

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Dea Houser
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Dea Houser
223 Manning Drive
Charlotte, NC 28209
(704) 553-2408

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of James B Houser III
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. James B Houser III
223 Manning Dr
Charlotte, NC 28209
(704) 525-6753

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Brian Lucas
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Brian Lucas
223 Manning Drive
Charlotte, NC 28209
(704) 553-2408

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of CHARLES Lacey
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. CHARLES Lacey
19707 Acasia 3
Cornelius, NC 28031
(740) 424-8145

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of DawnMarie Helin
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. DawnMarie Helin
116 Loch Laurin Lane
Rockingham, NC 28379
(518) 312-5991

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Shirley Barrack
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Shirley Barrack
18800 Flat Shoals Drive
Cornelius, NC 28031
(941) 685-8592

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Jim Hayes
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families; many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Jim Hayes
5300 Lansing Drive
Charlotte, NC 28270
(704) 364-4421

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joseph Detaranto
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Joseph Detaranto
7121 Barefoot Forest Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269-5053
(980) 585-1185

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Jay Bendis
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Jay Bendis
554 North Church Street
Charlotte, NC 28202
(330) 283-8231

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Terry Lucas
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Terry Lucas
9010 Elrose Place
Charlotte, NC 28277
(980) 227-7249

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Fleming Loyd
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Fleming Loyd
400 Blairmore
Charlotte, NC 28211
(704) 302-5291

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Alan Bryant
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Alan Bryant
12609 KEMERTON LN
HUNTERSVILLE, NC 28078-3712
(970) 390-0330

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Michael Doty
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Michael Doty
450 Bumpy Lane
Sanford, NC 27332 1190
(910) 568-2950

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Maireen Rossell
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Maireen Rossell
3103 Westnedge Drive apt 1110
Charlotte, NC 28226
(912) 258-2487

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Teresa Williams
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Teresa Williams
3505 Fortis Lane
Matthews, NC 28105
(704) 534-1939

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Edwin Browne
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Edwin Browne
329 Minitree Lane
Charlotte, NC 28214
(980) 254-7071

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Rita Horton
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Rita Horton
915-B Westbrook Dr
Charlotte, NC 28202
(704) 962-7042

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Robert V Lozeau
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Robert V Lozeau
3800 Shamrock Drive
MV 2506
Charlotte, NC 28215

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Michael Hedden
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Michael Hedden
1401 Cortland Rd East
Charlotte, NC 28209
(704) 451-2078

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Wayne Mowery
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Wayne Mowery
173 Morrison hill road
Davidson, NC 28036
(704) 555-1234

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Rhea Dunbar
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Rhea Dunbar
4603 Waterford Knoll Dr.
Charlotte, NC 28226
(980) 237-6827

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Rajiv Patel
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Rajiv Patel
12703 Heritage Vista Dr
Huntersville, NC 28078
(216) 469-8464

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Michael Hedden
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Michael Hedden
1401 Cortland Rd East
Charlotte, NC 28209
(704) 451-2078

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Deirdre Younger
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Deirdre Younger
11028 Cranes Creek Dr
Charlotte, NC 28278
(980) 272-6494

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of DEJUANA WOODS
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. DEJUANA WOODS
1911 BOYSENBERRY CT
CHARLOTTE, NC 28216
(980) 322-1434

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sandy Arsenault
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Sandy Arsenault
2725 Sharon Rd
Charlotte, NC 282111609
(980) 224-2257

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Beverly Harrison
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Beverly Harrison
4806 Boomerang Way
Charlotte, NC 28269-2334
(704) 274-5605

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Silas Steele
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Silas Steele
305 Washington Street
Graham, NC, NC 27253
(336) 214-6951

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Patricia Redman
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Patricia Redman
10318 Roundhouse Circle
Mint Hill, NC 282271457
(704) 287-4433

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of John Martin
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. John Martin
520 Madison Ave
Hamlet, NC 28345
(704) 300-3591

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Carl May
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Carl May
6521 IdleBrook Dr
Charlotte, NC 28212
(704) 568-7008

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of eileen sexton
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214 We can not afford a residential rate hike is unfair

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. eileen sexton
10302 black locust lane
Charlotte, NC 28215
(704) 490-0753

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Susan Beam-Gilleland
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Susan Beam-Gilleland
12121 Sherman Dr
Charlotte, NC 28273-4802
(704) 588-6929

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Glendon Dahlman
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Glendon Dahlman
3341 Chilham PL
Charlotte, NC 28226-3000
(614) 404-8204

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of George Lashley
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup. The working poor and senior on a fixed income will be hurt by this unfair increased cost.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. George Lashley
306 TRINITY CT

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Lillian Swindell
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Lillian Swindell
2118 CONISTON PL
CHARLOTTE, NC 28207-1804
(704) 373-0139

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Jere Baxter
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Jere Baxter
2201 Hamilton Mill Road
Charlotte, NC 28270
(704) 451-7942

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Randy Buford
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Randy Buford
16932 Belmont Stakes Lane
Charlotte, NC 28278
(704) 301-9797

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Deborah Fuller
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Deborah Fuller
5201 Strawberry Hill Dr
Apt B
Charlotte, NC 28211

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Kurt Johnston
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Kurt Johnston
4217 Johnston Oehler Rd
Charlotte, NC 28269-1016
(980) 240-2557

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Gwendolyn Oberg
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Gwendolyn Oberg
16520 Trace Chain Ln
Charlotte, NC 28278
(704) 608-8072

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of James Webster
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. James Webster
203 Walter Hagen Dr.
Mebane, NC 27302
(607) 222-1226

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Leslie Connors
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Leslie Connors
4118 GARDEN GROVE LANE
CHARLOTTE, NC 28269
(704) 231-9785

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Glenn Collard
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. Glenn Collard
8211 Churchton Pl
Charlotte, NC 28277
(704) 771-4118

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Whitt
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Elizabeth Whitt
1116 Scaleybark Rd.
Apt 116B
Charlotte, NC 28209

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Mike Lampson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Mike Lampson
7137 Windyrush Rd
Charlotte, NC 28226
(704) 780-2277

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Curtis Richardson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Curtis Richardson
811 DRIFTWOOD DR
GIBSONVILLE, NC 27249-3358
(336) 449-1106

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of John Martino
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. John Martino
104 DuMont Dr
Morganton, NC 28655
(828) 430-0835

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Terrence Reid
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Terrence Reid
10516 Kettering Drive, Apt. 622
Charlotte, NC 28226
(704) 840-5454

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Barry Musselwhite
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 6:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I am on a fixed income and can't afford any more cost

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Barry Musselwhite
1720 Old Saint Marks Church Rd