From:

Robert Monroe

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 7:05 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Monroe

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Monroe

Email

rs6man@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We reject this proposal as part of our goal was to go green. This proposal punishes us for the fact that we made a positive and costly move to a greener world and state. Please reject this proposal and do more to promote solar energy. Do you care about cleaner energy at all?

From:

MARYROSE CARROLL

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 8:03 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by MARYROSE CARROLL

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

MARYROSE CARROLL

Email

maryrose.carroll@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I HAVE NET METERING WITH BLUE RIDGE ELECTRIC FOR TWO YEARS

From:

Coleman Smith

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 8:32 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Coleman Smith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Coleman Smith

Email

coleindy@gmail.com

Docket

e-100 sub180

Message

Don't let duke change the rules after I have invested

From:

Peter Joseph Juran

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 11:12 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Joseph Juran

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter Joseph Juran

Email

peterjjuran@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Years ago, I did the right thing and invested in solar panels for my home rooftop. I vigorously oppose and change which allows Duke Energy to reduce what I am paid for contributing energy to the grid, and certainly don't think they should change after the fact. Moreover, consumers who are considering an investment of their personal funds to help the state and the world by contributing energy should be given every incentive to do so.Peter Juran

From:

Sudheerkumar Arimbra

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 11:15 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Sudheerkumar Arimbra

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sudheerkumar Arimbra

Email

sudheerarimbra@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

From:

Amy Brooks <amy@greenfaith.org>

Sent:

Saturday, July 9, 2022 7:11 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

I am writing to express concern about Duke's continued efforts to undermine and complicate the transition to roof-top solar. Residents and businesses should be encouraged and rewarded for contributing clean energy to our grid. I encourage Duke to make clear, simple guidelines to solar use that any 7th grader could understand and urge our commission and representatives to do everything (from legislation to policies) that ensure businesses like Duke transition much more rapidly than at present to renewable energy.

Thank you.

Rev. Amy Brooks Paradise Huntersville, NC 704-458-4438

From:

Aaron parrish

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 11:31 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Aaron parrish

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Aaron parrish

Email

ap63304@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the Duke proposal. I invested in solar panels because of the current way excess energy is paid for. This proposal would drastically increase the payback period on my investment. In regard to society in general, we need to be investing in more renewable energy. This proposal would reduce the amount of people that invest in solar and therefore slow our country's progress toward renewable energy.

From:

John Sorge

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 12:10 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by John Sorge

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Sorge

Email

johnppsorge@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am 110% against Duke Energy's net metering proposal because it is exactly the wrong direction that Duke Energy is going in terms of residential rooftop solar. Duke Energy should decrease impediments for roof top solar not increase them. It is obvious that Duke Energy wants to drive residential solar into the ground because of one thing and one thing alone and that is "absolute control". Duke Energy does not have a vision that would include a centralized and decentralized grid operating in tandem. Unfortunately, its solar advocates that have the vision of a cleaner less fossil fuel derived energy future that Duke Energy wants to ultimately reduce. This does not make any sense, no matter how you cut it. Thank you for your time in reading my opinion. John P. Sorge, MD PS Duke Energy bills lack clarity and don't provide the basic data to track home solar production. In one word, the bills are non-transparent and Duke Energy must be held accountable. Duke Energy is capable of providing the data because they used to and I was satisfied with the data they were giving me. Only because it correlated with the data generated independently of Duke Energy from my home monitoring software system. Currently, I don't trust Duke Energy to be completely transparent and therefore don't trust their net metering proposal whatsoever.

From:

David Dobson

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 12:53 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by David Dobson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Dobson

Email

dave@davedobsonbooks.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy is attempting to harm the solar industry and the environment in pursuit of nothing more than corporate profits. Duke made a net income of \$3.8 billion in 2021, a 200% increase over the previous year. It is hardly in need of extra revenues at the expense of clean, renewable energy. Rooftop solar is a vital part of a clean energy future. The gasfired plants that Duke is proposing will jeopardize North Carolina's coastlines even further with sea level rise. Net metering is vital to the solar industry. It makes rooftop solar affordable for low and middle-income homeowners. It also was in place during the investment many of us made in sustainable energy. Changing the rules after we made this big investment isn't fair to homeowners who made a 30-year investment with the rules in place. Do not allow Duke Energy to sacrifice our children's future in pursuit of even greater profits. We need to switch to renewables, and Duke has been charging headlong in the OPPOSITE direction. This rules change would hurt regular NC citizens to benefit an already highly profitable monopoly.

From:

Mark Reeder

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 1:26 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Reeder

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Reeder

Email

mlreederii@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Instead of resetting meters and trying to count our production at lower rates, treat us like partners. Not subhuman.

From:

Mark Reeder

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 1:37 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Reeder

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Reeder

Email

mlreederii@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please reject Dukes proposal

From:

Beverly Cozort

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 1:46 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Beverly Cozort

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Beverly Cozort

Email

bcozort1547@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am against the proposed NEM changes Duke Energy recommended to the NCUC. I am a solar energy user and provider to the grid. I based my solar expenditure on the existing and fair net metering rules to justify this expense and to provide clean energy to the grid. Please conduct a costs and benefits assessment of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering is allowed. Rooftop solar helps North Carolina reach its established climate goals. Thank you, Beverly Cozort

From:

J.Louis Quaglia

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 2:33 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by J. Louis Quaglia

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

J. Louis Quaglia

Email

justing@cnyaffordablerealty.org

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

From:

Justin Lewter

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 3:26 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Justin Lewter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Justin Lewter

Email

justin@communityadi.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Let's not leave NC looking like Texas in a few years because we shied away from this energy source. Don't make it harder sell solar energy. Keep the old agreement in place. We all win. Make Duke do the right thing.

From:

Matthew Riell

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 4:15 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Matthew Riell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Matthew Riell

Email

matt@riell.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I took the leap to install solar to help the environment. I even went so far as to install additional solar panels to export excess electricity back to the grid. Now, it looks like the new net metering proposal would penalize me for doing the right thing. The wholesale compensation for electricity exported to the grid would be cut to less than 1/3rd of the current rate. This will reduce my solar investment to a loss instead of just breaking even. Additionally, the time-of-use billing doesn't account for peak solar generation, and it penalizes the owners by raising the electricity rates when solar generation is at a minimum. Preferable, the energy providers should invest in power storage technologies to store the excess power during peak solar generation, then send it back to the grid during peak usage times. The proposed changes will reduce the incentive for other owners to invest in solar power production. Please do not reduce the value of investment I've made.

From:

David C Sokal

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 4:18 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by David C Sokal

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David C Sokal

Email

dcsokal@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I installed solar panels on my roof two years ago. Now Duke wants to pay me less for the energy I provide. This is unfair & unjust, and is not helping NC to become independent of fossil fuels. They might consider more investments in long-term energy storage, and encourage more people to install roof-top solar. Respectfully, David Sokal

From:

Leesa Sluder

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 4:38 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Leesa Sluder

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Leesa Sluder

Email

leesasluder@gmail.com

Docket

E100-Sub 180

Message

It's not fair for Duke to change the value of our solar investment retroactively. We just installed solar panels last year in an effort to do our part to fight climate change. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

From:

Steven H Pollak

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 4:48 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Steven H Pollak

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven H Pollak

Email

pollak.steve@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to lower the value of home Solar Panel energy providers (via House Bill 589). I have installed these panels on my home and I continue to pay Duke Energy for the right to remain on the grid. Not only that, Every year, I provide more electricity to Duke Energy than I use. I do not get paid for my production. I just give free electricity to Duke Energy every year. Now Duke Energy wants to charge me more based on the time of day I use my energy? Not only that, but I never use any of Duke's energy during the high volume day time, I only give back during these hours. Duke Energy should be supporting customers like me who give them free electricity not charging us more. This plan is anti-environmental as it will discourage more Solar Energy installs.

From:

Mark D Reed

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 6:07 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Mark D Reed

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark D Reed

Email

karmd@triad.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please conduct a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

From: David C Sokal

Sent: Sunday, July 10, 2022 6:27 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David C Sokal

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David C Sokal

Email

dcsokal@gmail.com

Docket

docket number E-100 Sub 180

Message

Has Duke Energy taken into the account the likelihood of natural gas prices increasing dramatically over the next 5 to 10 years? Aside from the current turmoil from Russia's invasion of Ukraine, there are bipartisan initiatives in Congress to implement a Carbon tax, which would progressively increase the price of natural gas. There are numerous organizations promoting this solution, including both Republicans and Democrats. An Op-Ed was published in the Wall Street Journal in 2017: A Conservative Answer to Climate Change - ... a carbon tax... by George Schultz and James Baker, who both worked for President Reagan when he took a leading role in negotiating a treaty to protect the ozone layer. Oganizations include: Citizens Climate Lobby Climate Leadership Council Niskanen Center R Street Institute Republican Leadership Network Taxpayers for Common Sense etc.... Respectfully, David Sokal

From:

William H Hyler III

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 8:32 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by William H Hyler III

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William H Hyler III

Email

whyler80@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

We should be able to recover and get paid for all the energy we put into them grid. I use around 1300-2000 kWhomth but put over 5,000 back into the grid monthly I'm sorh Carolina each uearmyounhet paid for these credits but in NC Guilford county where I live tech yet you lose so credits you accumulate so I have to find other money making sources to spend the energy on. Shouldn't be that I should be compensated for putting back into the grid.

From:

Brock Griffey

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 8:45 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Brock Griffey

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brock Griffey

Email

brock.griffey@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Energy companies should be pushing for renewable energy instead of trying to take away benefits that promote clean energy. Taking away these benefits not only hurts the development of clean energy but hurts everyone and the environment. We as an entire state and country should be focused on less fossil fuel, coal and other non-renewable resources. I hope that Duke will reconsider their position and continue with the current benefits for solar energy and the residents that currently have solar panels in addition to new residents.

From:

Loic Simon

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 9:45 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Loic Simon

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Loic Simon

Email

heavy.salt6825@fastmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As required by NC House Bill 589, I demand that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. When I decided to install solar panels on my home, I did this after considering the costs and benefits based on the net metering rules that existed and the fact that time-of-use billing was not applied. I invested several thousands of dollars to support the generation of clean energy, and Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules, and it should also consider how reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals.

From:

Tex Teixeira <texbuysrealestate@gmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 9:50 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Duke Energy Solar Plan

Dear Sir,

I have invested in photovoltaic solar in Madison County and on one of my businesses in Gaston County. My French Broad EMC pays less then half to buy back my excess. We should all be working together to encourage rooftop solar, expand it, make it more affordable and less complicated. We should be moving full speed toward clean energy. Please send Duke Energy back to the drawing board and insist on a rooftop solar policy that increases solar growth rather than hampering it, and makes it accessible to everyone, especially to low- and moderate-income households who struggle to pay their electricity bills. Please do what you can to bring back the NC State solar tax incentives and encourage the State's EMC's to offer net metering. Thank you

Tex Teixeira
Strategic Real Estate Investments
TexBuysRealEstate@gmail.com
www.StrategicRealEstateInvestment.com

252 Will Green Road Marshall, NC 28753

(828)-273-8565- Cell

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The data transmitted here is intended only for use of the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that your access is unauthorized, and any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this data including attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail or telephone and delete the material from your computer.

From:

Neil J Freedman

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 9:54 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Neil J Freedman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Neil J Freedman

Email

neil.freedman@duke.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please REJECT Duke Energy's proposed plan to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount customers are paid for the excess solar energy they share with the grid. As a rooftop solar owner, I transfer energy to Duke Energy's grid every day. Why should Duke compensate me less than they charge me for an equivalent amount of electricity? As you know, they charge me ~\$16/month even during months when I use no net electricity from Duke Energy--so Duke Energy is making money from me even if they pay me the market rate for electricity I contribute to the grid. We should offer every fair advantage to rooftop solar owners, to encourage more people to install rooftop solar and thereby help North Carolina reach carbon-neutral status as soon as possible. Thanks for considering my views.

From:

Neil J Freedman

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 9:58 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Neil J Freedman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Neil J Freedman

Email

neil.freedman@duke.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 179

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's draft carbon plan--specifically because it fails to eliminate coal soon enough. Please require Duke Energy to increase renewables, including rooftop solar. Please require Duke Energy to get rid of coal sooner than to replace fossil fuel-powered plants with modular nuclear reactors and renewables. Thanks for considering my views on this crucial subject.

From:

William S Jensen

Sent:

Sunday, July 10, 2022 10:11 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by William S Jensen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William S Jensen

Email

billjensen@nc.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As an Apex town councilman, I worked with council to provide our citizens with the best solar PV net-metering program. Apex is an ElectriCities town and owns its own electric utility, so we are not hampered with the limitations imposed by Duke Energy Progress. Among other benefits, the town credits electricity at full retail value and does not reset the net meter each year to zero to capture energy for free on the grid as does Duke energy Progress. Duke energy's proposed net metering changes are designed to impede the development of rooftop solar. This is in opposition to what is required to reduce the carbon footprint of our state. The Net Metering 2.0 proposal by Duke Energy to both charge solar PV owners an extra utility charge while crediting electricity sent to the grid at the avoided cost is pure "double dipping". It is designed to discourage rooftop solar. I calculated what the cost to each customer would be if the Duke Energy fee were spread among all users. The cost is less than five cents per month per customer for every one percent of solar energy on the grid. This ridiculously low cost to everyone is well worth a clean energy future we all desire. Another problem with Net Metering 2.0 is the complexity of the program makes it almost impossible to determine what payback a solar PV system will provide. I urge the Utility Commission to reject the Duke Energy proposal and develop a program that will truly bring North Carolina toward carbon neutrality.

From:

Karen <klh.email@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 12:08 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100, Sub 180CS, do NOT change Duke's net-metering plan

I just installed solar on my home.

Allowing Duke Power to change the net metering system is not fair to homeowners with solar. It will be a serious financial burden on me.

We need More solar and other renewables, not polluting coal and gas power plants.

My child's future, and the future of all the children, depends on them having a clean environment.

Karen Hoffman

From:

Gary Ford

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 2:17 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Gary Ford

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gary Ford

Email

garytenn@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

My wife and I went off grid almost two years ago, 64 Solar Panels and 5 Telsa Powerwalls. There isn't enough benefit to consumers locally to entice them to use Solar. My wife and I received 28% Federal tax credit but nothing locally. Duke Energy is a joke, we applied for \$6,000.00 rebate in 2020, 2021, from Duke Energy. Each time the goal posts were moved, and we never received our rebate. Now the rebate has dropped to \$4,000.00 in 2022 and we have given up trying to receive our rebate. Duke should be forced to buyback, our extra solar energy we produce rather than give us credits that expire after a 12 month period. None of this benefits the Consumer!

From:

Bryan Kearns

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 5:46 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Bryan Kearns

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bryan Kearns

Email

Bdkearns@ncsu.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow duke energy to change its current net metering policy. Their proposed change would subject us to an unknown price increase that sounds like it cam be changed at duke energys discretion. A price increase like this would be detrimental to the solar community as well as those that consider going solar in the future. I'm sure others, as I did, made the choice to go solar with the assumption that net metering would be consistent and unchanged. Going solar is already an expensive investment. The price increase and restructure of net metering that duke energy is proposing would make it a much harder sale and take much longer for the investment in solar to pay off. Us current solar owners made a choice to go green and choose solar with promise that net metering would always be \$15 per month. Please don't allow duke energy to change this policy and jeopardize our financial well-being. Thank you.

From:

Rebecca L Withrow

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 6:47 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Rebecca L Withrow

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rebecca L Withrow

Email

rebeccalwithrow@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to express my concern about Duke's proposed changes to net metering. My family of 4 pulled out all the stops a few years ago to invest in rooftop solar for our house. It was difficult, as a counselor and as a teacher raising 2 kids, to purchase solar, but it felt such an important investment in our children's future, that we prioritized solar over all other luxuries. It felt JUST affordable. Just. I am dismayed to learn that Duke Energy is seeking to retroactively change the rules regarding net metering. This feels unfair and punitive to families like ours, who are investing in the future of the planet-- trying to take actions that will benefit everyone, not just ourselves. Please don't allow any rules making net metering less affordable to families like ours who are attempting to do something positive for the benefit of all of us. We are all in this together. Let's act like it!

From:

Andy Zerkle

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 7:10 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Andy Zerkle

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Andy Zerkle

Email

andyzerkle@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

I own rooftop solar at my residential home in NC. It is not fair for Duke Energy to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing the net metering rules.

From:

Jeevan Kunda

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 8:49 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jeevan Kunda

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeevan Kunda

Email

buntjeeni@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please encourage Solar energy and the homeowners who took/taking the initiative to have Solar panels installed on their roof. This is the way to the future of sustainable energy. Please don't do changes to net metering that will discourage homeowners moving towards clean and sustainable energy.

From:

Justin Clough

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 8:50 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Justin Clough

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Justin Clough

Email

clufff@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

NCUC should perform a thorough study to determine the cost-benefit of rooftop solar before allowing any changes to be made to the net metering program Duke Energy has. The claims that rooftop solar customers do not pay their fair share have not yet been proven. Additionally, the net metering policy is in many cases the major factor in customers decisions to invest in rooftop solar. A change to this policy at all and especially after the fact (for customers such as myself) is unfair, puts North Carolina's climate goals at risk, and would lead to a loss of green jobs at a time when climate change is having a greater impact everyday on our lives.