BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. G-5, SUB 642

In the Matter of		
Application of Public Service Company)	TESTIMONY OF
of North Carolina, Inc. for Annual)	SONJA R. JOHNSON
Review of Gas Costs Pursuant to)	PUBLIC STAFF –
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.4(c) and)	NORTH CAROLINA
Commission Rule R1-17(k)(6))	UTILITIES COMMISSION
)	

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. G-5, SUB 642

TESTIMONY OF SONJA R. JOHNSON ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC STAFF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

JULY 25, 2022

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND	
2		PRESENT POSITION.	
3	A.	My name is Sonja R. Johnson, and my business address is 430	
4		North Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am the Financial	
5		Manager of the Natural Gas & Transportation Section in the	
6		Accounting Division of the Public Staff. My qualifications and	
7		experience are provided in Appendix A.	
8	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS	
9		PROCEEDING?	
10	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide my conclusions	
11		regarding the prudence of Public Service Company of North	
12		Carolina, Inc.'s (PSNC or the Company) hedging decisions during	
13		the review period.	
14	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW YOU CONDUCTED YOUR REVIEW.	
15	A.	I reviewed the testimony and exhibits of the Company's witnesses,	
16		monthly Deferred Gas Cost Account reports,	

monthly financial and operating reports, the gas supply and
pipeline transportation contracts, and responses to Public Staff data
requests. The responses to the Public Staff data requests
contained information related to PSNC's gas purchasing
philosophies, customer requirements, and gas portfolio mixes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

HEDGING ACTIVITIES

- 7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE PUBLIC STAFF CONDUCTED ITS
 8 REVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S HEDGING ACTIVITIES.
- 9 A. The Public Staff's review of the Company's hedging activities is 10 performed on an ongoing basis and includes the analysis and 11 evaluation of the following information:
- 1. The Company's monthly hedging deferred accountreports;
 - Detailed source documentation, such as broker statements, which provide support for the amounts spent and received by the Company for financial instruments;
 - Workpapers supporting the derivation of the maximum hedge volumes targeted for each month;
- 4. Periodic reports on the status of hedge coverage foreach month;
- 5. Periodic reports on the market values of the various financial instruments used by the Company to hedge;

1		6. The monthly Heaging Program Status Report;
2		7. The monthly report reconciling the Hedging Program
3		Status Report and the Hedging Deferred Account Report;
4		8. Minutes from meetings of the Company's risk
5		management personnel;
6		9. Minutes from meetings of the Company's risk
7		management personnel and its committees that pertain to hedging
8		activities;
9		10. Reports and correspondence from the Company's
10		external and internal auditors that pertain to hedging activities;
11		11. Hedging plan documents that set forth the Company's
12		gas price risk management policy, hedge strategy, and gas price
13		risk management operations;
14		12. Communications with Company personnel regarding
15		key hedging events and plan modifications under consideration by
16		the Company's risk management personnel; and
17		13. Testimony and exhibits of the Company's witnesses
18		in the annual review proceeding.
19	Q.	WHAT IS THE STANDARD SET FORTH BY THE COMMISSION
20		FOR EVALUATING THE PRUDENCE OF A COMPANY'S
21		HEDGING DECISIONS?

- A. In its February 26, 2002, Order on Hedging in Docket No. G-100,
 Sub 84 (Hedging Order), the Commission stated that the standard
 for reviewing the prudence of hedging decisions is that the decision
 "must have been made in a reasonable manner and at an
 appropriate time on the basis of what was reasonably known or
 should have been known at that time." Hedging Order, 92 NCUC 4,
 11-12 (2002).
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ACTIVITY REPORTED IN THE
 9 COMPANY'S HEDGING DEFERRED ACCOUNT DURING THE
 10 REVIEW PERIOD.
- 11 A. The Company experienced a net credit of \$9,818,653 in its Hedging
 12 Deferred Account during the review period. This net credit amount
 13 on March 31, 2022, is composed of the following items:

Economic (Gain)/Loss - Closed Positions	(\$13,552,663)
Premiums Paid	3,935,280
Brokerage Fees & Commissions	47,883
Interest on Hedging Deferred Account	(249,154)
Hedging Deferred Account Balance	(\$9,818,653)

The first item shown in the chart above, Economic (Gain)/Loss –
Closed Positions, is the gain on hedging positions that the
Company realized during the review period. Premiums Paid is the
amount spent by the Company on futures and options positions
during the current review period. As of March 31, 2022, this amount

includes call options purchased by PSNC for the March 2023 contract period, a contract period which is 12 months beyond the end of the current review period and 11 months beyond the April 2022 prompt month.¹ Brokerage Fees and Commissions are the amounts paid to brokers to complete the transactions. The Interest on Brokerage Account amount is the interest earned by the Company on amounts deposited with its broker, and the Interest on Hedging Deferred Account is the amount accrued by the Company on its Hedging Deferred Account in accordance with N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-130(e).

The Company proposed that the \$9,818,653 credit balance in the Hedging Deferred Account as of the end of the review period be transferred to its Sales Customers' Only Deferred Account. The hedging charges result in an annual credit of \$11.84 for the average residential customer, which equates to approximately \$0.99 per month. PSNC's weighted average hedged cost of gas for the review period was \$5.04 per dt.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR CONCLUSION REGARDING THE PRUDENCE OF THE COMPANY'S HEDGING ACTIVITIES?

¹ Prompt month refers to the futures contract that is closest to expiration and is usually for delivery in the next calendar month (e.g., prompt month contracts traded in February are typically for delivery in March).

- 1 Α. Based on what was reasonably known or should have been known 2 at the time the Company made its hedging decisions affecting the 3 review period, as opposed to the outcome of those decisions, my 4 analysis leads me to the conclusion that the Company's decisions 5 were prudent. I therefore recommend that the \$9,818,653 credit 6 balance in the Hedging Deferred Account as of the end of the 7 review period be transferred to the Company's Sales Customers' 8 Only Deferred Account.
- 9 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 10 A. Yes, it does.

APPENDIX A Page 1 of 2

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

SONJA R. JOHNSON

I am a graduate of North Carolina State University with a Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degree in Accounting. I was initially an employee of the Public Staff from December 2002 until May 2004 and rejoined the Public Staff in January 2006. I became the Accounting Division's Manager for Natural Gas and Transportation in May 2022.

As an Accounting Manager, I am responsible for the performance and supervision of the following activities: (1) the examination and analysis of testimony, exhibits, books and records, and other data presented by utilities and other parties under the jurisdiction of the Commission or involved in Commission proceedings; and (2) the preparation and presentation to the Commission of testimony, exhibits, and other documents in those proceedings.

Since initially joining the Public Staff in December 2002, I have filed testimony or affidavits in several water and sewer general rate cases. I have also filed testimony in applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity to construct water and sewer systems and noncontiguous extension of existing systems. My experience also includes filing affidavits

APPENDIX A Page 2 of 2

in several fuel clause rate cases and Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (REPS) cost recovery cases for the utilities currently organized as Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Duke Energy Progress, LLC, and Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power.

While away from the Public Staff, I was employed by Clifton Gunderson, LLP. My duties included the performance of cost report audits of nursing homes, hospitals, federally qualified health centers, intermediate care facilities for the mentally handicapped, residential treatment centers and health centers.