

BEFORE THE  
NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC.

DOCKET NO. G-5, SUB 632  
DOCKET NO. G-5, SUB 634

SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY  
OF  
JAMES A. SPAULDING

OCTOBER 15, 2021

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND POSITION.

2 A. My name is James A. Spaulding. My business address is 800 Gaston Road,  
3 Gastonia, North Carolina 28056. I am employed by Dominion Energy Services,  
4 Inc., a subsidiary of Dominion Energy, Inc. (“DEI”), as Manager – Financial &  
5 Business Services for Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc., doing  
6 business as Dominion Energy North Carolina (“PSNC” or the “Company”).

7 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME JAMES A. SPAULDING WHO PROVIDED  
8 DIRECT, SUPPLEMENTAL, AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS  
9 PROCEEDING?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY IN  
12 THIS PROCEEDING?

13 A. The purpose of my settlement testimony is to explain economic adjustments to  
14 the Company’s application as reflected in the Stipulation of Settlement  
15 (“Stipulation”) between PSNC, the Public Staff-North Carolina Utilities  
16 Commission, Carolina Utility Customers Association, Inc., and Evergreen  
17 Packaging, LLC (collectively, the “Stipulating Parties”).

18 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE ADJUSTMENTS TO PSNC’S REVENUE  
19 REQUIREMENT AS AGREED TO IN THE STIPULATION.

20 A. Exhibit A to the Stipulation shows the adjustments agreed to by the Stipulating  
21 Parties to the revenue requirement proposed in the Company’s supplemental  
22 testimony and exhibits filed on August 10, 2021, which reflected updates as of  
23 June 30, 2021. The adjustments included:

- 1           • Capital Structure and Cost of Capital: The Stipulating Parties agreed
- 2           that the appropriate capital structure for use in this proceeding consists
- 3           of 51.60% common equity, 47.06% long-term debt, and 1.34% short-
- 4           term debt. The agreed cost of long-term debt is 4.48% and the agreed
- 5           cost of short-term debt is 0.25%. The agreed return on common equity
- 6           appropriate for use in this proceeding is 9.60%.
- 7           • Fixed Gas Costs Apportionment Percentages: The Stipulating Parties
- 8           agreed that it is appropriate to use the fixed gas costs apportionment
- 9           percentages presented in Exhibit D to the Stipulation.
- 10          • Customer Usage Tracker Factors: The Stipulating Parties agreed that it
- 11          is appropriate to utilize the “R” values, heat load factors, and base load
- 12          factors as set forth in Exhibit E to the Stipulation.
- 13          • Depreciation: The Stipulating Parties agreed that effective November
- 14          1, 2021, PSNC will adopt the depreciation rates reflected in the
- 15          depreciation study filed with and supported by the testimony of
- 16          Company witness John J. Spanos.
- 17          • Amortization of Deferred Assets: The Stipulating Parties agreed that it
- 18          is appropriate to amortize and allow recovery of \$67,903,061, in
- 19          deferred transmission integrity management program operations and
- 20          maintenance (“O&M”) costs, which reflect actual deferred expenses
- 21          through June 30, 2021, net of regulatory amortizations through October
- 22          31, 2021, over a four-year period beginning with the effective date of
- 23          rates in this proceeding. For deferred distribution integrity management

1 O&M costs, the Stipulating Parties agreed that it is appropriate to  
2 amortize and allow recovery of \$38,116,252, which reflects actual  
3 deferred expenses through June 30, 2021, net of regulatory  
4 amortizations through October 31, 2021, over a four-year period  
5 beginning with the effective date of rates in this proceeding.

6 • Employee Compensation: The Stipulating Parties agreed to reduce the  
7 DEI Board of Directors expenses allocated to PSNC. The Stipulating  
8 Parties also agreed to downward adjustments for payroll, pension and  
9 other benefits, employee benefits, executive compensation, and  
10 incentives.

11 • Rate Case Expenses: The Stipulating Parties agreed that for purposes  
12 of this proceeding, it is appropriate to use an updated rate case expense  
13 and agreed to a reduction of rate case expense, which the Stipulating  
14 Parties further agreed should be amortized and collected over a three-  
15 year period beginning with the effective date of rates in this proceeding.

16 • Uncollectibles: The Stipulating Parties agreed that the revenue  
17 requirement presented in the Stipulation reflects a downward  
18 adjustment in the amount of non-gas cost uncollectibles expense after  
19 applying the non-gas cost uncollectibles ratio to the pro forma revenues,  
20 which results in an increase to O&M expenses. The Stipulating Parties  
21 also agree to reflect the non-gas cost uncollectibles ratio of 0.1532% in  
22 the revenue requirement retention factor used to compute the amount of  
23 the rate increase.

- 1           • Other Operating Revenues: The Stipulating Parties agreed to use in the  
2           cost of service computation an increased level of pro forma other  
3           operating revenues.
- 4           • Non-Utility Adjustment: The Stipulating Parties agreed upon an  
5           adjustment attributable to non-utility operations.
- 6           • Miscellaneous Expense Adjustments: The Stipulating Parties agreed to  
7           downward adjustments to the following additional areas of PSNC's  
8           O&M expenses: Advertising; Lobbying; Service Company Costs;  
9           Sponsorships and Donations; Inflation; Research and Development  
10          Costs; Special Contracts Adjustment; and Interest on Customer  
11          Deposits.

12 Q.      WHAT DID THE STIPULATING PARTIES AGREE TO REGARDING THE  
13      COMPANY'S LEGAL FEES FOR THE 2019 DURHAM INCIDENT?

14 A.      The Stipulating Parties agreed to defer for recovery in the Company's next  
15      general rate case legal expenses incurred on or after January 1, 2020, relating  
16      to the 2019 Durham incident, offset by any insurance proceeds related to the  
17      incident.

18 Q.      ARE THE ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUES AND RATES PROPOSED IN  
19      THE STIPULATION FAIR, JUST, AND REASONABLE?

20 A.      Yes. The revenues and rates agreed to as part of the Stipulation were the  
21      product of give and take negotiations between the Stipulating Parties. Each  
22      party analyzed the settlement terms, revenues, and rates and concluded they  
23      were reasonable for purposes of settling this proceeding. The settlement rates

1           are also significantly lower in comparison to PSNC's proposed rates in this  
2           proceeding.

3    Q.    DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY?

4    A.    Yes, it does.