

Dunston, Antonia

From: Edward Greer
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 12:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Edward Greer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Edward Greer

Email

eegreer11946@yahoo.com

Docket

1

Message

I am located in Jackson Co. and have for 10 years trying to get Internet service to my home. It is always the same story. I am 300 feet too far from the service line. Every neighbor 360* around me has cable. Some as close as 250'. These cable providers get tax money to help get service to customers, but not me. It is always the same story. Let me get back to you and we will see what we can do. PLEASE HELP. contact number is 8285081225 but cell service is spotty

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Marcia Mervin
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:59 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Marcia Mervin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Marcia Mervin

Email

maheirma@umich.edu

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a solar panel homeowner. I demand a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. I spent over \$45,000 for the solar panels on my home and have yet to 'break even' on any cost savings!!! Duke energy should not be allowed to penalize me for doing what is right for the environment!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Mike Anderson
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:58 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mike Anderson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mike Anderson

Email

mikea@tphowards.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Keep North Carolina going in the right direction with solar. We need this for our future.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Michael Wells
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:57 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Wells

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Wells

Email

itzhugh@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It is vital for the world to encourage and not discourage green energies. Having decentralized contributors such as residential solar takes burden from the grid. Energy is generated and distributed locally and transmission loss is reduced. It is efficient. The problem is that it isn't as profitable for mega corporations such as Duke. Please do not allow them to squeeze individuals and discourage our energy independence.

From: Lou
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lou

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lou

Email

cj5thang@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

To the members of the NCUC: As a recent investor in a rooftop solar system, the recent proposal by Duke Energy to change the net metering changes is a direct attack on the citizens of NC that have chose to 'go green' and have a solar array installed on our homes. Please take careful consideration on this matter and it's direct impacts. Consider: -the change in the financial math that we considered when making the investment (cost of the system vs. return) -the impact on future solar investments by citizens and the negative effects this will have on the state's goals to lower carbon emmissions -the lack of cost benefit analysis up to this point on Duke's proposal I trust the members are qualified to critically think on this one. Anything less would be dereliction of duty.

From: Michel Valin
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:49 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michel Valin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michel Valin

Email

mvalin35@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please hold Duke Energy accountable to promoting solar energy for the good of all North Carolina citizens. They need to follow all the rules in persuing any changes to solar agreements previously made.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Nevin Absher
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:49 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nevin Absher

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nevin Absher

Email

nabsher@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar customer in NC I think this proposal is short sighted and not in congruence with our goals as a state. There should be a full study done by NCUC on the cost-benefit rooftop solar. I believe the data provided from Duke is incorrect and skewed. A full study would reveal exactly what the cost is and if their proposed changes make sense. Thank you,
Nevin Absher

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Chase DeVaney
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:46 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Chase DeVaney

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Chase DeVaney

Email

cgdevaney@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a NC homeowner and Photovoltaic array owner, I would call upon the commission to hold duke energy to their word and preform a true investigation into the costs and benefits of solar power and personal PV arrays before making any changes to net metering.

From: Dallin Wrathall
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:40 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dallin Wrathall

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dallin Wrathall

Email

dwrath299@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I recently installed a solar system on my home. One of the main reasons I did was because of Duke's Net-Metering Program, but even now, it isn't very fair. The credits that I gain from the winter, of less usage reset at the end of May, right when usage goes up. Duke is already unfairly working the Net-Metering considering that we as solar owners are contributing to the grid, not just using it. If Duke is successful in making these changes, I'm sure I am not alone in that solar customers will be making the change to off-grid solar, where we won't contribute to the grid, and Duke will be just left with fewer customers.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Clifford Oelfke
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:39 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Clifford Oelfke

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Clifford Oelfke

Email

coelfke@verizon.net

Docket

221102

Message

I strongly oppose this change. To change the financial incentives after installation is wrong and unfair.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Roger Alexander Bedoya
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:35 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Roger Alexander Bedoya

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Roger Alexander Bedoya

Email

roger.bedoya@marbach.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear all, Please perform a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Jeff Grimes
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jeff Grimes

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeff Grimes

Email

jeffrey.l.grimes@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to oppose Duke Energy's plan for net metering, as it is currently proposed. First, I believe that we need a more thorough study of the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before changes to net metering are made. We need independent analysis on the issue of whether rooftop solar customers are paying their "fair share" of grid costs in NC. Until such a study is done by a reputable, independent source, the much higher fixed monthly charge to rooftop solar customers should not be implemented. I invested significant personal capital in my rooftop solar system and changing the economics of rooftop solar in a major way as is currently proposed really does a disservice to those of us who made thoughtful and rational investments. We should be allowed to remain under the current price scheme for the design life of our systems from when they were first activated (e.g. 25 years from activation), not as soon as 2027. Thank you for considering changes to Duke Energy's proposal.

From: Bryan Richard Shipp
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:31 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bryan Richard Shipp

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bryan Richard Shipp

Email

bryan.r.shipp@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Regarding this docket item, the NCUC must conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before implementing any changes to net metering in North Carolina. Changing net metering now may reduce the expansion of rooftop solar in North Carolina exactly when we don't need to be limiting the implementation of green energy. Taking Duke, or consumers, at their word alone is not sufficient grounds for making policy - such a cost-benefit study would ensure that North Carolina is taking sensible legislative steps that place responsibility for increased costs on the proper parties.

From: Ellen Mullis
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:31 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ellen Mullis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ellen Mullis

Email

ellenmullis@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal for those of us who have used our hard earned money and invested in our environment's future.

From: Nathaniel McAllister
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:28 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nathaniel McAllister

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nathaniel McAllister

Email

mackprime@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina, I am new to this but have invested a lot in to solar. don,t reduce my investment value. Duke energy has received enough. Each customer is paying full price for the power they receive from duke energy, why should duke energy receive a discount from customer produce energy. Please reject this proposal Docket E-100 Sub 180

Dunston, Antonia

From: Robert Lundquist
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:27 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Lundquist

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Lundquist

Email

rlundquist56@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm appalled to learn that Duke Energy is continuing to try to change the rules regarding residential solar power. The NCUC must comply with House Bill 589 and complete a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before taking the actions requested by Duke. I urge you to reject this proposal and hold Duke accountable to its responsibility as a utility serving North Carolina. Thank you for your kind consideration.

Dunston, Antonia

From: John Bell
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:23 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Bell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Bell

Email

johncbell0370@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I am requesting that you do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Thor Smith
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:21 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Thor Smith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thor Smith

Email

thor13smith@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Good morning, I have been made aware of Duke Energy's net metering proposal and would like to express my concern. Below are some key points that I stand behind in why I as a NC Solar Owner oppose the aforementioned proposal. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: -higher fixed monthly fees -time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand -compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you for your time and consideration. Please feel free to reach out to me for any questions. Thor Smith

From: Dana G Raymond
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:20 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dana G Raymond

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dana G Raymond

Email

dana_raymond@ncsu.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am urging the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering rules for solar production. A full investigation into how solar production is treated should be required. Every option to increase the installation and use of solar energy should be a priority in decisions. We are moving our environment in a positive direction with solar access to energy, and it makes no sense to fall backwards. Solar is expensive and homeowners and businesses need as much incentive as possible to move forward in our goals to lessen human impact on the environment. Sincerely, Dana Raymond

Dunston, Antonia

From: Mark Clegg
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:14 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Clegg

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Clegg

Email

mclegg44@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please block any efforts by Duke to change metering. Our state, country, and earth need more incentives for solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Lara Christy
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:14 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lara Christy

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lara Christy

Email

class4swimmer@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to urge you to reject this proposal and conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. I chose to invest in solar for my home based on the current economic model, but changing the model after the fact only benefits Duke and harms the consumer. Please protect my investment. Thank you.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Raymond Lyle Keepers
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:13 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Raymond Lyle Keepers

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Raymond Lyle Keepers

Email

raymondkeepers@outlook.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Is this seriously in discussion to penalize those who have already paid or are paying so much to go Solar, use renewable energy and help preserve the life of this planet!?! This is insane, we just went Solar and the price to go green cost a third of the price value of my home alone! And now you want to penalize those who are doing what they can to go green with renewable energies. Why is making more money more important to you than preserving our planet? This is crazy, stop this action immediately, please! Duke should not be allowed to penalize those who are able to go Solar just because it takes a few bucks out of their wallet. It is already too hard for most to go solar; I have seen only three homes in our entire community of 7k+ people with Solar and now they want to make it even harder for people or less appealing for folks to even try to go Solar? This proposal is all about money it seems, and Duke can afford not to steal from those who are trying to go green as hard as it is already. We are trying to do what is right for our environment and earth!!

Dunston, Antonia

From: Joseph R. Miller
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:13 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph R. Miller

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joseph R. Miller

Email

millerl@uncw.edu

Docket

E-100SUB 180

Message

I am requesting that the North Carolina Utility Commission do full investigation of Solar Costs and Benefits before making changes to Electrical Net Metering in North Carolina. Thank you, JRM

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Harvey D.Morrow
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:11 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Harvey D. Morrow

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Harvey D. Morrow

Email

HMorrow689@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy to devalue solar energy.

From: david dubow
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:11 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by david dubow

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

david dubow

Email

dadubow@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear sirs/madams - NC House Bill 589 Docket E-100 Sub 180 where Duke Energy will be imposing harsh new net metering rules to homeowners who have had the foresight to install solar panels is unfair to the extreme and serve only to line the pockets of the utility at the expense of homeowners. We installed solar panels as an investment in our future environmental health as well as to improve our financial security knowing the Duke Energy will continue to hike to cost to consumers for electricity. It was not an insubstantial investment on our part and we made it with a clear understanding of the past and current net metering rules. When Duke Energy implements these harsh new net metering rules a significant part of our investment will be negated. Further, it will strongly disincentivize other homeowners for considering solar panel instillation, which I'm confident is a motivation for this bill. This Bill/only serves Duke Energy and not NC consumers nor our environment. I urge you to reconsider this bill and vote it down for the sake of our state and all North Carolinians

From: Nathan Clark
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:10 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nathan Clark

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nathan Clark

Email

gratenate@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

This proposal should not be allowed to succeed. For all North Carolinians that have gone through the cost and effort to install solar panels, and then be told that excess power will not be granted back to us at the same rate is unacceptable. Do not allow Duke Energy to take advantage of it's customers.

From: Ammon Ehrisman
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:05 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ammon Ehrisman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ammon Ehrisman

Email

ammon1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly oppose changing the net metering rates and want a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar to be conducted before changing anything as required by NC House Bill 589.

From: Krystina King
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Krystina King

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Krystina King

Email

krystinaking@outlook.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please complete a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. The current proposal will do more harm than good to NC residents and climate goals.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Purushotham Maldi
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:02 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Purushotham Maldi

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Purushotham Maldi

Email

newhomepuru7165@gmail.com

Docket

SP-40104 SUB 0

Message

I appreciate it and I really love the excess energy produced by solar is not going waste for the customers, if they can pay or send the checks to customers it is much appreciated. People also move to solar a lot with more panels .

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Nitin Rane
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:00 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nitin Rane

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nitin Rane

Email

nirane@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Patrick Reynolds
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:56 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Patrick Reynolds

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Patrick Reynolds

Email

learnpv@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please conduct a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. A full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar is justified in this case. Thank you.

From: Finneron
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:55 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Finneron

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Finneron

Email

finnerons@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please procure a true and valid statement of costs and benefits and reject Duke Energy's request to change net metering. Let's move forward with solar power, not backwards.

From: Bikash Shakya
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:55 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bikash Shakya

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bikash Shakya

Email

bikashshaky@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy's new net metering proposal would significantly reduce the value of solar at a time when our state, country, and planet need to be placing more value than ever on solar. So I request to reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina.

From: Lisa Friedenberg
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:53 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lisa Friedenberg

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lisa Friedenberg

Email

lisa.friedenberg@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy already engages in numerous consumer-unfriendly practices and is far wealthier than it needs to be. Do not let it further abuse consumers and the state by changing its policies regarding solar. We need more solar and fewer predatory utilities.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rose Verhoeven
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rose Verhoeven

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rose Verhoeven

Email

roseverhoeven@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a homeowner that had solar panels installed last year. I'm very concerned about the proposal to change net metering plans, which will negatively impact consumers. Please fully investigate the impact on consumers like me and make the right decision that supports the residents of NC. Thank you. Rose

From: Rebecca Reeve
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:48 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rebecca Reeve

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rebecca Reeve

Email

reeve.resultswork@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

This is no time to devalue solar power to taxpayers and others who have invested in non-polluting solar power only to further enhance the riches of corporate interests. I have invested significant retirement savings to reduce my monthly Duke Power bill through my remaining years and help mitigate climate change to the extent under my control. Please keep the promise Duke made in our agreement at the time of my investment.

From: Steven Bearden
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:47 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steven Bearden

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven Bearden

Email

scb034@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

By purchasing solar panels my family is trying to help off set the strain on the environment and the grid. We produce excess energy that Duke then sells to other customers. We get a small credit on our statement for this service to offset our costs of electricity we use at night. Duke Energy has a monopoly on the energy sector in North Carolina as well as many other states. They are using the excess energy we produce and then make money off of it. There need to me more incentives to go solar not less. The solar technology is perfect for a single family home willing to make the investment. Duke should not be allowed to change the rules that will negatively effect individuals who have solar or are considering solar. Please take action and not allow this to happen.

Dunston, Antonia

From: CHARLES H UNDERWOOD
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:46 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by CHARLES H UNDERWOOD

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

CHARLES H UNDERWOOD

Email

charles2164@gmail.com

Docket

E100 sub 180

Message

No devaluation of my supy to Duke.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Alex Funt
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:45 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Alex Funt

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alex Funt

Email

tafunt@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As an NC solar adopter (installed in our former townhome and plan to in new home), we are very concerned about the proposed changes to net metering that would benefit Duke Energy at the expense of solar customers. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

From: William Hay
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:45 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William Hay

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William Hay

Email

haybill0@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Utilities Commission. Thank you for your assistance in setting up my home solar generation project! As you know this is a substantial financial investment. I made this investment based on Duke Energy rules, with an understanding of the minimum monthly charges and the Net metering arrangement. I considered this a contract with Duke Energy. Even with these in mind, there is 14 to 15 year payback on this investment, assuming there are no repairs, replacement costs etc. Please stop Duke from changing this contract. The added monthly minimum charge for solar is a penalty. The change in net metering makes solar a financial loss. There will never be a payback on my investment and I suspect this would put a damper on new solar installations. This may be Duke energies goal, but I do not beleive that it is North Carolina Utilities Commission goal! At the very least, Duke energy must honor the agreement that I had when I decided to pay for solar, at least until the payback point of 15 years. If our goal is to generate more solar power, keep clean energy growing, then Duke should not change these rates / rules for any one who wants to add solar. Please do not let Duke ruin a good alternative energy progam in North Carolina. Thank you for your assistance. Sincerely,' William (Bill) Hay

Dunston, Antonia

From: Kenneth Greene
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:39 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kenneth Greene

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kenneth Greene

Email

jeff3boys65@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Why would you hurt renewable energy during this transitional period? Oh I forgot nothing makes sense.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: michael tschiltz
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:37 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by michael tschiltz

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

michael tschiltz

Email

michael.tschiltz@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

At a time when public policies should be promoting the generation and use of carbon free energy the changes proposed by Duke do just the opposite. As a homeowner who recently installed solar panels the changes in policies being advocated by Duke would make it uneconomical for homeowners to invest in installation of solar panels. Duke would be the major benefactor of the changes and not the general public. Homeowners that have made or plan to make significant investment in solar energy should not be penalized to the benefit of Duke Energy.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Stacy Walbridge
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:31 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stacy Walbridge

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stacy Walbridge

Email

1954native@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

My wife and i installed solar panels last year to lower our electric bill and support going green. It is very disheartening to hear what Duke Energy is proposing for a change in net metering for solar customers. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. I absolutely oppose their proposed change.
Sincerely, Stacy Walbridge

From: John W Allis
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:29 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John W Allis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John W Allis

Email

jwallis39@att.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy has a habit of saying that they are rapidly moving to renewable energy sources and then doing the opposite. This is another example of that behavior. Reducing the value of the solar energy produced by rooftop systems will discourage the installation of new systems and make it more difficult for NC to reach its climate goals. It is also unfair to those who have installed systems on their homes by changing the financial terms that people depended on to finance their systems. NCUC must conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before making a decision, and no rate change should be made unless compelling evidence is found that requires a change. Reducing compensation below cost for rooftop solar during peak production time only increases the profit for Duke Energy.

From: Scott Gardner
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:23 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Scott Gardner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Scott Gardner

Email

scottegee@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub180

Message

I paid for my solar panels with back breaking work so that I could provide for my family. Duke power should t get power i produce for free and they should pay me for the power I provide them. Precedence has been set as I had to pay them for years. Oppose the is and do a full study please. And make duke pay me what I deserve

From: Kerry Edward Spradley
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:23 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kerry Edward Spradley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kerry Edward Spradley

Email

kerrys@vptnet.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are opposed to the changes proposed by Duke Energy concerning private solar systems interconnection payments for energy produced by these private solar systems. These private investments in energy producing systems should be encouraged by continuing the fair compensation payments by Public energy providers.

From: Anne Jerman
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:21 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Anne Jerman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Anne Jerman

Email

annejerman@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

June 29, 2022 Dear Utility Commissioners, We are very concerned about Duke Energy's petition to NCUC to alter the current Net Energy Metering rate structure. The petition seems to claim that their "in-house" study proves solar customers are being subsidized by its non-solar customers, but this study has not been reviewed by an outside objective body of energy researchers. And in fact, we haven't been able to find it online to review. We are senior citizens and have only Social Security as income our main income. We have purchased residential solar in the Asheville area, even though we could barely afford to install the system. Without rebates and other incentives, it would have been impossible to do the "right thing" for our planet. However, because science has made it crystal clear that we all need to step up and make drastic changes, we took on the expense. Duke Energy needs to tighten their own belt and stop putting profits over clean energy. Duke appears to be putting the brakes on cleaner energy solutions and relying more on energy that earns them more money while adding to the global problem. As commissioners, we hope you will put aside your own personal biases and look at the greater good of the people you are protecting against monopolies and help do your part in cutting back on incentivizing polluters. Lastly, the idea that solar customers would have to install a "smart" system and let Duke Energy monitor this is an invasion of privacy. Smart systems can be hacked and can put people more at risk. We like to keep life simple. We are trying to do our part, please do yours and reject this petition. Thank you, Anne Jerman

Dunston, Antonia

From: Erin McCully
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:21 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Erin McCully

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Erin McCully

Email

elmccully@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

At a time when the NC General Assembly and utilities have set goals for the electric power sector to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2050, it is inconsistent for Duke Energy to propose a policy that would slow the growth of clean energy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you for your consideration!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Bruce Emory
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:19 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce Emory

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bruce Emory

Email

bbemory@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do NOT approve Duke's request to change the net metering rules. We recently went to great expense to install a solar system so that we could do our part to help with the climate crisis. This proposed change will negatively affect us financially. But more importantly, it will discourage other homeowners from installing solar systems, at a time when North Carolina should be doing everything possible to encourage more people to go solar.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ricky Bizzell
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:15 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ricky Bizzell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ricky Bizzell

Email

ricky.bizzell06@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sun 180

Message

Duke already gets free energy from some of us when our accounts reset on 5/31 each year!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: HARRIET CHEN
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:12 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by HARRIET CHEN

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

HARRIET CHEN

Email

harriethchen@gmail.com

Docket

David Chen - 0199210774 - Solar

Message

We are the year around electrical heating & cooling customer. Because of the date of zeroing the net metering excess credit is at the end of month of May each year, Duke Energy has been made profit from our solar system already. Overall, April and May are the peak months to generate solar power, if the excess power can't be accumulated for the later fall/winter usage, we always paid extra out of our pocket during winter months. Please investigate thoroughly about Duke Energy proposal of changes. Solar customers' decision is an environmental conscienciousness, should not be penalized by the big corporation's profit driving. Thank you!