

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Fred Cabbage <fredcabbage@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2022 2:47 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Cc:** Frederick Cabbage; Rita Cabbage  
**Subject:** Docket E-100 Sub 180CS - OPPOSE Duke Energy Solar Energy Plan

Energy Commission:

- 1) We completely oppose Duke Energy current proposed rate metering and fixed monthly charges for solar energy.
  - 2) We currently have solar energy at our house in Apex, and have since ~2015.
  - 3) We may not be "Duke" customers, but their plan will affect everybody.
  - 4) I understand that it is a complete ripoff, charging the most for power in the evening hours (when people are at home) , and indeed paying the most for solar in the evening hours (when the sun is basically down). This is obviously a VERY dirty deal.
  - 5) I also heard there would be a homeowner fixed grid access charge of \$28 per month, thus ~\$336 per year. This also is unfair.
  - 6) We only make ~\$600 - \$800 per year now from solar savings. Duke's plan would cut that by about half.
  - 7) This would hurt home generated solar power immensely, cause us to generate more carbon based power, and warm the planet.
  - 8) Duke is playing VERY VERY DIRTY deal for solar, carbon emissions, and global warming. .
- SO:
- 9) (a) Return to a fair rate metering system and (b) drop the homeowner access charge completely.
  - 10) For us, our children, and the planet.
  - 11) THANKS!!!

Fred and Rita Cabbage  
Apex, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Fred Cabbage <fredcabbage@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2022 3:13 PM  
**To:** RAL Forum; pstonge@charlotteobserver.com  
**Cc:** ncwarn@ncwarn.org; Statements  
**Subject:** Fw: Docket E-100 Sub 180CS - OPPOSE Duke Energy Solar Energy Plan - IMPORTANT MATH UPDATE

FYI, N&O, Charlotte O, et al. NCUC, You know the details here, but maybe have less of a solid example. See the yellow highlights below.

If this can be published online or in the N&O / CO that would be great.

Publish or help with this as you have time and interest.

Thanks,

Fred

Fred Cabbage

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Fred Cabbage <fredcabbage@yahoo.com>  
**To:** statements@ncuc.net <statements@ncuc.net>  
**Cc:** Frederick Cabbage <fredcabbage@yahoo.com>; Rita Cabbage <ritacabbage@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2022, 02:46:48 PM EDT  
**Subject:** Docket E-100 Sub 180CS - OPPOSE Duke Energy Solar Energy Plan

Energy Commission:

- 1) We completely oppose Duke Energy current proposed rate metering and fixed monthly charges for solar energy.
- 2) We currently have solar energy at our house in Apex, and have since ~2015. We were one of the first in Apex.
- 3) We may not be "Duke" customers, but their plan will affect everybody.
- 4) I understand that it is a complete ripoff, charging the most for power in the evening hours (when people are at home) , and indeed paying the most for solar in the evening hours (when the sun is basically down). This is obviously a VERY dirty deal.
- 5) I also heard there would be a homeowner fixed grid access charge of \$28 per month, thus ~\$336 per year. This also is unfair.
- 6) We only make ~\$600 - \$800 per year now from solar savings, for an investment of ~\$24,000 that already will take more than 10 years to pay off, even with the old 30% state and 30% federal tax

credits. Duke's plan would cut the returns by about half, and make it a 20 year payoff. Almost nobody will do that.

7) This would almost kill home generated solar power, cause NC to generate much more carbon based power, and warm the planet.

8) Duke is playing VERY VERY DIRTY deal for solar, carbon emissions, and global warming.

SO:

9) (a) Return to a fair rate metering system and (b) drop the homeowner access charge completely.

10) For us, our children, and the planet.

11) THANKS!!!

Fred and Rita Cubbage  
Apex, NC

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Matthew Pavelchak <mpavelchak@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2022 4:57 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Comment on Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

My wife and I installed solar on our existing home rooftop 2 years ago. It has been a wonderful addition to our home and we are thankful that we can contribute to a greener North Carolina and a greener world. The proposed changes by Duke in Docket E-100, Sub 180CS would be devastating to homeowners with rooftop solar and even more so for prospective homeowners looking to install a PV system. The proposed changes would have a chilling effect on the renewable energy expansion in North Carolina and dramatically hurt the solar installer profession. As a long time North Carolina resident who loves our state I would ask that you reject Duke's proposed changes.

Thank you,  
Matthew Pavelchak  
Asheville, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** MW Matthews <wake2sleep@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2022 6:14 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Duke should be making solar more accessible and affordable. NOT THIS.

The net effect of all this seems like it will raise our bills significantly, and it's hard to see how residential solar would be a good investment for people if this goes through. It would be required of all new solar installs after Jan. 1 2023, and all legacy customers like me would be forced onto it in 2027.

Max Matthews  
Old Fort, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** MW Matthews <wake2sleep@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2022 6:14 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Duke should be making solar more accessible and affordable. NOT THIS.

The net effect of all this seems like it will raise our bills significantly, and it's hard to see how residential solar would be a good investment for people if this goes through. It would be required of all new solar installs after Jan. 1 2023, and all legacy customers like me would be forced onto it in 2027.

Max Matthews  
Old Fort, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Aaron Daniel  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2022 7:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Aaron Daniel

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Aaron Daniel

### Email

aarski1@me.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180CS

### Message

I am a North Carolina resident who owns rooftop solar panels. I need to remain a Duke Power Net Metering customer on unchanged terms in order for the panels to remain a sound investment. I am opposed to the net metering pricing changes that Duke Power has proposed and encourage you to leave the net metering policies and rates unchanged  
Thank you, Aaron Daniel

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Scott D.W. Rankin <scott@sdwr.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2022 8:53 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Docket E-100 Sub 180CS

Hello,

I am writing to oppose Duke Energy's proposed changes to rooftop solar net metering tariffs. The changes proposed by Duke Energy would significantly reduce the incentives of homeowners to add rooftop solar to their homes. Increased rooftop solar production is a significant force in the fight against climate change, and any changes that disincentivize it should be strongly opposed. Please take my comments into consideration as you consider this request from Duke Energy.

Regards,  
Scott D.W. Rankin  
4 Thompsonville Court  
Durham, NC 27713

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022



**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** CYNTHIA KYLE  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 27, 2022 9:05 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by CYNTHIA KYLE

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

CYNTHIA KYLE

### Email

cbkyle@verizon.net

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180CS"

### Message

Please help the little Duke "partner". Duke made a deal with me for cost and process. I spent a large amount of my retirement funds to buy solar system. It's gone money. It was the right thing to do for the climate. It was like prepaying my light bill for 8 years ahead. Now I may not live long enough to even get to zero on my investment. How can you allow a large profitable company to change the deal mid stream? The cost is so high, the return for individuals so long, it will squash the individual. Duke already gets all excess I generate on 5/31 each year, the most productive month, that was the deal. Now they want more? Come on..... Please help the little person. Make them stick to what they agreed to.

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** John and Kristin <meetthekellermans@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 27, 2022 9:18 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Docket E-100 Sub 180CS"

As current residential solar rooftop owners, we do not support Duke Energy's proposed price changes. Charging for usage based on time of day will significantly reduce the savings we have planned on as part of the significant up front cost to solar energy. NC needs to encourage solar and Duke Energy's proposed price changes will do just the opposite.

Thank you for your consideration.

John and Kristin Kellerman

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Wayne Stanko <wstanko@charter.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 27, 2022 9:24 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Docket E-100, SUB 180 CS

Sir,

I am writing to oppose Duke's proposed change in their net metering program.

I installed solar panels on my home in 2014.

I am enjoying savings and am doing my part to control climate change.

Duke's proposal will greatly increase my cost of power.

In addition, the proposed change will be a disincentive for home owners who want to add solar panels to their homes.

Wayne Stanko

26 Greenleaf Circle

Asheville, NC 28804

828-253-8781

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Robert Dow  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 27, 2022 9:35 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Dow

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Robert Dow

### Email

robdow@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180CS

### Message

I'm writing to ask that the NC Utilities Commission support the interests of consumers in the context of rooftop solar installations in our state. Duke Energy's plan would reduce the value of rooftop solar installations by up to 35%, and appears to completely ignore the benefits of residential & commercial rooftop solar installations to improving grid stability and resilience. Net metering is a fair and reasonable approach when dealing with a company that made a profit of \$25 Billion in 2021. Consumers are not here to subsidize and further enrich one of the richest utilities, and the state should work to protect both citizen interests and environmental standards as serviced by rooftop solar. Please reject Duke Energy's proposal at the NC Utilities Commission; keep net metering simple and fair, and advocate for citizens rather than incredibly wealthy corporations.

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Angela Leigh Tucker <sweethomeangela@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 27, 2022 12:07 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Cc:** Augustus Renzi  
**Subject:** Save NC Solar: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

To whom it may concern at the NC Utilities Commission:

I appreciate your climate leadership. The steps you are taking make it easier for all of us to contribute to climate solutions. Unfortunately, Duke Energy is going the opposite direction, proposing rules changes for solar power that inhibit homeowners' ability to participate. No wonder most solar companies oppose Duke's plan. Please insist that Duke Energy aid rather than hobble the expansion of rooftop solar.

I have been using solar energy since the Fall of 2021 and have generated 10.9 mWh since purchasing the panels, which is the equivalent of 128 trees planted and 16,931 lbs of CO2 Emission saved.

I am confident your agency will do the right thing.

Respectfully,  
Angela Leigh Tucker

VISIT MY WEBSITE at <http://www.angelaleightucker.com>  
<https://www.linkedin.com/in/angelaleightucker>

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Ellen Sanders <ellensanders56@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 27, 2022 5:41 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Please add me to the list of solar panel owners who believe that this proposal by Duke Energy is exactly the wrong direction to head! It makes no sense and will discourage people from installing solar panels, when we need more folks to do so.

Sincerely,  
Ellen and Rick Sanders  
Candler, NC

Sent from my iPad

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Heather Parkinson  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 27, 2022 8:42 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Heather Parkinson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Heather Parkinson

### Email

heatherlparkinson@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to ask that you please deny Duke Energy's Docket E-100 Sub 180. There is honestly no part of this docket that is good for anyone other than Duke Energy. The proposed components will roll back rooftop solar progress for NC by making it financially unrealistic for residents as well as help NC increase its dependence on fossil fuels (all wins for Duke, losses for North Carolina, its residents, and its solar businesses). The NEM tariff provision is simply ridiculous. I already find it to be greedy that Duke is allowed to keep excess energy credits at the end of May from every solar customer. They are getting free electrical energy at the consumer's expense. I implore you to deny this docket and even consider a revision that allows energy credits to roll over indefinitely, as many other states currently have in practice. Please do what is right for your customers, the residents of NC. I ask that you consider your Mission Statement to ensure that you are fairly regulating Duke Energy in the best interests of the public by providing just and reasonable rates and promoting harmony between the utility, the public, and the environment. Thank you!

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Joy McConnell <joyelayne@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 28, 2022 2:10 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Commissioners:

Please work to prevent Duke Energy's proposed change away from net-metering for solarized homes and buildings.

In a time of climate crisis and environmental destruction, their move would be a terrible step backward, lining their pockets with the energy credits earned by solar panels paid for by the owners of the homes and buildings, and diminishing the likelihood that people would invest in solar energy.

Our church, for instance, held a huge fund raising effort in order to solarize our main building. A lot of our members sacrificed for this effort knowing that any extra energy would be passed on to the next month(s) making the program financially more feasible for our congregation. This proposed effort by Duke seems as if it would be basically stealing the output of what hundreds of members invested in.

This would also make solar-energy less affordable overall for low-income families in a time when our church and other organizations are helping low-income families solarize their home. This is just plain wrong at this time in history.

You are are on the front lines right now. Do the right thing for everyone's future!

Sincerely yours,

Joy and Richard McConnell  
180 Wesley Drive, Apt. 306  
Asheville, NC 28803

joyelayne@aol.com  
828-808-4710