STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. EMP 117 Sub 0

In the Matter of Application of Shawboro East Ridge Solar, LLC for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct a 150-MW Solar Facility in Currituck County, North Carolina MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUBMIT

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT

NOW COMES Shawboro East Ridge Solar, LLC, ("Shawboro" or the "Applicant"), by and through its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to the Order of the Commission entered in this docket on November 1, 2022, respectfully moves this Commission for leave to file additional supplemental testimony following the previous filing with the Commission of the 2022 System Impact Study prepared by Duke Energy Progress ("DEP") based on retooled 2022 PJM studies. The new DEP study, which was not released until December, 2022, represents the most recent study by DEP of its network upgrade costs associated with PJM interconnection queue group AE-1, which includes the proposed resource that is the subject of the application in this docket. Good cause exists to grant the Applicant leave to supplement the previously filed testimony.

WHEREFORE, the Applicant respectfully prays that the Commission grant the Applicant leave to file the additional supplemental testimony of Mr. Rob Price that is attached to this motion, and deem such additional testimony to have been filed and served on the date of said order allowing supplementation.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of February, 2023.

oseph W. Eason

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP

301 Hillsborough Street, Suite 1400

Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Tel: (919) 329-3800

joe.eason@nelsonmullins.com Attorneys for the Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing Motion for Leave to Submit Supplemental Testimony On Behalf Of Applicant, together with that testimony and two (2) exhibits attached thereto, was filed and served this day upon the following by electronic mail:

Christopher Ayers, Esq. Executive Director-NC Public Staff Chris.Ayers@psncuc.nc.gov

Energy Division NC Public Staff EnergyDivision@psncuc.gov

Nadia Luhr NC Public Staff-Legal Division Nadia.Luhr@psncuc.nc.gov

This the 14th day of February, 2022.

Joseph W. Easor

BEFORE THE

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

SHAWBORO EAST RIDGE SOLAR, LLC

DOCKET NO. EMP-117 Sub 0

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ROB PRICE

FEBRUARY 8, 2023

1		INTRODUCTION
2	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.
3	Α	MY NAME IS ROB PRICE. MY BUSINESS ADDRESS IS 595 SUMMER STREET, STAMFORD,
4		CONNECTICUT 06901.
5		
6	Q	STATE YOUR CURRENT POSITION AND BREFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR PRIOR EMPLOYMENT
7		AND EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
8	Α	CURRENTLY I AM EMPLOYED BY SUNENERGY1, LLC ("SUNENERGY1" OR "THE COMPANY")
9		AS VICE-PRESIDENT OF TRANSMISSION AND INTERCONNECTION. SUNENERGY1 IS THE
10		CONTROLLING MEMBER OF THE APPLICANT IN THIS DOCKET, SHAWBORO EAST RIDGE
11		SOLAR, LLC ('SHAWBORO SOLAR" OR "THE APPLICANT"). I HAVE HELD MY CURRENT
12		POSITION SINCE APPROXIMATELY APRIL, 2019. MY BACKGROUND IS SUMMARIZED IN
13		EXHIBIT A TO THIS TESTIMONY.
14		
15	Q	HAVE YOU PROVIDED TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET BEFORE?
16	Α	NO. ALL PRIOR TESTIMONY SUBMITTED IN THIS DOCKET ON BEHALF OF SUNENERGY1
17		AND/OR THE APPLICANT IN SUPPORT OF THE APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF
18		PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY ("CPCN") TO CONSTRUCT THE PROPOSED
19		SHAWBORO FACILITY HAS BEEN PROVIDED BY MS. LINDA NWADIKE, FORMERLY THE
20		DIRECTOR FOR PERMITTING AND COMMUNITY RELATIONS AT SUNENERGY1. ON BEHALF
21		OF THE APPLICANT AND THE COMPANY, I ADOPT AND REAFFIRM THE APPLICATION AND
22		THE TESTIMONY PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED IN SUPPORT OF THE APPLICATION.
23		

1	Q	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY YOU ARE SUBMITTING
2		TODAY?
3	Α	THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF THIS SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY IS TO MAKE CLEAR TO THE
4		COMMISSION THAT THE APPLICATION IN THIS PROCEEDING IS NOW RIPE FOR
5		DISPOSITION AND SHOULD BE GRANTED PROMPTLY SUBJECT TO THE AGREED
6		CONDITIONS.
7		EVENTS OCCURRING DURING 2022 HAVE ADDRESSED THE CONCERNS IDENTIFIED IN THE
8		TESTIMONY FILED BY THE PUBLIC STAFF WITNESS DURING 2021 AND 2022.
9		FIRST, DURING 2021 AND 2022 THE PUBLIC STAFF RECOMMENDED THAT THE
10		COMMISSION HOLD THIS DOCKET OPEN PENDING THE COMPLETION AND FILING OF
11		RETOOLED STUDIES FROM PJM AND DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS ("DEP"). THE PUBLIC
12		STAFF BELIEVED THE APPLICATION SHOULD BE CONSIDERED BASED ON MORE RECENTLY
13		UPDATED INFORMATION PERTAINING TO PJM'S NETWORK UPGRADE COSTS AND DEP'S
14		AFFECTED SYSTEM COSTS FOR INTERCONNECTING THE PROPOSED SHAWBORO FACILITY
15		(AND OTHER GENERATORS) TO THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM OPERATED BY PJM.
16		THE RECORD NOW CONTAINS THE RECENTLY COMPLETED 2022 STUDIES FROM PJM AND
17		DEP DISCUSSED IN THE TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET FILED IN FEBRUARY, 2022.
18		SECOND, AFTER THE 2022 TESTIMONY WAS FILED IN THIS DOCKET, ANOTHER SOLAR
19		DEVELOPER AGREED WITH DEP TO AN ACCEPTABLE AFFECTED SYSTEM OPERATING
20		AGREEMENT ("AOSA") FOR THE CURRENT ESTIMATE OF THE RELEVANT UPGRADES TO
21		THE RELEVANT LINE ON THE DEP TRANSMISSION SYSTEM (\$150,000).
22		THE APPLICANT PREVIOUSLY AGREED TO CONDITIONS ON THE CPCN AS INITIALLY
23		DECLUDED BY THE DUBLIC STAFF. THE DREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED CONCERNS OF THE

PUBLIC STAFF ABOUT STUDIES AND AFFECTED SYSTEM UPGRADE COSTS HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED BY THE NEW 2022-VINTAGE STUDIES, AND THE FACT THAT A DEVELOPER HAS AGREED TO PAY DEP FOR ITS UPGRADES PURSUANT TO AN ACCEPTABLE AOSA ON FILE WITH THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION ("FERC") AND THIS COMMISSION.

BECAUSE THE NEW AOSA AND UPDATED STUDIES OF RECORD ADDRESS THE CONCERNS PREVIOUSLY RAISED BY THE PUBLIC STAFF, THE COMPANY AND APPLICANT RESPECTFULLY URGE THIS COMMISSION TO CONCLUDE THAT ALL THE INFORMATION NEEDED BY THE COMMISSION IS OF RECORD, AND TO CONSIDER AND GRANT THE APPLICATION FOR A CPCN, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

11

12

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

BACKGROUND SUMMARY

13 Q WERE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE APPLICATION AND SUPPORTING TESTIMONY BEFORE 14 LAST YEAR? 15 Α YES. THE APPLICATION OF SHAWBORO SOLAR WAS FILED ON JUNE 21, 2021. BEFORE 16 AND AFTER THAT DATE I HAVE BEEN RESPONSIBLE ON BEHALF OF THE COMPANY AND 17 ITS AFFILIATED PROJECT ENTITIES, INCLUDING SHAWBORO SOLAR, FOR APPLYING FOR 18 AND OBTAINING INTERCONNECTION AND TRANSMISSION SERVICES 19 TRANSMISSION PROVIDERS IN THE REGION, INCLUDING PJM, DEP, AND DUKE ENERGY 20 CAROLINAS LLC ("DEC"). I ALSO HAVE BEEN RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERSEEING THE 21 COMPANY'S PARTICIPATION IN AND/OR RESPONSE TO PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS OF 22 THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION ("FERC') THAT RELATE TO 23 TRANSMISSION AND/OR INTERCONNECTION ISSUES. AS A RESULT, I REVIEWED PARTS OF THE APPLICATION BEFORE IT WAS FILED AND PROVIDED MS NWADIKE WITH INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS FOR USE IN THE APPLICATION AND IN HER PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY RELATED TO INTERCONNECTION AND TRANSMISSION ISSUES. I ALSO PROVIDED INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS AFTERWARDS, WHEN HER REPLY TESTIMONY WAS SUBMITTED IN NOVEMBER, 2021, AND ALSO WHEN HER SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY WAS FILED IN FEBRUARY, 2022. WHEN MS NWADIKE LEFT THE COMPANY LAST YEAR FOR A NEW POSITION, I BECAME RESPONSIBLE FOR ALSO OVERSEEING AND MANAGIING THE PARTICIPATION OF SUNENERGY1 AND/OR ITS AFFILIATED ENTITIES IN THIS AND OTHER CPCN APPLICATION PROCEEDINGS PENDING BEFORE THIS COMMISSION.

Q

Α

SHAWBORO FACILITY AND THE STATUS OF THE APPLICANT'S INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS AT THE TIME OF THE FILING OF THE APPLICATION AND MS NWADIKE'S PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY.

IN JUNE 2021 THE PRIMARY POINT OF INTERCONNECTION PROPOSED FOR THE SHAWBORO FACILITY WAS AN INTERCONNECTION ON THE PJM/DOMINION ENERGY TRANSMISSION SYSTEM VIA A NEW THREE BREAKER RING BUS SWITCHING STATION CONNECTED TO THE SHAWBORO TO SLIGO 230 KV LINE #269, AT A POINT WITHIN THE NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL SERVICE TERRITORY OF DOMINION ENERGY NORTH CAROLINA ("DENC").

BEFORE THAT DATE AN APPLICATION FOR INTERCONNECTON OF THE PROPOSED

SHAWBORO FACILITY PREVIOUSLY HAD BEEN SUBMITTED AND THE FACILITY HAD BEEN

BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE PLANS FOR INTERCONNECTION OF THE PROPOSED

ASSIGNED PIM QUEUE POSITION AET-0/2. PIM COMPLETED A FEASIBILITY STUDY
REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED SHAWBORO FACILITY IN JANUARY, 2019, AND ALSO
COMPLETED A SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY REPORT IN AUGUST, 2019. I PROVIDED COPIES OF
BOTH REPORTS TO MS NWADIKE FOR ATTACHMENT AS EXHIBITS TO HER 2021 PREFILED
DIRECT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF THE APPLICATION.
THE 2019 PJM SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY IDENTIFIED AN OVERLOAD ON DOMINION/DENCS'
EVERETTS TO GREENVILLE 115 KV LINE #218 THAT PJM ESTIMATED WOULD CAUSE
UPGRADES ON ITS NETWORK AND ALSO AFFECTED SYSTEM COSTS ON THE DEP SIDE OF
THAT LINE. IN 2019 PJM ESTIMATED RECONDUCTERING, UPGRADES AND ADDITIONS FOR
ITS NETWORK (\$30,750,000), AND ALSO FORECAST DEP'S COSTS FOR AFFECTED SYSTEM
UPGRADES (THEN APPROXIMATELY \$8,500,000 MILLION).
PJM'S 2019 STUDY FORECAST THAT ZERO AFFECTED SYSTEM COSTS WOULD BE
ATTRIBUTED TO THE SHAWBORO FACILITY BY DEP, HOWEVER, DUE TO THE EXPECTATION
THAT THE DEP UPGRADES WOULD BE MADE EARLIER AT THE REQUEST OF OTHER
DEVELOPERS. PJM ANTICIPATED DURING 2019 THAT DEP WOULD UNDERTAKE AND
COMPLETE THE REQUIRED UPGRADES BASED ON SOME EARLIER INTERCONNECTION
REQUESTS BY SOME OTHER NEARBY SOLAR PROJECTS IN THE PJM/DENC SERVICE AREA.
THESE INCLUDED SOLAR PROJECTS IN PJM'S GROUP AD1, SUCH AS THE PROJECT OF
SUMAC SOLAR, LLC (PJM QUEUES AD1-022/023)("SUMAC") AND THE PROJECT OF
SWEETLEAF SOLAR LLC (PJM QUEUES AD1-056/057)(SWEETLEAF").
THE COMMISSION GRANTED A CPCN TO SUMAC FOR ITS PROJECT ON JANUARY 31, 2023,
IN DOCKET EMB 110 SLIB O SLIMAC IS THE SOLAR DEVELOPER THAT SIGNED THE AGSA

- 1 WITH DEP TO PAY DEP \$150,000 TO COMPLETE THE REQUIRED UPGRADES TO THE
 2 RELEVANT LINE OF DEP THAT THE FERC HAS ACCEPTED.
- TURNING TO DEP, DURING 2021 DID DEP ASSIGN COST RESPONSIBILTY TO THE

 PROPOSED SHAWBORO FACILITY FOR DEP'S ESTIMATE OF ITS COSTS FOR UPGRADING
- 5 THE RELEVANT LINE?
- 6 NO. THIS WAS CONFIRMED ON PAGE 6 OF THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PUBLIC STAFF A. 7 WITNESS LUCAS FILED OCTOBER 19, 2021. DEP CONFIRMED THAT NO RESPONSIBILITY 8 FOR ITS THEN-ESTIMATE OF \$10 MILLION OF AFFECTED SYSTEM COSTS FOR UPGRADING 9 THE RELEVANT DEP LINE HAD BEEN ATTRIBUTED TO THE SHAWBORO PROJECT. DEP ALSO 10 REPORTEDLY ADVISED THE PUBLIC STAFF THAT PJM WAS CONDUCTING "RETOOLED" 11 SYSTEM IMPACT STUDIES FOR EACH OF THE PROJECTS IN PJM'S AE1 GROUP, AND THAT 12 ONCE THOSE HAD BEEN RECEIVED, DEP INTENDED TO RESTUDY THE SYSTEM IMPACT OF 13 ALL THE ACTIVE PROJECTS IN PJM'S AE1 GROUP BASED ON THE RETOOLED PJM STUDIES. 14 MOREOVER, AS NOTED ON PAGES 1 AND 2 OF THE REPLY TESTIMONY OF MS NWADIKE 15 FILED ON NOVEMBER 4, 2021, THERE WERE TWO (2) ADDITIONAL PROJECTS IN EARLIER 16 PJM QUEUE POSITIONS THAN THE SHAWBORO FACILITY TO WHICH COST RESPONSIBILITY 17 COULD BE ATTRIBUTED. SO EVEN IF SUMAC AND SWEETLEAF ELECTED TO ABANDON 18 THEIR PROJECTS AND DROP OUT OF THE PJM AD1 QUEUE, COST RESPONSIBILITY FOR 19 THE UPGRADES TO DEP'S EVERRETTS TO GREENVILLE LINE WOULD NOT BE ATTRIBUTED 20 TO THE SHAWBORO FACILITY. ONE OF THESE OTHER TWO PROJECTS WAS THE 21 PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED SUMAC PROJECT, AND THE OTHER WAS A PROJECT BEING 22 DEVELOPED BY MACADAMIA SOLAR, LLC, THE APPLICANT IN THIS COMMISSION'S 23 DOCKET EMP-119, SUB 0 (PJM QUEUES AD1-074/075/076)("MACADAMIA"). THE

1		COMMISSION GRANTED A CPCN FOR THIS PROJECT TO MACADAMIA ON JANUARY 5,
2		2023.
3		
4	Q	DID PJM COMPLETE A RETOOLED STUDY OF THE PROPOSED SHAWBORO FACILITY AFTER
5		OCTOBER, 2021?
6	Α	YES. THAT "RETOOLED" PJM SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY FOR THE PROPOSED SHAWBORO
7		FACILITY WAS ATTACHED AS AN EXHIBIT TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF MS.
8		NWADIKE FILED FEBRUARY 3, 2022. THAT STUDY WAS ENTITLED "AE1-072 SHAWBORO-
9		SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY, REVISION 1, DATED JANUARY 2022" (THE "RETOOLED PJM 2022
10		STUDY"). THAT FACILITY-SPECIFIC PJM STUDY FOR THE PROPOSED SHAWBORO FACILITY
11		STATES AT PARAGRAPH 3 ON PAGE 4 THAT THE "REVISION IS BEING ISSUED TO
12		INCORPORATE RESULTS OF A RE-TOOL THAT WAS PERFORMED."
13		
14	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE IMPORT TO THE
15		APPLICANT OF THE RETOOLED PJM 2022 STUDY ATTACHED TO MS NWADIKE'S
16		SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY FILED FEBRUARY 3, 2022.
17	A.	THE RETOOLED PJM 2022 STUDY DRAMATICALLY REDUCED THE ESTIMATED COST OF
18		PJM'S NETWORK UPGRADES FROM \$30,812,444 TO \$2,328,614, WHICH IN TURN
19		REDUCED THE PROJECTED LEVELIZED COST OF TRANSMISSION FOR THE PROPOSED
20		SHAWBORO FACILITY FROM TO TO AS SHOWN ON EXHIBIT B TO THE
21		CONFIDENTIAL VERSION OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF MS. NWADIKE FILED
22		FEBRUARY 3, 2022.

23

2	Q.	WERE THE NETWORK COSTS FOR PJM AMONG THE CONCERNS OF THE PUBLIC STAFF
3		RAISED IN THE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WITNESS LUCAS FILED FEBRUARY 25,
4		2022?
5	A.	NO. THE PUBLIC STAFF WAS NOT CONCERNED ABOUT PJM'S NETWORK UPGRADE COSTS.
6		THE PUBLIC STAFF WAS CONCERNED ABOUT POTENTIAL AFFECTED SYSTEM COSTS ON
7		THE DEP SYSTEM. PUBLIC STAFF WITNESS LUCAS STATED THAT "[T]HE PUBLIC STAFF IS
8		NOT CONCERNED ABOUT DENC'S PORTION OF THE EVERETTS-GREENVILLE LINE, THE
9		COSTS OF WHICH SHAWBORO WILL PAY. RATHER, THE PUBLIC STAFF IS CONCERNED
10		ABOUT THE AFFECTED SYSTEM UPGRADES ON DEP'S PORTION OF THIS LINE THAT COULD
11		ULTIMATELY BE PAID FOR BY DEP'S CUSTOMERS." SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF JAY B.
12		LUCAS, FEBRUARY 25, 2022, P. 6.
13		THE PUBLIC STAFF APPEARED PRIMARILY CONCERNED THAT A NEW SYSTEM STUDY
14		BEING DEVELOPED BY DEP OF THE PJM AE1 STUDY GROUP COULD IDENTIFY AND ASSIGN
15		TO THE PROPOSED SHAWBORO FACILITY AFFECTED SYSTEM COSTS FOR UPGRADES TO
16		THE EVERETTS-GREENVILLE LINE ON DEP'S TRANSMISSION SYSEM. ACCORDING TO
17		WITNESS LUCAS, AT THAT TIME PJM ESTIMATED THAT DEP'S UPGRADE COSTS WOULD BE
18		ABOUT \$8,500,000, AND DEP ESTIMATED THAT ITS AFFECTED SYSTEM COSTS WOULD BE
19		ABOUT \$10,000,000.
20		DUE TO THEN ON-GOING PROCEEDINGS AT THE FERC, HOWEVER, THE PUBLIC STAFF
21		BELIEVED AT THAT TIME THAT THERE WAS AN UNACCEPTABLE RISK THAT DEP'S
22		UPGRADE COSTS, EVEN IF PAID INITIALLY BY THE APPLICANT OR ANOTHER MERCHANT
23		PLANT PROJECT DEVELOPER, COULD BECOME SUBJECT TO REIMBURSEMENT, SUCH THAT

1

COST RESPONSIBILITY WOULD OR COULD SHIFT TO DEP'S NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL RATEPAYERS. BASED ON SUCH CONCERNS, THE PUBLIC STAFF ASKED ON PAGES 7 & 8 OF THAT TESIMONY THAT THE COMMISSION HOLD OPEN THIS DOCKET UNTIL DUKE AND PJM COMPLETED NEW SYSTEM STUDIES OF THE PROJECTS IN THE AE1 GROUP, AND AFTER BOTH NEW STUDIES WERE FILED WITH THE COMMISSION.

2022-VINTAGE STUDIES FROM BOTH PJM AND DEP ARE NOW OF RECORD. SUNENERGY1 AND SHAWBORO SOLAR DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE COMMISSION SHOULD FURTHER DELAY DISPOSITION OF THE APPLICATION IN THIS DOCKET UNTIL SOME INDEFINITE DATE IN THE FUTURE WHEN PJM POSSIBLY MAY ISSUE A 2ND REVISION OF THE INITIAL 2019 SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY RELATED TO THE SHAWBORO FACILITY.

Q

A.

DEVELOPMENTS SINCE FEBRUARY, 2022

HAS DEP COMPLETED ITS NEW SYSTEM STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF PROPOSED PROJECTS IN PJM'S AE1 QUEUE GROUP?

YES. THE DEP TRANSMISSION DEPARTMENT ISSUED A REPORT ENTITLED "GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM STUDY REPORT- PJM INTERCONNECTION CLUSTER AE1"

DATED DECEMBER 27, 2022. A COPY OF THAT REPORT WAS FILED WITH THIS COMMISSION ON JANUARY 20, 2023, PURSUANT TO THE COMMISSION'S ORDER IN THIS DOCKET DATED NOVEMBER 1, 2022 ("THE DECEMBER 2022 DEP SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY"). THAT REPORT ASSIGNED ZERO COSTS TO THE SHAWBORO FACILTY FOR AFFECTED SYSTEM OR CONTINGENT UPGRADES ON THE DEP SYSTEM. BASED ON THE REDUCTION OF DEP'S COSTS IN DEP'S NEW 2022 STUDY, THE LCOT FOR THE PROPOSED

1		SHAWBORO FACILITY DECREASED FROM TO TO AS SHOWN IN THE ATTACHED
2		CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT B.
3	Q	THE SECOND PAGE OF THAT COMMISSION ORDER FROM LAST NOVEMBER REFERS TO A
4		RECOMMENDATION OF THE PUBLIC STAFF ABOUT "PJM RETOOLING CLUSTERS AE1
5		AND AE2", AND DIRECTS THE PARTIES HERE TO "FILE ALL FINAL REPORTS FROM "THE
6		RETOOLING OF THE PJM AE1 CLUSTER". DOES SUNENERGY1 OR THE APPLICANT
7		UNDERSTAND THAT PJM INTENDS TO PREPARE A SINGLE SYSTEM STUDY REPORT THAT
8		INCLUDES ALL ACTIVE PROJECTS IN PJM'S AE1 QUEUE GROUP?
9	A.	NO. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT PJM'S PRACTICE FOR ISSUING STUDIES OF PROPOSED
10		PROJECTS OF THIS VINTAGE IS LIMITED TO PROJECT-SPECIFIC REPORTS. THE PHRASING
11		USED MAKES IT SOUND LIKE PJM INTENDED TO CONDUCT DURING 2022 A SINGLE STUDY
12		THAT INCLUDED ALL OF THE PRPOSED PROJECTS THAT PJM HAD INCLUDED IN ITS AE1
13		STUDY GROUP. ALTHOUGH DEP INCLUDES A "CLUSTER" OR GROUP OF PROJECTS IN ITS
14		AFFECTED SYSTEM STUIDIES FOR PJM'S AE1 STUDY GROUP, PJM DID NOT CONDUCT
15		STUDIES OF GROUPS OR CLUSTERS FOR THE PROJECTS IN THESE EARLIER QUEUE
16		GROUPS.
17		IT WAS AND IS THE UNDERSTANDING OF SUNENERGY1 AND SHAWBORO SOLAR THAT
18		PJM, INSTEAD, INTENDED DURING 2022 TO "RETOOL" SEPARATELY FOR EACH OF THE
19		AE1 PROJECTS A SINGLE PROJECT-SPECIFIC SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY. AFTER PJM
20		DELIVERED ALL OF THOSE COMPLETED PROJECT-SPECIFIC SYSTEM IMPACT STUDIES FOR
21		EACH OF THE PROJECTS IN THE PJM AE1 STUDY GROUP TO DEP, DEP INTENDED TO
22		PRODUCE A SINGLE 2022 STUDY THAT INCLUDED ALL OF THE ACTIVE PROJECTS IN PJM'S
23		AE1 STUDY GROUP.

1		THIS UNDERSTANDING OF PJM'S PRACTICES IS CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEMENT ON
2		PAGE 2 OF THE DECEMBER 2022 DEP SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY THAT "[T]HIS REPORT IS
3		BASED ON THE PJM AE1 RETOOLING WORK AND REPORTS THROUGH MAY 2022."
4		(EMPHASIS ADDED).
5		
6	Q	WHAT DO YOU UNDERSTAND WAS THE PJM-ISSUED REPORT THAT DEP USED AS THE
7		"RETOOLED" PJM REPORT FOR THE SHAWBORO PROJECT IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THE
8		DECEMBER 2022 DEP SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY?
9		IT REMAINS THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY AND THE APPLICANT THAT DEP
10		USED THE 2022 REVISION 1 OF PJM'S ORIGINAL SYSTEM IMPACT REPORT FOR THE
11		SHAWBORO FACILITY. THAT IS, TO COMPLETE THE 2022 SYSTEM STUDY THAT INCLUDED
12		THE SHAWBORO FACILITY, DEP USED THE RETOOLED PJM 2022 STUDY THAT PREVIOUSLY
13		WAS FILED OF RECORD WITH THIS COMMISSION ON FEBRUARY 3, 2022.
14		
15	Q	IS THE RETOOLED PJM 2002 STUDY LIKELY TO BE THE LAST RETOOLING BY PJM OF ITS
16		PROJECT-SPECIFIC REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SHAWBORO FACILITY?
17	A.	NO. BUT THE COMPANY AND SHAWBORO SOLAR RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT THAT THE
18		STUDIES ALREADY OF RECORD ARE SUFFICIENT FOR THE COMMISSION TO PROCEED
19		IMMEDIATELY TO DISPOSITOIN AND TO FIND AND CONCLUDE THAT THE REQUESTED
20		CPCN SHOULD BE ISSUED TO THE APPLICANT, SUBJECT TO THE PREVIOUSLY AGREED
21		UPON CONDITIONS.
22		AS WAS EXPLAINED IN MS NWADIKE'S 2021 REPLY TESTIMONY, THE STUDY PROCESS IS
23		ITERATIVE AND ON-GOING BY NATURE. HOWEVER, ONCE ENOUGH INFORMATION AND

1		FORECASTS BECOME AVAILABLE TO MAKE A DECISION, THE COMMISSION CAN PROCEED
2		TO DISPOSITION. THE COMMISSION RECENTLY HAS ISSUED CPCNS FOR PROJECTS OF
3		OTHER SOLAR DEVELOPERS WITH PROJECTS IN THE REGION WHO HAVE A PROJECT
4		INCLUDED WITHIN THE PJM STUDY GROUP AD1. AT SOME FUTURE TIME PJM WILL LIKELY
5		ISSUE RETOOLED PROJECT-SPECIFIC REPORTS FOR ANY UNCOMPLETED PROJECTS IN
6		PJM'S QUEUE AD1, BUT THAT LIKELIHOOD DID NOT PRECLUDE DISPOSTION OF THE CPCN
7		APPLICATIONS FOR SUMAC OR MACADAMIA.
8		THE COMPANY AND THE APPLICANT BELIEVE THAT THE COMMISSION LIKEWISE SHOULD
9		PROCEED TO DISPOSITION IN THIS DOCKET AND ISSUE THE REQUESTED CPCN. UNDER
10		THE COMMISSION'S RULES, FOLLOWING ISSUANCE OF A CPCN THE APPLICANT WILL
11		HAVE TO PROVIDE CONSTRUCTION REPORTS, AND ALSO MUST PROVIDE UPDATES FOR
12		CHANGES IN MATERIAL INFORMATION IN ITS APPLICATION. SUNENERGY1 AND THE
13		APPLICANT RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT THAT THE APPLICATION OF SHAWBORO SOLAR
14		SHOULD BE GRANTED, AND A CPCN, WITH CONDITIONS, SHOULD BE ISSUED.
15		
16	Q.	ARE THERE ANY OTHER FACTORS THAT SUNERGY1 OR THE APPLICANT WOULD ASK THAT
17		THE COMMISSION CONSIDER?
18	A.	YES. THE APPLICANT HAS EXPENDED SUBSTANTIAL TIME AND RESOURCES AND
19		INCURRED OBLIGATIONS TO DEVELOP THE PROPOSED SHAWBORO FACILITY TO ITS
20		PRESENT STATUS. THE APPLICATION HAS BEEN PENDING SINCE 2021. OTHER
21		DEVELOPERS WITH NEARBY PROJECTS ARE BEING GRANTED CPCNS TO COMMENCE
22		CONSTRUCTION. IT WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT AND PREJUDICE THE APPLICANT, THE
23		PROJECT AND THE LOCAL COMMUNITY, TO FURTHER DELAY THE COMMENCEMENT OF

Testimony of Rob Price Docket EMP-117, Sub 0 Page 13

1		CONSTRUCTION OF THE SHAWBORO FACILITY DURING 2023 IN ORDER TO RECEIVE
2		ANOTEHR STUDY FROM PJM.
3	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
4	A.	YES.
5		
6		[END OF SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY]
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

Testimony of Rob Price Docket EMP-117, Sub 0 Page 14

1 2 3 STATE OF CONNECTICUT 4 5 6 7 **COUNTY OF FAIRFIELD VERIFICATION** 8 9 I, ROB PRICE, being first duly sworn, depose and say that I am duly authorized to act on 10 behalf of the Applicant, Shawboro East Ridge Solar, LLC, as Vice President Of Transmission And 11 Interconnection for SunEnergy1, LLC, the controlling member and affiliate of that Applicant; 12 that I have read the foregoing Supplemental Testimony, and that the same is true and accurate 13 to my personal knowledge and belief except where otherwise indicated, and in those instances, 14 I believe my answers to be true. This 14 day of February, 2023. 15 16 17 18 Rob Price 19 SunEnergy1, LLC 20 21 22 Sworn to and subscribed to before me 23 day of February, 2023. 24 25 (Seal) 26 ⊉ublic (Signature) Notary 27 28 29 Notary Public (Printed) 30 Jennifer Leonardo 31 Notary Public, State of Connecticut My Commission Expires: 32 My Commission Expires 11/30/2027

EXHIBIT

A

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

Rob Price

I graduated from Queen's University in 1988, earning a Bachelor of Applied Science in Electrical Engineering. Afterwards, I spent 10 years working as an engineer and project manager for electrical equipment manufacturers. I then completed my Master's in Business Administration at Villanova University in 1999. After completing my graduate degree, I worked as an engineer and project manager in the transmission and distribution division of Exelon for 5 years. In 2005, I moved to PJM and held different positions in the Planning Division related to generation interconnection and new transmission infrastructure projects for the next 14 years. I joined SunEnergy1 in 2019 as VP of Transmission and Interconnection where I lead the interconnection team which guides projects from inception through interconnection studies and construction coordination with Utilities and RTO's. My responsibilities include the coordination of land acquisition, engineering, and environmental and regulatory activities for all projects.

ORIGINAL LCOT AE1-072-9-27-21

	EXHIBIT
tabbies*	$\mathcal{B}_{}$

LCOT - Shawboro			All of AE1	Facility Only	
		Year	MWh output	MWh output	
Discount Rate 4.40%	4.40%	1	-	365,423	
Transmission Asset Life 60 years	60	2	-	363,596	
Annual Degradation 0.50%*	0.50%	3	-	361,778	
-		4	-	359,969	
Original LCOT Calculation for AE1 Cluster*		5	-	358,170	
Nameplate MWAC)	150	6	-	356,379	
First Year Capacity Factor		7	-	354,597	
Degradation (%/yr)		8	-	352,824	
Facility Life (yrs)		9	-	351,060	
Transmission Asset Life (yrs)		10	-	349,304	
Year 1 generation (MWh)	-	11	-	347,558	
Total generation (MWh)	-	12	-	345,820	
Network Upgrades		13	-	344,091	
\$/MWh	#DIV/0!	14	-	342,371	
\$/kW	0.00	15	-	340,659	
		16	-	338,955	
.COT (\$/MWh)	#DIV/0!	17	-	337,261	
		18	-	335,574	
.COT Calculation for Facility only		19	-	333,896	entre control de la control de
Nameplate MWAC)	150	20	-	332,227	
First Year Capacity Factor	27.81%	21	-	330,566	
Degradation (%/yr)	0.50%	22	- 1	328,913	
Facility Life (yrs)	40	23	- 1	327,268	***************************************
Fransmission Asset Life (yrs)	60	24	-	325,632	
Annual generation (MWh)	730,810	25	-	324,004	
Total generation (MWh)	13,278,016	26	-	322,384	
JM Network Upgrades	38,112,444	27	-	320,772	
DEP Affected System Network Upgrades	8,500,000	28	-	319,168	
otal Network Upgrades	46,612,444	29	-	317,572	
5/MWh	3.51	30	-	315,984	
5/kW	310.75	31	-	314,405	
		32	-	312,832	
COT (\$/MWh)		33	-	311,268	
		34	-	309,712	***************************************
		35	-	308,163	And the second of the second o
		36	-	306,623	
		37	-	305,089	
		38	-	303,564	r-
		39	-	302,046	
		40	-	300,536	
			-	13,278,016	

LCOT - Shawboro			All of AE1	Facility Only
		Year	MWh output	MWh output
Discount Rate 4.40%	4.40%	1	-	365,423
Transmission Asset Life 60 years	60	2	-	363,596
Annual Degradation 0.50%*	0.50%	3	-	361,778
		4	-	359,969
Original LCOT Calculation for AE1 Cluster*		5	-	358,170
Nameplate MWAC)	150	6	-	356,379
First Year Capacity Factor		7	-	354,597
Degradation (%/yr)		8	-	352,824
Facility Life (yrs)		9	-	351,060
Transmission Asset Life (yrs)		10	-	349,304
Year 1 generation (MWh)	-	11	-	347,558
Total generation (MWh)	-	12	-	345,820
Network Upgrades		13	-	344,091
\$/MWh	#DIV/0!	14	-	342,371
\$/kW	0.00	15	-	340,659
		16	-	338,955
LCOT (\$/MWh)	#DIV/0!	17	-	337,261
		18	-	335,574
LCOT Calculation for Facility only		19	-	333,896
Nameplate MWAC)	150	20	-	332,227
First Year Capacity Factor	27.81%	21	-	330,566
Degradation (%/yr)	0.50%	22	-	328,913
Facility Life (yrs)	40	23	-	327,268
Transmission Asset Life (yrs)	60	24	-	325,632
Annual generation (MWh)	730,810	25	-	324,004
Total generation (MWh)	13,278,016	26	-	322,384
PJM Network Upgrades	9,428,614	27	-	320,772
DEP Affected System Network Upgrades	10,000,000	28	-	319,168
Total Network Upgrades	19,428,614	29	-	317,572
\$/MWh	1.46	30	-	315,984
\$/kW	129.52	31	-	314,405
		32	-	312,832
LCOT (\$/MWh)		33	-	311,268
		34	-	309,712
		35	-	308,163
		36	-	306,623
		37	-	305,089
		38	-	303,564
		39	-	302,046
		40	-	300,536
			-	13,278,016

*If expected annual degradation is not 0.5%, enter the degradation averaged over the life of the facility, even if degradation is not expected to be linear

	Year	MWh output	MWh output
4.40%	1	-	365,423
60	2	-	363,596
0.50%	3	-	361,778
	4	-	359,969
	5	-	358,170
150	6	-	356,379
	7	-	354,597
	8	-	352,824
	9	-	351,060
	10	-	349,304
-	11	-	347,558
-	12	-	345,820
	13	-	344,091
#DIV/0!	14	-	342,371
0.00	15	-	340,659
	16	-	338,955
#DIV/0!	17	-	337,261
	18	-	335,574
	19	-	333,896
150	20	-	332,227
27.81%	21	-	330,566
0.50%	22	-	328,913
40	23	-	327,268
60	24	-	325,632
730,810	25	-	324,004
	26	-	322,384
	27	-	320,772
	28	-	319,168
9,628,614	29	-	317,572
0.73	30	-	315,984
64.19	31	-	314,405
	32	-	312,832
	33	-	311,268
	34	-	309,712
	35	-	308,163
	36	-	306,623
	37	-	305,089
	38	-	303,564
	39	-	302,046
	40	-	300,536
	-	-	13,278,016
			-,-: 3,0=0
	60 0.50% 150 - - - #DIV/0! 0.00 #DIV/0! 150 27.81% 0.50% 40 60 730,810 13,278,016 9,628,614 9,628,614	60 2 0.50% 3 4 5 150 6 7 8 8 9 10 - 11 - 12 13 #DIV/0! 14 0.00 15 16 #DIV/0! 17 18 18 19 150 20 27.81% 21 0.50% 22 40 23 60 24 730,810 25 13,278,016 26 9,628,614 27 28 9,628,614 27 28 9,628,614 29 0.73 30 64.19 31 32 33 34 34 35 36 37 38	0.50% 3 - 0.50% 3 - 0.50% 3 - 0.50% 3 - 0.50% 5 - 0.50%

*If expected annual degradation is not 0.5%, enter the degradation averaged over the life of the facility, even if degradation is not expected to be linear