Mount, Gail

OFFICIAL COPY

From:

Body Symmetry

dysymmetry@gmail.com>

Sent: To: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 9:36 AM tim.dodge@psncuc.nc.gov; Statements

Subject: Attachments: Tariffs
Citizen Sample Letter.pdf

E100 SUB141

FILED

DEC 3 0 2015

Clark's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

To Whom It May Concern,

As a NC resident and holistic practitioner I am opposing the tariff and extra fees to read meters as proposed in Docket No. E-100, SUB 141. Personally I do all I can to protect my family from Wi-Fi and other devices that create various fields. I also make a point to educate my clients to do the same. I work with several clients that are electrically sensitive and even have brain tinkling if the WiFi is turned on in their home. The health issues are not slight and should no be minimized nor should anybody pay more or be penalized for trying to protect the health of their families.

I have also attached a letter that clearly gives reasonable solutions to these charges.

Thank you for your consideration,

Denise
<u>www.BodySymmetry-Wellness.com</u>
<u>www.BodySymmetry.TeamASEA.com</u>
<u>Like us on Facebook</u>

He draws close, to ripen my wisdom, to deepen my peace, to increase my courage, to augment my power, to be of use to others.



Dear North Carolina Utility Commission and Public Staff.

Clark's Office

l am submitting a letter regarding case record: Docket No. E-100, SUB 141

I see that Duke Energy is proposing to charge a fee to people who refuse smart meter installation or who wish to replace their smart meter with an analog meter. In addition to this charge, Duke Energy plans to implement a monthly meter reading fee. None of these fee details is available to the public. I find all of this offensive!

First of all, I would like it to be noted that my family's health suffered tremendously after the wireless "smart" meters were installed on our home. This created a serious financial hardship for us. I have reported this in great detail already to several North Carolina agencies.

I have some things that I would like you to consider regarding the fees and dangerous wireless utility meters that Duke Energy is trying to implement:

- 1. Wireless Meters and Smart Meters have been labeled a Class 2b Carcinogen by the World Health Organization. It is not legal to experiment upon and cause health ailments to the population, let alone extort fees for doing it, or extort fees from customers who wish to protect their privacy and health.
- 2. If Duke insists on having an official reading done by a meter reader, why does it have to be done every month? When Duke still employed meter readers and we weren't home to let them in, they estimated the bill until the next time we were home to let them in. Why can't they just leave a card for us to call in the numbers ourselves?
- 3. In many areas, it is not mandatory that a meter reader make an official reading for 6 months. It shouldn't be necessary for a meter reader to make a visit every month especially for customers in good standing.
- 4. In many areas, customers are allowed to take pictures of their meters and send them directly to the utility companies by email. Have you thought of this?
- 5. Pictures can be taken of the meter on the required "Read Date" and the camera used would have the date stamp as well as the ID of the meter. These could be emailed, faxed or mailed in! Has Duke Energy considered creating an "app" for people who have cellular phones to take pictures of their meters to submit directly to the company? There are apps which take pictures of checks so that money can be withdrawn immediately from a bank without a personal visit. Why not an app for a meter reading? People who don't have the capability to take and submit these pictures could have it done by neighbors, friends, family or social workers and Duke Energy would not have to hire meter readers at all.
- Last but certainly not least (which was briefly mentioned above): There are countless research studies documenting the adverse health effects of wireless or "smart" meters:
- "...the exposure to microwave and radio-wave radiation from these (smart) meters is involuntary and continuous. The transmitting meters may not even comply with Federal Communications Commission (FCC) "safety" standards (see http://sagereports.com/

smart-meter-rf/). However, those standards were initially designed to protect an average male from tissue heating (cooking) during a brief exposure. These standards were not designed to protect a diverse population from the non-thermal effects of continuous exposure to microwave and radio-wave radiation. Therefore, these "safety" standards were not designed to protect the public from health problems under the circumstances which the meters are being used. The American Academy of Environmental Medicine has called for a moratorium on the installation of transmitting utility meters on the basis that:

"Chronic exposure to wireless radio-frequency radiation is a preventable environmental hazard that is sufficiently well documented to warrant immediate preventative public health action."

These harmful wireless meters are being forced on us by the utility companies and this is creating a financial hardship for all of us who have been or are becoming sick. Now the utility companies want to charge customers fees to protect ourselves from these wireless "smart" meters?

The people who can afford these fees shouldn't be expected to pay them. And the government shouldn't be expected to pay these fees for an ever increasing population of people who won't be able to afford this but want to protect themselves. The government is already paying the medical bills for people receiving assistance who have been sickened by the wireless "smart" meters. The only ones who don't seem to be losing money in this wireless "smart" meter venture are the utility companies.

The Energy Policy Act of 2005, Section 1252, "smart meters", states that electric utilities shall provide such meters to those customers who request them. Therefore, people should have to "opt in."

We should not have to "opt out."

http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-109publ58/html/PLAW-109publ58.htm

I know I am not the only person who has had adverse health reactions from these wireless "smart" meters. There is too much documentation that confirms this. I shouldn't have to pay additional money to protect myself and neither should anyone else.

Thank you for your consideration.