STAFF CONFERENCE

1.3

JUNE 6, 2022

CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning. Let's come to order and go on the record, please. I'm Charlotte Mitchell, Chair of the Utilities Commission. With me this morning are Commissioners Brown-Bland, Clodfelter, Duffley, Hughes, McKissick, and Kemerait. In compliance with the State Government Ethics Act, I remind Members of the Commission of their duty to avoid conflicts of interest and inquire, at this time, as to whether any member of the Commission has a known conflict with respect to matters coming before us.

(No response)

CHAIR MITCHELL: The record will reflect no conflicts have been identified, so we'll proceed to Public Staff, Item 1.

MS. BOSWELL: Good morning. Michelle
Boswell, Public Staff accounting. Item P-1 are Docket
Nos. E-7, Sub 1100A and G-9 Sub 682A, an Application
filed by DEC and Piedmont For Approval of an Affiliate
Agreement for electric service to allow DEC to provide
electric service to Piedmont's Huntersville LNG
facility. The Public Staff has reviewed the initial
filing and revised contract and recommends the
Commission approve the Electric Service Agreement as

1	revised.
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of
3	the recommendation.
4	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Second.
5	CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and
6	seconded that the item be approved as recommended by
7	the Public Staff. Questions or discussion?
8	(No response)
9	CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, all in favor,
10	indicate with an aye.
11	(All Commissioners say aye)
12	CHAIR MITCHELL: Is there anyone opposed?
13	(No response)
14	CHAIR MITCHELL: Motion carries. Thank you,
15	Ms. Boswell. Next up, Public Staff, Item 2.
16	MR. WILLIAMSON: Good morning,
17	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy
18	Division. Item 2 is an Application by Duke Energy
19	Carolinas for a Certificate of Environmental
20	Compatibility and Public Convenience and Motion for
21	Waiver of Notice and Hearing to relocate the
22	transmission line in Randolph County. The Public
23	Staff has reviewed the application and recommends
2.4	their approval as filed.

```
1
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Williamson.
 2
    Question for you. I note that DEC has provided an
 3
    estimate for the construction work necessary to
    construct this line. How good is that estimate?
 4
 5
    certain is that estimate, at this point?
 6
              MR. WILLIAMSON: Right. I believe in their
 7
    application, this maybe estimated at $27 million.
 8
               CHAIR MITCHELL:
                               Um-um.
 9
              MR. WILLIAMSON: And actually, last week,
10
    they amended that, so now it's down to 24 million.
11
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Well it's gone down.
12
              MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes. So, apparently, there
    were site conditions that were not as rocky to deal
1.3
14
    with locating the towers, and so, yeah, those costs
15
    have come down.
16
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Well, I appreciate
17
    that good news. Thank you for sharing it.
18
              MR. MANESS:
                            Yes.
19
              CHAIR MITCHELL: And I see here that the
20
    Company says it anticipates receiving revenue credits
21
    that would offset costs of relocation of this line.
22
    Does that continue to be the case if, for some reason,
23
    the costs go up again?
24
                                        So really, they're
              MR. WILLIAMSON:
                                Right.
```

```
1
    independent of each other, so the revenue credit's
 2
    going to be generated based on the actual revenue
 3
    coming from the Company, and so the cost will be
 4
    offset at whatever they end up being. So if costs
 5
    continue to go down, you know, the revenue credit
    isn't going to change. So if something happens and
 6
 7
    costs go up, the revenue credit should remain the
 8
    same.
 9
               CHAIR MITCHELL: And so it won't fully
10
    offset the cost under those circumstances if the costs
11
    increase?
12
               MR. WILLIAMSON: Right. And I guess in
1.3
    this, you know, in this case, we have the allocation
14
    or the preparation from the General Assembly for the
15
    $18 million dollars.
16
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Which does provide a little
17
    bit of help.
18
              MR. WILLIAMSON: That's right.
```

CHAIR MITCHELL: And that's in the record, and I note that, and I am very thankful for that assistance to ratepayers. All right. I'll take a motion.

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of the recommendation.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Second.
2	CHAIR MITCHELL: Questions or discussion?
3	(No response)
4	CHAIR MITCHELL: All in favor, indicate with
5	an aye.
6	(All Commissioners say aye)
7	CHAIR MITCHELL: Anyone opposed?
8	(No response)
9	CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Williamson.
10	That motion carries, just for the record. Moving on
11	to Public Staff, Item No. 3.
12	MR. NADER: Good morning. I'm Jordan Nader
13	with the PublicPublic Staff Energy Division. I'm
14	presenting Item 3 today. Item 3 is a request by
15	Piedmont Natural Gas Company for suspension of routine
16	meter testing activities for its residential and
17	commercial meters for calendar year 2022. The Public
18	Staff has reviewed the request.
19	During our investigation, the Company stated
20	that the supply of ERTs was temporarily halted. In
21	addition, the Company stated that their current meter
22	testing program requires the recycling of the entire
23	meter unit, including the ERT, regardless of test
24	outcome Public Staff recommends the Commission

approve the temporary waiver subject to the conditions set forth in the proposed order. Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Nader. A few questions for the Company. Ms. Powers, I see you out there. For the record, please ma'am, introduce yourself.

MS. POWERS: Yes. My name is Pia Powers.

I'm the Managing Director of gas rates and regulatory
for Piedmont Natural Gas.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Powers, the Commission has some of the same concerns that we had when PSNC was before us with a similar request, similar situation faced by that Company. But for purposes of Piedmont's request, when do you-all expect the next shipment of transmitters to arrive?

MS. POWERS: The Itron, ERTs, which is what is currently on back-order, we expect that to arrive pursuant to communication from Itron in December of 2022. That is now not the actual next shipment. That's the next shipment of Itron orders. We have identified a different supplier of ERTs that we've determined can be compatible with our systems, and we have placed an order for that. That vendor is Honeywell, and we expect a shipment from them in the

1 September time frame.

1.3

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Good. So, sort of, following on, do you-all have concerns about running out of ERTs before September or December if the Honeywell shipment doesn't materialize?

MS. POWERS: Certainly that is what we've been aggressively pursuing all options in order to not face that situation. And with this order, with Honeywell, and the opportunity, we have now to use that. We don't believe we're -- we don't expect to run out, again, contingent upon all the shipments, Honeywell and Itron occurring.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you for that response. Worst-case scenario, if you didn't get the shipments from Honeywell or Itron, what does the Company do, especially in the context of requests for new service?

MS. POWERS: At this point, our current supply is anticipated to last through to about the point that the Honeywell shipment would come in. What our resort -- last case resort would be is that new service hookups would be delayed.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. You have answered my third question which was measures or alternatives that

```
1
    y'all are exploring to mitigate the existing shortage.
 2
    Anything else you-all are doing?
                                      Are you
 3
    refurbishing? Are you -- is there anything else y'all
 4
    can do, kind of, in this short, interim period?
 5
              MS. POWERS: Yes.
                                  We've explored all of
 6
    those options, including refurbishing, but the
 7
    determination is that is -- refurbishment of the ERTs
 8
    is not something that we can perform due to the
    technical specification of our ERTs.
 9
                                           I understand
10
    that PSNC's ERTs are -- have some different technical
11
    characteristics: The ERT device themselves, how it's
12
    integrated with the meters, how it's integrated with
13
    their systems. They're not interchangeable with ours,
14
    and so PSNC's circumstances are somewhat different
15
    that Piedmont's from a technical perspective.
16
               Refurbishing ERTs is not something that is a
17
    viable option for Piedmont because the battery is
18
    soldered to the circuit board and sealed, and so
19
    there's not an opportunity to replace a battery pack
20
                   Whereas, I believe that is a technical
    for Piedmont.
21
    option that is available for PSNC, which they're
22
    pursuing through refurbishment.
23
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you for that
```

clarification. Mr. Nader, anything to add to

24

Mrs. Powers?

1.3

2 MR. NADER: Not at this time.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. I'm seeing you say no. Okay. Let me check in with Commissioners to see if there are any questions for Public Staff or the Company. Commissioner McKissick.

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: One quick question. We know the program is before us now. You're proposing to suspend it, which means that, you know, you wouldn't be conducting that routine sampling that you normally would. Have you given any thought to how you would make up for the number of meters that would not be tested during this period, moving into, say, 2023?

McKissick. With respect to that, the sampling body, the universe of meters eligible to be sampled and from which there would be a selection this year, that universe still exists and would be present next year. And so all meters that would be eligible to be sampled this year would still be in that eligibility pool for next year. From a statistical perspective, and forgive me as that's not my expertise here, the sampling program run, in its ordinary manner next

year, would be as statistically valid with the same sample size. It wouldn't need a larger sample size in order to produce statistically valid results regarding the accuracy of the meters that's testing next year.

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: I see where you're coming from. So, really, based upon this sampling modeling and technique, it doesn't appear there would be any compromising of the universe of customers that would typically be tested, so no need to make up for the ones that were not conducted in this, from this point through the end of '22.

MS. POWERS: Yes. No modification to the program next year would need to compensate for the suspension of the program this year.

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Additional questions?

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of the recommendation.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Second.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Questions or discussion on

21 | the motion?

1.3

(No response)

23 CHAIR MITCHELL: All in favor of approving the recommendation, indicate with an aye.

1	(All Commissioners say aye)
2	CHAIR MITCHELL: Anyone opposed?
3	(No response)
4	CHAIR MITCHELL: Motion carries. Thank you
5	very much, Mr. Nader, Ms. Powers. Commission has
6	before us for approval our minutes from the May 31st,
7	2022 Staff Conference. I'll take a motion.
8	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Move approval of
9	the minutes.
10	COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Second.
11	THE COURT: Any questions or discussion on
12	the minutes, on the motion to approve the minutes?
13	(No response)
14	CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, all in favor,
15	indicate with an aye.
16	(All Commissioners say aye)
17	CHAIR MITCHELL: Is there anyone opposed?
18	
	(No response)
19	(No response) CHAIR MITCHELL: Those minutes are approved.
19 20	
	CHAIR MITCHELL: Those minutes are approved.
20	CHAIR MITCHELL: Those minutes are approved. Let me check in. Any additional business for the
20 21	CHAIR MITCHELL: Those minutes are approved. Let me check in. Any additional business for the Commission this morning before we adjourn?

1	record, please.
2	
3	WHEREUPON, this conference is adjourned.
4	
5	CERTIFICATE
6	I, TONJA VINES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the
7	proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken
8	before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand
9	the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing
10	pages are a true and correct transcription to the best
11	of my ability.
12	
13	
14	J
15	Tonja Vines
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	