019 vs 9/30/2017).

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A. Yes.

Aqua North Carolina – Optional Guara Cost

October 1st, 2019 to October 1st 2020

Carrier: Chubb Insurance Group – AM Best Rating A++, XV

| General Liability | Auto Liability | Workers Compensation | Total |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| \$995,514 | \$1,015,415 | \$1,104,949 | \$3,115,878 |

Commentary

- Workers' Compensation would be structured on a deductible-retro
- If elected, other coverage would be reduced by the exposure amounts for Aqua NC currently at:
 - \$54,000,000 in revenue
 - \$12,499,061 in payroll
 - 181 autos

Exhibit B3-q-1 ORIGINAL.

Calculation of Insurance Expense for Aqua North Carolina Test Year Ended September 30, 2019

Insurance Costs for 2020

| W-218 Sub 526 | Total | Total | | | Percentage | | Adjusted | | Adjustment |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Aqua America | Aqua NC | Percentage | Amount | to Expense | | Insurance | | from 2020 |
| <u>Item</u> | Insurance Expense | Insurance Expense | To NC | To NC | In This Case | _ | Expense | Capitalized | Ins Exp Budget |
| [a] | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | £0. | ígl | (H) | Œ | Œ |
| Workers compensation premium | \$547,989 | \$64,084 | 11.69% | \$64,084 | | | \$49,670 | \$14,414 | \$0 |
| Automobile premium | 173,258 | \$28,639 | 16.53% | 28,639 | 77.51% | [5] | 22,197 | \$6,442 | \$0 |
| General liability premium | 538,580 | \$54,989 | 10.21% | 54,989 | 100.00% | | 54,989 | (\$0) | \$0 |
| | 1,500,179 | \$143,483 | 9.56% | 143,483 | 100.00% | | 143,483 | (\$0) | \$0 |
| | 1,850,424 | \$188,928 | 10.21% | 188,928 | 100.00% | | 188,928 | (\$0) | \$0 |
| | 368,338 | \$37,607 | 10.21% | 37,607 | 100.00% | | 37,607 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Executive risk | 604,116 | \$61,680 | 10.21% | 61,680 | 100.00% | | 61,680 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pollution insurance | 318,340 | \$18,860 | 5.92% | 18,860 | 100.00% | | 18,860 | (\$0) | \$0 |
| | 308,929 | \$31,542 | 10.21% | 31,542 | 100.00% | | 31,542 | (\$0) | \$0 |
| | 83,253 | \$10,238 | 12.30% | 10,238 | 100.00% | | 10,238 | (\$0) | \$0_ |
| | 6,293,406 | \$640,049 | 10.17% | 640,049 | | _ | 619,194 | \$20,855 | \$0 |
| | | | | | | | | | \$0 |
| | 1,707,698 | 299,588 | 17.54% | 100,414 | 4] 77.51% | [5] | 77,829 | \$22,585 | (\$199,174) |
| Automobile claims | 697,947 | 156,151 | 22.37% | 57,762 | 4] 77.51% | [5] | 44,770 | \$12,992 | (\$98,389) |
| General liability claims | 2,549,222 | 131,337 | 5.15% | 26,585 [| 4] 100.00% | | 26,585 | \$0 | (\$104,752) |
| | 139,143 | 1,300 | 0.93% | 1,326 | 100.00% | | 1,326 | \$0 | \$26 |
| Total insurance per Public Staff (Sum of L13 thru L16) | \$11,387,416 | \$1,228,426 | 10.79% | \$826,136 | | _ | \$769,704 | \$56,432 | (\$402,289) |
| Automatical Control of the Control o | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | | - | [6] | [7] | [8] |
| | item isi Workers compensation premium Automobile premium General liability premium Property insurance Umbrella premium Employee Practices/Simkiss Fees (fmr Marsh Fees) Executive risk Pollution insurance Cyber security Claims handling expense Total allocated costs (Sum of L1 thru L11) Directly assigned costs: Workers compensation claims Automobile claims General liability claims Surety bonds | tem Aqua America Insurance Expense Item Insurance Expense Item Insurance Expense Item Insurance Expense Item Ite | Hem Aqua America Aqua America Insurance Expense Insurance Insuranc | Item Item | Item Item | Item Item | Mayua America Aqua America Aqua NC Percentage Amount to Expense Item Insurance Expense Insurance Expense Insurance Expense To NC In This Case In This Case Item I | Aqua America Aqua America Insurance Expense Aqua NC Insurance Expense Amount Insurance Expense Insurance Insuran | Aqua America Aqua America Aqua NC Percentage To NC To NC To NC In This Case Expense Capitalized Capitalize |

- [1] From Exhibit B3-q-4, lines 11 and 22
 [2] From Exhibit B3-q-4, lines 4 and 15
 [3] Column c divided by column b
 [4] The claims amounts on lines 13-15 are adjusted to the five-year average of claims paid (calculated in Exhibit B3-q-2, line 7)
 [5] Test year utility labor expense percentage from Exhibit B1/B2-7
 [6] Column e multiplied by column f to calculate final insurance expense
 [7] Column 3 less column h, resulting in the amounts capitalized related to workers compensation and automobile insurance amounts
 [8] Column c less less column e, resulting in the adjustment to claims expense by adjusting to the 5-year average of claims paid on Exhibit B3-q-2

| | SUPPLEMENTAL W-218 SUB 526 | | | | - | JPPLEMENTAL |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| S1 | Workers Compensation/Automobile/General Liabili | y . | | | Feasel | |
| S2 | Total Cost Allocated/Charged to Aqua NC | \$734,788 lines 1+2+3+13+14+15 | | | Schedule 6-1 | |
| S3 | | | | 2020 | Revised | Reduction to |
| S4 | (from lines 12-15 above) | | | Claims | Claims | Claims |
| S5 | | | | Insurance | Insurance | Insurance |
| S6 | Directly assigned costs: | | | Expense | Ехрелѕе | Expense |
| S7 | Workers compensation claims | 299,588 | 77.51% [5] | 232,204 | 78,684 | (153,520) |
| S8 | Automobile claims | 156,151 | 77.51% [5] | 121,029 | 54,454 | (66,575) |
| S9 | General liability claims | 131,337 | 100,00% | 131,337 | 29,651 | (101,686) |
| S10 | • | 587,076 | | 484,570 | 162,789 | (321,781) |
| S11 | | copied from above | same as above | col c x col f | lm | pact to Aqua NC |
| S12 | | | | | | Cost Recovery |
| S13 | | | | | | |
| S14 | SUPPLEMENTAL W-218 SUB 497 | | | | Cooper | |
| | | | | | | |
| S15 | | | | s | chedule 3-39a |) |
| S15 S16 | | 2018 | | 2018 | chedule 3-39a Revised | Reduction to |
| S16 | | 2018 Claims | | | | |
| S16 S17 | | | | 2018 | Revised | Reduction to |
| S16 | Directly assigned costs: | Claims | | 2018 Claims | Revised Claims | Reduction to Claims |
| S16 S17 S18 S19 | Directly assigned costs: Workers compensation claims | Claims Insurance | 78.89% [13] | 2018 Claims Insurance | Revised Claims Insurance | Reduction to Claims Insurance |
| S16 S17 S18 S19 S20 | Workers compensation claims | Claims Insurance <u>Costs to NC</u> | 78.89% [13] 78.89% [13] | 2018 Claims Insurance NC Expense | Revised Claims Insurance Expense | Reduction to Claims Insurance Expense |
| S16 S17 S18 S19 S20 S21 | Workers compensation claims Automobile claims | Claims Insurance <u>Costs to NC</u> 299,588 156,151 | | 2018 Claims Insurance NC Expense 236,345 | Revised Claims Insurance Expense 72,233 | Reduction to Claims Insurance Expense (164,112) |
| \$16 \$17 \$18 \$19 \$20 \$21 \$22 | Workers compensation claims | Claims Insurance <u>Costs to NC</u> 299,588 | 78.89% [13] 100.00% | 2018 Claims Insurance NC Expense 236,345 123,188 131,337 490.870 | Revised Claims Insurance Expense 72,233 38,180 | Reduction to Claims Insurance Expense (164,112) (85,008) |
| \$16 \$17 \$18 \$19 \$20 \$21 \$22 \$23 | Workers compensation claims Automobile claims | Claims Insurance | 78.89% [13] 100.00% | 2018 Claims Insurance NC Expense 236,345 123,188 131,337 | Revised Claims Insurance <u>Expense</u> 72,233 38,180 25,193 135,606 | Reduction to Claims Insurance Expense (164,112) (85,008) (106,144) |
| \$16 \$17 \$18 \$19 \$20 \$21 \$22 | Workers compensation claims Automobile claims | Claims Insurance <u>Costs to NC</u> 299,588 156,151 131,337 | 78.89% [13] 100.00% | 2018 Claims Insurance NC Expense 236,345 123,188 131,337 490.870 | Revised Claims Insurance <u>Expense</u> 72,233 38,180 25,193 135,606 | Reduction to Claims Insurance Expense (164,112) (85,008) (106,144) (355,264) |