

Dunston, Antonia

From: Simon Curran
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Simon Curran

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Simon Curran

Email

simonacurran@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We reject this proposal. DO not change the rules for Net Metering.; We do not want Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina with their proposed changes.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: yvonne hall
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by yvonne hall

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

yvonne hall

Email

msladyyh@yahoo.com

Docket

E100sub180

Message

Kill duke proposals on solar energy

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: David White
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 7:36 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David White

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David White

Email

dwhite5530@triad.rr.com

Docket

R-100 180

Message

Demand you do a full cost analysis before passing this bill. I'm out 36,500 dollars and they y trying to change the rules now it's in their favor. Also, we should be able to adjust the even up day. Not June 1. This steals 3 months excess From me and no cop to

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Susan Fox
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Susan Fox

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Susan Fox

Email

windscfox1@windstream.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. We are paying for our solar collectors over time. Changing the Metering will make it very unaffordable for us!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Brandon cothran
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Brandon cothran

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brandon cothran

Email

brandoncothran1108@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a new solar energy owner I request you reject duke energy's proposal. I have made a significant investment in creating clean sustainable energy and don't wish to be back doored by a giant corporation. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Bryan Bland
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bryan Bland

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bryan Bland

Email

bryanbland246@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180)

Message

Please, do not allow Duke energy to manipulate the solar program in North Carolina! These changes could have significant negative impacts on North Carolina's academy

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rick Hudson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rick Hudson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rick Hudson

Email

ricky8749@att.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount I am paid for the excess solar energy I share with the grid. Duke Energy makes plenty of money from their customers. Customers who produce solar energy for Duke Energy to supply should not have their reimbursement reduced. Clean energy is our future.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Arvind Thirumalai
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Arvind Thirumalai

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Arvind Thirumalai

Email

arvind3tthiru@gmaip.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Jennifer Deane
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:36 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jennifer Deane

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jennifer Deane

Email

jennndeeter@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing regarding the changes being proposed in the above docket number. at a time when we need to be doing everything we can to combat climate change the changes being proposed by Duke appear to work against this goal. We should be making decisions which allow clean energy to be affordable, accessible, and achievable for all North Carolinian's regardless of income and SES. those of us who have chosen solar have done so as a way to help combat climate change with our personal choices as well as maintain a reasonable cost for household energy use. I fear these changes could increase the cost for those who have already chosen to make a change and certainly for those who may be considering going solar. The change in cost may make it both less appealing and/or less accessible for families-- especially those of low to moderate income households for whom a consistent affordable energy bill is essential. At the least, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Please consider the long-term impacts of this decision and how it may alter North Carolinian's access to clean energy as well as monthly utility costs. thank you

Dunston, Antonia

From: Kevin Tew
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:36 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin Tew

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kevin Tew

Email

krtew@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. This saves me a lot of money every month on my electric bill. Does not make sense to try and hurt customers that are trying to do save money and the planet.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rodney Allman
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:36 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rodney Allman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rodney Allman

Email

rdallman1965@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm a law bidding tax paying citizen of North Carolina trying to do my share of saving this planet for our fellow man. It's not right to change the rules after people have sacrificed and paid out hard earned money to not only get ahead but to do something good for our planet and the people on it! To raise or charge extra fees on customers who already have solar systems is just plain WRONG!!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rodney Allman
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:36 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rodney Allman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rodney Allman

Email

rdallman1965@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm a law bidding tax paying citizen of North Carolina trying to do my share of saving this planet for our fellow man. It's not right to change the rules after people have sacrificed and paid out hard earned money to not only get ahead but to do something good for our planet and the people on it! To raise or charge extra fees on customers who already have solar systems is just plain WRONG!!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Michele Miller
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michele Miller

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michele Miller

Email

m8chmiller@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I installed a solar system on my home last winter. I understand Duke Energy is pursuing a change to the net metering system. I urge you to conduct a full cost benefit study of rooftop solar before taking action on Duke Energy's proposal. Given the increase in energy costs this year, it seems that it is to everyone's benefit for more homes to add solar power. Of course, Duke Energy needs to make a fair profit. The monthly fee that they charge solar customers like me should be set at a level that addresses the service that they are providing to me. It should not be increased as a way to make up for other shortfalls. Similarly, the net metering buy/sell rates should not be adjusted in an attempt to make more money off of me to make up for costs elsewhere. I invested in solar after considering how long it would take to break even on the investment. An increase of rates would lengthen that break even time or perhaps even turn my investment into a loss. A change in costs would be unfair to existing solar customers and be extremely discouraging to potential future ones.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Alvin Chanthirat
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Alvin Chanthirat

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alvin Chanthirat

Email

aengkham@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am so sickened and tired of paying so much money to Duke Energy every damn month for excessive-high dollars of electricity. And on top of that, they want us to pay for their equipment and other greedy needs. Enough is Enough of these damn companies.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Donna Ray
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:30 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Donna Ray

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Donna Ray

Email

donnaray0728@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 80

Message

Solar energy should be a priority for the state, not a handicap for those who pay for panels.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Steven Ferlauto
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:29 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steven Ferlauto

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven Ferlauto

Email

steven@archetypegeometry.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I purchased solar panels for my home to support technologies that are good for our future. I was able to do so with the encouragement of a government subsidy and reasonable loan from Technu. I am retired on a fixed income. My calculations were based as such. To change the deal after encouraging buy-ins would be very wrong and damaging to people that are trying to do the right thing.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Ryan C Maves
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:29 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ryan C Maves

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ryan C Maves

Email

rcmaves@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have recently learned of Duke Energy's desire to alter rates charged to rooftop solar customers as well as the amount they credit for power returned to the grid. They appear to assert that rooftop solar users do not pay our "fair share". This is an unsupported claim without evidence. The potential impact of their proposed changes, which are likely solely for their corporate benefit and not for citizens of North Carolina or the environment, have not been subject to scrutiny. I respectfully ask the NCUC to conduct a genuine cost-benefit analysis of the use of rooftop solar in our state. As current and prior conflicts on Europe and the Middle East have taught us, the volatile geopolitics of energy make our transition to a carbon-free energy grid a matter of national security. Reliance on fossil fuels is not only an environmental danger; it also lets any despot hold us hostage for energy supplies. Supporting rooftop solar is a critical ingredient of a diversified renewable energy portfolio for our country and our state. Thank you for your time and consideration, Respectfully, Ryan C. Maves Captain, US Navy (retired) Winston-Salem, North Carolina

From: Ryan C Maves
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:29 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ryan C Maves

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ryan C Maves

Email

rcmaves@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have recently learned of Duke Energy's desire to alter rates charged to rooftop solar customers as well as the amount they credit for power returned to the grid. They appear to assert that rooftop solar users do not pay our "fair share". This is an unsupported claim without evidence. The potential impact of their proposed changes, which are likely solely for their corporate benefit and not for citizens of North Carolina or the environment, have not been subject to scrutiny. I respectfully ask the NCUC to conduct a genuine cost-benefit analysis of the use of rooftop solar in our state. As current and prior conflicts on Europe and the Middle East have taught us, the volatile geopolitics of energy make our transition to a carbon-free energy grid a matter of national security. Reliance on fossil fuels is not only an environmental danger; it also lets any despot hold us hostage for energy supplies. Supporting rooftop solar is a critical ingredient of a diversified renewable energy portfolio for our country and our state. Thank you for your time and consideration, Respectfully, Ryan C. Maves Captain, US Navy (retired) Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Dunston, Antonia

From: Edward Brouwer
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:28 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Edward Brouwer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Edward Brouwer

Email

edwardwilliambrouwer@gmail.com

Docket

E10 Sub 180

Message

The proposal of duke is a money grab. It is wrongheaded on many levels. It does not incentivize people to generate power through purchasing solar and generating at home. It is no less than a cheap way for them to acquire inexpensive energy that they can resell at a profit.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rob Coyle
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rob Coyle

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rob Coyle

Email

rcoy1@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please investigate true costs before imposing changes. I want my neighbors to have more solar!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Darla Brown
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Darla Brown

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Darla Brown

Email

darlabrown1@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm writing to ask you to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan that could include: * Higher fixed monthly fees * Time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand. * Compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, we would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents). Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you for your time, Darla Brown

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rhonda Brown
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rhonda Brown

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rhonda Brown

Email

angeloffbfd@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not pass this! The cost of energy is going higher and higher, the damage to our eco system is getting worse and worse. Our air quality is being harmed from all of the pollution they produce. Those of us who chose to go to solar energy are trying to keep our own costs down as well as keep the environmental damage down. Duke energy makes plenty of money off of their customers with their "estimated" billing system. Please don't allow them to get richer as they drain the rest of our bank accounts dry in the process! Thank you, Rhonda Brown

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Murray Merner
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Murray Merner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Murray Merner

Email

mmmm0320@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have solar panels on my roof. I have to pay Duke Energy \$14.00 plus a "rider" of \$1.41 whether I use any of Duke's electricity or not. (My investment was over \$20,000.) In addition, they delete all the reserve electricity I have on my account on May 31 each year. (I am sure they sold that electricity to someone else.) All solar panels on homes and businesses need to be encouraged to help solve the climate warming problem - not discourage it. Duke should not be the entity in charge of the management of solar power. It is obvious they have a conflict of interest. They are not a willing team player. Our planet is burning up. Our children will be left with a cinder. Duke puts its profits ahead of our future. Do not let them get away with any steps they take to discourage the solar panel user.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Susan Frazier
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Susan Frazier

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Susan Frazier

Email

susanfrazier2113@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. In addition, thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Existing customer, like me, should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From: Nathaniel Smith
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nathaniel Smith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nathaniel Smith

Email

ncsmith64@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke's current proposal is bad for everyone - including, ironically Duke's senior management team and its shareholders. Well-managed companies look forward. Duke Energy, sadly, is mired in the 20th century. While it is not alone in focusing on short-term market returns, one would hope that a company as subject to governmental oversight and regulation as an energy provider would at least "wake up and smell the coffee" a little bit. Duke's business plan - one in which nearly all its customers are beholden to it for their power needs - is in the same position as the occupation of "farrior" circa 1903. Shoeing horses continued to be a necessary trade, but its role declined over the ensuing decades to the point where after WWII it was an anachronism.... a tiny subset of what it used to be. Our State's - and our nation's - energy sector is at a similar inflection point. I invested \$17,000 last year to put panels on my roof based on an assumption that (a) surely the regulatory commission would not allow Duke or any other large entity to go BACKWARDS on what they are paying us for our excess energy production. Hell - I think the NCUC should mandate that Duke and all other providers should be required to purchase ALL excess energy produced by individual homeowners at the average rate that they buy it from other utilities. The NCUC needs to ENCOURAGE, not DISCOURAGE homeowners investing in producing their own energy. We hold such obvious truths to be self-evident. Out.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Vetrivel Marudhachalam
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Vetrivel Marudhachalam

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Vetrivel Marudhachalam

Email

m.vetrivel@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Bruce Macdonald
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:19 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce Macdonald

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bruce Macdonald

Email

dotandbruce1936@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: AMY S DAVIS-CAYRON
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:17 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by AMY S DAVIS-CAYRON

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

AMY S DAVIS-CAYRON

Email

amycayron@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm tired of energy companies crying they feel cheated when they have NO COMPETITORS! Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. While I prefer NO change for the future of Duke and solar power in NC, I want to be grandfathered into what I signed up for before any new money-hungry scheme to keep getting richer. I care about my carbon footprint and I am trying to SAVE our planet. I can't feel the same about Duke with this new proposition.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ross Zacharzuk
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:17 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ross Zacharzuk

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ross Zacharzuk

Email

rossz@precisionplbg.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a residential solar system owner and ask that you reject duke's proposal. I'm happy I made the choice to install solar on my house. Solar is a significant part of our energy future and need to maintain its attractiveness and effectiveness.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Shawn Smallwood
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:16 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Shawn Smallwood

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Shawn Smallwood

Email

shawn.smallwood8@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please conduct an investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in North Carolina. Energy costs are high enough as well as the cost of a solar power system. Those who are trying to better the environment and reduce their carbon footprints should be rewarded, not punished. Thank you! Shawn Smallwood 105 Big Sky Dr Leicester NC 28748

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: David D Reid
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:16 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David D Reid

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David D Reid

Email

daviddbreid@charter.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please oppose changes to the net metering program being proposed by Duke Energy. My wife and I installed solar arrays in our field several years ago because it was the right thing to do to battle climate change and reduce carbon emissions. We pay our fair share to use the Grid through minimum monthly charges. Duke's proposed changes will discourage future individual solar initiatives, and is not the policy we need in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Geoffrey Masukawa
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:15 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Geoffrey Masukawa

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Geoffrey Masukawa

Email

joffmasukawa@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a concerned resident of a Solar Panel outfitted home in Laurel Park, NC, I urge a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Mali Dahl
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:14 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mali Dahl

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mali Dahl

Email

malidahl50@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge the NCUC to deny Duke Energy's plan/request to change the net metering program for solar panel owners like me. I just installed the panels last month and was promised a 1 for one kWh. There was no word at the time about this potential change. It feels like a bait and switch. I made the choice to install solar because of the environment and because of the potential savings. Please keep the current program in place, whether as a grandfather-clause for current solar owners or for future new owners (that would encourage solar adoption which benefits all of us). Respectfully, Mali Dahl

Dunston, Antonia

From: Christian Senger
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Christian Senger

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Christian Senger

Email

chsenger@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Commissioners, I am opposed to the changes to the rooftop solar and net-metering tariffs as proposed by Duke Energy. I kindly ask that a true, independent study is conducted in this matter. At a minimum, peak demand times should be actual peak demand times, based on data of energy usage and not obviously random times made up by Duke Energy. Furthermore, peak demand times should be aligned for all suppliers and consumers, meaning there can only be one peak demand time for Duke and everyone else, not selective peak demand times based on what profits Duke Energy the most. Payout of excess energy should happen at Duke's average production cost, not at the cheapest theoretical wholesale price. The current proposal seems to only benefit one party: Duke Energy's shareholders and CEO. They are already benefiting sufficiently from the monopoly when I look onto their financial reports - recording a record revenue in Q1/2022. Solar system owners are counting on a fair change.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Damon dilorenzo
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Damon dilorenzo

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Damon dilorenzo

Email

ddilo12@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please do not change net metering for solar customers. That would be like stealing money from our pockets. Rather you should ensure we can carry over our unused solar KWH yearly since Duke turns them back to zero every June 1. Please help protect the people you elected and not the energy giants filling their pockets with our money

From: Ken Bolton
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:11 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ken Bolton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ken Bolton

Email

ken_w_bolton@hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

North Carolina Utilities Commission: I have reviewed Duke Energy's proposed net metering policy changes (i.e., Docket E-100 Sub 180) and I urge you to reject this proposal. The details of this proposal will slow non-carbon power generation, an outcome clearly at odds with H.B. 951. For example, increased monthly minimum bills, charging CPP-rates for CPP-hour imports but only crediting CPP-hour exports at non-CPP-rates, etc. I appreciate your service and efforts on behalf of all North Carolinians. Regards, Ken Bolton

Dunston, Antonia

From: Brian Doolittle
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Brian Doolittle

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brian Doolittle

Email

bldoolit@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm writing to ask the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject Duke Energy's proposal to change the rules for net metering. I demand that NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study on rooftop solar. NCUC may use my solar installation and Duke Energy account in their study. I have made a significant investment in solar power and do not appreciate Duke Energy's false claims that solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid. In reality Duke Energy is getting free power from me because my solar panel production exceeds my home power usage and Duke Energy wipes out excess credits on May 31st each year. This is strategic on Duke Energy's part because the reset takes place right before NC residents like myself begin to use more power to run A/C during summer months. Please conduct a full cost-benefit study on rooftop solar. For the record my preference is to continue the current straightforward net metering policy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: asa shoulders
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by asa shoulders

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

asa shoulders

Email

asa@callmsi.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not let duke energy become any richer by changing the current solar plan. I installed solar panels because of duke energy and their high rates. Costing me over \$4000 a year to them for 20 years I feel as if I have given them more than they need from any one household. And if you changed the current plan that would turn people away from going solar and making our state look very bad. Which would cost our state more money and jobs and only benefit duke energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Manish Patel
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Manish Patel

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Manish Patel

Email

manishnpatel1@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke energy can not ask for a change in solar rates before doing a full cost-benefit assessment of rooftop solar. Duke energy created a rate for solar and we made a major investment in renewable energy based on that rate. At a minimum, those who already have solar should be grandfathered into the old rate as long as the system is active. It is not fair for them to change the rules half way through the game.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Bob Beerman
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bob Beerman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bob Beerman

Email

info@bassviolinshop.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We've enjoyed having 22 solar panels on our roof at the Bass Violin Shop in Greensboro for many years. The fact that we generate energy that saves us \$ and is good for the environment is a huge plus. Please consider that going solar should have as much benefit to those choosing it as possible. It needs to be as attractive as possible! Thank you! Bob Beerman

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Lou Hoffman
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lou Hoffman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lou Hoffman

Email

hoffmanfarm143@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy has gone too far in trying to oppose Solar incentives. I am one of those people who were disenfranchised out of a rebate based on them changing their rules the year after my solar was installed. The fact the people of this state gave Duke land to bring electricity to us in the state. There is no where in that legislation that states Duke owns the natural resource of our lakes and streams. Then they are told to liquidate the land that was not used for making power and they are allowed to create a shell land holding company and make millions off of the land that the state gave them in the first place. Now, after being let off the coal ash spill and other environmental debacles, they are trying to interfere with those of us who are legitimately buying into solar energy by undermining the very movement they claim to be behind. Personally, I am tired of the bully in the room always getting his way to the detriment of our people in this state. Electricity is vital to the health and welfare of everyone. Making our own power is counter to Duke's dependency on our pocketbooks. Do you think the fox is leading the chickens?...There should be a limit to this Monopoly's greed and deception. It should also be considered a conflict of interest for them to anything more than a utility in this movement. They pay little enough for the free energy they receive from me. Don't let them pay less to put more money in their pockets.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jayathirtha M Rao
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jayathirtha M Rao

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jayathirtha M Rao

Email

jayyurao@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

(specify Docket E-100 Sub 180) Requesting you to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Paul Marvin
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Marvin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paul Marvin

Email

paulmarvin1@mac.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow Duke to change its net metering policy. We need to encourage, not discourage this technology. We supply the grid WITH energy at peak hours, allowing Duke to avoid building a new power plant to supplement the grid for peak hours.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: David Munnikhuysen
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Munnikhuysen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Munnikhuysen

Email

dmmunnikhuysen@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly oppose any changes from the current Duke Power solar residential rebate program that will disincentives home owners from installing residential solar systems or changing the deal after the fact. I believe residential solar is key to a renewable NC and we need to encourage it not throttle it back.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Gary Karnik
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gary Karnik

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gary Karnik

Email

jkkg4546@aol.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Do not pursue this action this is pure greed. We will fight this . I will

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: John Kenney
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:58 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Kenney

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Kenney

Email

Stealth112@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: ken mathias
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by ken mathias

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

ken mathias

Email

kenndaphne@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm not usually one to provide feedback. If the way I'm reimbursed for the solar energy I create is unfavorably modified against me, I will begin to take an active role in politics against the NCUC incumbents. If however, you decide to let me send excess power to Duke-Energy and be reimbursed for it, no matter the amount, that would be acceptable. (No more zeroing out excess production.)

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Timothy Birthisel
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:56 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Timothy Birthisel

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Timothy Birthisel

Email

timbirth@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke is apparently ramping up its efforts to discourage renewables competition to their fossil fueled pollution of our atmosphere by reducing the value of excess energy generated by solar homeowners like me. Please reject any efforts such as the subject item, and help folks working to save our climate, wildlife, and health. Our utility here in Buncombe County values their profits over our future, not like a true community partner would.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Lesley Allen
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lesley Allen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lesley Allen

Email

nellallama@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From: Charles White
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Charles White

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charles White

Email

white3696@bellsouth.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reconsider the proposal being submitted by Duke energy. As a home owner doing my due diligence to provide renewable energy to the grid, I am very offended that renewable energy sources will be valued less than the energy sources that are damaging our environment and causing global warming. Please stop this madness