PLACE: Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina

DATE: Tuesday, October 11, 2022

TIME: 9:30 a.m. - 12:08 p.m.

DOCKET NO: A-41, Sub 21

BEFORE: Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Presiding

Commissioner Daniel G. Clodfelter

Commissioner Kimberly W. Duffley

Commissioner Jeffrey A. Hughes

Commissioner Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.

IN THE MATTER OF:

Village of Bald Head Island,

Complainant

V.

Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc., and Bald Head Island Limited, LLC,

Respondents

Volume 2

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	VILLAGE OF BALD HEAD ISLAND:	
3	Marcus Trathen, Esq.	
4	Craig Schauer, Esq.	
5	Amanda Hawkins, Esq.	
6	Brooks Pierce McLendon Humphrey & Leonard, LLP	
7	Wells Fargo Capitol Center	
8	150 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1700	
9	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601	
10		
11	Jo Anne Sanford, Esq.	
12	Sanford Law Office, PLLC	
13	721 North Bloodworth Street	
14	Raleigh, North Carolina 27604	
15		
16	FOR BALD HEAD ISLAND TRANSPORTATION, INC. And	
17	BALD HEAD ISLAND LIMITED, LLC:	
18	M. Gray Styers, Jr., Esq.	
19	Bradley M. Risinger, Esq.	
20	Fox Rothschild LLP	
21	434 Fayetteville Street, Suite 2800	
22	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601	
23		
24		

1	APPEARANCES Cont'd.:
2	FOR SharpVue CAPITAL, LLC:
3	David Ferrell, Esq.
4	Nexsen Pruet, PLLC
5	4141 Parklake Avenue, Suite 200
6	Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
7	
8	FOR BALD HEAD ISLAND CLUB:
9	Daniel C. Higgins, Esq.
10	Burns, Day & Presnell, P.A.
11	Post Office Box 10867
12	Raleigh, North Carolina 27605
13	
14	FOR BALD HEAD ISLAND ASSOCIATION:
15	Edward S. Finley, Jr., Esq.
16	Edward S. Finley, Jr., PLLC
17	2024 White Oak Road
18	Raleigh, North Carolina 27608
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2	EXAMINATIONS				
3	KEVIN O'DONNELL	PAGE			
4	Continued Cross Examination By Mr. Styers	6			
5	Redirect Examination By Mr. Schauer:	11			
6	Examination By Commissioner Brown-Bland	19			
7	SCOTT GARDNER	PAGE			
8	Direct Examination By Mr. Trathen	24			
9	Prefiled Direct Testimony of Scott Gardner	26			
10	Prefiled Summary Testimony of Scott Gardner	41			
11	Cross Examination By Mr. Higgins 44				
12	Cross Examination By Mr. Risinger 52				
13	Redirect Examination By Mr. Trathen	90			
14	Examination By Commissioner Clodfelter	119			
15	Examination By Commissioner Duffley	124			
16	Examination By Commissioner McKissick	126			
17	Examination By Commissioner Duffley	137			
18	Examination By Commissioner Brown-Bland	137			
19	Examination By Mr. Trathen	140			
20	Examination By Mr. Higgins	141			
21					
22					
23					
24					

1	EXHIBITS
2	IDENTIFIED/ADMITTED
3	KWO Redirect Exhibit 1 13/22
4	KWO Cross Examination Exhibit/22 Numbers 1 through 6
5	Exhibits KWO 1 and 2/23
6	
7	Rebuttal KWO Exhibits 1 and 2/23
8	Exhibits STG-1, STG-2, STG-2.1, 25/143 STG-2.2, and STG-2.3
9	STG Cross Examination Exhibits 1 60/142 and 2
LO	STG Cross Examination Exhibit 3 70/142
L1	STG Redirect Exhibit 1
L2	SIG REGILECT EXHIBIT I
L3	STG Redirect Exhibit 2 108/143
L4	STG Redirect Exhibit 3 113/143
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

3 S

2 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good morning. 3 Let's come back to order and go on the record. left off -- if the witness would return to the 4 witness stand. That would be witness O'Donnell. 5 6 He remains under oath, and we left off with cross 7 examination. And it had been represented, we were near the end. But, in the meantime, before we 8 9 begin, anything else come up that needs to come to the commission's attention? 10 11 MR. SCHAUER: No. 12 MR. STYERS: No. Not -- not at this 13 time. 14 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. 15 Give Mr. O'Donnell a minute to get settled. You 16 ready? 17 Yes, ma'am. THE WITNESS: 18 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. 19 Whereupon, 20 KEVIN O'DONNELL, 21 having previously been duly sworn, was examined 22 and testified as follows: 23 CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. STYERS: 24 Thank you. Mr. O'Donnell, we established O.

yesterday, at the very end of the day and during our discussion, that return on investment is a ratio of net operating income over rate base, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So while we disagree on the number, we agreed that the number and the denominator for rate -- for rate base will affect the ROI calculation, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, also, we established yesterday in our conversation that you are not aware of SharpVue's other investment opportunities.
  - A. No, I'm not.
- Q. But you are, in general, familiar that private equity firms invest monies of their investors' for purchasing an interest in privately held companies; that's your understanding of what a private equity firm does, correct?
  - A. I've dealt with a few, yes.
- Q. Okay. So, in doing so, a private equity
  firm, you know, evaluates opportunities in the
  marketplace of potential investments where they can
  place their investors' money; you'd agree with that?
- 23 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And it will seek returns on its

- 1 investments among opportunities in that marketplace?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So for it to invest in a company for its investors, it needs to earn a risk adjusted return on the amount it invests compared to other market opportunities in making its decisions.
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, let me shift for a second now to KWA [sic] Cross Exhibit Number 5.
- 10 A. I've got it.
- Q. Okay. And turn to page 12. And This is the rate case order in Docket Number A-41, Sub 7. And on page 12 there is a paragraph 5 that looks labeled; open parenthesis, 5, close parenthesis, referencing stipulation section 7B. Do you have that?
  - A. Yes. I do.
- Q. So this -- it says this section establishes a requirement for a future audit by the Public Staff; is that --
- 20 A. Yeah.

- Q. -- what's -- to be commenced on the earlier
  of six years from the entry of the approval order. And
  then it goes on from there?
- 24 Is that --

1 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2 Q. -- basically, what the order states?

And the order date's actually, if you're

4 looking at the very last page, is December of 2010.

- A. Correct.
- Q. So we had a discussion yesterday, and some questions and answers, and were in agreement about the concept of rate shock.

Do you remember that discussion?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you are familiar that some -- with the concept, and we agreed that rate shock -- the concern about rate shock sometimes will keep a company from earning what otherwise a rate of return might indicate was a reasonable return?
  - A. Or they -- or they can find other ways to satisfy those requirements, like in a deferred account.
    - Q. Or coming in for another rate case?
  - A. Most -- most likely deferred account, but yeah, they could do it file another rate case too.
    - Q. Yeah. Okay.

22 (Pause.)

Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether the Public Staff ever performed the audit, as set forth in

the order -- rate case order in that paragraph?

- A. It's my understanding that they did not.

  That there was some possible legislation that may come up during that time frame, and that that audit was never performed.
  - Q. Are you aware that there were meetings in 2016 about whether the Public Staff would move forward with this audit?
  - A. Not firsthand. I mean, I've heard that there was a lot of debate as to whether or not there was gonna be an audit, but this is what I've heard.
  - Q. But in light of Senate Bill 391, the legislation you just referred to in your previous answer, but, to your knowledge, the audit was never performed?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So you have no knowledge of the conversations between Bald Head Island Transportation and Public Staff; that Public Staff would not do the audit because of the pending legislation and the pending sale to Bald Head Island Transportation Authority?
- A. What you just said is news to me. I don't know anything about that.

```
1
                    MR. STYERS: I have no further
         questions. That concludes my cross examination.
 2
 3
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                                Thank you,
 4
         Mr. Styers.
                    Redirect?
 5
 6
                    MR. SCHAUER:
                                  Thank you.
 7
     REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHAUER:
               Mr. O'Donnell, do you have KWO Cross
 8
         Ο.
     Examination Exhibit Number 4 with you?
 9
               I think I can find it.
10
         Α.
11
               (Witness peruses document.)
12
               Yes, I do.
13
               Do you recall yesterday when Mr. Styers asked
         Ο.
     you about the rate base calculation in this exhibit?
14
15
         Α.
               Yes.
16
         Q.
               And I believe you acknowledged that the
     figure on line 7, on the far right, of $3,943,335, did
17
     not include the parking assets within rate base; is
18
     that correct?
19
20
         Α.
               That's correct.
21
         Q.
               Okay. I'd like you to look at another figure
     in this exhibit.
22
23
               Can you look at column D, and do you see the
     figure of $523,097?
24
```

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain your understanding of that exhibit -- of that figure?
- A. So that number came from Public Staff
  employee Jim Horde, where he did a calculation where,
  in essence, he took a levelized approach of -regulatory ratemaking levelized approach, and figured
  out what the revenue requirement would be for parking,
  had it been regulated. And he took the actual number
  and subtracted the two. And that result was \$523,097.
  And then -- so then that was placed as a credit towards
  the ferry.

So, in essence, he took -- looked at a semi-regulatory parking number and found there were some earnings, some excess earnings that would have occurred had the parking been regulated under a levelized approach, and he moved those revenues over into the regulated ferry department.

- Q. As part of this proceeding, did you have an opportunity to examine the Public Staff's work papers from the 2010 rate case?
- A. I did.
- Q. And was one of those work papers an Excel workbook titled "Settlement Parking Adjustment"?

1 Α. Yes. All right. 2 Q. 3 MR. SCHAUER: If I may approach the 4 witness? 5 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You may. 6 (Pause.) 7 MR. SCHAUER: COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND, if we could, I believe this exhibit would be marked 8 KWO Redirect Exhibit 1. 9 10 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: It would be, so identified, yes. 11 12 MR. SCHAUER: Thank you. 13 (KWO Redirect Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.) 14 15 All right. Mr. O'Donnell, do you recall Ο. reviewing the document that I just handed you? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 All right. And can you explain the first Q. page of this document to the Commissioners? 19 20 The first page is the sum of the revenues Α. 21 that Mr. Horde had developed of the annual pass 22 revenues, daily park revenues, actual park revenues. 23 So he came down to an actual number here of \$1,959,230. 24 And then what he did, over on the third page of this

- document is where the -- what I refer to as the ratemaking revenue requirement comes in and --
  - Q. So, Mr. -- Mr. O'Donnell, if I can interrupt.

    Could you just make sure we're all looking at the same

    page, when you say third page?
  - A. I'm sorry, yeah. It's entitled, on the left-hand corner, "Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc., Deep Point Parking Facility Computation of Levelized Payment." it's the third page in this material that you passed out. It's got a lot of columns to it, a lot of numbers.

And so what he did in this is, you can see, the first set of columns he labeled as rate base treatment of facilities. He lined up all the anticipated expenses and then, over on -- under payment schedule, he did what we call a goal seek analysis and tried to figure out what the levelized payment would be for the parking facilities over a period of 20 years. And that's where he got the \$1,436,133.

So, taking all those numbers back, and if you go back to the very first page here that you handed out to me, that's entitled "Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. Docket A-41, Sub 7, Settlement Computation of Parking Revenue Contribution, Test Year

- 1 Ended 12/31/09, he took the revenues of one -- going
- down the line, 15, he took the revenues of \$1,959,230,
- 3 and subtracted out the levelized payment we just talked
- 4 about over on page 3 to come up to \$523,097. That was
- 5 to credit that he moved over from parking into the
- 6 ferry.
- 7 Q. All right. And looking at page 3, where it
- 8 | says plant, and it has the figure of over \$9.4 million;
- 9 | what is that referring to?
- 10 A. That was the original book value of the
- 11 plant. Well, it was the plant value at the time of the
- 12 | 2010 case.
- 13 Q. Okay. And so going back to page 1 -- I'm
- 14 | sorry for flipping pages -- line 16, where it says,
- 15 | "Revenue required for cost recovery of BHIT-authorized
- 16 ROR, " is that the expected revenue requirement, based
- 17 on the ROR, applied to the original cost --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. --of the parking assets?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. I think I'm
- 22 done with that exhibit.
- 23 Yesterday, do you recall when Mr. Styers
- 24 asked you about separate corporate boundaries,

- 1 regarding the financial information?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And I believe you used the term intertwining to describe the relationship between the parking and the ferry; do you recall that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain what you meant by intertwining?
- 9 A. Well, it's an old textile term, but basically
  10 what it means is that the threads were all tied
  11 together into a single knot or a single strand. But,

basically, all the parts, kind of, fit together.

- Q. And one of the examples of intertwining I believe you gave was the affiliate transactions between BHIT and Limited --
- 16 A. Yeah.

12

13

14

15

- 17 | 0. -- am I correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have a copy of the 2010 rate case order, which I believe is KWO Cross Exhibit 5?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Could you please turn to page 13?
- 23 (Pause.)
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

- Q. All right. When you were referencing affiliate transactions, were you referring to the intercompany leases discussed, for example, on page 13 of the rate case order?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Can you explain your understanding of those intercompany leases and how they were treated as part of the rate case order?
- A. The island terminal lease was put into rate base. For example, Mr. Horde had decided that it was going to be more advantageous for the consumer to put the lease into rate base for consumers. And that lease, I believe, for the facility was owned by Limited, and they were leasing it to the ferry, and he put the lease into rate base, to the benefit of the ratepayer.
  - Q. And is that what is discussed at, Item B on page 13?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain your understanding of Item C, which, I believe discusses the lease for the Deep Point Terminal?
- 23 (Pause.)
- 24 THE WITNESS: This --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 (Pause.)

THE WITNESS: I think this lease was a cost-based lease. I'm drawing a blank on -- on exactly that -- where that lease came from.

- Q. Okay. Do you understand that it was treated as a cost-based lease?
- A. Yes. It was a cost-based lease, I remember that.
  - Q. Would that be original cost?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Yesterday, another topic that

  Mr. Styers raised, was the Transportation Authority

  transaction; and you said that you had concerns about

  the credit rating of the Transportation Authority; do

  you recall that?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Can you explain or elaborate on what your concerns were?
- A. The BBB minus bond rating that I saw in some of the public information that was presented. BBB bond rating for a utility is a scary concept, particularly with a ferry on the coast. BBB bond rating -- BBB minus bond rating is one step away from junk bond status. So when a hurricane comes along and all of a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sudden the BBB bond rating falls below the junk, and then you've got a problem on your hands.

MR. SCHAUER: No further questions.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

Are there questions from the Commission.

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I have just a couple for you, Mr. O'Donnell.

#### EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:

Q. First, going back to intertwining.

Yesterday when you brought that up in one of your answers, you talked about parking restrictions?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What did you mean by parking restrictions?
- A. The stipulation in the last case indicated that parking rates were going to be set at a level that they could increase only by a CPIU amount for a period of six years. So that was one of the intertwining between parking and ferry, is that that was -- that was the intertwining part of it. You know, the two depended upon one another.
- Q. So your reference to parking restrictions was only related to the -- the amount that could be charged for parking?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. So with regard to your updated return on investment calculation -- and we're going to not bring out any kind of numbers, because this is in the confidential area -- but it appears that your calculation reflected more than just the change in the rate base; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. It did. So I -- you're right about the rate base. I mean, we've got updated numbers. And I was struggling, and counsel knows that I was struggling when I first got the analysis in trying to find a balance on sheet four barge. And I spent a lot of time working on it.

But then, also, when you look at some of the income numbers, there's a financial way of calculating the net operating income and then there's the regulatory way. And when the Village's consultants, Peedin and Perry came up, they calculated it on a more of a regulatory ratemaking way; whereas, I did not. I looked at it more in terms of a financial analysis.

For example, I looked at actual interest expenses, whereas they looked at more of a 50/50 CAP

structure and -- and what the interest expense would be; whereas I took actual numbers. So, we came up at it at a little bit different viewpoint, but the end result was the numbers were -- the combined numbers were still very, very healthy.

- Q. All right. And then the rate of return number that you confidentially filed in rebuttal is based on net operating income, while it would appear that direct -- in your direct, that you evaluated the pretax income; is that --
- A. Well --
- Q. Is that right?
- A. No. I did take the taxes out on the -- the first analysis. But the thing is, I rolled all those taxes into a single entity and then taxed the calculated taxes on the combined three entities.

  Whereas, in the rebuttal, there was one particular entity that was showing a negative, and we -- that was a credit, actually, in the income tax. Whereas, when it rolled into all three of what I did -- you know, all three of the divisions rolled in, it all washed out.

  So it's just -- it's a different way of calculating.

  And I looked at more financial, Peedon and Perry looked at more of the regulatory aspect of it.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
2	Any questions?
3	(No response.)
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
5	Questions on Commissions question? Mr. Styers?
6	MR. STYERS: None. No.
7	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay.
8	Mr. Schauer?
9	MR. SCHAUER: No. Thank you.
LO	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
L1	Then Mr. O'Donnell is about to be done. I'll
L2	entertain motions from the parties.
L3	MR. STYERS: Madame Chair, we would like
L4	to move into evidence KWA [sic] Cross Examination
L5	Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.
L6	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
L7	Without objection, that motion will be allowed.
L8	(KWO Cross Examination Exhibit Numbers 1
L9	through 6 were admitted into evidence.)
20	MR. SCHAUER: We would like to move
21	Exhibit KWO Redirect Exhibit 1, into evidence.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
23	That motion will be allowed as well.
24	(KWO Redirect Exhibit 1 was admitted

1	into evidence.)
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: And the
3	direct exhibits?
4	MR. STYERS: I think they may have
5	already been moved in.
6	MR. SCHAUER: I do believe we have moved
7	those in at the beginning along with the testimony.
8	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Out
9	of an abundance of caution, I'll make sure that
10	Exhibits KWO 1 and 2, and Rebuttal KWO Exhibits 1
11	and 2 are also received into evidence at this time.
12	MR. SCHAUER: Thank you.
13	(Exhibits KWO 1 and 2, and Rebuttal KWO
14	Exhibits 1 and 2 were admitted into
15	evidence.)
16	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
17	Mr. O'Donnell, you may be excused.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	MR. TRATHEN: The Village would call
20	Scott Gardner.
21	Whereupon,
22	SCOTT GARDNER,
23	having first been duly sworn, was examined
24	and testified as follows:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

19

20

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

2 Please be seated.

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TRATHEN:

- Q. Mr. Gardner, would you give your name and address for the record, please?
- A. My name is Scott Gardner. My address is 429 South Bald Head Wynd on Bald Head Island.
- Q. And did you cause to be filed in this proceeding direct testimony consisting of 14 pages and two exhibits, and I'd note that one of those exhibits has three subparts.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any corrections to your testimony?
  - A. I do not.
  - Q. If I asked you the questions in the prefiled submission today, would your answers be the same?
- 18 A. Yes.
  - Q. And did you cause to be filed in this proceeding a summary of your testimony?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that summary true and accurate to the best of your information and belief?
- 24 A. Yes.

1	MR. TRATHEN: Madame Chair, I'd ask that
2	Mr. Gardner's direct testimony be copied into the
3	record as if orally given from the stand and that
4	his corresponding exhibits be marked for
5	identification as set out in his prefiled
6	submission.
7	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: That motion is
8	allowed, and the prefiled exhibits are identified
9	as they were when filed.
10	(Exhibits STG-1, STG-2, STG-2.1,
11	STG-2.2, and STG-2.3 were identified as
12	they were marked when prefiled.)
13	(Whereupon, the prefiled direct
14	testimony of Scott Gardner was copied
15	into the record as if given orally from
16	the stand.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

# STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. A-41, SUB 21

VILLAGE OF BALD HEAD ISLAND,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
v.	)	SCOTT T. GARDNER
	)	ON BEHALF OF
BALD HEAD ISLAND TRANSPORTATION,	)	VILLAGE OF BALD HEAD
INC., BALD HEAD ISLAND LIMITED, LLC,	)	ISLAND
and SHARPVUE CAPITAL, LLC,	)	
Respondents.	)	

# BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. A-41, SUB 21

### **Direct Testimony of Scott T. Gardner**

### On Behalf of the Village of Bald Head Island

### August 9, 2022

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, TITLE, AND ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Scott Thomas Gardner. I am currently serving as the Mayor Pro Tem
3		for the Village of Bald Head Island (the "Village"). On September 18, 2020, the
4		Village Council appointed me to fill a vacancy on the Council. I was then elected
5		to continue to serve on the Village Council in 2021. My current term will expire in
6		2025. My official address is 106 Lighthouse Wynd, Bald Head Island, NC 28461.
7		
8	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU SUBMITTING THIS DIRECT
9		TESTIMONY?
10	A.	I am submitting this Direct Testimony on behalf of the Village.
11		
12	Q.	WHERE DO YOU RESIDE?
13		
	A.	My wife and I have owned a home on Bald Head since 1997, and we made Bald
14	A.	My wife and I have owned a home on Bald Head since 1997, and we made Bald Head our primary residence in 2017.

15

1	Q.	<b>PLEASE</b>	DESCRIBE	YOUR	<b>EDUCATIONAL</b>	AND	PROFESSIONAL

^	
,	EXPERIENCE.
_	

3 A. I have a bachelor's degree in Business and Biology from the University of North 4 Carolina at Charlotte. I spent my thirty-three year career at Duke Energy and retired 5 in 2010 as Director of North Carolina State Governmental Affairs.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

#### 7 AS A MEMBER OF THE VILLAGE COUNCIL, CAN YOU SPEAK TO 0. 8

WHY THE VILLAGE HAS INITIATED THIS PROCEEDING?

Yes. The transportation system owned and operated by Bald Head Island Limited, LLC and Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. (collectively, "Limited") is the lifeblood to Bald Head Island—in fact, according to the Limited's most recent Annual Report the ferry carried over three-hundred and seventy thousand passengers in 2021 alone. The passenger ferry is essential because, being a bridgeless island, Bald Head is only accessible to the public by the ferry. As no vehicles are allowed for transportation on the island, the parking facilities are integral to the ferry's operations since all passengers must leave their cars at the terminal before boarding the ferry. Similarly, the barge is the exclusive means of transporting supplies and household goods to the island.

If any three of these essential components of the transportation system were to become inaccessible to the public, the island community would cease to exist in its current form. Thankfully, the Commission has regulated the passenger ferry since the 1990s. The parking facilities and the barge, however, have never been

regulated. Members of the public have, for decades, expressed concerns that the parking and barge should be regulated, just like the ferry. For the convenience of the Commission, attached as Exhibit STG-2 are copies of citizen comments on this issue that have been previously submitted to the Commission. These comments are consistent with comments that have been made directly to me by various citizens and they are representative of the concerns animating the Village's initiation of this proceeding. Although the issue of the regulatory status of the parking and barge operations have been identified for years, the question of regulating the parking and barge has never been resolved by the Commission.

Α.

#### Q. WHY HAS THE VILLAGE CHOSEN TO PURSUE THIS ISSUE NOW?

The issue raised by the Village in its complaint has been lingering in the background the entire time that Limited has been operating the transportation system. I look at it like this: the issue of the regulatory status of the parking and barge operations was a question that was inevitably going to need resolution, it was just a matter of when.

With Limited's announced intention to sell the transportation system, the regulation of the parking facilities and barge has become paramount. While the current owner of the transportation system, as the island's developer, has been motivated to appease the public's concerns about the parking and barge in the past based on its own economic development interests, the future owner might not be so motivated. Absent regulation, the next owner of the transportation system will be

free to operate the parking and barge however they want, including by raising rates, and citizens will have no recourse. Given the island's dependency on the transportation system, the concerns expressed to the Council by islanders, and long unanswered questions regarding the regulatory status of these assets, the Village initiated this proceeding to ensure that the next owner of the ferry, parking, and barge will operate the entire system in the public interest. We believe that it is imperative that this issue be resolved now, before the assets are conveyed to a new and subsequent buyer, both for the benefit of the new owner and for the benefit of those relying on the transportation system.

A.

# Q. HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE WHAT THE VILLAGE IS ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN THIS PROCEEDING?

We are asking for a determination by the Commission that the parking and barge are, like the ferry, utility assets that should be operated under the Commission's supervision for the benefit of the using and consuming public. While I am not a lawyer, I am generally familiar with the Commission's supervision of utilities from my prior career with Duke, and it seems the parking facilities are used and useful as essential components of the ferry's utility operations. My understanding of the "regulatory compact" here is that, in exchange for its certificate awarded by the Commission, BHIT is required to provide adequate service to ratepayers at just and reasonable rates. Adequate service in this instance necessarily includes the availability of parking to any ratepayer. In other words, the ratepayers, who are the

1	ferry passengers, must use and pay for parking at Deep Point to ride the ferry -
2	there is no alternative to this critical component of the regulated ferry transportation
3	service. It strikes me that the regulatory compact would require that the parking be
4	subject to regulation just like the passenger ferry.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

A.

## Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF BALD HEAD ISLAND AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE TO THE CITIZENS OF NORTH CAROLINA.

Bald Head Island is located at the mouth of the Cape Fear River and adjacent the Atlantic Ocean. It is the most unique of the barrier islands of North Carolina in that you can only get there by ferry, can only travel around the island by golf cart or bicycle, has the oldest lighthouse in North Carolina, and has a state-owned Maritime Forest making up a sizeable portion of the island. For these collective reasons, it is a highly sought after destination for vacationers, for those who wish to explore the island for a day ("day trippers"), and for those who seek to make the island a home.

16

#### 17 Q. HOW DOES THE PUBLIC REACH BALD HEAD ISLAND?

18 A. The public reaches the island by the transportation system owned and operated by

19 Limited, which includes the parking facilities, the barge, and the ferry.

20

#### 1 Q. WHAT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC RELY ON THE FERRY TO

#### REACH THE ISLAND?

A. There are several categories, but all members of the public rely on the ferry to reach the island. First, there are property owners and their friends and family. This might be the smallest group of passengers, though. Second, there are vacationers who typically rent by the week, and this category of passengers explodes in the summer season. Third, there are also day trippers who come over for a day to enjoy Bald Head's amenities, including the lighthouse and beaches.

Also, critically important, there are the workers who make the island function. These include Village employees who manage our public safety, water, waste water, solid waste management and road systems, as well as the Island Package Center. It includes contractors and tradesmen who build and repair homes and businesses, including plumbing, electrical, HVAC, housekeeping, and other building and repair services. It includes employees of the restaurants, the grocery store, the hardware store, and retail shops among others providing vital commerce to the island. It includes employees of the Bald Head Island Club and Shoals Club restaurant and recreational services. It includes employees of the non-profit organizations on the island, including the Bald Head Island Conservancy, the Old Baldy Foundation, and the Bald Head Association. Finally, it includes employees of the Bald Head Island Club and, importantly, the Club's golf course maintenance operations which serve an important stormwater and wastewater effluent management role, including during major rain events.

A.

### 1 Q. DO CITIZENS OF THE ISLAND EVER EXPRESS CONCERNS TO YOU

#### ABOUT THE TRANSPORTATION OPERATIONS?

Yes. I do hear from citizens on a regular basis expressing concerns about the transportation operations. Lately, with Limited's announcement of the sale of the transportation system there is a good bit of angst within the community regarding the future of the system, particularly given that the parking operation and barge are not currently regulated by the Commission. There is a lot of concern that the assets could be disposed of in "piece parts" or that parking and barge might be operated in a manner that is not consistent with the public interest.

A good illustration of these concerns is a Consumer Statement of Position letter filed in this docket on August 1, 2022, a copy of which is attached to my testimony as Exhibit STG-1. The letter was submitted on behalf of property owners on the island in support of the Village's petition in this proceeding. Approximately 400 individuals signed onto the letter, which is a rather astounding number given that there are only approximately 1,250 total residences on the island and approximately 35% of these are rental properties. The individual "Comments from Signers" attached to the letter resonate with me, as they are reflective of exactly the sort of sentiments that have been expressed directly to me by citizens and property owners. People on the island care deeply about these issues, and they are very concerned that their critical ability to access the island may be compromised because of the manner in which Limited is divesting itself of ownership of the transportation assets.

1 (	<b>)</b> .	HOW	<b>IMPORTANT</b>	IS	THE	<b>PARKING</b>	AND	THE	<b>BARGE</b>	TO	THE
-----	------------	-----	------------------	----	-----	----------------	-----	-----	--------------	----	-----

#### 2 ISLAND?

A. As reflected by the comments on Exhibit STG-1, the public's access to the parking facilities and the barge is critical to the island's economy and the wellbeing of all of those on the island.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

### 7 Q. HOW IS THE ISLAND'S ECONOMY IMPACTED BY THE PARKING

#### **FACILITIES?**

A. In myriad ways. The island's economy is fueled by tourism, and each tourist must park a vehicle in the parking facilities before boarding the passenger ferry. If the parking rates were to become cost prohibitive to tourists, the island's economy would be harmed significantly.

Let's first consider the impact to the island's rental market. Bald Head is a vacation destination. It is notable that some 65-70% of property owners on Bald Head are residents of North Carolina. While there are approximately 1,250 residences on the island, I believe there are 250 to 300 permanent or primary residences, approximately 500-550 second home properties, where owners have sought a place to get away for weekends and vacations, and the remaining homes (approximately 450) are rental properties. A reduction in the number of vacationers would reduce the demand for rentals and the rental income for these properties would shrink. I am not a real estate expert, but my expectation based on logic is that property values would sharply fall. The reduction in rentals would further

impact all of the rental agencies that manage the vacation homes. The cascading erosion of the tourist economy would further impact the businesses and laborers who service the rental properties, whether by cleaning or making maintenance and repair visits.

I would expect the construction industry also to take a hit. My understanding is that many of the new residential homes that are being built on the island are intended to be rental properties, at least, for part of the year. It is common for a property owner to offset the cost of an island home with rental revenues. In a future of fewer tourists, the construction industry would dwindle.

Another obvious victim of a tourism drought would be the commercial establishments on the island. There are about eight restaurants and thirteen retail stores on the island. Each of the owners of these businesses would likely tell you that their most profitable months are in the summer tourist season. Omit those tourists, and these businesses will obviously suffer. One can logically envision shuttered store fronts and employees having to find new jobs on the mainland.

A.

# Q. WOULD THE ECONOMIC IMPACT BE LIMITED TO THOSE WHO LIVE OR OTHERWISE OWN PROPERTY ON THE ISLAND?

Absolutely not. Should the tourism industry on Bald Head Island disappear, the impact will reach beyond the island. The vast majority of workers on the island live on the mainland. These workers ride the contractors ferry in the morning to reach their weekday jobs, so that they can bring home their earnings to provide for their

families on the mainland. If the island is no longer able to support jobs for these
workers, the personal incomes flowing from the island would cease to support
communities in Southport, Wilmington, and elsewhere in Brunswick and New
Hanover Counties.

A.

#### Q. ARE THERE PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS AT PLAY HERE?

The issue of safety is of the utmost concern to the Village – the entity responsible for Public Safety on the island. The current working relationship between the Village and Limited with the ferry and barge operation is good. During major events like a hurricane, when the Village has called on Limited to evacuate people, the joint effort has led to a relatively smooth evacuation. After the storm has passed and response and recovery are necessary, the Village has worked with Limited on getting emergency personnel and critical supplies/response vehicles to the island. In these events, it is critical that all transportation assets – whether it be the ferry, barge or parking – be available to coordinate an appropriate response to ensure the safety of the public. This is yet another compelling reason to regulate parking and barge operations with the ferry and tram to ensure proper operations of public safety and emergency response.

### Q. FROM A PERSONAL STANDPOINT, DO YOU PERSONALLY USE THE PARKING FACILITIES AT THE DEEP POINT MARINA?

22 A. Yes. My wife and I use the Deep Point parking facilities quite frequently.

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR USE OF THE PARKING FACILITIES A	T
2		THE DEEP POINT MARINA.	

A. Every time we come to Bald Head, we park in the Deep Point Marina parking lot.

As Bald Head is our primary home, our car is parked for many days in the Deep

Point lot. Consequently, we have purchased a "Premium Parking Pass," which

allows for unlimited parking days for a flat fee.

A.

## Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANYBODY WHO HAS USED THE PASSENGER

### FERRY WITHOUT HAVING TO PARK AT THE PARKING FACILITIES?

No. I am not aware of anybody who has used the passenger ferry to get to Bald Head without having to park a vehicle at the Deep Point parking facilities. If you need to get to Bald Head, you need to park in those parking facilities. The only exception that I am aware of is a few people who keep their own boats and have boat slips at the Southport Marina and in the Bald Head Marina. These people could get to the island without parking at the parking facilities. But most of the general public does not own a boat and, even if they did, they do not own slips in Southport and on Bald Head. Also, to my understanding, boat slips are limited in number and in demand in Southport and Bald Head Island. So I still stand by the statement that if you need to get to Bald Head, you need to park at the Deep Point parking facilities.

1 O. HAVE YOU EVER USED THE BARGE AND TUG S	+ SERVICE?
---	------------

2 A. Yes.

3

### 4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR USE OF THE BARGE AND TUG SERVICE.

My wife and I have had appliances, furniture, and construction material for small projects delivered on the barge. For larger projects, our contractors have used the barge to deliver larger loads of furniture, appliances, and construction material. Just recently we had two HVAC units and a water heater replaced, all of which were transported on the barge. Also, we used to have a small boat and trailer that I would transport to the mainland and back for maintenance and repair.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

#### Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCLUDING THOUGHTS?

A. Yes. I am very grateful for the Commission's time and efforts in this proceeding. Having come from this world in my pre-retirement life, I appreciate the demands placed on the Commission's limited time. I also realize that the issues that the Village has raised in its petition are not issues that the Commission hears about every day.

That said, Bald Head Island is one of the State's natural treasures. Among its coastal destinations, the island is unique due to its natural beauty, its relaxed, vehicle-less atmosphere, and its commitment to environmental stewardship as illustrated by the Maritime Forest and the Conservancy's coastal research mission and turtle nesting program. As a consequence of these features and attractions, the

island serves as a vital economic engine for the southeast coast of North Carolina and Brunswick County. As such, state oversight of the monopoly transportation system serving as the gateway to the island is paramount.

For all these reasons, I cannot overemphasize how important these matters are to the people who care about Bald Head Island. And this group includes not just the approximately 300 citizens who have permanent residences on this island. It includes the tens of thousands of visitors every year, the hundreds (if not thousands) of employees who work on the island, the business and property owners on the island, and even the surrounding communities that benefit from a vibrant island economy.

To an island that is fueled by tourism, access to the island on terms and conditions that are fair and reasonable and in the public interest is essential. Without parking and without the barge there is no meaningful public access, and without access there is no Bald Head Island as we know it. I urge the Commission to exercise its authority for the benefit of the public to assert regulatory oversight over the barge and the parking facilities.

#### O. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

19 A. Yes.

1	MR. TRATHEN: I'd also ask that his
2	summary be entered into the record.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: That motion is
4	allowed as well and his witness Gardner's
5	summary is received into evidence at this time.
6	(Whereupon, the prefiled summary
7	testimony of Scott Gardner was copied
8	into the record as if given orally from
9	the stand.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

# Summary of the Direct Testimony of Scott Gardner On Behalf of the Village of Bald Head Island

In my direct testimony I discuss my perspective as a resident of Bald Head Island and a Village Council member.

Bald Head Island is one of the State's natural treasures. The Island is unique due to its natural beauty, its relaxed, vehicle-less atmosphere, and its commitment to environmental stewardship. Because of these features, the Island hosts thousands of visitors each year and serves as a vital economic engine for the southeast coast of North Carolina.

Bald Head is only accessible to the public by the ferry. Thus, the transportation system owned and operated by Limited and BHIT is the lifeblood of the Island. Because no vehicles are allowed for transportation on the island, all passengers—myself included—must leave their cars at the terminal. Thus, the parking facilities are integral to the ferry's operations. Similarly, the barge is the exclusive means of transporting supplies and household goods to the island. I have used the barge myself to transport appliances, furniture, and construction material to the Island.

As I mentioned, the Island's economy is fueled by tourism, and each tourist must park in the parking facilities before boarding the ferry. If parking rates were to become cost-prohibitive to tourists, the Island's economy would be harmed significantly. Likewise, the Island's many workers, most of whom live in Southport, Wilmington, and elsewhere in Brunswick and New Hanover Counties, rely on affordable and accessible parking and ferry services to be able to go to work each day.

The ferry, parking, and barge system also has a critical role in public safety. During major events like a hurricane, the Village relies on Limited to evacuate people and to get emergency personnel and critical supplies to the Island.

Although the Commission has regulated the passenger ferry since the 1990s, the parking facilities and the barge have never been regulated—except to the extent that the Commission made decisions impacting parking in the 2010 rate case. Members of the public have, for decades, expressed concerns that the parking and barge should be regulated, just like the ferry. When Limited announced its intention to sell the transportation system, the regulation of the parking facilities and barge became paramount. Although the current owner of the transportation system, as the Island's developer, has been motivated to appease the public's concerns about the parking and barge in the past based on its own economic development interests, the future owner might not be so motivated. Absent regulation, the next owner of the transportation system will be free to operate the parking and barge however they want, including by raising rates, and citizens will have no recourse. Given these concerns, the Village initiated this proceeding to ensure that the next owner of the ferry, parking, and barge will operate the entire system in the public interest.

My testimony describes that the Village is asking for a determination by the Commission that the parking and barge are, like the ferry, utility assets that should be operated under the Commission's supervision for the benefit of the using and consuming public. Without parking and without the barge there is no meaningful public access to the Island, and without access there is no Bald Head Island as we know it.

Finally, my testimony emphasizes how important these matters are to the people

who care about Bald Head Island. And this group includes not just the citizens who have permanent residences on this island. It includes the tens of thousands of visitors every year, the employees who work on the island, the business and property owners on the island, and even the surrounding communities that benefit from a vibrant island economy.

This concludes the summary of my Direct Testimony.

1	MR. TRATHEN: Witness is available for
2	cross examination.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
4	MR. RISINGER: COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND,
5	under the parties' order of examination, the Club
6	is supposed to examine Mr. Gardner prior to
7	Transportation doing so.
8	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
9	Mr. Higgins?
10	MR. HIGGINS: Thank you.
11	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HIGGINS:
12	Q. Mr. Gardner, good morning. Dan Higgins for
13	the Bald Head Island Club.
14	A. Good morning, Counselor.
15	Q. You're a full-time resident of Bald Head
16	Island?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. How long have you been a full-time resident?
19	A. Since 2017.
20	Q. Are you familiar with the Southport area?
21	A. Yes, very.
22	Q. Are you were you here yesterday?
23	A. I was.
24	Q. You heard some testimony yesterday, then,

- regarding the Indigo Plantation ferry landing, which
  was used before Deep Point was put into service?
- 3 | A. I am.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with the Indigo Plantation?
- A. Yes, I am. We purchased our home in 1997, so

  we were part-time -- we visited the island on a

  part-time basis, until we made the move to make the

  Bald Head our primary residence in 2017.
- 9 Q. So you -- you caught the ferry at Indigo 10 Planning --
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. -- for a number of years?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. How far is the Indigo Plantation facility from Deep Point?
- A. By my estimation, probably three and a half to four.
- Q. And would you consider it a viable option to
  park at Indigo Plantation and travel by foot or by some
  sort of shuttle to Deep Point?
- A. I would -- I would never do that. And I suspect most people would never do that, that have a place on Bald Head Island. I don't know that anybody would, frankly.

Q. All right, sir. In your rebuttal testimony, you made reference to a statement by the witness,

Mr. Leonard, that the commission should -- and I'm quoting now -- ensure that parking is available, either at the terminal or in community locations.

Are you aware of any parking for ferry passengers currently available at convenient community locations, other than Deep Point?

A. I am not.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Higgins, it's generally not a problem, but I'm going to ask you make sure you stay in the mic. Thank you.

MR. HIGGINS: Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Are there any independent parking operations, that you are aware of in the Southport area, that serve passengers that are going to catch the Bald Head Island ferry?
  - A. I am not aware of any.
- Q. Now, changing tacks, did you hear statements, and perhaps in testimony yesterday, regarding the fact that the Village involved itself in the process by which the Bald Head Island Ferry Authority sought to purchase the ferry assets and these other assets that are subject to this proceeding?

- A. Could you state that question one more time?

  I want to make sure I get it right.
  - Q. I don't know if I can, but I'll try.

Did you -- did you hear comment, and perhaps testimony yesterday, regarding the Village's involvement and ultimately -- well, its involvement in the process relating to the Bald Head Island Ferry Authority' proposed purchase of the ferry assets and the parking and the barge?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Would you -- are you familiar with what the Village's positions and concerns were that motivated its actions?
- 14 A. Absolutely.
  - Q. Would you share those with the Commission, please?
  - A. Certainly. When the legislation passed in 2017, the Village Council -- I was not on the council at the time, but my understanding in speaking to those that were, is that they were in support of the process that the legislation laid out. So you would establish the certain number of Authority members, you would go through a process of assess values, and hopefully you would come up with a -- a valuation and a negotiated

sales price that would be reasonable and could get approval from the state Local Government Commission.

And when -- and there was a non-disclosure agreement, which made it -- it's -- I joined the council in August of 2020, just before the December 2020 announcement of the sales price and the APA between Limited and -- and the Authority. So I didn't have much knowledge at all going in about how those discussions and negotiations were going. And the mayor, and the mayor pro tem, who were on the Authority, couldn't tell us much at all, because of non-disclosure agreement issues. So we were quite surprised when the announcement was made of the \$47.75 million sales price.

And so we began, you know, simply asking questions. You know, I'm not a financial analyst, I'm not a real estate attorney, I'm not a commercial developer, but my -- but I do, kind of, keep track of general costs for certain things: commercial properties, homes, and just a basic interest in it. That just seemed pretty high to me, as it did the other counsel members. And we learned very quickly, a lot of the members of the Bald Head Island community thought that that was a price far above what most people were

expecting.

So we asked for some background. We asked for valuations. We said, you know, talk to us. Help us understand why that you, Limited, and you, the Transportation Authority, think this is a good deal. And we got nothing.

So when the proposal came before the Local Government Commission, our mayor at the time, Andy Sayer, shared with the Commission that -- that, as he was mayor on the Transportation Authority, but he was representing what he was hearing since the December announcement. And there were concerns about the values and there were concerns about the transparency. So those became the two big issues for Village Council. How far do you want me to go with this, counselor?

- Q. Just tell me what the conclusion -- tell the Commission what the conclusion of this.
- A. The conclusion was, we didn't have the information we felt we needed to be able to determine if this was a fair deal or not. And so we couldn't really assess whether it was or not. So our -- our -- the mayor's pitch to the Local Government Commission was, you know, the community is upset about this, we haven't heard no presentation with -- no community

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

presentation was ever made. So the island community was just, kind of, hearing this for the first time, and they're -- they have questions, and they are not getting answers.

So the Local Government Commission, at that point, forced a public hearing on the matter in February, and as I recall, the Commission was expecting answers to be given by the Transportation Authority to the questions that were asked. There were no answers given. All that was done was that the evaluations, the Mercator studied, a lot more information was put on a public website for people to go digging for their -for their answers. And -- which simply raised more questions on the minds of some islanders, and, frankly, as the Local Government Commission started investigating and looking into the proposal, raised questions of their own. And at that point, you know, it was the Local Government Commission that was, in our view, asking the most questions.

And so when -- in mid-March, when it appeared that the Transportation Authority proposal may not get approval at the Local Government Commission level, the Village announced the thought that it might be wise that we present a sister proposal. So if the

Transportation Authority is not approved, perhaps the Village proposal could.

So we decide to investigate. And we determined in our investigation that we could -- we could pay up to the \$47 million, if it got to that point. We could borrow less money to do that, because there would be lower bond costs with a general obligation bond versus a revenue bond. We could save on the interest on the debt to the tune of about a half a million dollars a year to put back into the system. So our proposal to the Local Government Commission was for \$54 million, instead of the Transportation

Authority's 56. That we would go the GO bond route versus the revenue bond route, and that we would seek -- go to reproval on the November Bond Referendum.

That proposal was presented to the Auth- -to the Local Government Commission in July, and we had
the bond referendum vote this past November and it
pass- -- excuse me, November 2021. Almost a year ago
now. And it passed by a 60/40 margin.

And at that point, within the month after that vote, Limited announced that they were not going to -- to deal -- to deal with the Authority anymore. They announced multiple times they didn't -- weren't

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

22

24

gonna deal with the Village, and indicated that they
were gonna seek a third-party buyer.

Well, the Village has sought all along to have some accountability for our transportation system, whether it be through the local government and the elected officials that are voted to local government; whether it be the Transportation Authority whose members are appointed by elected officials, degree of accountability; or, if it goes to the private third-party route, to be regulated by the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Thus, we filed our petition in February for this body to consider regulating the parking and barge operations as the ferry has been since 1998. Does that answer your question, sir?

Q. Yes, Sir. Thank you.

MR. HIGGINS: I don't have any further questions for Mr. Gardner.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

BHIT?

- 21 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. RISINGER:
  - Q. Good morning, Mr. Gardner.
- A. Counselor.
  - Q. I have great news for you. I'm -- I'm going

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

to ask you a fraction of the questions I asked you the last time we were together at the deposition in your case.

- A. That's good to hear.
- Q. On examination with Mr. Higgins just now, you used the phrase that "the pricing first provided to the Authority seemed pretty high to me."

Do you recall saying that?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you have any experience in valuing the kinds of assets that were included in the proposal of assets the Authority was gonna buy?
- A. I'm not a financial analyst. I do not.
- Q. And you indicated that you -- the Village felt that there were shortcomings in the information that's substantiated the Authority's decision to buy the assets for \$47 million --
- 18 A. Correct.
  - Q. -- am I getting that correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And then, at the tail end of your testimony
  with Mr. Higgins, you were talking about your proposals
  back at the Villages. Sorry, I didn't mean to be you,
  Scott.

That you, the Village, were making proposals back, and you concluded that you could pay 47; do you recall that testimony?

- A. We -- we could, if it got to that point. But we -- and in -- for the purpose of the Local Government Commission, we didn't want to complicate matters by -- and we certainly didn't have an agreement with Limited for anything we -- more or less than that. We just knew that, if Limited was willing to sell to the Authority for 45.75, we thought that Limited would be willing to sell to the Village for 47.75; up to that.
- Q. That's totally fair, and I wasn't meaning to suggest otherwise.

What I would like to know is that, when the Village objected to the Authority paying 47, you indicated that it was an information deficiency.

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And you just testified that the Village came
  around to the position that it could pay
  \$47 million. So, by the time the Village had come
  around to the position of representing to the LGC that
  it could pay 47, I assume The Village's information
  deficiencies, or what it thought were the information
  deficiencies, had been resolved sufficiently for you to

be able to pay -- the Village be able to agree to pay
47, if it came to that.

- A. I disagree.
- O. Tell me how.
- A. Well, we -- again, when the questions of the Local Government Commission about valuations continued to be out there and unanswered, we didn't know what it was worth. All we knew is, if Limited was willing to pay -- was willing to accept 47.75 for the Authority, we felt that they'd be willing to accept up to 47-75 from the Village. Once the valuations came in, then we would determine what -- what an appropriate price would be to include in an APA, and we never got to that point.
- Q. You mentioned in your testimony from the Club -- the questions from the Club, that the Village ultimately put forth and passed a referendum to -- for authority to issue bonds to purchase the assets, true?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And the amount of -- what was the amount of that -- the ask in the referendum?
- A. \$54 million.
- Q. And in asking for \$54 million, the Village was asking for authority to purchase the same assets

1 that were on the table for the Authority to purchase?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And when the Village put forth the referendum for a vote, was it the feeling of the Village Council that it had sufficient information available to it to justify asking for \$54 million authority to purchase those assets?
  - A. No.
- Q. And why did -- why did the Village ask for \$54 million?
- A. We just knew that, once again, if the \$47.75 million was the amount that Limited was willing to accept for the transportation assets in their APA with the Authority, that they would do the same for us. All we -- all we did was establish a high-water mark above which we would not go. So what -- all we were asking the voters were -- was to give us the authority, if we get the chance, to borrow money, up to \$54 million, which 47.75 for the Transportation to purchase the assets, if we get to that point, and it -- and the values, in effect, proved to be reasonable and just, then we would proceed with issuing the bonds. But, once again, we never got to that point.
  - Q. Got one more question for you about the

information deficiency box that you testified about.

Are -- is the Village contending that the information that Limited provided to the Authority, itself, in response to the Authority's questions about the assets, were insufficient in any way?

- A. I don't know how to answer that question, other than to say that, you know, it it became the Local Government Commission's interest in what's a proper valuation or not. You know, from, like, you know, March, April, May, on, it was the Local Government Commission that had serious concerns about the valuation of these assets, and, in particular, the State Auditor and the State Treasurer. The two people most responsible for protecting the financial interest of this state were the ones that could never be satisfied, that limited any authority, provided the just evaluation for the Transportation assets. That's where it sits. I can't answer anything beyond that.
- Q. That's fair. Let me ask it in a different way.

Your -- your testimony, if I'm understanding it correctly, that you offered to the Club's counsel, was that questions were -- were asked, and insufficient information was provided.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

20

24

Is your testimony that -- that the Authority and the Authority members asked for information from Limited that they didn't get?

- A. No. The Local Government Commission asked questions and they weren't satisfied with the answers they got. That's what I meant by that.
- Q. You mentioned in your direct testimony in this case, Mr. Gardner, that you're a user of the parking facilities?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And I think you have used the phrasing that your car is parked for many days at Deep Point because you're a resident of the island, true?
  - A. Yes. Yes.
    - Q. And I think in your direct you also mentioned that you buy a pass for the lot?
  - A. Premium or annual pass I think you said.
- Q. So you buy a premium pass that entitles you to park every day of the year in the lot.
  - A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- Q. And that allows you to expect that, when you roll up with a pass for a premium, that there's going to be a spot for you?
  - A. Certainly.

```
MR. RISINGER: I'd like to -- Chair, if we could, I'd like to mark as SG Cross 1 and SG Cross 2 -- I'm sorry? SG-1 and SG-2.

(Pause.)
```

- Q. Mr. Gardner, have you had a chance to look at the two exhibits that have been handed to you?
  - A. I have.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to identify them for the record so that it's clear which exhibit we're talking about in each one. So these are -- these exhibits represent two copies of the same document that was produced in discovery.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. They're each labeled, BHLIT document number 719. The one that's been marked as Exhibit Number 1 to your cross examination is exactly as it was produced to the Village in discover. And the document that has the yellow highlighting across the bottom of it that's SG-2, is the same document produced in discover, just with the added color highlighted notations that I added to the, to the bottom of the document.
- A. Certainly.
- Q. We're on the same page?
- A. Yeah.

- COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
- 2 Mr. Risinger, I'm going to identify them as -- the
- 3 unedited version as STG Cross Examination Exhibit 1.
- 4 And the one with the yellow highlights on it will be
- 5 STG Cross Examination Exhibit 2.
- 6 (STG Cross Examination Exhibits 1 and
- 7 2 were marked for identification.)
- Q. I apologize, Mr. Gardner, I cheated you out
- 9 of your "T". I didn't mean to do that in your
- 10 | initials.
- So, Mr. Gardner, taking a moment to look at
- 12 | Exhibit 1 --
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. -- this is a document that I think you've
- 15 seen before in the case --
- 16 A. I have.
- 17 Q. -- With the -- the rates for the parking?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And I just want to, sort of, locate
- 20 | you within the -- within the chart.
- A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. So what you purchase is an annual pass that
- 23 | falls in the premium category, correct?
- A. Yeah. Yes.

2

3

- Q. And the -- as you said, that would entitle you, in the scheme of those lots, to park in the lot that's closest to the terminal, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And the -- to the best of your recollection, is the \$1,350, fairly representative of what you paid for your pass?
- 8 A. I seem to recall that's what I paid for this 9 year, yes.
- Q. Okay. And with regard to looking at Exhibit
  11 2, the one with the yellow highlighting at the
- 12 bottom --
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. This -- I've placed some calculations at the bottom, only to divide those numbers by 365, so that --
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You'd agree that you're entitled to park your car there 365 days a year, true?
- 19 A. I'm trusting your -- yes. And I trust your 20 math.
- Q. And the only thing I would say about my math is that you need to accept only that I typed them into a calculator in good faith and my wife did not allow me to balance the checkbook. So --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

- 1 A. Then do you mind if I check? No, I'm just 2 teasing.
  - Q. Get the phone out, right? Go right ahead.
  - So with regard to the -- my good faith calculations with regard to that, the -- would you accept that you're allowed to park in the lot 365 days a year?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And a spot is reserved for you because you pay for and hold a pass, and so a spot's reserved for you each of those days of the year.
    - A. Hopefully, yeah.
  - Q. Okay. And at 365 days a year, the price for that is \$3.70 a day.
- 15 A. It appears, yes.
- Q. Okay. And going across the -- the bottom of
  STG Cross 2, I've just done the same thing with regard
  to dividing those numbers by 365 days, and placing
  below that that the general lot, a place that you could
  also buy a pass for -- if, a resident out on the island
  didn't want to pay to be in the closest lot, you could
  buy an annual pass in the general lot --
  - A. Uh-huh.
    - Q. -- that's just a little bit further away,

true?

1

2

8

9

21

- A. Uh-huh. True.
- Q. Okay. And the contractor lot where the math divides out to \$1.92 a day, that's, generally speaking, used to the testimony of other Village witnesses and yourself in deposition by folks who are working on the island, driving the economic engine of the island,

building things on the island?

Correct.

Α.

- Q. And I think some employees of the island testified that they parked in that lot as well?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And the employee column at the -- at the far end of STG-2, indicates that employees can buy passes, and there's 1 \$1.78 per day value to that pass, true?
- 16 A. It appears, yes.
- Q. And you, at your deposition, and I think we had a little dialogue about the fact that, on occasion, employers buy those passes for their workers who come out to the island to work, true?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so, to your understanding, either
  the employee, themselves, or the employer could -could pay for that?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And looking at those with -- I'm going to ask you a few questions with reference to STG-2, the document with the highlight.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. There have -- the Village has filed, you know, various exhibits and testimony and pleadings in the case that have suggested that there's evidence of monopoly pricing abuse on the island.

Are you familiar with those allegations?

MR. TRATHEN: Objection. That's

mischaracterizing the testimony.

- Q. Are you familiar with the statement that there's monopoly pricing abuse that the Village believes is indicative of over-earning on the parking assets?
- A. I don't recall using market pricing abuses -market price abuse. I think it's market -- I mean,
  there's monopoly pricing.
  - Q. Uh-huh.
- A. And that's the fear and concern we have, is that, if unregulated, that these prices could go up in any degree to maximize the profits for private investment firm, and we're just looking for -- in the

public interest, we've, once again, view our job as

Village Council, to look out for the public interest.

That we want to try to ensure that fair rates are

established to allow people to get to and from -- to

park their car to get to and from the ferry.

- Q. Does the Village have the view -- looking again at STG Exhibit 2 -- Mr. Gardner, that any of the daily prices that are reflected there are excessive or abusive?
- A. I would say, at this point, not necessarily. Although, I would add that the annual pass -- I seem to recall paying \$1,200 for the annual pass just a couple years ago, and it's already up to \$1,350. And I think the general daily pass just two or three years ago was \$10. And now it's \$12. So, you know, where does it go from here, is the concern.
  - Q. And the increases that you've, kind of, just testified to are already baked into the pricing that's in this exhibit, true?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have, in your testimony, both in your direct and rebuttal -- or in you direct and in you deposition testimony, you were kind enough to testify to me in the deposition about the villagers express

- concerns to you about the activities of the parking and barge and the ferry, true?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

- Q. Are there any -- have you received any complaints from village citizens who are able to -- to buy passes to allow them to park for less than \$4 a day?
- 8 A. Repeat that question.
  - Q. Sure. You've testified, earlier in the proceeding, that it's pretty common occurrence that people express concerns to you, as a Village official, about issues related to the conduct of the parking --
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- and the barge and the ferry, true?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. And all I'm asking is, has -- have -- have
  any Village citizens ever complained to you that paying
  \$3.70 a day for parking, or 320 -- \$3.29 a day for
  parking is excessive or too much?
- A. I have not heard them put it in that terms.

  Once again, the concern that has been raised is because

  of the increases that have happened in the last two or

three years, and what happens next. That's the concern
I've heard mostly. And I've heard it many times.

- Q. In this -- in this proceeding, SharpVue has made a series of commitments regarding their going forward ownership; are you familiar with that?
  - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And are you familiar that one of them relates to tying future increases and parking rates to the consumer price index; have you seen that?
- A. Yes. For a period of time, and then -- then what happens next?
- Q. And with regard to the -- the owner that's proposed to take care -- go forward with the assets, they're making commitment in relation to the prices that are in STG-2 on a going-forward basis, true?
  - A. It's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Mr. Gardner, in your rebuttal testimony, you make the statement that it would be unfair to allow Limited to dismantle the integrated transportation system.
- 21 Do you recall making that statement?
- 22 A. I do.
  - Q. Okay. And, just in fairness, I want to sort of cabin this in the way that you-all are thinking

about it.

When you use the term "integrated transportation system," the Village is thinking about all these assets together, because you believe they're an integrative whole, true?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And the -- the concern that you have is keeping them together; you've expressed and other witnesses have expressed, true?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And the buyer that has been proposed in this docket and is under contract to purchase them is going to buy them all together as opposed to individual buyers buying different parts of the assets, true?
- A. Well, what -- we understand that that's the intent, but it at issue is with, you know, there's a transfer proceeding that is gonna deal with the ferry side of things, and it's undetermined at this point, which is why we filed for injunctive relief. It's undetermined at this point whether the parking and barge will be sold -- whether all assets will transfer at the same time. I will agree that, at this point, all assets appear to be heading toward ownership by SharpVue.

- Q. Mr. Gardner, does the -- does the Village believe that a favorable result in this docket will result in the price -- the purchase price of the assets being lowered?
- A. We don't particularly care what the purchase price is. We're just looking for the rates to be fair, and we're looking for the utility's regulatory accounting process to determine what fair rates would be. That's what we're looking for.

(Pause.)

- Q. Mr. Gardner, making its way around the horn, so to speak, in the baseball playoff times, will be STG Cross Examination Exhibit 3.
  - MR. RISINGER: And for the record, I'll indicate that it is a composite exhibit of two documents that were produced to us by the Village in this action.

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

MR. RISINGER: And they bear the Bates numbers VBHI -3514 and VBHI-3659.

- Q. Please take a --
- A. Yup.
- Q. -- moment to look at both the documents and let me know when you've had a chance to do that.

- 1 And this is Number 3? Α. It certainly is. STG-3. Yes, Sir. 2 Q. 3 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. This two-page exhibit will be identified as STG 4 Cross Examination Exhibit 3. 5 (STG Cross Examination Exhibit 3 was 6 7 marked for identification.) 8 THE WITNESS: (Witness peruses 9 document.) 10 Okay. 11 Have you had a chance to look at both of Ο. 12 them? 13 Α. Yes. And I just have a couple questions about 14 15 them. You're entitled, certainly, to refer to any part
- 17 A. Certainly.

of those --

- 18 Q. -- as you -- as you need to in responding.
- 19 All right.

16

24

I show them to you in relation to your

statement that -- just now, that the Village doesn't

really care what the -- the price is; that you have

other regulatory interests.

A. Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. Am I recalling that testimony correctly?
- 2 A. Correct. Yeah.
- 3 Q. And the portions of Exhibit 3 that I'd just
- 4 like to ask you about, in the -- in the next-to-last
- 5 paragraph of the top e-mail that's addressed from -- to
- 6 you from Robert Blau, the person that sent this e-mail
- 7 to you on January 31, 2022 --
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. -- do you see that?
- 10 A. Are you on -- which page?
- 11 Q. I'm on the front page that bears the 3514
- 12 number --
- 13 A. Got that.
- 14 O. -- at the bottom.
- 15 A. I see. Your question again, please.
- Q. Yup. I hadn't gotten there yet. I was just
- 17 identifying the document. I move slow sometimes.
- So just to set the document, because I know
- 19 | you were looking at it, this is the -- the top e-mail
- 20 in this thread, is an e-mail sent by Robert Blau to
- 21 you --
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. -- and it's dated January 31, '22; do you see
- 24 that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you -- you did a search of your personal e-mails in response to discovery requests, and you provided this information -- this e-mail to counsel, and it was provided to us, correct?
  - A. As far as I know, yes.
- Q. The -- in the next-to-last paragraph of
  the -- of the e-mail from Mr. Blau to you that's at the
  top of the thread, at the bottom of that, there's a
  statement that says, "Even so, regulation, or even the
  threat of regulation, represents the best/only
  available means of keeping the transportation system
  sale price at a reasonable level, regardless of who
  acquires it."

Do you see that?

- A. I do see that.
- Q. Okay. And then, just for a second, I'd like you to also turn to the -- to the second page of that exhibit, and this is an e-mail that did not go to you, but that the Village has stipulated the authenticity of this e-mail --
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- as a part of the personal e-mail
  productions. This is an e-mail from Mr. Blau to

- 1 Gerald Maggio.
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. I'm sorry, am I mispronouncing his name?
- 4 A. No, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And what's his position with the Village?
- 7 A. Gerry Maggio is a Village Council person.
  - Q. And this is an e-mail that bears the Bates number of 3659 at the bottom, and it's a 7/28/22 email from this summer; do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes. Yes.

9

- Q. And the passage that I just want to draw to
  your attention in this one is, in the third paragraph,
  the second sentence says, "The important thing is to
  get the sales price down to a reasonable level,
  recognizing that regulating the barrage and parking is
  the best and only practical way of doing that."
- Do you see that?
- 19 A. I do.
- Q. Did I read that accurately?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so, with reference to those
  passages, my question is, one, have you discussed with
  any other Village officials the statements that

- Mr. Blau and the sentiments he expressed in these e-mails concerning regulation being a vehicle to impact the sales price of the assets?
- A. I recall having discussions with council members about the regulatory compact. That for a certificate to operate a monopolized public utility, you were guaranteed the establishment of fair rates for a reasonable amount -- for adequate service. And we would look to the Utilities Commission regulatory process to establish fair rates.
- Q. And I -- and I appreciate that response, and I think you gave that a little bit early in response to another of my questions, and my question here is, did you discuss this issue with any other Village Council members about the possibility of a regulatory outcome in this proceeding would impact the sales price of the assets?
  - A. That it might, yes.
  - Q. All right.
  - A. That it might.
- Q. Did y'all discuss that in open or closed session?
  - A. Closed session.
- Q. Did you discuss it with any of the Village

- 1 | counselors outside of a formal session?
- 2 A. Not to my recollection.
- Q. Thank you. That's all the questions I have about that exhibit.
  - A. Okay.

6

7

8

9

- Q. Mr. Gardner, in your -- in your rebuttal testimony, you make the statement that -- that you didn't see any serious consideration of the public interest in the direct testimony that Limited filed in the case.
- Do you recall making that statement?
- 12 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And have you read all the direct testimony that was filed on behalf of Limited in the case?
- 16 A. I -- I have. I have.
- Q. And have you read SharpVue's rebuttal testimony?
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. I don't want to revisit the -- the significant testimony regarding the Authority and the efforts to establish the Authority. You've spoken to that and other witnesses have.
- My question is, would you concede that, when

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Limited worked for years to sell its assets to a public authority at less than fair market value, as required by the statute -- the enabling statute, was -- were they paying heed to the public interest in attempting to do that?

As I recall, the statute -- the legislation required that the sales of the -- of the Transportation assets be at assessed value. Now, what was undefined is how is assessed value defined? And so it could be income-based approach, it could be market-based approach, it could be through the regulatory approach. I mean, there -- that -- that question was left unanswered. And so -- and I can understand why Limited would have done this. They're trying to maximize the amount of money they can get for their assets. they've led the transportation authority to a valuation process based on cash flows that provides the highest assessed value that they could probably get. That's -once again, I'm not a financial analyst, I'm not a real estate attorney, but that's what it appears to me.

And if, in fact, the public interest was seriously considered, I don't understand why they wouldn't pursue regulation of the parking and the barge operation as the ferry is, so that adequate regulation

is in place going forward to ensure that fair rates are charged for a good level of service and with the proper and adequate rate of return for the investors that would own the assets going forward.

Q. So let's unpack that a little bit. With regard to what the statute says, we'll leave that for another day.

The statute -- you'd agree the statute says what it says with regard to what the price needs to be, fair enough?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. With regard to your suggestion, or -- I don't want to put words in your mouth. I believe you said that you thought that Limited led the Authority toward -- or led them toward a price.

Is that a fair -- is that what you said? Is that what you meant to say?

- A. That's what it appeared to me. My personal opinion, that's what it appeared to me. But I wasn't part of those deliberations. I wasn't in any of those meetings. So, I don't know what happened.
- Q. And it's fair that the Village is aware that the Authority -- that Limited provided a lot of financial information to the Authority, fair?

- A. My understanding, they did.
- Q. And the Authority had its own financial consultants for the deal; they used Davenport and UBS as their financial consultants to help them arrive at what they aught to pay for the deal, true?
  - A. True.
- Q. Okay. On -- down to the issue of -- of the -- your testimony about whether it was in the public interest to sell it to an authority.

Are you testifying that -- that it -- that it would of only been in the public interest had Limited agreed to sell it to the Authority and also agreed to the regulation that the Village is asking for in the case?

A. No. Our -- our view is that the -- that the Transportation Authority would have provided that regulatory oversight by virtue of the fact that its appointed members were appointed by elected officials. So what -- unfortunately, what happened is that, in -- in doing the Authority's work and presenting it to the Local Government Commission, the Local Government Commission raised questions to understand how values in the valuation were determined, the sales price was determined, and they sought questions to answers -- or

answers to questions, and they weren't -- they weren't -- my understanding based on hearing, listening, and tuning in was they weren't satisfied with the answers they got.

And so you need to look to the Local Government Commission. I think they need to answer the question about the valuation issue. I've tried to address my concerns going in and throughout, but at some point the Local Government Commission kicked in, and they're the ones that had issues about that same valuation. And too, and I expect there was concern about the valuation being in the public interest.

Q. As sometimes happens with witnesses, you answered a better question than I asked. So I'm going to try to unpack a little bit of your answer.

One of the things that you said in your response to my question, Mr. Gardner, is that the Authority, itself, as it was enabled under the statute, it was going to have the regulatory authority over these assets that the Village is seeking here, true?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And Limited was willingly and earnestly attempting to sell the assets to a regional governance authority that would have exactly the authority -- the

1 regulatory authority that you're seeking here, true?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And Limited was so enthusiastic about that -- or let me strike that.

Limited was supportive of that in the way
that it gave grants to the Village to help get the
authority going and the process going of trying to sell
its assets to a public authority; is that fair?

- A. Yes.
- O. When --
- 11 A. Let me -- let me correct that. What I'd like
  12 to say is, whether they were generous in doing so, I
  13 don't know that generous is the right word I would use
  14 there. They did it.
  - Q. That's fair. And if I used generous, I didn't mean to load it that way. But just for the record, there were grants made to the Village to help the Village, you know, in the process of getting the Authority going, true?
  - A. I don't know that it was the Village's role to get the Authority going. I think the Village simply served as a vehicle to handle the money to fund The Authority, because the Authority didn't have any organization or structure to handle financial matters

- at that point. Early on, anyway. That's my understanding. I don't know that to be absolutely true.
- Q. Here, today, and in the deposition testimony that you gave earlier in the case, you testified about the points at which the Village got off the train, so to speak, on the Authority and decided that its own path might be a better -- might be a better one than working in the Authority, fair?
- A. Or it might be a solution if the -- if the Authority's proposal is not approved. So we -- you know, we didn't -- we weren't trying to outflank the Authority. It just appeared to us that the Authority may not get approval for their proposal. So all we were simply doing was providing an alternative, if the opportunity presented itself, and if Limited would be willing to negotiate and work with us towards a APA, which it never happened.
- Q. At the point at which the Village decided to take those steps and make its own proposal and its support for the Authority, you know, lessened, did the Village consider that it was a possibility that Limited would turn to looking for a private buyer that -- similar to itself, since the Authority solution wasn't

3

4

11

17

24

1 going to be available?

- A. We always knew that that was a possibility.
- Q. And in knowing that's a possibility, you knew -- the Village knew and appreciated, I take it,
- 5 that the regulatory structure that was going to be in
- 6 place with the Authority would not be -- would not be
- 7 an option, true?

options.

- A. If they couldn't get approval for their proposal, then yeah. The Authority then is not in consideration, so Limited has to look for other
- Q. In your rebuttal testimony, Mr. Gardner, you
  made the, the statement -- and I want to get it
  right -- that Limited seemed, quote, to be focused on
  maximizing its financial return rather than ensuring
  the long-term success of tie Island.
  - Recall making that statement?
- 18 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And in regards to that statement,
  you're focusing on Limited's exiting conduct, and
  you're believing that -- that the assets are not headed
  in a direction that are in the long-term interest of
  the island?
  - A. Potentially, yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

Q. And with regard to -- I want to ask you a few questions about the destination of the assets.

I think you were kind enough to tell me before that you've read the rebuttal testimony that was filed by SharpVue in this case, by Mr. Roberts?

- A. Yeah. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So on the point of long-term -- the long-term success that the Village is interested in, Mr. Roberts testified that -- that he -- that SharpVue believes that a successful island community, the clubs, and vacationer tourism is essential for the successful operation of the assets that they're gonna buy.
  - A. Totally agree.
  - Q. Totally agree? You agree with that?
- 15 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And that -- that sentiment or attitude
  from the buyer, is that, you know, the type of
  long-term success interest that the Village is looking
  at, a buyer that's interested in that symbiotic
  success --
- 21 A. Certainly.
  - Q. -- between those assets.
- A. We look for SharpVue, if they are successful at closing the deal, and we would look for any

```
1
     subsequent buyer to have that same mindset. But you
     never know. And, again, that's why -- and, you know,
2
3
     we don't know how long SharpVue intends to hold the
4
     assets. We -- we heard what -- we've seen what
    Mr. Roberts testified. We've heard what he's --- and
5
    he's confirmed that in -- in this hearing, but we don't
6
    know how long. And our concern is -- it certainly is
7
8
     for the present. We're pushing this for the present.
     But it's for 5 years down the road, 10 years down the
9
     road, 20, 30 years down -- the future of the island,
10
     we're working to ensure, has a transportation system
11
     that is reliable, fairly priced, and that whoever owns
12
13
     it in the private sector gets a reasonable rate of
     return. We're -- all we're asking for is fairness.
14
15
     Assurance that we're gonna have a quality
16
     transportation system that's reliable, meets the needs
     of the island, and it's done so at a fair price.
17
```

- Q. One of the interests that the -- the -- in terms of the long-term success of the island, one of the things that the Village has emphasized is that the assets be kept together as opposed to being parceled out.
- 23 A. Correct.

19

20

21

22

24

Q. The purchase by SharpVue would satisfy that,

wouldn't it? That SharpVue is gonna purchase all the
assets?

- A. If -- if it's done, yes.
- Q. And one of the other concerns that you have testified about in your direct and rebuttal and also at your deposition is about the Village's concern about the continued availability of parking, true?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And SharpVue has committed, in their testimony before the Commission, not to reduce the level of parking that's available, unless the Commission would later give them authority to do that.

Do you recall that testimony?

- A. I do recall.
- Q. All right. And Mr. Roberts has testified that SharpVue has -- as an equity fund, has the assets available to it to meet the needs for expansion or growth of the system?
- A. I've heard that statement and trust that to be true, but don't know for sure.
- Q. And does the Village have any -- does the Village believe that those assurances of availably of parking are insufficient to meet its concerns with regard to parking availability?

- 1 A. Could you repeat that question one more time?
  - Q. Sure. I'll try to ask a better one.
- With regard to the commitments that SharpVue

  has made about the continued availability of the
- 5 parking --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 6 A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. -- does the Village believe that those are sufficient to ensure that there'll be continued available parking?
    - A. I don't -- I don't know the answer to that, and I can't speak for the other council members at that point, but I would just say I -- you know, I don't know enough about SharpVue's financial status and capabilities to know whether they can or can't. We -- we trust that the transfer certificate proceedings will make a determination about that. We anxiously await to hear the results.
    - Q. And the Village will have an opportunity to participate in the transfer proceeding and make its feelings known about any issues it likes in the transfer, true?
  - A. Certainly.
- Q. All right. At your deposition, I asked you a question, and I'm gonna read you the question and

1 | answer in full --

A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. -- and then I want to ask you a question about it in relation to the things that we've been talking about here.

6 I asked you, why does the Village -- my 7 question was, "Why does the Village think that SharpVue, for instance, as the next proposed owner of 8 9 those two assets, parking and barge, would have any interest in pricing the parking such that people 10 11 wouldn't want to come take the ferry, that they're 12 gonna be required to operate pursuant to a certificate 13 from the commission?"

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And your, your response was, "Once again, we don't know what their full intent is, so without that knowledge and without that assurance, we would seek to obtain that assurance through regulation through the Utilities Commission."
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you recall that dialogue?
- 22 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. The -- would you agree that SharpVue has made commitments, in this proceeding, that move

- considerably down the road with regard to providing the kinds of assurances that you didn't know about at the time of your deposition with regard to their plans?
  - A. Well, some of those commitments were made in the -- in a Bald Head Association public meeting back in July. So, I am familiar with some of the commitments, and I have -- and I've heard others, and most recently with the rebuttal testimony by

    Mr. Roberts. And we appreciate that. That is good to hear. But the question is, for how long. And then what?
    - Q. The -- one of the other concerns that the Village has expressed, with regard to the transfer, relates to the imputations of funds that's been discussed at length.

You -- you were in the audience yesterday, and you've heard a little bit about that again today?

- A. I have.
- Q. And so, in that context, you understand that there is an imputation of a little over a half a million dollars, that's made to -- to the ferry, coming out of the last rate case?
- 23 A. Yes.
  - Q. Is the -- and I take it you're aware, since

you've reviewed the testimony in the case, that

SharpVue has committed that they're -- that it steps
into the shoes of the commitment to make that, you
know, imputation, you know, payment, and they would do
so until the Commission allowed them to do otherwise.

Does the Village have any concerns that that commitment is insufficient, with regard to the imputation of funds?

- A. We don't know the answer to the question. We don't know enough -- we haven't been able to get our hands on the financials, like -- due to nondisclosure. So we don't know whether that number's right, whether it needs to be different. We appreciate the willingness to impute going down the road, but -- and we could, perhaps, see a solution that would include imputation of parking -- of parking revenues into ferry operations, among other options to be considered. And we would look for the Commission and its process to help determine the proper and most fair way to handle that issue.
- Q. With regard to whether, in the future, there's a change in the amount -- whether the imputation is eliminated, it's lowered, it's raised -- that's a decision that would be made in the context of

```
1
     a -- of the next rate case and not here, true?
 2
         Α.
               Correct.
 3
                    (Pause.)
 4
               I really appreciate your time. I've got no
         Ο.
     further questions.
 5
 6
               Thank you.
         Α.
 7
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                               Ouestions
         from SharpVue?
 8
 9
                    MR. FERRELL: Might I have a moment?
10
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: That's fair.
11
                    (Pause.)
12
                    MR. FERRELL: No further questions.
13
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
                    Redirect?
14
15
                    MR. TRATHEN:
                                  Thank you.
16
     REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TRATHEN:
               Mr. Gardner, with respect to the last line of
17
         Ο.
18
     questioning with regards to the SharpVue commitments
     that have been made, got a little confusing as between
19
20
     the oral representations that have been made in
21
     connection with the preliminary injunction motion,
22
     things that you maybe have heard in public meetings,
23
     and things which were filed in SharpVue's testimony.
24
    Now, let me just ask, sort of, a more general follow-up
```

to that.

Is -- is it your understanding that the commitments that have been made, promises, whatever you call them at this point in time, are conditional on a decision by the Commission that it not exercise regulatory authority with respect to the barge and the parking?

- A. That's the -- that's the way I understand it, yes.
  - Q. Is it your understanding that these promises, statements, whatever you call them, would be binding upon some third party?
    - A. I haven't taken them as such.
  - Q. Do you know whether these promises, statements have been time-limited in nature?
- A. I seem to recall that -- that some may or may not. I'm -- I don't know that I can answer your question, specifically, other than to say that there's some that don't seem to have. Some have time limits on them, some do not.
  - Q. Well, would that be an issue, do you think, for you and/or the Village, to the extent that a particular commitment had an expiration clause?
    - A. It would bother the Village if it had an

1 expiration clause, yes.

- Q. Are promises, in your view, a substitute for the backstop of Commission regulation?
  - A. No.
- Q. Now, with respect to -- just, sort of, responding to some of the specific questions that were asked to you; you were asked about an annual pass, and you were walking through some calculations for that, the parking pass for Deep Point.
- Is -- is the parking pass a guarantee of availability --
- 12 A. Yeah.
  - Q. -- a particular spot, or is it, you know, as available?
  - A. It -- it's -- it's supposed to provide you parking in a particular area. But there have been times when that area has been full and -- and the owner has had to continue to expand the size of it. And I'm not sure where things stand right now. But there have been times when people I know -- never happened to me personally, but people I know tried to get in but couldn't. And then there's a list of people that have tried to purchase the premium passes that couldn't get them. I don't know where that stands either. Maybe

- that's been settled out and the waiting list is down to nothing. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Now, you were asked by counsel about funding for the Transportation Authority.

Do you recall those questions?

A. I do.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Do you know whether or not -- as I understand your testimony, your response to those questions, you were describing what was happening is the Village being a conduit of payments from Limited to the Authority.
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether -- you're familiar that there is a state law that's set up to
- 14 Transportation Authority?
- 15 A. I am.
- Q. Do you know that the -- whether or not that state law prohibited the Authority from taking funds from a private entity?
  - A. I'm -- I'm not aware of that.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- MR. RISINGER: Objection.
- 22 Q. And --
- MR. RISINGER: It just calls for a legal conclusion.

24

Q.

Transportation Authority?

1	Q. Okay. And who who is
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Hold on. I'm
3	going to overrule the objection to the extent that
4	the witness has knowledge, and he can answer the
5	question.
6	Q. Have you I think you answered the
7	question.
8	A. I'm unaware. I was not aware of that.
9	Q. Okay. And who who is, and to your
10	understanding, is funding the Transportation Authority?
11	A. Well, Limited provides the funds. It is
12	handled through the Village accounting system and pays
13	the bills for either they submit the Village
14	submits the check to the Authority and the treasurer
15	pays the bills or I'm not quite sure how that
16	particular part of it. But but the all the
17	funding for the Transportation Authority, to my
18	knowledge, has come from Bald Head Island.
19	Q. Are you aware of
20	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Bald Head
21	Island?
22	THE WITNESS: Limited.

There's not a state appropriation for the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 1 A. Not to my knowledge.
  - Q. Okay. All right. So, if you could direct your attention to, I believe, what was marked as Cross Exhibit Number 3. There were a couple of e-mails from an individual by the name of Robert Blau.
  - A. Uh-huh.
    - Q. Do you have that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
    - Q. And who is Robert Blau?
- Bob Blau is an island resident; has been for 10 Α. a number of years. He's had a career in the regulatory 11 12 world. I have a modest relationship with him. 13 never dined together, we've never spent a lot of time together, but we have had conversations about any 14 15 number of issues. When it comes to Village issues, since I've been on the council, I've had a lot more 16 17 conversations with Mr. Blau about any number of issues, 18 including transportation.
  - Q. He's a homeowner on the island --
- 20 A. He is.
- 21 Q. -- is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And I believe that the first paragraph of page 1 alludes to the regulatory --

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. -- experience that you --
- 3 A. Yes.

8

O. -- that you mention?

And I assume that you have a lot of

communications from members of the public, given your

public role on behalf of the Village?

- A. I do.
- 9 Q. Okay. If you would turn to page 2 of this 10 exhibit.
- And just to be clear, does Mr. Blau speak for the Village?
- 13 A. No, he does not.
- 14 O. He's not a member of the council?
- 15 A. He is not.
- Q. Okay. With respect to the first paragraph
  here on page 2, I want to read the portion of this and
  see if I've got it right, begin at the second sentence.

"Chad suggested, at one point, that rates

could actually go up, since, as he put it, the

Utilities Commission would calculate the system's new

regulated rate base based on how much SharpVue pays for

it, \$56 million versus its current or old rate base net

book value of its existing plant, which is

- 1 approximately \$20 million."
- 2 A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Is that -- is that what it states?
  - A. That's what it states.
- 5 Q. And then he states, "A difference,
- 6 | \$36 million, would be booked for ratemaking purposes as
- 7 good will. And since state regulators generally do not
- 8 | allow utilities to earn a rate of return on good will,
- 9 user fees for parking, barge, and ferry service would
- 10 go down, not up."
- Is that what it says?
- 12 A. That's what it says.
- 13 Q. And then the next sentence says, "That could
- 14 be problematic for the island if SharpVue overpays for
- 15 the system and is not allowed by regulators to earn a
- 16 | reasonable return on its actual investment. Much
- 17 | needed capital spending on system improvements, going
- 18 | forward, would likely go south along with service
- 19 quality."
- 20 Have I -- did I capture that correctly?
- 21 A. Yes, you did.
- 22 Q. So, what -- what would -- what's your
- 23 understanding of what he's saying there?
- 24 A. Well, you know, if -- if SharpVue, which has

- a commitment to its investors for certain rates of return, is not allowed to -- through the ratemaking process, not allowed to have the amount of revenues coming in to make those payments then they have to cut costs. And cutting costs affects service.
- Q. And he is talking in here about valuation for ratemaking purposes; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, with respect to the questions you were asked by counsel as to the sales price, if -- the amount that SharpVue pays for the assets, who -- who, ultimately, is going to -- who are they going to recover that from?
  - A. The rate --
- MR. FERRELL: Objection.
- 16 THE WITNESS: The ratepayers.
- 17 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Do you want
- 18 to be heard?
  - MR. FERRELL: Yeah. I mean, this

    witness is speculating about a topic that he has

    said he doesn't understand the ins and outs of

    SharpVue's investment, but yet he's now attempting

    to testify about how they're going to run their

    business or handle business decisions. So we would

22

23

24

1 object to him speculating about those issues. MR. RISINGER: And we'd also object to 2 the -- the term of art of recovery and the witness' 3 inability to have a foundation to answer that 4 5 question. 6 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Do you have a 7 response? MR. TRATHEN: I think he -- he responded 8 9 to my question fine. I think it's -- the extent of 10 his experience is pretty clear from his testimony. 11 I mean I'm --12 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I'm going to 13 sustain on the speculation. 14 MR. TRATHEN: Okay. 15 So, with respect to the parking operation, Ο. who -- who are the folks who pay for parking? 16 17 Α. The users. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Α. The people that park their cars there. All right. And is there a concern on the 20 Ο.

Q. All right. And is there a concern on the island that the users of the system will end up paying for whatever -- whatever valuation, whatever purchase price there is -- if this asset -- if this transaction is consummated, is there a concern on the island that,

ultimately, those folks who are paying for parking will end up paying for the purchase price?

MR. FERRELL: Objection to the question asking for him to speculate about what people on the island think.

MR. TRATHEN: I think I'm asking what people are -- what's on their mind and what are they saying to him.

THE WITNESS: There is no speculation,

I'm hearing it --

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I'll overrule the objection to the extent of what you know about it. You may answer the question.

THE WITNESS: All I can say is, from what -- is what I'm hearing from people that use the system. They are concerned about paying for an -- the potential over value -- over value of a set of assets.

- Q. And the folks who pay for parking, are those the same people who ride the ferry?
  - A. Yes, they are.

22 (Pause.)

Q. Okay. Mr. Risinger asked you some questions about concerns that have been raised by citizens of the

- island with respect to this proceeding. Could you turn to Exhibit STG-1.
- 3 A. (Witness complies.)
- 4 Okay.
- 5 Q. And what -- what is this exhibit?
- A. If I'm on the page I think you have directed
- 7 | me to, it's a statement of consumer position.
- 8 Q. Correct.
  - A. Authored by Bob Blau and Paul Carey.
- Q. Okay. And direct your attention to the third
- 11 paragraph --

- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. -- beginning "failing that, we are
- 14 concerned."
- Could you read that sentence?
- 16 | A. Yes. Out loud?
- 17 | O. Yes.
- 18 A. "Failing that, we are concerned that the
- 19 | current system will be broken up with the
- 20 less-profitable regulated passenger ferry and the
- 21 exceedingly profitable unregulated parking and barge
- 22 component sold off to different owners."
- 23 Q. Okay.
- MR. RISINGER: Excuse me. I'm sorry.

Α.

```
1
         Counsel, could you tell us what document you are
         reading from?
 2
                                        This is exhibit
 3
                    MR. TRATHEN: Yes.
 4
         STG-1, page 2.
 5
                    MR. RISINGER: That document?
 6
                    MR. TRATHEN:
                                  No, no, no. The STG --
 7
         the prefiled exhibits.
                    MR. STYERS: To direct.
 8
 9
                    MR. RISINGER: Direct testimony.
10
         you.
               And could you read the next sentence, the
11
         0.
12
     first sentence of the following paragraph?
13
         Α.
               "We do not believe that breaking up Bald Head
     Island's transportation system is in the island's best
14
15
     interest, particularly if the regulated passenger ferry
16
     were left to operate on its own, and different owners
     of the currently unregulated parking and barge
17
     monopolies were free to set rates at whatever level
18
19
     they believe the market will bear."
20
         Ο.
               And if you would just turn to the -- just,
21
     kind of, flip through the next few pages, I see a lot
     of names and addresses here.
22
23
               What do these represent, to your knowledge?
```

They represent property owners on Bald Head

1 Island.

- Q. Okay, the --
- A. In support of the statement.
- Q. Okay. And page 1 of this exhibit states that it's signed by over 400 Bald Head Island property owners.

7 Do you see that on page 1 of the exhibit?

- 8 A. Yes.
  - Q. And so are these represented of the sort of concerns that you are hearing from the folks that you represent as a council member?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And what would you say is the level of concern about this issue, with respect to the people on the island and the stakeholders of the island?
  - A. It's a serious concern. I mean, people are concerned that their transportation system, going forward, if in -- if particularly parking and barge are in an unregulated environment, they're concerned about pricing, they're concerned about service, and they are looking for someone to look out for the public interest.
  - Q. Okay. I want to follow up on some questions that have been asked by various counsel with respect to

```
1
     the Local Government Commission.
               Am I correct that -- did you, generally,
 2
 3
     either attend or monitor Local Government Commission
    meetings when they dealt with Bald Head Island issues?
 4
               I did not attend any in person, but I
 5
         Α.
     listened in on most.
 6
 7
               Okay. And they're available online; is
         Ο.
     that --
 8
 9
               Yes, they are.
         Α.
               Okay. So you were asked by counsel about the
10
         Ο.
11
     Local Government Commission's consideration of the
12
     transportation bond application.
13
               Do you recall those questions?
14
         Α.
               Yes.
15
               Okay. Let me hand out to you, an exhibit
         Q.
16
     here.
17
                    (Pause.)
                    MR. TRATHEN: If I could mark this
18
         exhibit as Gardner Redirect Exhibit 1.
19
20
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Let's stay
21
         with our naming conventions, so far anyway. STG
22
         Redirect Exhibit 1.
                    (STG Redirect Exhibit 1 was marked for
23
                    identification.)
24
```

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: And before
2	you ask any questions about it, we're gonna stop
3	for a brief break. Be back at 11:20.
4	(At this time, a recess was taken from
5	time to time.)
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
7	Let's come back to order. We're still on redirect
8	to this witness. Where is the witness?
9	(Pause.)
LO	MR. TRATHEN: Are we back on?
L1	Q. Mr. Gardner, you've got what has been marked
L2	as STG Redirect Exhibit Number 1.
L3	Do you recognize this document?
L4	A. I do.
L5	Q. And what is it?
L6	A. It is a letter to the Local Government
L7	Commission by a number of island residents after the
L8	so this letter was presented to the Commission after
L9	the sales price was announced. Looks like almost about
20	a month and a half or more after the sales price was
21	announced.
22	Q. Okay. And do you see, in this letter, that
23	there's a number of concerns that are articulated about
24	the sale price process?

- 1 A. If you'd give me a minute to read back 2 through it, let me --
- 3 (Witness peruses document.)
- 4 Okay. What's your question again?
- Q. Yeah. I just -- that was a general question.
- Does this letter generally identify various
- 7 | concerns with the --
  - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And just focusing on the first
- 10 paragraph, the beginning and the three years, the
- 11 BHITA, could you read that to the end of that
- 12 paragraph?

- 13 A. "We have great concern about the potential
- 14 adverse impact of the sale of the Bald Head Island
- 15 | ferry to the Bald Head Island Transportation Authority.
- 16 In the nearly three years the BITA has existed,
- 17 | property owners have received little to no information
- 18 about the process or deliberations. This is troubling.
- 19 The ferry's affordability and service levels are
- 20 | critical to the island.
- Q. Okay. And this is not the Village speaking,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 O. This is a letter from citizens?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay.
3	(Pause.)
4	Q. All right. I'd like to hand you another
5	exhibit, if I could.
6	(Pause.)
7	MR. TRATHEN: And if we could mark this
8	as STG Redirect Exhibit Number 2.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: It'll be so
10	marked.
11	MR. RISINGER: Chair, please. We'd
12	object to the admission of this document. It's not
13	relevant. None of the parties are are involved
14	in any way with this document. It's a document
15	between two state officials that this witness is
16	not copied on or has, you know, no foundation to
17	testify about.
18	MR. TRATHEN: Madam Chair, this is
19	this is a public document that's directly
20	responsive to matters that had been placed and
21	issues by Limited in this proceeding. Namely, what
22	happened before the Local Government Commission.
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I'll
24	overrule.

- 1 (STG Redirect Exhibit Number 2 was marked for identification.)
- Q. Mr. Gardner, have you seen this letter before?
  - A. I have.

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- Q. And what is it?
  - A. It's a letter from the State Auditor to the State Treasurer. It's speaking to issues of what the Local Government Commission is required to look -- to review, or should review, when it comes to approving bond proposals.
- Q. Okay. And do you understand that this letter
  was written in reference to the Bald Head Island
  Transportation system application?
  - A. I -- I am aware of that, yes.
- Q. Okay. And there's, in fact, down in the,
  one, two, three -- fifth paragraph, there's a reference
  to that application, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And if I could direct your attention to the fourth paragraph of this letter, could you read that?
- A. This is the paragraph that begins "to date," right?

- Q. Yes, Sir.
- A. Yes. "To date, the Commission has not received the evidence required by statute that supports the value of the assets and provides adequate support for Commission member consideration, verifying that the amount proposed is adequate and not excessive."
- Q. And, if you would flip to the second page of this letter, please, and would you read the first two sentences of the first paragraph?
  - A. That begins "as a voting member"?
- 11 O. Yes.
  - A. "As a voting member of the Commission, North Carolina General Statute 159 requires reqaires -- requires that I have the information/evidence I need to approve or reject the application. Thus far, I have not been provided that information."
  - Q. Okay. And, if you would just flip down a few paragraphs to "while I have seen first-hand," could you read that sentence?
  - A. "While I have seen first-hand the extreme pressure put upon you to get the applications on the Commissions agenda, that cannot be the reason for putting them on the December 2021 agenda."
    - Q. And then just the concluding sentence,

"again, I insist."

- A. "Again, I insist the two applications for the approval of the sale bonds to purchase the Bald Head Island Transportation system be removed from the December 2021 agenda."
- Q. So what do you take away -- and do you -- do you understand that Ms. -- that Auditor Wood is a member of the Local Government Commission?
  - A. I am.
- Q. And what -- what do you take away from what you just read with respect to this letter; what does she say?
  - MR. RISINGER: Objection, foundation.

    He's asking for an interpretation of a takeaway of a letter he didn't write or receive.
  - MR. TRATHEN: I'm -- I'm asking the witness what he's understanding of the words on the paper are.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: My understanding of the words on the paper is that the Commission has not received the evidence required by statute that supports the value of the assets. And consequently -- and for either proposal. So

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- consequently, the Auditor was requested that the Treasurer not put this issue before a vote until those answers were addressed. And also mentioned extreme pressure being put upon getting these applications to a vote.
- Q. Do you know who is applying the extreme pressure?
  - A. I do not.
    - Q. Okay. Now --
- 10 A. I know it wasn't the Village.
- Q. Okay. And I believe that you testified
  earlier that you personally audit the Local Government
  Commission meetings?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And have you personally heard Auditor Wood
  make statements which are similar to what are in this
  letter?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And can you just describe what you've heard her say?
- A. Well, much along the same lines. In her -you know, it's been a while. I'll try to summarize
  this very briefly. But there were questions that the
  Auditor had with regard to valuations. I recall issues

of the sales price -- or the valuations against the tax value by -- as determined by the Brunswick County tax department.

I recall issues of the condition of ferries, trams, luggage handling, et cetera, that, in her view, were not included, determined, mentioned, or discussed at all in any of the evaluations. And there -- there are more, but I can't -- I can't recall right now.

- Q. And can I assume that, to the extent that the Auditor believes that information hasn't been provided, that's not the Village's fault, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

MR. RISINGER: Objection.

Mischaracterizes testimony.

MR. TRATHEN: Chair, the witness just said that -- that both of the -- both the proposals had deficiencies.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I'll overrule the objection.

MR. TRATHEN: Yes. I have an additional exhibit to pass out, if I could.

(Pause.)

MR. RISINGER: Commissioner Brown-Bland, we would have the -- for the record, we'd just like

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

to reserve our objection, the same objection that we had to the prior exhibit, regarding this one not involving the witness or any of the parties in the case.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: So noted for the record, and I'll overrule the objection.

MR. TRATHEN: Madam Chair, I'd like to mark this exhibit as STG Redirect Exhibit Number 3.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: It'll be so

10 identified.

11 (STG Redirect Exhibit Number 3 was marked for identification.)

- Q. Mr. -- Mr. Gardner, have you seen this letter before?
- 15 A. I have.
- Q. Letter dated January 12, 2022, again, from
  Auditor Wood to Secretary Folwell?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you see the second paragraph,
  the first sentence states that the applications for the
  approval to sell bonds were removed from the
  December 2021 agenda?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And then she goes on to state that she

insists that no application should be included in the Commission agenda in the future for approval without the support of evaluation and appraisal that provides a credible and reliable value of the assets.

Is that what it states?

- A. That's what it states.
- Q. And I asked you before about your listening in to these meetings, and whether you had heard statements similar to this from Auditor Wood, but I neglected to ask, did you hear other members of the Local Government Commission express similar concerns about the type of information that was being provided in support of the applications?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Direct your attention to the last paragraph of -- of page 1. If you could just read beginning "therefore."
- A. "Therefore, until any/all applicants who wish to sell bonds for the purpose of purchasing the assets of the Bald Head Island Transportation system meets the criteria of NC General Statute 159-52, the application should not be included in the Commission agenda for member approval."
  - Q. Okay. And -- and, to your knowledge, have

4

8

12

15

16

17

18

19

- the applications moved forward, subsequent to this letter of January 12, 2022, at the LGC?
  - A. To my knowledge, they have not.

(Pause.)

Q. Mr. -- Mr. Gardner, we've -- I've asked you a few questions on redirect just a bit ago about the attitude of -- of islanders with respect to this

9 Are you aware of a survey that was conducted
10 by Bald Head Association with respect to this
11 proceeding?

A. Yes.

proceeding.

- Q. And tell me what that survey sought to illicit.
  - A. It, as I recall, is a very simple survey asking that -- one or two basic questions, and that being, you know, do -- does the respondent -- are they for or against regulation of the parking and barge operation by the North Carolina Utilities Commission.
- Q. Okay. And are -- what are -- were the results of that survey?
- A. As I recall, 71 to 72 percent of the respondents supported regulation by parking and barge.

  I seem to think, in the 15 percent range, thought that

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- it -- that it shouldn't be regulated -- that the

  Utilities Commission should not regulate parking and

  barge, and then the 13-or-so percent were undecided.
  - Q. Okay. And is that a large percentage, in terms of usual voting patterns on Bald Head Island?
    - A. Absolutely.
  - Q. We -- we spoke a little bit about the potential impacts of this proceeding on rates and ratepayers; do you recall that?
    - A. I do.
  - Q. But there are also other entities on Bald
    Head Island that are impacted by ferry rates and
    parking rates; are there not?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. And could you describe some of those other entities?
- Certainly. And I know we spent a lot of time 17 Α. 18 talking about a broad category of users of the system, 19 and, you know, there's certainly the property owners, 20 the -- the full-time residents who use the ferry on a 21 more regular basis. But there -- there, you know, 22 probably 300, 350 of those folks. There's a 400 -- let 23 me -- let me just give a broader range -- 350 to 450 24 homes that are in a rental program. So, in the

summertime, and even now in the shoulder seasons, and on holidays, there's a lot of vacationer activity, so people that spend a week or two on the island. There are employees and contractors that represent about 40 to 41 -- 40 to 45 percent of the annual traffic on the island are employees; people that coming to work, either for an island organization or -- or as a contractor. You know, housing construction, home repair, home maintenance, those types of things.

And then there's a group of -- I'll talk a little bit about the non-profit community. Old Baldy Foundation; The Conservancy, which is a very well respected and an environmental organization that has a very large following and brings a lot of people over to the island. I think one of the -- it's one of the draws to Bald Head Island.

So their employees use the ferry and parking operation and the -- there are a lot of, what I call, day-trippers. I think the common -- the island uses the term day-trippers, are people that come over just for the day. Some of them are vacationing somewhere else in the Southeast but come over to Bald Head for the day. There are a lot of field trips. Youth that come over during school trip for field trips to visit

- the, the Old Baldy Foundation and the lighthouse; that visit The Conservancy and what The Conservancy has to offer. So there is a pretty wide range of -- of people that are using the parking and the ferry operations on a regular basis.
  - Q. Okay. And this may go without saying, but the island is open to the public, is it not?
    - A. Yes, it is.
    - Q. Okay.
  - A. I would also -- I might, to that point, add that we have a maritime forest that is a state organization that about a third or so of the acreage on the island is held by the State and will never be developed that is -- that is a unique environmental asset for Bald Head, and again, part of the draw, why people come. To hike the trails and -- and drive through the maritime forest.
  - Q. Mr. Gardner, circling back to something we touched on at the beginning of this redirect, with respect to the SharpVue statements or stipulations; however you term that, had -- has SharpVue, to your knowledge, communicated with the Village concerning what the Village's concerns are and what they might want, in terms of comfort?

- A. Insomuch as the mayor and I had discussions
  with the -- with Mr. Roberts and Mr. Paul as we're
  trying to look at the -- at potential, you know,
  ownership or operational arrangement. That's been the
  extent of it. But in terms of meeting in front of the
  full council or sharing information, you know, in a
  letter to the Village, no, we have not received that --
  - Q. Did you --
    - A. -- to my knowledge.
  - Q. Okay. And with respect to the commitments that have been discussed in this proceeding, had you any prior knowledge of those from -- from SharpVue?
- 13 A. No.

9

10

11

- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 (Pause.)
- MR. TRATHEN: That's all I have.
- 17 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Questions
- 18 from the Commission?
- 19 Commissioner Clodfelter?
- 20 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
- Q. Mr. Gardner, I've got a couple of really, really mundane questions, but they actually are pertinent to the issues before the Commission.
- In your experience, as a long-time resident

- on the island and as a user of the ferry service, are
- 2 you aware of folks who will pay to park in the parking
- 3 | facility and, for purposes other than, taking the ferry
- 4 or using the barge? Or maybe they're employees of Bald
- 5 | Head Transportation and Bald Head Limited. Excluding
- 6 employees, do people park there and visit other
- 7 | locations on the mainland?
- 8 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 9 Q. You've never seen that?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Have no knowledge that that occurs?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Okay. You were asked some questions on cross
- 14 examination about the annual parking pass, and I
- 15 believe that you testified that you're the holder of an
- 16 | annual parking pass?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you hold an annual pass for the ferry
- 19 | service as well?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. You do not?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Do you -- there was an annual pass that was
- 24 approved in the 2011 rate order.

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Does that still exist?
- 3 A. Far as I know, yes.
- Q. Do you know any of your friends or neighbors
- 5 who hold an annual ferry pass?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know whether they purchase that on a combined basis with a parking pass, or do they purchase them separately?
- 10 A. I -- I don't know the answer to that.
- 11 Q. You don't have any knowledge on that subject
- 12 at all?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Because you don't hold one yourself?
- 15 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Well, I'll leave that alone.
- You've used the barge --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- according to your pre-trial testimony?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, as -- as you say in your prefiled
- 22 testimony, you've had appliances, furniture, small
- 23 construction materials, an HVAC unit, and a water
- 24 heater that have come to your house by way of the

1 barge.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. How -- how -- tell me a little bit more about the mechanics, actually how that happened.

Did the contractors or the retailers or the installers' truck arrive at your house and say, "Hey, we got here. We came across on the barge and we got here, here's your -- here's your refrigerator"?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. That's right. You -- you didn't have to go down and make arrangements to pick up the appliances or the furniture at the barge.
- A. Not in those cases.
- O. And not in those cases.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And when it was loaded on the barge on the mainland side to get to you, that wasn't done by the barge, that was just the truck -- the installers' truck, or the retailer's truck, or the contractor's truck was driven onto the barge, and the -- and the appliances and the furniture were inside it?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. That's what I thought, and I wanted to be sure if I understood how the mechanics worked, then.

2

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, you also say you have -- you used to have a small boat and trailer --

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- that you had to take back and forth for repairs and maintenance on the mainland.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. How'd you get it to the barge?
- So I would tow it, with my golf cart, up to 8 Α. the barge, and when Rusty said go ahead, I'd back it on 9 And then when we get to the other side, drive 10 there. it off until the marine service could come pick it up. 11 And then when the service was done, they delivered it 12 13 back to the mainland ferry -- barge terminal, and I would go over with my golf cart, back it --- or pull it 14 15 on to the ferry -- to the barge, excuse me, and back on 16 the main- -- on the island side, drive it off the barge back to the house. 17
  - Q. And so you'd ride on the barge with it --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- in your golf cart when you took it over to the mainland, and then when you brought it back, you were riding on the barge in your golf cart?
  - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir.

A. Thank you.

2 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Commissioner

3 Duffley?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:

- Q. Good morning. I had a question about the employees that come onto the island.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Could you explain a bit to the Commission about the ability to hire employees this past summer?
- It -- it -- much like a lot of places, Α. it's -- the labor market's tight, and we've always had to -- to deal with the issue of the inconvenience of ferrying the employees to and from the island. Some employees love it. It's part of the charm of their job, is to getting on the ferry and getting to work and back. To some, it's a labor, but they do it anyway, because it's a job and they get paid. And as long as the ferries and the contractor ferries are running on time, it's -- you know, everybody is comfortable. But when the ferries begin not running on time, then the employees have to wait on the island side and on the mainland side to catch a ferry that they can get over And then at the end of their workday, when they're on. expecting, at the scheduled time, to get on the ferry

to head home, they -- if that ferry is running late or they get bumped, then they're having to wait another 30 minutes to an hour or more beyond the normal ferry time to get back to the mainland in their car and back home.

So it's -- you know, when you -- when we -- when employers on the island hire these folks the -- the ferry is part of the explanation of what the job entails. It's the experience as they're in the job and -- and experience the delays that may or may not allow them to want to keep the job or not.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. And with respect to the Indigo Plantation parking, you stated, I believe I heard testimony, of it's about four to five miles away from Deep Point Marina?
- A. I think I -- I think I said -- I think I recall three-and-a-half to four.
  - Q. Three-and-a-half to four miles away.

And if there was a shuttle service to the Indigo Plantation, about how -- what's the timing that you think a shuttle would take to get between those two points?

- A. Probably in the 10-to-15-minute range.
- Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Maybe 20.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- A. But to paint the picture, I -- when they had the shuttle at Indigo, you know, years ago, think about a family coming on vacation. They got to drive into Deep Point. They offload their luggage. Probably off load everybody except the driver of the vehicle. That driver then has to drive 10, 15, 20 minutes to Indigo, catch a shuttle, ferry back another 10, 15, 20 minutes to meet up with their family, to hop on a ferry, to get
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. I have nothing further.
- 12 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Commissioner
- 13 McKissick?

to Bald Head.

- 14 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER McKISSICK:
- 15 Q. First of all, thank you for your testimony.
- 16 Let me follow up, first, on what are some of
- 17 | Commissioner Duffley's questions.
- 18 In terms of the time frame to get from Indigo
- 19 over to Deep Point, I believe yesterday I heard the
- 20 | time would -- on the shuttle would be about five
- 21 minutes?
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. So is it your belief that five minutes is an
- 24 | inaccurate representation?

- 1 A. It is.
- 2 Q. I would --
- 3 A. Let me -- let me correct that, Commissioner.
- 4 I would say five minutes is the very short end of the
- 5 range. I don't think I could drive it in five minutes.
- 6 | I think, in my case, it would have been more in the 10-
- 7 to 12-minute range. To some other people -- because I
- 8 know some shortcuts. Other people it may take 15
- 9 | minutes. But I think most people would make that drive
- 10 | in 10 to 15 minutes.
- 11 Q. And are you familiar with the parking
- 12 | capacity over at Indigo?
- 13 A. I'm not sure of the con- -- I'm not sure of
- 14 that right now. I know there's the -- I -- I don't
- 15 know the answer to that question.
- 16 Q. Don't know. Do -- can you -- in terms of
- 17 | relative size, is it -- how does it compare to the
- 18 parkings available, or near Deep Point?
- 19 A. Deep Point is much larger.
- Q. Much larger?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Let me shift gears.
- I believe you indicated that the Village, in
- 24 | contemplation of buying the assets of Bald Head, was

- proposing a bond referendum for \$54 million; is that correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. And did that bond referendum actually go to voters?
- 6 A. It did.
- 7 Q. And when did that vote occur?
- 8 A. November of 2021.
- 9 Q. November of 2021.
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. So, that would have been in the same time frame that the Authority was seeking approval for the Local Government Commission.
- 14 A. The Authority began seeking approval in 15 December of 2020.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. So this vote happened 11 months after that.
- Q. And typically when -- before bond referendum goes to the public, there's informational sessions
- 20 provided --
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. -- to acquaint voters as to what exactly the funds would be used for.
- 24 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you recall exactly what was stated during those informational sessions about how that \$54 million would be spent?
- A. Yes. So there were -- there was one session in August, and a follow-up session in October, leading to the November referendum. And included in that, with regards to the amount being borrowed and what those proceeds would be used for, it was a \$54 million total GO bond referendum, of which, \$47.75 million would be used to -- up to that amount would be used to acquire the Transportation assets.

Then there was some bond issuance costs in the million dollar range, I think. And then we -that's 50, 48 -- I -- I would just -- somewhere between 2 to a little over \$2 million was in bond issuance cost, okay. And then there was \$4 million -- we added \$4 million to make capital improvements immediately upon the issuance of the bonds to improve things in the transportation system that we thought were necessary.

- Q. And what did those things include, if you recall?
- A. So there are serious concerns about luggage handling on both the Deep Point side, but mostly on the island side. During peak season, there's not enough

covered area for the luggage containers to bring
luggage off the ferry, lay it out, to put the luggage
on the racks for people to -- to get, much like at an
airport when you pick your luggage up at the conveyers.
Then you -- each individual families to move that to
the tram they've been assigned and then off it goes.
So, many times, and particularly in bad weather, heavy
rains and so forth, the luggage is laid out in the rain
and gets wet and --

- O. I understand.
- A. So we -- we would seek -- one of the -- one of the solutions was to try to work on building some additional covered luggage areas. Other -- other included the potential purchase of replacement trams, the trucks, because they were in serious -- there was a period of time when they weren't being replaced, we don't believe, on the schedule that they once were replaced. They were just looking older, they were more beat up, and not the -- the quality of the product that we have been used to on the island. But the -- the trailer covers, the one -- the trailer that the passengers sit in, have a fabric or canvas cover, again, to protect them from the rain. A number of those were ripped and torn; flapping around in the

- wind; and then, you know, again, in rainy weather, you know, the passengers are getting wet. Those are the types of things we were looking to improve -- make capital improvements on.
  - Q. So I take it, since the Village was proposing to acquire these assets for about \$47.5 million, you felt as if that was a reasonable fair market value?
    - A. No, we did not.
    - Q. Did not?
  - A. Once again, as I stated earlier, all we were trying to do was have that number in the bond referendum, because we weren't sure of the valuations.
    - O. I see.
- A. We didn't know what the true value was. But if Limited was willing to sell to the Transportation Authority for \$47.75 million, surely they'd be willing to sell to the Village for \$47.74 million, if we could determine that that was in true -- was, in truth, the right value. And to this point -- and again, the Local Government Commission has never been satisfied that that value was true on the Transportation Authority proposal, which is why I think the State Auditor referenced in her letter, neither proposal will come before them, because we don't have -- the Village

- doesn't have any better information with regard to valuation than did the Transportation Authority.
  - Q. Well --
  - A. Actually, we had less because of information that the Authority had, we didn't.
  - Q. Now, in those informational sessions with voters, did you have any kind of performer information that would have been provided about how the -- the bonds would have been repaid?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. What did they reveal? Did they reveal any proposed increase in charges?
  - A. We -- to -- that's a very good question.

    Again, to make things easy for the Local Government

    Commission, we used the same -- many of the same pro

    forma variables, as did the local -- as did the

    Transportation Authority. For example, their revenue

    projections are the same revenue projections we

    included in our proposal. Operating expenses, with the

    same operating expenses we included in our proposal.

    Capital expenditures over the time, are the same

    capital. So it -- there were -- they were exact -- I

    say exact. I hope I'm right about that. If not, they

    were very close to what the Transportation Authority

had in their pro formas presented before the Local Government Commission. The same ones we used in our proposal to Local Government Commission, and it's the same ones we used in our public meetings for the folks that would be voting on the bond referendum.

- Q. So, at any point, was it proposed that fees might be increased upwards to 20 percent?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that was foundational information that was presented prior to voters voting on the bond issue?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Was it suggested that there be additional ad valorem taxes used to subsidize the repayment of the bonds?
- A. It was shared that that is a possibility, but the -- the Village -- our -- this sitting Village

  Council that was making this proposal and seeking bond referendum reproval, expressed our strong desire for that to never happen. That we would look to -- if -- if it made sense, we would look to adjust rates to accommodate any additional expense or cost that may be undetermined at that point in time. But if it became necessary, we were very clear that we -- that the council did hold that possibility to affect property

taxes if the -- if the situation called for it.

- Q. So, the goal of the Village, at that time, was to acquire the assets, adjust rates as required and necessary to repay the --
  - A. Yes.
  - O. -- debt service on the bonds?
- 7 A. Correct.
  - Q. And that rates could go up 20 percent, or perhaps more, depending upon performance, or revenues that were received?
  - A. That was -- we presented the worst-case scenario in our proposal. And we felt that that we may not have to raise rates the 20 percent that was in the Transportation Authority proposal.
    - O. Uh-huh.
  - A. Again, we -- I think -- I think I mentioned this, but I don't -- maybe not. In addition to lower bond issuance cost than the Transportation Authority, because we were issuing general obligation bonds versus revenue bonds, we were having an interest rate about a half a percent at the time, about a half a percent lower than the Transportation Authority would have borrowed their revenue bond at, which was gonna save in the neighborhood of \$450,00 to \$500,000 a year. We

- could either put that money into operational
  improvements, or we could use that money to keep rates
  lower, so.
  - Q. Now, when you were discussing potential expansion, improvements --
    - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. -- I observed that you did not identify any that would increase parking capacity.

Was that anticipated or was it not included as a part of what was thought to be necessary?

A. No, it was -- it was discussed, and -- and -- I'm trying to recall if it was specifically stated. I know it was discussed and mentioned in Q and A's about, you know, what are you -- what are you guys gonna do about the parking problem. Well, we would look to expand, to add parking where we could, and to -- you know, because we're going to have an issue. I mean, the island is only two-thirds or thereabouts built out. And Deep Point continues to reach capacity. And once again, I credit Limited for making changes and expanding some capacity and, you know, a couple of years ago and again this past year, to meet that expanding capacity. But again, there are going to be more homes built, and it's gonna have to be expanded

- 1 | yet again. So we would look to do everything we could
- 2 | within the confines of the Deep Point property, and
- 3 then look to expand further down the road, whether it's
- 4 acquiring a lot across the street, or whether it's
- 5 building a parking deck. Yeah. Scott Gardner's
- 6 opinion is, one of those two things is going to have to
- 7 happen down the road, and we need to be thinking about
- 8 them.
- 9 Q. And there is a lot across the street, or land
- 10 available across the street from the existing --
- 11 A. There is.
- 12 Q. -- Deep Point parking?
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 0. And has that -- I understand that's been on
- 15 | the market in recent years?
- 16 A. It has.
- 17 Q. Do you know what the price was, at that time?
- 18 A. I do not.
- 19 Q. And as I recall, right now there's 1,200 --
- 20 | 1,250 to 1,350 homes that have been built?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And approximately 1,900 that will,
- 23 ultimately, one day be built on the island.
- 24 A. If not a few more, but in that neighborhood,

1 yes.

- 2 Q. Okay. Now, when that bond referendum went
- 3 up, it was approved by what percentage of the voters?
- 4 A. 59/41, or 60/40, somewhere in that
- 5 neighborhood.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further
- 7 questions.
- 8 A. Thank you.
- 9 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Commissioner
- 10 Duffley?
- 11 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
- 12 Q. I had one follow-up with respect to the lot
- 13 across from Deep Point.
- A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. Is that across Ferry Road?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 18 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
- 19 Q. All right. Mr. Gardner, just a few
- 20 questions.
- So, to your knowledge, is Indigo still owned
- 22 by Limited?
- 23 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- Q. And your counsel asked you about a survey --

1 A. Uh-huh.

4

- Q. -- that was done, and the survey covered both the barge and the parking area, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. You were asked some questions on cross about parking -- about your knowledge of villagers
  complaining or having issues about the parking
  situation and what would happen with the parking --
  - A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. -- if this sales transaction goes through.
- Did you hear, also, about the barge in that
- 12 | same context?
- 13 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Did you hear about the barge as often as you did the parking?
- 16 A. Probably not.
- Q. Was the nature of the complaints about the barge different from the complaints --
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. -- as it regarded the parking?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And the -- you're familiar with the
- 23 | Fort Fisher Ferry?
- 24 A. Yes.

- Q. Is there a reasonable possibility, in your mind, that that -- that the area around Fort Fisher and the parking area used for Fort Fisher would provide some suitable substitute for the parking --
  - A. I don't believe so.
  - O. -- facilities as subject to this action?
- A. I don't believe so, Commissioner. There's not much parking there. The parking -- I mean, the surface lot that is there is used to align cars and vehicles to get on the Southport Fort Fisher Ferry. So there's -- there's -- in my experience, it just doesn't seem to be much parking over there. And then again, it's the inconvenience of having to park there and to walk quite a distance to get to the Deep Point terminal.
  - Q. All right. And what would -- the time from arriving at the Fort Fisher area to getting on the island, itself, would be -- how much time would be added, or would it be time added?
  - A. I -- I take your question to be the difference between, let's say, the furthest parking space in the Deep Point parking lot, and then -- what's that -- what's that time, and then how long would it take to get from Fort Fisher to the Deep Point

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 terminal? I would -- I think it would probably add, you know, 7 to 10 to 12 minutes. 2
  - All right. Thank you. Q.
- 4 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Is there -are there questions on Commissions questions. 5

6 MR. TRATHEN: Just a couple, please.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: How about,

how long will they take?

MR. TRATHEN: Three minutes, maybe.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:

## EXAMINATION BY MR. TRATHEN:

- Mr. Gardner, sitting here today, does the Q. Village know what the value of the assets is?
- We do not. 14 Α.
  - And it's your testimony that the -- there are members of the LGC who say they don't as well?
- That's what I understand from reading their 17 Α. 18 documents.
  - In you experience is a fair definition of the Ο. value of these kinds of assets what someone in the market is willing to pay for?
    - In an unregulated environment, yes. Α.
- SharpVue has agreed to buy in for 56; do you Ο. 24 have reason to question that's not the value if a

willing buyer is willing to buy them in an arm's-length transaction?

A. Once again, what SharpVue is willing to pay is immaterial to us. All we're concerned about is that when -- at the end of the day, that the rates are fair and just, and that the users of the system are getting adequate service for a reasonable price, and the investors are getting a reasonable rate of return for their investment.

MR. TRATHEN: Did I beat three minutes?

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Are you done?

MR. TRATHEN: Yes, Ma'am.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

Questions on the Commission's questions from --

Mr. Higgins?

MR. HIGGINS: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.

## 17 | EXAMINATION BY MR. HIGGINS:

Q. Mr. Gardner, question on Commissioner
Clodfelter's question about the location of the Deep
Point parking facility or the ferry terminal.

Does the location of Deep Point lend itself to use with -- could folks reasonable be expected to park there to visit Downtown Southport or some other point of interest?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Is -- is the location of Deep Point relatively outside of Southport proper?
- A. There's really -- to me, the closest commercial establishments are probably two miles away.

  Coming in on Moore Street, right before you get to Howe Street, it's probably, you know, close to two miles from the Deep Point parking lot.
  - Q. All right, sir. Thank you. Nothing further.

    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Trathen?

    MR. TRATHEN: Nothing further.
- COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
- 13 I'll take motions.
- MR. RISINGER: Commissioner, we'd like
  to move into -- make motion to move into evidence

  SGT-1 and -- Cross Exhibits 1 and 2, and 3.
- 17 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
- 18 That motion will be allowed without objection.
- 19 (STG Cross Examination Exhibits 1
- through 3 were admitted into evidence.)
- MR. TRATHEN: Yes. Commissioner, we
- 22 | would -- to the extent necessary, would move into
- 23 the record the exhibits attached to Mr. Gardner's
- 24 direct testimony, as well as the -- I believe it

1 was three redirect exhibits for Mr. Gardner. COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: That motion 2 3 is allowed, and we will receive STG-1, STG-2, and 4 it's three subparts, as well as the three redirect exhibits --5 6 MR. TRATHEN: Thank you. 7 -- will be COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: received into evidence at this time. 8 9 (Exhibits STG-1, STG-2, STG-2.1, STG-2.2, and STG-2.3 and STG Redirect 10 Exhibits 1 through 3 were admitted into 11 12 evidence.) 13 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Gardner, 14 you may be -- you may step down. I take, 15 Mr. Trathen, is he coming back on rebuttal? 16 my information. He is, yes. 17 MR. TRATHEN: 18 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. 19 And, at this time, we're going to break for lunch, 20 but I want to -- I was advised to let you know 21 there's, sort of, scaffolding and construction over 22 at the entrance door, so it may take longer to get 23 back in, and we will come back on the record at 24 1:30.

```
(The hearing was adjourned at 12:08
 1
                     p.m. and set to reconvene at 1:30 p.m.
 2
 3
                     on Tuesday, October 11, 2022.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, KIM T. MITCHELL, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the
3	Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before
4	me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the
5	Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a
6	true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.
7	
8	<u>K</u> ím T. Mítchell
9	Kim T. Mitchell
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION