



OFFICIAL COPY

Brian L. Franklin
Associate General Counsel

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission

Duke Energy
550 South Tryon Street
Charlotte, NC 28202

Mailing Address:
DEC45A / P.O. Box 1321
Charlotte, NC 28201

o: 980.373.4465
f: 980.373.8534

brian.franklin@duke-energy.com

June 3, 2013

RR
AG
Hoover
Sessions
Kite
Ericson
Jones
Hodge
PSEExeDir
3-PS Legal
3-PS Elec
3-PS Arct
2-PS EcRcs

7Comm
Cleuten
Green
Duffy
Conrad

Ms. Gail L. Mount
Chief Clerk
North Carolina Utilities Commission
430 North Salisbury
Raleigh, NC 27699-4325

RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1033
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Pursuant to G.S. 62-133.2 and
NCUC Rule R8-55 Relating to Fuel and Fuel-Related Charge Adjustments
for Electric Utilities

Dear Ms. Mount:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are an original and thirty (30) copies of Robert J. Duncan, II's Supplemental Testimony on behalf of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ("DEC") in the above-referenced docket.

Also included for filing are an original and thirty (30) copies of Kim H. Smith's Revised Smith Exhibits and Workpapers. The revisions do not have an impact on the Fuel and Fuel Related factors filed in the stipulation today with the Commission. On Smith Exhibit 2, Schedule 2, Page 2 of 3 and Smith Exhibit 2, Schedule 3, Page 2 of 3; the Line 1 description "NC Projected Billing Period MWH Sales" is revised to "NC Adjusted Test Period Sales". Also on Smith Exhibit 2, Schedule 2, Page 2 of 3, "Page 2 of 2" should have been "Page 2 of 3". The amounts originally shown on Smith Workpapers 13 and 13b for Docket E-7, Sub 1002 included the months of January 2011 through April of 2011. These months should not have been included as part of Docket E-7, Sub 1002 amounts since, as permitted by G.S. 62-133.2(d) and Commission Rule R8-55(d)(3), DEC had included these months in the EMF calculations in Docket E-7, Sub 982.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Franklin

BLF:gf
Enclosures

OFFICIAL COPY

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1033

In the Matter of)
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC) **SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF**
Pursuant to G.S. 62-133.2 and NCUC Rule) **ROBERT J. DUNCAN, II FOR**
R8-55 Relating to Fuel and Fuel-Related) **DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC**
Charge Adjustments for Electric Utilities)

1 Q. **PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Robert J. ("Bob") Duncan, II. My business address is 526 South
3 Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina.

4 Q. **HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS
5 PROCEEDING?**

6 A. Yes, on March 6, 2013, I caused to be pre-filed with the Commission my direct
7 testimony and an exhibit.

8 Q. **WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?**

9 A. The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to support the Stipulation entered
10 into by Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ("DEC") and the Public Staff-North
11 Carolina Utilities Commission ("Public Staff") filed on June 3, 2013 in this
12 Docket, and also elaborate on the factual aspects of the nuclear outages that are
13 addressed in the Stipulation.

14 Q. **CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE FOREIGN MATERIAL EXCLUSION
15 PORTION OF THE MCGUIRE UNIT 2 OUTAGE EXTENSION?**

16 A. Yes. The McGuire Unit 2 Fall 2012 refueling and maintenance outage involved a
17 significant scope of work, including replacement of the main generator stator,
18 exciter and support systems, upgrade of the high pressure turbine and
19 modification of the turbine generator support systems. These generator-turbine
20 projects increase the capacity and improve the reliability of the unit. Managing
21 foreign material exclusion ("FME") during an outage is highly challenging across
22 the nuclear industry. Loose metallic objects in the generator have potentially high
23 adverse consequences, including damage to the generator, reactor trips and
24 personnel injury.

1 Prior to a planned outage such as this one, DEC develops a detailed
2 schedule for the outage and for the major tasks to be performed, including sub-
3 schedules for particular activities. The Company aggressively attempts to meet its
4 best overall outage time for each outage and measures itself against that schedule.
5 Additionally, DEC performs detailed self-critical analyses of each outage project
6 and applies any lessons learned to ensure continuous improvement.

7 As noted in my direct testimony, rework due to foreign material
8 contributed to the outage extension at McGuire. Specifically, on October 14,
9 2012, a day-shift craft millwright raised a concern that a 5/16" nut and lockwasher
10 were missing from a 1.5-ton lever-operated hoist as the hoist was being removed
11 from the Unit's Foreign Material Exclusion Zone ("FMEZ"). After extensive
12 inspections, including removal of the generator's rotor, the missing parts were not
13 located. The removal of the rotor was a decision that prolonged the outage, but
14 also elevated plant equipment reliability and personnel safety over economic
15 concerns.

16 Even though DEC and its contractor had implemented FME control efforts
17 prior to the outage, and FME technicians inspected tools, including the hoist, prior
18 to entry into the FMEZ, the extensive searches were reasonable and appropriate to
19 assure that the missing parts were not in the generator.

20 The Company talked to the craft laborer and the FME technician who
21 inspected the hoist prior to its entry into the FMEZ. The FME technician who
22 inspected the tool prior to entry into the FMEZ stated that he performed the
23 inspection and that he understood his training and the FME procedures regarding
24 checking tools for loose parts; however, he could not specifically recall whether

1 the nut and lockwasher were missing when he logged the hoist. The technician
2 could not recall whether the nut and lockwasher were present or missing when the
3 hoist entered the FMEZ. Therefore, DEC could not rule out the possibility that
4 the parts were in the FMEZ. Only in hindsight, after the search and the
5 uneventful startup and operation of the generator, do we know that the missing
6 parts may well have been missing prior to the hoist's entry into the FMEZ

7 **Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE FRAME FOOT LOADING EVENT THAT
8 LEAD TO A FURTHER EXTENSION OF THE OUTAGE?**

9 A. Yes. The outage extension was also affected by problems encountered by a
10 qualified contractor in leveling the frame footing (e.g., "frame foot loading" or
11 "FFL") for the large electric main generator. The Company held the expectation
12 that the leveling process, referred to as "shimming," could be achieved in the time
13 scheduled for the task.

14 A new main turbine generator was installed during this outage, making
15 extensive alignment necessary. Excessive vibration during generator startup
16 would require the Unit to shut down until the source of the vibration, which in and
17 of itself could cause equipment damage, could be identified and eliminated, so
18 achieving an adequate alignment was a high priority. During outage planning,
19 DEC and the contractor considered aligning the generator using either FFL or step
20 shimming. Step shimming is simpler and more straightforward than FFL, but is
21 much less accurate and can be inconclusive until generator startup. FFL produces
22 a more accurate alignment but takes more time, is more complex, and requires
23 more shim movements with a higher level of assurance of low vibration at startup.
24 Before recent technological advances made FFL easier to perform, FFL was

1 reserved for problematic alignments where excessive vibration had been observed
2 in the main turbine generator.

3 Prior to the performance of the FFL at McGuire, DEC's subject matter
4 experts performed quality reviews of the contractor's work packages for FFL,
5 including the contractor's proprietary documents that relate to FFL technique.
6 The Company also developed procedures to govern DEC's oversight of the
7 contractor. Further, during execution efforts, DEC remained engaged asking
8 questions of the contractor. Only after the contractor's 16th move was DEC
9 aware that the contractor, and the contractor's technique, might not achieve
10 desired results. At this point, DEC applied oversight resources to the contractor's
11 conduct of the work. While monitoring the contractor's performance of FFL from
12 moves 16 to 25, DEC noted several shortcomings in the contractor's performance
13 and brought these to the contractor's attention. Following DEC's decision to
14 intervene, DEC achieved an acceptable alignment in approximately one (1) day.

15 Consistent with nuclear industry practice, DEC and its vendor actively
16 engaged in a self-critical post-outage critique process and developed a project
17 plan to incorporate lessons learned and guide a similar scope of work performed
18 during the McGuire Unit 1 spring 2013 refueling outage.

19 **Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE CATAWBA UNIT 1 FORCED OUTAGE AND**
20 **UNIT 2 OUTAGE EXTENSION?**

21 A. Yes. In May-June 2011, during Unit 1's 19th refueling and maintenance outage,
22 DEC upgraded the generator protective relay system for the Unit. This system is
23 designed to detect faults and other off-normal conditions affecting the switchyard
24 or the main turbine generator. The turbine under-frequency protection design

1 change was implemented to address equipment obsolescence and eliminate
2 vulnerability in generator asset protection. The preexisting electro-mechanical
3 relay scheme providing turbine under-frequency protection required upgrade and
4 additional protection with digital components for the generator to protect against
5 catastrophic damage if a ground fault should occur. In implementing the project,
6 DEC developed specifications for a qualified vendor. The scope specification did
7 not specifically call out with particularity a design input for the complex relay
8 scheme and led to the omission of a “block” of a protection feature that isolates
9 the Unit from the grid when the generator circuit breakers are open following a
10 generator trip.

11 The outage in question began on April 4, 2012, when Unit 1 tripped off-
12 line following a trip of the “1D” reactor coolant pump. Shortly thereafter, a
13 portion of the generator protective relay system unexpectedly actuated when it
14 sensed the instantaneous under-frequency condition of the Unit. This actuation
15 opened the switchyard circuit breakers, thereby isolating Unit 1 from the
16 transmission grid which supplies backup power to the Unit. This condition is
17 referred to as a “Loss of Offsite Power” or “LOOP”. The two emergency standby
18 diesel generators automatically started as designed and powered the Unit until,
19 five and a half hours later, offsite power was restored. Both the loss of reactor
20 coolant pump flow and resultant reactor trip and the LOOP are events analyzed
21 for safety as part of the plant’s original license submittal, and the Unit is designed
22 to safely shut down from such events.

23 The Company evaluated the situation and concluded that the 1D reactor
24 coolant pump trip was caused by thermal damage to insulation on a reactor

1 coolant pump motor power cable associated with a historic event in 2000, as well
2 as degradation over time of the cable. The thermal damage was undetected and,
3 in 2000, not readily detectable by cost-effective non-destructive testing methods
4 then available. In April 2012, the cable “faulted to ground” at the location of the
5 thermal damage. The faulted reactor coolant pump motor cable was replaced.

6 The old protection scheme used a series of relays and timers in a stepped
7 protective relay scheme at various settings at different frequencies. Because the
8 blocking scheme was not fully incorporated into the revised design, when the
9 Unit’s main generator tripped, the Unit was isolated from the grid when, as
10 intended, the upgraded design should have blocked the isolation.

11 The Company utilized its highest level of risk management for the design
12 change. Prior to the design change, DEC held numerous meetings with the
13 vendor and reviewed the vendor’s efforts throughout the design change process.
14 During this review process, DEC spent hundreds of hours in design review,
15 including review of computer coding but not source code, which is proprietary to
16 the vendor. This source code contains algorithms for “accumulating” time related
17 to relay functions. Based on programming coding reviewed by DEC, the
18 accumulating function appeared to be designed correctly.

19 The relay programming is proprietary to the vendor and represents the
20 vehicle for ensuring relay logic and schemes are executed as designed. In their
21 review of the relay programming, Duke personnel reviewed the coding language
22 to ensure time accumulation functions were present in each of the four zones of
23 protection designed. The Duke personnel were not aware, however, that while the
24 code variable programmed for Zones 1, 2, and 3 would work as designed to

1 accumulate minutes, it would not work in Zone 4 to accumulate milliseconds.
2 Because the source code was proprietary, the time segmentation of these
3 accumulation algorithms was not disclosed to Duke personnel. The error in the
4 accumulation algorithm in the protection scheme is the source of the design error
5 and was carried forward into the accept testing.

6 **Q. IN LIGHT OF THE COMPANY'S EXPLANATION OF THE MCGUIRE**
7 **AND CATAWBA OUTAGES, WHY DID DEC ENTER IN TO THE**
8 **STIPULATION?**

9 A. As explained in the testimony of Public Staff witness Ellis, the Public Staff's
10 investigation into these outage extensions resulted in it concluding that certain
11 nuclear outage time could have been avoided and that, therefore, the Company
12 should forego recovery of those expenses. In my supplemental testimony, I have
13 explained DEC's analysis of the Catawba and McGuire outages as they occurred
14 in real time from DEC's perspective, and for the reasons set forth above, the
15 Company disagrees with the Public Staff's conclusions on certain portions of
16 those outages.

17 Both parties, however, recognized that the causes and lengths of nuclear
18 outages, like nuclear operations in general, are complex and difficult to explain
19 and, as alluded to in Public Staff witness Ellis' testimony, reasonable persons with
20 knowledge and experience in nuclear operations can disagree as to the drivers of
21 specific outage delays. As a result, the Parties agreed that the Company would
22 agree to a stipulated adjustment of \$5.3 million on a North Carolina retail basis,
23 including interest to resolve the matter. In agreeing to this adjustment, however,
24 DEC does not admit that any of the outage time in question was the result of

1 imprudence, unreasonableness, inefficient management, or uneconomic
2 operations of its nuclear generation fleet. Additionally, the capacity factors for
3 McGuire Nuclear Station and Catawba Nuclear Station both exceeded the NERC
4 five-year average nuclear capacity factor on a standalone basis. The Company
5 also believes it is key to place each event in its proper context and focus attention
6 on the facts and circumstances as they existed at the time of each incident without
7 the benefit of hindsight, including key decisions leading up to these events.

8 **Q. DOES THIS CONLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?**

9 A. Yes.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Summary Comparison of Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Billing Period September 2013 - August 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 1

Line #	Description	Reference	Residential cents/kWh	General cents/kWh	Industrial cents/kWh
<u>Current Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors (Approved Fuel Rider Docket No. E-7, Sub 1002)</u>					
1	Approved Fuel and Fuel Related Costs Factors	Input	2.2224	2.2463	2.2594
2	Current Merger Savings decrement cents/kWh (Docket E-7, Sub 986)*	Workpaper 2 Input	(0.0707) 0.0360	(0.0509) 0.0323	(0.0379) 0.0318
3	EMF Increment	Sum	2.1877	2.2277	2.2533
<u>Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors Required by Rule R8-55</u>					
5	Proposed Nuclear Capacity Factor of 92.84% and Adjusted Test Period Sales	Exh 2 Sch 2 pg 2	2.1512	2.1989	2.2314
6	NERC 5 Year Average Nuclear Capacity Factor of 89.79% and Adjusted Test Period Sales	Exh 2 Sch 3 pg 2	2.2615	2.2860	2.2975
<u>Proposed Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors using Proposed Nuclear Capacity Factor of 92.84%</u>					
7	Fuel and Fuel Related Costs excluding Purchased Capacity cents/kWh	Exh 2 Sch 1 pg 2	2.2070	2.3355	2.3752
8	Purchased Power - Capacity cents/kWh	Exh 2 Sch 1 pg 2 Sum	0.0253	0.0204	0.0200
9	Total adjusted Fuel and Fuel Related Costs cents/kWh	2.2323	2.3559	2.3952	
10	EMF Decrement cents/kWh	Exh 3 pg 2, 3, 4 Exh 3 pg 2, 3, 4 Sum	(0.0382) (0.0064)	(0.1099) (0.0183)	(0.1216) (0.0203)
11	EMF Interest Decrement cents/kWh				
12	Net Fuel and Fuel Related Costs Factors cents/kWh	2.1877	2.2277	2.2533	

*excludes gross receipts tax and regulatory fee

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Calculation of Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors Using:
Proposed Nuclear Capacity Factor of 92.84%
Twelve Months September 2013 - August 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 2
Schedule 1
Page 1 of 3

Line #	Unit	Reference	MDC Rating (MW)	Hours in Year	Capacity Factor	Generation (MWh)	Unit Cost (cents/kWh)	Fuel Cost (\$)
			A	B	D/(A*B)=C	D	E	D * E = F
1	Catawba 1	Workpaper 3	1,129	8,760	89.85%	8,885,994	0.6534	58,059,461
2	Catawba 2	Workpaper 3	1,129	8,760	92.01%	9,099,772	0.7078	64,408,351
3	McGuire 1	Workpaper 3	1,129	8,760	98.25%	9,717,272	0.6585	63,992,207
4	McGuire 2	Workpaper 3	1,129	8,760	93.26%	9,223,879	0.6627	61,127,783
5	Oconee 1	Workpaper 3	846	8,760	99.89%	7,402,727	0.6787	50,242,789
6	Oconee 2	Workpaper 3	846	8,760	84.02%	6,226,615	0.6964	43,360,558
7	Oconee 3	Workpaper 3	846	8,760	91.94%	6,813,773	0.6836	46,576,393
8	Total Nuclear	Workpaper 5 & 6	7,054		92.84%	57,370,032	0.6759	387,767,542
9	Coal	Workpaper 5 & 6				26,277,775	3.8023	999,170,804
10	Gas CT and CC	Workpaper 5 & 6				10,016,167	3.2554	326,064,809
11	Reagents	Workpaper 11						41,840,159
12	Total Fossil	Sum				36,293,942		1,367,075,782
13	Hydro	Workpaper 5				1,779,845		
14	Net Pumped Storage	Workpaper 5				(798,620)		
15	Total Hydro	Sum				981,225		
16	Total Generation	Line 8 + Line 12 + Line 15				94,645,199		1,754,843,324
17	Less Catawba Joint Owners	Workpaper 5				(13,929,209)		(94,148,372)
18	Net Generation	Sum				80,715,990		1,660,694,952
19	Purchases	Workpaper 5 & 6				9,448,043		336,257,185
20	JDA Savings Shared	Workpaper 7				-		8,791,208
21	Total Purchases					9,448,043		345,048,393
22	Total Generation and Purchases	Line 18 + Line 21				90,164,033		2,005,743,345
23	Adjustment to exclude cost of mitigation sales	Workpaper 5 & 7				(803,900)		(29,839,400)
24	Fuel expense recovered through Intersystem sales	Workpaper 5 & 7				(1,683,858)		(66,967,909)
25	Line losses and Company use					(5,287,395)		-
26	System Fuel Expense for Fuel Factor	Lines 22 + 23 + 24						1,908,936,036
27	Projected System MWh Sales for Fuel Factor	Lines 22 + 23 + 24 + 25 and Workpaper 9				82,388,880		82,388,880
28	Fuel and Fuel Related Costs cents/kWh	Line 26/Line 27/10						2.3170

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Calculation of Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors Using:
Proposed Nuclear Capacity Factor of 92.85%
Twelve Months September 2013 - August 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 2
Schedule 1
Pages 2 of 3

Line #	Description	Reference	Residential	GS/Lighting	Industrial	Total
1	NC Projected Billing Period MWH Sales	Workpaper 9	20,955,314	22,316,250	12,244,753	55,516,317
<u>Calculation of Renewable and Cogeneration Purchased Power Capacity Rate by Class</u>						
2	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Workpaper 6				\$ 6,918,584
3	Cogeneration Purchased Power - Capacity	Workpaper 6				<u>10,211,640</u>
4	Total of Renewable and Cogeneration Purchased Power Capacity	Line 2 + Line 3				\$ 17,130,224
5	NC Portion - Jurisdictional % based on Production Plant Allocator	Input				<u>71.8170%</u>
6	NC Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Line 4 * Line 5				\$ 12,302,413
7	Production Plant Allocation Factors	Input				
8	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity allocated on Production Plant %	Line 6 * Line 7	\$ 5,311,395	36.9456%	19.8798%	100.0000%
9	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity cents/kWh based on Projected Billing Period Sales	Line 8 / Line 1	0.0253	4,545,323	\$ 2,445,695	\$ 12,302,413
				0.0204	0.0200	0.0222
<u>Summary of Total Rate by Class</u>						
Fuel and Fuel Related Costs excluding Renewable Purchased Power and Cogeneration						
10	Purchased Capacity cents/kWh	Line 14	2.2070	2.3355	2.3752	
11	Purchased Power - Capacity cents/kWh	Line 9	0.0253	0.004	0.0200	
12	Total adjusted Fuel and Fuel Related Costs cents/kWh	Line 10 + Line 11	2.2323	2.3559	2.3952	
13	EMF Increment cents/kWh	Exh 3 Pg 2, 3, 4	(0.0382)	(0.1099)	(0.1216)	
14	EMF Interest Increment cents/kWh	Exh 3 Pg 2, 3, 4	(0.0064)	(0.0183)	(0.0203)	
15	Net Fuel and Fuel Related Costs Factors cents/kWh	Exh 2 Sch 1 Page 3	2.1877	2.2277	2.2533	

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
 North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
 Calculation of Uniform Percentage Average Bill Adjustment by Customer Class
 Proposed Nuclear Capacity Factor of 92.84%
 Tenth Month September 2013 - August 2014
 Document E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 2
 Schedule 1
 Page 3 of 3

Line #	Retail Class	Projected Billing Period MWh Sales	Annual Revenue at Current rates	Allocate Fuel Costs to Customer Class	Increase/(Decrease) % of Annual Revenue at Current Rates	Total Fuel Rate Increases/(Decreases)	Current Meter Services decrement cents/kwh	Current Total Fuel Rate (including renewables and ENF) E-7, Sub 1032	Proposed Total Fuel Rate (including renewables and ENF) H
		X	Y	Z	C / B	(C * 100)/(A * 1000)	Exhibit 7, Page 1 column h	Exhibit 1 Schedule 2c, Page 1 credit/Arith	E + F + G + H
1	Residential	20,955,334	\$ 2,128,747,256	\$ 69,787	0.00%			2,1584	2,1877
2	General Service/Lighting	22,316,250	1,756,843,269	\$ 57,509	0.00%			2,2795	2,2277
3	Industrial	32,246,753	739,175,085	\$ 24,729	0.00%			2,4912	2,4533
4	NC Retail	55,516,317	\$ 4,624,265,623	\$ 131,624					
Total Projected Composite Fuel Rate:									
5	System Total Fuel Costs	Exhibit 2 Sch 1, Page 1	\$ 1,500,936,035						
6	System and Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Exhibit 2 Sch 1, Page 2	\$ 17,130,124						
7	System Other Fuel Costs	Line 5 - Line 6	\$ 1,051,005,812						
8	Projected System MWh Sales for Fuel Factor	Workpaper 9							
9	Projected Billing Period MWh Sales	Line 4	\$ 62,383,880						
10	Allocation X	Line 8 / Line 9	\$ 55,516,317						
11	NC Retail Other Fuel Costs	Line 7 - Line 10	\$ 1,274,696,756						
12	NC Cogen and Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Exhibit 2 Sch 1, Page 2	\$ 12,302,413						
13	NC Retail Total Fuel Costs	Line 11 + Line 12	\$ 1,287,001,169						
14	NC Retail Projected Billing Period MWh Sales	Line 4	\$ 55,516,317						
15	Calculated Fuel Rate cents/kWh	Line 13 / Line 14	2,3182						
16	Proposed Composite ENF Rate cents/kWh	Exhibit 3 Page 1	(0.0052)						
17	Proposed Composite ENF Interest Rate cents/kWh	Exhibit 3 Page 1	(0.0142)						
18	Total Proposed Composite Fuel Rate	Sum of Lines 13-19	2,2185						
Total Current Composite Fuel Rate - October E-7 Sch 1001:									
19	Current composite Fuel Rate cents/kWh	Supp Mc Marcus Eth 6(c)	2,2404						
20	Current composite Meter Savings decrement cents/kWh	Exhibit 7	(0.0053)						
21	Current composite ENF Rate cents/kWh	Supp Mc Marcus Eth 6(c)	0.0356						
22	Current composite ENF Interest Rate cents/kWh	Supp Mc Marcus Eth 6(c)	0.0000						
23	Total Current Composite Fuel Rate	Sum	2,2185						
24	Increase/(Decrease) in Composite Fuel rate cents/kWh	Line 20 - Line 24	0.0003						
25	NC Retail Projected Billing Period MWh Sales	Line 4	\$ 55,516,317						
26	Increase/(Decrease) in Fuel Costs	Line 26 - Line 27	\$ 151,634						

Note: Rounding differences may occur

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Calculation of Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors Using:
Proposed Nuclear Capacity Factor of 92.84% and Adjusted Test Period Sales
Twelve Months September 2013 - August 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 2
Schedule 2
Page 1 of 3

Line #	Unit	Reference	MDC Rating	Hours In	Capacity Factor	Generation	Unit Cost	Fuel Cost
			(MW)	Year		(MWH)	(cents/KWh)	(\$)
1	Catawba 1	Workpaper 3	1,129	8,760	89.85%	8,885,994	0.6534	58,059,461
2	Catawba 2	Workpaper 3	1,129	8,760	92.01%	9,099,772	0.7078	64,408,351
3	McGuire 1	Workpaper 3	1,129	8,760	98.25%	9,717,272	0.6585	63,992,207
4	McGuire 2	Workpaper 3	1,129	8,760	93.26%	9,223,879	0.6627	61,127,783
5	Oconee 1	Workpaper 3	846	8,760	99.89%	7,402,727	0.6787	50,242,789
6	Oconee 2	Workpaper 3	846	8,760	84.02%	6,226,615	0.6964	43,360,558
7	Oconee 3	Workpaper 3	846	8,760	91.94%	6,813,773	0.6836	46,576,393
8	Total Nuclear		7,054		92.84%	57,370,032	0.6759	387,767,542
9	Coal	Calculated				25,005,603	3.8023	950,798,492
1	Gas CT	Workpaper 17				755,750	3.4520	26,088,479
10	Gas CC	Workpaper 5				9,456,110	3.1557	298,403,910
11	Reagents	Workpaper 11				-		41,840,169
12	Total Fossil	Sum				35,217,463		1,317,131,050
13	Hydro	Workpaper 15				1,704,500		
14	Net Pumped Storage	Workpaper 16				(734,509)		
15	Total Hydro	Sum				969,991		
16	Total Generation	Line 8 + Line 12 + Line 15				93,557,486		1,704,898,592
17	Less Catawba Joint Owners					(13,929,209)		(94,148,372)
18	Net Generation	Sum				79,628,277		1,610,750,219
19	Purchases	Workpaper 5 & 6				9,448,043		336,257,185
20	JDA Savings Shared	Workpaper 7				-		8,791,208
21	Total Purchases	Sum				9,448,043		345,048,393
22	Total Generation and Purchases	Line 18 + Line 21				89,076,320		1,955,798,612
23	Adjustment to exclude cost of mitigation sales	Workpaper 5 & 7				(803,900)		(29,839,400)
24	Fuel expense recovered through intersystem sales	Workpaper 5 & 7				(1,683,858)		(66,967,909)
25	Line losses and Company use	Workpaper 14				(5,294,981)		-
26	System Fuel Expense for Fuel Factor							1,858,991,303
27	Projected System MWh Sales for Fuel Factor	Lines 22 + 23 + 24 + 25 and Exhibit 4				81,293,582		81,293,582
28	Fuel and Fuel Related Costs cents/kWh	Line 26/Line 27/10						2.2868

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Calculation of Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors Using:

Proposed Nuclear Capacity Factor of 92.84% and Adjusted Test Period Sales

Twelve Months September 2013 - August 2014

Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Revised Smith Exhibit 2
 Schedule 2
 Page 2 of 3

Line #	Description	Reference	Residential	GS/lighting	Industrial	Total
1	NC Adjusted Test Period Sales	Exhibit 4	21,143,695	22,112,646	12,278,269	55,534,611
<u>Calculation of Renewable Purchased Power Capacity Rate by Class</u>						
2	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Workpaper 6				\$ 6,918,584
3	Cogeneration Purchased Power - Capacity	Workpaper 6				<u>10,211,640</u>
4	Total of Renewable and Cogeneration Purchased Power Capacity	Line 2 + Line 3				\$ 17,130,224
5	NC Portion - Jurisdictional % based on Production Plant Allocator	Input				<u>71.8170%</u>
6	NC Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Line 4 * Line 5				\$ 12,302,413
7	Production Plant Allocation Factors	Input				100.0000%
8	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity allocated on Production Plant %	Line 6 * Line 7	\$ 5,311,395	\$ 4,545,333	\$ 2,445,695	\$ 12,302,413
9	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity cents/kWh based on Projected Billing Period Sales	Line 8 / Line 1	0.0251	0.0206	0.0199	0.0222
<u>Summary of Total Rate by Class</u>						
Fuel and Fuel Related Costs excluding Renewable Purchased Power and Cogeneration Line 15 - Line 13 -						
10	Purchased Capacity cents/kWh	Line 14	2.1707	2.3065	2.3534	
11	Purchased Power - Renewable and Cogeneration Capacity cents/kWh	Line 9	0.0251	0.0206	0.0199	
12	Total adjusted Fuel and Fuel Related Costs cents/kWh	Line 10 + Line 11	2.1958	2.3271	2.3733	
13	EMF Increment cents/kWh	Exh 3 pg 2, 3, 4	(0.0382)	(0.1099)	(0.1216)	
14	EMF Interest Increment cents/kWh	Exh 3 pg 2, 3, 4	(0.0064)	(0.0133)	(0.0203)	
15	Net Fuel and Fuel Related Costs Factors cents/kWh	Exh 2 Sch 2 Page 3	2.1512	2.1989	2.2314	

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
 North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expenses
 Calculation of Uniform Percentage Average Bill Adjustment by Customer Class
 Proposed Nuclear Capacity Factor of 32.84% and Adjusted Test Period Sales
 Twelve Months September 2013 - August 2014
 Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 2
 Schedule 2
 Page 3 of 3

Line #	Rate Class	Adjusted Test Period MWh Sales	Annual Revenue at Current rates	Allocate Fuel Costs to Customer Classes	Increase/(Decrease) as % of Annual Revenue at Current Rates	Total Fuel Rate Increase/(Decrease)	If Do Other Diff then C/B	Exhibit 7, Page 2 cents/kWh	Current Meter Savings decrement cents/kWh	Exhibit 1, Schedule 7c, page 2 cents/kWh	Proposed Total Fuel Rate [including renewables and taxes] E-7, Sub 1032	E + F + G + H
1	Residential	21,143,695	\$ 2,124,247,266	\$ (7,725,670)	-0.36%	(0.065)	(C-100)/(A-1000)	(0.0707)	2.2584	2.1512		
2	General Service/Lighting	22,112,646	\$ 1,756,343,369	\$ (6,377,450)	-0.36%	(0.0286)	(0.0509)	(0.0379)	2.2785	2.1989		
3	Industrial	12,274,169	\$ 739,735,068	\$ (2,683,252)	-0.36%	(0.0219)			2.2912	2.2314		
4	NC Retail	55,534,613	\$ 4,624,265,623	\$ (16,785,372)								

Total Projected Composite Fuel Rates:

5	System Total Fuel Costs	Exhibit 2 Sch 2, Page 1	\$ 1,656,992,303
6	CoGen and Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Exhibit 2 Sch 2, Page 2	\$ 17,320,224
7	System Other Fuel Costs	Line 5 + Line 6	\$ 1,841,651,673
8	Adjusted Test Period System MWh Sales for Fuel Factor	Exhibit 4	
9	Exhibit 4	Exhibit 4	\$1,793,582
10	Allocation %	Line 8 / Line 9	55.534,613
11	NC Retail Adjusted Test Period MWh Sales	Line 7 + Line 10	\$ 1,753,175,303
12	NC CoGen and Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Exhibit 2 Sch 2, Page 2	\$ 12,302,413
13	NC Retail Total Fuel Costs	Line 11 + Line 12	\$ 1,770,477,716
14	NC Retail Adjusted Test Period MWh Sales	Exhibit 4	\$5,534,611
15	Calculated Fuel Rate cents/kWh	Line 13 / Line 14	2.2877
16	Proposed Composite E&G Rate cents/kWh	Exhibit 3 Page 1	(0.0852)
17	Proposed Composite E&G Rate Interest cents/kWh	Exhibit 3 Page 1	(0.0142)
18	Total Proposed Composite Fuel Rate	Sum	2.1833

Total Current Composite Fuel Rate - Docket E-7, Sub 1000:

19	Current composite Fuel Rate cents/kWh	Supp Mc Manus Et al 6(c)	2.2404
22	Current composite Meter Savings decrement cents/kWh	Exhibit 7	(0.0553)
23	Current composite E&G Rate cents/kWh	Supp Mc Manus Et al 6(c)	0.0336
24	Current composite E&G Interest Rate cents/kWh	Supp Mc Manus Et al 6(c)	0.0000
25	Total Current Composite Fuel Rate	Sum	2.2185
26	Increase/(Decrease) in Composite Fuel rate cents/kWh	Line 20 + Line 24	(0.0322)
27	NC Retail Adjusted Test Period MWh Sales	Exhibit 4	\$5,534,611
28	Increase/(Decrease) in Fuel Costs	Line 26 + Line 27	\$ (16,785,372)

Note: Rounding differences may occur

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

NERC 5 Year Average Nuclear Capacity Factor of 89.79% and Adjusted Test Period Sales

Twelve Months September 2013 - August 2014

Printed in the U.S.A.

Smith Exhibit 2

Schedule 3

Page 1 of 3

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
 North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
 Calculation of Fuel and Fuel Related Cost Factors Using:
 NERC 5 Year Average Nuclear Capacity Factor of 89.79% and Adjusted Test Period Sales
 Twelve Months September 2013 - August 2014
 Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Revised Smith Exhibit 2
 Schedule 3
 Page 2 of 3

Line #	Description	Reference	Residential	GS/Lighting	Industrial	Total
1	NC Adjusted Test Period Sales	Exhibit 4	21,143,695	22,112,646	12,278,269	55,534,611
Calculation of Renewable Purchased Power Capacity Rate by Class						
2	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Workpaper 6				\$ 6,918,584
3	Cogeneration Purchased Power - Capacity	Workpaper 6				<u>\$ 10,211,640</u>
4	Total of Renewable and Cogeneration Purchased Power Capacity	Line 2 + Line 3				\$ 17,130,224
5	NC Portion - Jurisdictional % based on Production Plant Allocator	Input				<u>71.8170%</u>
6	NC Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity	Line 4 * Line 5				\$ 12,302,413
7	Production Plant Allocation Factors	Input				
8	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity allocated on Production Plant %	Line 6 * Line 7				\$ 100,0000%
9	Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity cents/kWh based on Projected Billing Period	Line 8 / Line 1				\$ 12,302,413
	Sales		0.0251	0.0206	0.0199	0.0222

Summary of Total Rate by Class

Fuel and Fuel Related Costs excluding Renewable Purchased Power and Cogeneration	Line 15 - Line 11 - Line 13 -		
10 Purchased Capacity cents/kWh	Line 14	2.2810	2.3936
11 Purchased Power - Renewable and Cogeneration Capacity cents/kWh	Line 9	0.0251	0.0206
12 Total adjusted Fuel and Fuel Related Costs cents/kWh	Line 10 + Line 11	2.3061	2.4142
13 EMF Increment cents/kWh	Exh 3 pg 2, 3, 4	(0.0382)	(0.1099)
14 EMF Interest Increment cents/kWh	Exh 3 pg 2, 3, 4	(0.0064)	(0.0183)
15 Net Fuel and Fuel Related Costs Factors cents/kWh	Exh 2 Sch 3 Page 3	2.2615	2.2860
			2.2975

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
 North Carolina Average Fuel and Fuel-Related Expense
 Calculation of Uniform Percentage Average Bill Adjustments by Customer Class
 NERC 5 Year Average Nuclear Capacity Factor of 83.75% and Adjusted Test Period Sales
 Twelve Months September 2013 - August 2014
 Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 2
 Schedule 3
 Page 3 of 3

Line #	Rate Class	Adjusted Test Period MWh Sales	Annual Revenue at Current rates		Allocated Fuel Costs to Customer Class C	Increase/(Decrease) % of Annual Revenue at Current Rates Line 2B & % of Column B	Total Fuel Rate (Increase)/(Decrease) E (C*100)/(A*1000)	Current Mergers Savings deterrence cents/kWh F	Current Total Fuel Rate (including renewables and EMF) E-7, Sub 1032 G	Proposed Total Fuel Rate (including renewables and EMF) H E + F + G = H
			A	B						
1	Residential	21,143,695	\$ 2,128,247,266	\$ 15,609,653	0.73%	0.0738	2.2584	2.2615		
2	General Service/Lighting	22,112,646	\$ 1,758,343,269	\$ 12,485,586	0.73%	0.0543	2.2786	2.2850		
3	Industrial	32,278,269	\$ 739,175,085	\$ 5,221,488	0.73%	0.0442	2.2912	2.2975		
4	NC Retail	55,534,611	\$ 4,624,265,623	\$ 33,916,727						
Total Processed Composite Fuel Rate:										
5	System Total Fuel Costs		Exhibit 4	Exhibit 4	\$ 1,933,213,024					
6	Cogen and Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity			Exhibit 2 Sch 3, Page 1	\$ 1,730,724					
7	System Other Fuel Costs			Exhibit 2 Sch 3, Page 2	\$ 191,082,800					
8	Adjusted Test Period System MWh Sales for Fuel Factor			Line 5 / Line 6	\$ 1,916,082,800					
9	NC Retail Adjusted Test Period MWh Sales									
10	Allocation %									
11	NC Retail Other Fuel Costs			Exhibit 4	81,293,582					
12	NC Cogen and Renewable Purchased Power - Capacity			Exhibit 4	55,534,611					
13	NC Retail Total Fuel Costs			Line 8 / Line 9	68.31%					
14	NC Retail Adjusted Test Period MWh Sales			Line 7 / Line 10	\$ 1,306,876,161					
15	Calculated Fuel Rate cents/kWh			Exhibit 2 Sch 3, Page 2	\$ 1,302,413					
16	Proposed Composite EMF Rate cents/kWh			Line 11 + Line 12	\$ 1,322,178,574					
17	Proposed Composite EMF Rate Interest cents/kWh									
18	Total Proposed Composite Fuel Rate									
Total Current Composite Fuel Rate - Docket E-7 Sub 1001:										
19	Current composite fuel rate cents/kWh			Supp NC Mancus Ent 6(c)	2,2404					
20	Current composite Mergers Savings deterrence cents/kWh			Exhibit 7	(0.0555)					
21	Current composite EMF Rate cents/kWh			Supp NC Mancus Ent 6(c)	0.0346					
22	Current composite EMF Interest Rate cents/kWh			Supp NC Mancus Ent 6(c)	0.0000					
23	Total Current Composite Fuel Rate			Sum	2.2485					
24	Increase/(Decrease) in Composite Fuel Rate cents/kWh									
25										
26	Increase/(Decrease) in Composite Fuel Rate cents/kWh			Line 20 - Line 24	0.0611					
27	NC Retail Adjusted Test Period MWh Sales			Exhibit 4	55,534,611					
28	Increase/(Decrease) in Fuel Costs			Line 26 * Line 27	\$ 33,916,727					

Note: Rounding differences may occur

Line No.	Month	Fuel Cost Incurred	Fuel Cost Billed	NC Retail MWH Sales	Reported Over (Under) Recovery	Correction Renewables	Merger Savings to be Shared with PEC	Adjusted Over(Under) Recovery
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
1	January 2012			4,696,133	\$ 19,638,596	\$ 187,794	\$ (423,273)	\$ 19,403,116
2	February			4,471,304	\$ 23,655,484	\$ 134,844	\$ (469,468)	\$ 23,320,859
3	March			4,225,513	\$ 24,585,301	\$ 175,285	\$ (358,714)	\$ 24,401,871
4	April			4,010,671	\$ 14,125,769	\$ 175,371	\$ (347,558)	\$ 13,953,582
5	May ⁽¹⁾			4,082,258	\$ (3,744,786)	\$ 156,140	\$ (311,282)	\$ (3,899,928)
6	June			4,696,516	\$ 285,688	\$ 155,267	\$ (372,923)	\$ 68,632
7	July			5,356,807	\$ (19,666,451)	\$ 119,793	\$ -	\$ (19,546,658)
8	August			5,440,542	\$ 4,397,805	\$ 115,271	\$ -	\$ 4,513,076
9	September			4,959,528	\$ 15,743,742	\$ 141,367	\$ -	\$ 15,885,109
10	October			4,052,001	\$ (2,870,169)	\$ 183,651	\$ -	\$ (2,686,518)
11	November			4,169,014	\$ (25,945,880)	\$ 143,654	\$ -	\$ (25,802,226)
12	December			4,395,620	\$ (2,399,967)	\$ 95,536	\$ -	\$ (2,304,431)
				54,555,907	\$ 47,805,133	\$ 1,783,970	\$ (2,282,619)	\$ 47,306,484
13	Booked Over (Under) Recovery	January 2012 through December 2012					\$	47,306,484
14	Adjusted Test Period MWH Sales	Exhibit 4					\$	55,534,611
15	Experience Modification Increment (Decrement) cents/KWh						\$	(0.0852)
16	Annual Interest Rate						\$	10%
17	Monthly Interest Rate						\$	0.83333%
18	Number of Months (July 2012 - February 2014)						\$	20
19	Interest						\$	7,884,411
20	EMF Interest Increment (Decrement)						\$	(0.0142)

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Prior period corrections not included in rate incurred but are included in over/(under) recovery total.

Totals may not foot due to rounding.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Calculation of Experience Modification Factor - Residential
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 3
Page 2 of 4

Line #	Month	Fuel Cost Incurred t/kwh (a)	Fuel Cost Billed t/kwh (b)	NC Retail MWH Sales (c)	Reported Over (Under) Recovery (d)	Correction Renewables (e)	Merger Savings to be Shared with PEC (f)	Adjusted Over (Under) Recovery (g)
1	January 2012	1.9757	2.3941	2,052,554 \$	8,587,317 \$	82,696 \$	(185,001) \$	8,485,012
2	February	1.8654	2.3941	1,785,443 \$	9,438,839 \$	55,007 \$	(187,464) \$	9,306,381
3	March	1.8137	2.3941	1,576,391 \$	9,149,599 \$	67,552 \$	(133,824) \$	9,083,328
4	April	2.0458	2.3941	1,252,705 \$	4,363,250 \$	58,610 \$	(108,557) \$	4,313,303
5	May(1)	2.4880	2.3941	1,320,093 \$	(1,197,907) \$	53,520 \$	(100,660) \$	(1,245,048)
6	June	2.3891	2.3941	1,638,140 \$	81,288 \$	56,610 \$	(129,866) \$	8,032
7	July	2.7610	2.3941	2,159,210 \$	(7,922,165) \$	49,259 \$	- \$	(7,872,906)
8	August	2.3132	2.3941	2,137,529 \$	1,730,239 \$	46,314 \$	- \$	1,776,553
9	September	2.0835	2.3944	1,773,808 \$	3,741,330 \$	52,198 \$	- \$	3,793,528
10	October	2.6980	2.1529	1,271,002 \$	(6,927,371) \$	61,013 \$	- \$	(6,866,358)
11	November	3.0681	2.1517	1,428,843 \$	(13,093,551) \$	51,262 \$	- \$	(13,042,289)
12	December	2.1338	2.1517	1,725,994 \$	309,292 \$	38,113 \$	- \$	347,404
13	Total Test Period			20,121,712 \$	8,260,159 \$	672,154 \$	(845,373) \$	8,086,940
14	Test Period Wtd Avg. t/kwh	2.2912	2.3321					
15	Booked Over (Under) Recovery January 2012 to December 2012						\$	8,086,940
16	Adjusted Test Period MWH Sales			Exhibit 4				21,143,695
17	Experience Modification Increment (Decrement) cents/KWh							(0.0382)
16	Annual Interest Rate							10%
17	Monthly Interest Rate							0.83333%
18	Number of Months (July 2012 - February 2014)							20
19	Interest						\$	1,347,823
20	EMF Interest Increment (Decrement)							(0.0064)

Notes:

(1) Prior period corrections not included in rate incurred but are included in over/(under) recovery total

Totals may not foot due to rounding.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Calculation of Experience Modification Factor - GS/Lighting
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 3
Page 3 of 4

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Calculation of Experience Modification Factor - Industrial
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 3
Page 4 of 4

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Sales, Fuel Revenue, Fuel Expense and System Peak
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 4

Line #	Description	Reference	Total Company	North Carolina			North Carolina	
				Retail	Residential	General Service/Lighting	North Carolina Industrial	
1	Test Period MWH Sales (excluding inter system sales)	Workpaper 19	\$ 79,868,568	54,555,907	20,123,712	22,116,267	12,317,928	
2	Customer Growth MWH Adjustment	Workpaper 21	(30,932)	(47,556)	46,063	(76,154)	(17,466)	
3	Weather MWH Adjustment	Workpaper 20	1,455,945	1,026,260	975,920	72,533	(22,193)	
4	Total Adjusted MWH Sales	Sum	\$ 81,293,582	55,534,611	21,143,695	22,112,646	12,278,269	
5	Test Period Fuel and Fuel Related Revenue *		\$ 1,872,319,831	\$ 1,275,399,739				
6	Test Period Fuel and Fuel Related Expense *		\$ 1,737,881,194	\$ 1,227,594,608				
7	Test Period Unadjusted Over/(Under) Recovery		\$ 114,438,637	\$ 47,805,131				
Summer Coincidental Peak (CP) KW								
8	Total System Peak			17,051,270				
9	NC Retail			11,985,789				
10	NC Residential Peak			5,588,503				
11	NC General Service/Lighting Peak			4,371,590				
12	NC Industrial Peak			2,025,696				

- * Total Company Fuel and Fuel Related Revenue and Fuel and Fuel Related Expense are determined based upon the fuel and fuel related cost recovery mechanisms in each of the company's jurisdictions.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Nuclear Capacity Ratings
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Exhibit 5

Unit	Rate Case	Fuel Docket	
	Docket E-7, Sub 989	E-7, Sub 1002	Proposed Capacity
Oconee Unit 1	846	846	846
Oconee Unit 2	846	846	846
Oconee Unit 3	846	846	846
McGuire Unit 1 ⁽¹⁾	1,100	1,100	1,129
McGuire Unit 2 ⁽¹⁾	1,100	1,100	1,129
Catawba Unit 1	1,129	1,129	1,129
Catawba Unit 2	1,129	1,129	1,129
Total Company	<u>6,996</u>	<u>6,996</u>	<u>7,054</u>

[1] As of 12/31/2012 – includes capacity increases associated to low pressure turbine upgrades.



Brian L. Franklin
Associate General Counsel

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
550 South Tryon Street
Charlotte, NC 28202

Tel 980.373.4485
Fax 980.373.8534
brian.franklin@duke-energy.com

February 11, 2013

Sent Via Overnight Mail (UPS)

Ms. Gail L. Mount
Chief Clerk
North Carolina Utilities Commission
430 North Salisbury
Raleigh, NC 27699-4325

RE: Docket No: E-7, Sub 1003

Dear Ms. Mount:

Commission Rule R8-52 requires that on or before the 15th day of each month, each public utility that uses fossil and/or nuclear fuel in the generation of electric power for providing North Carolina retail electric service shall file a fuel report for the second preceding month for review by the Commission, the Public Staff and any other interested party. Enclosed for filing with the Commission please find the original and 15 copies of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's monthly fuel report pursuant to NCUC Rule R8-52 for the month of December 2012.

Should you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Brian L. Franklin'.

Brian L. Franklin

BLF:gf
Enclosures

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
SUMMARY OF MONTHLY FUEL REPORT
NCUC R6-52

Docket No. E-7, Sub 1003

Line No.	Fuel Expenses:	December 2012	12 Months Ended December 2012
1	Fuel and fuel-related costs	\$ 154,308,247	\$ 1,836,815,457
2	Less fuel expenses (in line 1) recovered through Interystem sales (a)	10,131,389	<u>39,092,889</u>
3	Total fuel and fuel-related costs (line 1 minus line 2)	<u>\$ 144,176,858</u>	<u>\$ 1,797,722,568</u>
	MWH sales:		
4	Total system sales	6,738,644	81,010,541
5	Less Interystem sales	<u>295,729</u>	<u>1,141,973</u>
6	Total sales less Interystem sales	<u>6,442,815</u>	<u>79,868,568</u>
7	Total fuel and fuel-related costs (\$/KWH) (line 3/line 6)	<u>2.2378</u>	<u>2.2509</u>
8	Current fuel and fuel-related cost component (\$/KWH) (per Schedule 4, Line 2c Total)	<u>2.1839</u>	
	Generation Mix (MWH):		
	Fossil (by primary fuel type):		
9	Coal	2,576,425	27,989,378
10	Biomass	126	1,385
11	Fuel Oil	(12)	8,865
12	Natural Gas - Combustion Turbine	6,846	916,328
13	Natural Gas - Combined Cycle	589,988	<u>4,418,878</u>
14	Total fossil	<u>3,153,173</u>	<u>33,312,812</u>
15	Nuclear 100%	4,491,871	58,444,931
16	Hydro - Conventional	83,306	1,400,604
17	Hydro - Pumped storage	<u>(81,868)</u>	<u>(841,599)</u>
18	Total hydro	<u>21,640</u>	<u>759,005</u>
19	Solar Distributed Generation	643	10,479
20	Total MWH generation	7,867,327	90,527,227
21	Less joint owners' portion	742,049	14,441,479
22	Adjusted total MWH generation	<u>6,925,278</u>	<u>76,085,748</u>
	(a) Line 2 Includes:		
	Fuel from Interystem sales (Schedule 3)	\$ 10,120,806	\$ 38,850,061
	Fuel-related costs recovered in off-system sales	-	11,579
	Fuel in loss compensation	10,483	131,249
	Total fuel recovered from interystem sales	<u>\$ 10,131,389</u>	<u>\$ 39,092,889</u>

Note: Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
DETAILS OF FUEL AND FUEL-RELATED COSTS
NCUC R8-52

Docket No. E-7, Sub 1003

	<u>December 2012</u>	<u>12 Months Ended December 2012</u>
Fuel and fuel-related costs:		
Steam Generation - FERC Account 501		
0501018 coal blending merger savings	\$ 1,260,522	\$ 6,009,815
0501018 coal procurement merger savings	(217,186)	(774,414)
0501018 transportation merger savings	6,683	18,030
0501110 coal consumed - steam	89,547,048	1,054,182,590
0501222-0501223 biomass/test fuel consumed	6,885	74,783
0501310 fuel oil consumed - steam	1,728,401	21,523,259
0501330 fuel oil light-off - steam	1,252,714	21,729,282
Total Steam Generation - Account 501	<u>83,585,085</u>	<u>1,102,738,145</u>
Reagents (lime, limestone, ammonia, urea, dibasic acid, and sorbents)	3,340,371	24,947,678
0502160 reagent procurement merger savings	(32,242)	(110,273)
Net proceeds from sale of by-products	465,849	4,185,977
Nuclear Generation - FERC Account 518		
0518100 burnup of owned fuel	22,319,965	270,843,815
0518800 nuclear fuel disposal cost	4,224,878	53,141,510
Total Nuclear Generation - 100%	<u>26,544,841</u>	<u>323,785,325</u>
Less joint owners' portion	4,427,288	80,745,553
Total Nuclear Generation - Account 518	<u>22,117,355</u>	<u>243,039,772</u>
Other Generation - FERC Account 547		
0547100 natural gas consumed - Combustion Turbine	394,841	29,840,791
0547101 natural gas consumed - Combined Cycle	17,388,713	112,152,581
0547123 gas capacity merger savings	-828,518	1,946,781
0547200 fuel oil consumed - Combustion Turbine	2,873	1,625,100
Total Other Generation - Account 547	<u>18,812,545</u>	<u>145,585,233</u>
Total fossil and nuclear fuel expenses		
Included in base fuel component	138,088,743	1,520,368,532
Fuel component of purchased and interchange power	9,128,282	179,883,481
Fuel related component of purchased power (economic)	4,495,772	93,984,368
Fuel related component of purchased power (renewables)	2,597,450	42,581,098
Total fuel and fuel-related costs	<u>\$ 154,308,247</u>	<u>\$ 1,836,815,457</u>

Note: Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
DETAILS OF FUEL AND FUEL-RELATED COSTS
NCUC R8-52

Docket No. E-7, Sub 1003

Other fuel expenses not included in fuel and fuel-related costs:	<u>December 2012</u>	<u>12 Months Ended December 2012</u>
0501223 biomass excess above avoided cost	\$ 1,124	\$ 19,429
0501224 North Carolina incremental renewable fuel	(3,380)	(18,287)
0509000, 0557451 emissions allowance expense	2,557	51,729
0509213 RECs consumption expense	-	855,996
0518810 spent fuel canisters-accrual	-	2,348,911
0518820 canister design expense	87,234	580,812
0518700 fuel cycle study costs	-	235,885
0547127 gas desk merger savings	13,802	88,185
0411822, 0411832, 0411875 emission allowance gains	(986,432)	(11,105,504)
Purchased and Interchanged power not included in fuel and fuel-related costs	<u>2,710,622</u>	<u>49,254,175</u>
Total other fuel expenses not included in fuel and fuel-related costs:	<u>\$ 1,805,527</u>	<u>\$ 42,421,331</u>
 Total FERC Account 501 - Total Steam Generation	93,582,809	1,102,739,307
Total FERC Account 518 - Total Nuclear Generation	22,184,589	248,215,360
Total FERC Account 547 - Other Generation	18,612,545	145,565,233
Total RECs consumption expense	-	855,996
Total Reagents Expense	3,308,129	24,837,405
Total Gain/Loss from Sale of By-Products	485,849	4,185,977
Total Emission Allowance Expense	2,557	51,729
Total Gain/Loss from Sale of Emission Allowances	(986,432)	(11,105,504)
Total Purchased and Interchanged Power Expenses	18,930,126	365,703,100
Total Merger Savings Excluded from Fuel Recovery	<u>13,802</u>	<u>88,185</u>
 Total Fuel, Fuel Related and Purchased Power Expenses	<u>\$ 158,113,774</u>	<u>\$ 1,879,236,788</u>

Note: Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
PURCHASED POWER AND INTERCHANGE
NCUC-RS-82

DECEMBER 2012

Schedule 3, NO, Purchases, Month
Page 1 of 4

Purchased Power		Total	Capacity		Non-fuel S			
	Economic	\$	MW	\$	MWh	Fuel \$	Fuel-related \$	Not Fuel S
Aloca Power Generating Inc.		\$ 39,000			1,300	\$ 24,378	\$ 15,664	
Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corp - Economic		634,817	19	\$ 184,350	11,012	207,886	132,762	
Cherokee County Cogeneration Partners		4,047,044		1,110,788	58,282	2,004,517	931,738	
City of Kings Mtn		8,979	3	8,979				
Constellation		10,802			628	8,408	4,096	
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer		5,764,001			204,000	4,161,618	1,827,008	\$ (4,626)
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer Savings		420,611				420,611		
EDF Trading North America, LLC		1,372			40	837	838	
Haywood Electric - Economic		96,782	8	\$ 82,220	1,391	26,873	16,989	
Lockhart Power Co.		19,272	7	19,272				
NCEMC - Economic						(12)	12	
NCMPA - Economic		125,925			6,370	72,302	53,523	
NCMPA Load Following - Economic		1,347,046			44,853	775,542	871,604	
Piedmont Electric Membership Corp - Economic		333,651	12	115,430	7,076	133,118	85,108	
PJM Interconnection LLC		2,479,230			55,321	1,512,330	988,900	
Rutherford Electric Membership Corp - Economic		400,199			15,656	408,837	51,302	
Southern		(6,776)			343	(4,133)	(2,643)	
Town of Dallas		504		504				
Town of Forest City		19,658	7	19,658				
TVA		155,800			5,500	86,805	81,175	
		\$ 18,848,178		\$ 1,811,478	435,684	\$ 8,044,355	\$ 4,488,772	\$ (4,626)
Purchased Power		Total	Capacity		Non-fuel S			
	Renewables	\$	MW	\$	MWh	Fuel \$	Fuel-related \$	Not Fuel S
Cargill Power Marketing		\$ 1,513,305			25,870			\$ 1,513,305
City of Charlotte		1,003			24			1,003
Concord Energy, LLC		211,637			2,681			211,637
Davidson Gas Producer, LLC		79,896			1,148			79,896
Dobson Dairy Road, LLC		38,953			612			38,953
Durham Landfill Electricity, LLC		102,061			1,760			102,061
Gas Recovery Systems, LLC		123,271			1,808			123,271
Gaston County		122,850			1,908			122,850
Greenville Gas Producer, LLC		60,213			1,843			60,213
Leathart - Lower PeeDee Hydro		15,020			221			15,020
Lockhart Power Company		67,140			1,046			67,140
Lynwood Solar, LLC		623			13			623
Nypro, Inc.		1,058			21			1,058
Ronnie B. Powers		1,134			22			1,134
Sun Edison, LLC		120,189			1,061			120,189
Tencarva Machinery Company		851			18			851
VM Renewable Energy, LLC		111,506			1,860			111,506
		\$ 2,637,480		\$	43,681	\$	\$ 1,887,440	\$
Purchased Power		Total	Capacity		Non-fuel S			
	Other	\$	MW	\$	MWh	Fuel \$	Fuel-related \$	Not Fuel S
Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corp.		\$ 1,432,308	46	\$ 600,277	20,806	\$ 446,970	\$	\$ 267,052
City of Concord		2,227			44			1,994
Haywood Electric		378,408	12	\$ 148,435	7,433	138,462		\$ 82,519
Piedmont Electric Membership Corp		700,166	20	\$ 326,101	14,880	226,179		\$ 145,885
DE Progress - Fees		63,033						\$ 63,033
Generation Imbalance		284,222			8,040	150,683		183,538
Energy Imbalance - Purchases		170,737			(3,304)	104,150		\$ 66,587
Energy Imbalance - Sales		(235,882)				(182,377)		(53,315)
Other Qualifying Facilities		658,693			13,506			658,693
		\$ 1,431,798		\$ 1,171,048	76,584	\$ 833,044	\$	\$ 1,377,533
TOTAL PURCHASED POWER		\$ 31,878,183	134	\$ 2,842,628	643,903	\$ 19,734,358	\$ 7,063,222	\$ 1,268,061
INTERCHANGES IN								
Other Catawba Joint Owners		4,144,379			317,513	2,113,026		2,031,253
Total Interchanges In		4,144,379			317,513	2,113,025		2,031,253
INTERCHANGES OUT								
Other Catawba Joint Owners		(6,881,582)			(638,857)	(3,530,914)		(3,190,485)
Catawba- Net Negative Generation		(230,727)			(6,770)	(180,184)		(40,543)
Total Interchanges Out		(7,112,315)			(647,637)	(3,721,098)		(3,237,008)
Net Purchases and Interchange Power		\$ 16,838,126		(732) \$ 2,842,616	392,678	\$ 9,123,282	\$ 7,063,222	\$ 162,308

NOTE: Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.
As of December 2012, non-fuel costs related to mitigation leases and sharing of mitigation loss margins are no longer presented on this report.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
INTERSYSTEM SALES^a
NCUC-R6-52

DECEMBER 2012

Schedule 3, NC, Sales, Month
Page 2 of 4

SALES	Total	Capacity		Non-capacity		
	\$	MW	\$	MWH	Fuel \$	Non-fuel \$
Market Based:						
Constellation Power Sources	\$ 2,100	-	-	50	\$ 1,862	\$ 238
NCMPA	133,891	50	\$ 87,500	1,030	31,953	14,498
PJM Interconnection LLC	40,171	-	-	629	30,482	9,689
Southern	-	-	-	-	(223)	223
The Energy Authority	70,760	-	-	995	41,942	28,818
Other:	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cargill-Alliant, LLC - Mitigation sales	1,896,312	-	(695,000)	103,400	3,360,218	(688,906)
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer Savings	408,814	-	-	-	408,814	-
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer	6,444,058	-	-	182,222	8,045,632	388,524
DE Progress - Off System Sales/PJM Share	1,728	-	-	11	424	1,304
DE Progress - Purchases	137,642	-	-	5,722	137,642	-
Generation Imbalance	84,353	-	-	1,470	44,060	20,293
BPM Transmission	(13,778)	-	-	-	-	(13,778)
Total Intersystem Sales	\$ 8,286,249	50	\$ (697,500)	285,725	\$ 16,126,904	\$ (227,167)

* Sales for resale other than native load priority.

NOTE: Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
PURCHASED POWER AND INTERCHANGES
NCUC-R3-62

Twelve Months Ended
DECEMBER 2012

Schedule 3, NG, Purchases, 12M
Page 3 of 4

Purchased Power	Total	Capacity		Non-capacity		Net Fuel \$	
Source	\$	MW	\$	MWh	Fuel \$	Fuel-related \$	Net Fuel-related \$
Aboe Power Generating Inc.	\$ 6,270,584			224,364	\$ 3,630,548	\$ 2,440,036	
American Electric Power Serv Corp.	16,900			300	11,828	7,371	
Associated Electric Cooperative Inc	683,180			26,940	422,640	270,340	
Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corp - Economic	5,662,282	19	\$ 2,312,200	120,068	1,862,632	1,287,500	
Calpine Power Services Marketing	1,176,376			45,488	717,588	453,788	
Cargill Power Markets LLC	3,256,311			118,068	1,867,569	1,270,742	
Cherokee County Cogeneration Partners	47,784,639		11,213,006	683,938	16,976,745	20,838,496	
Cligrup Energy	21,200			800	12,932	0,284	
City of Kings Mills	107,748	3	107,748				
Constellation	28,704,413			1,080,322	17,508,881	11,194,722	
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer	77,578,847			2,198,084	80,087,187	12,880,498	\$ 4,628,251
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer- prior period correct						3,158,586	(3,158,586)
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer Savings	3,568,502				3,568,502		
Eagle Energy Partners	287,184			8,886	182,882	104,202	
EDF Trading North America, LLC	3,161,081			116,975	1,945,681	1,244,820	
Haywood Electric - Economic	1,206,831	6	604,563	21,058	208,654	236,704	
J Aron & Company	2,716,033			100,383	1,657,008	1,059,397	
Loothart Power Co	231,284	7	231,284				
MISO	209				183	116	
Morgan Stanley Capital Group	3,127,608			118,826	1,807,983	1,218,546	
NCEMC	667,136			33,360	467,084	380,042	
NCPA	7,047,204			368,810	4,368,382	3,660,822	
NCPA Load Following	16,162,762			563,088	10,831,969	8,658,803	
Oglethorpe Power	44,850			2,810	27,053	17,287	
Piedmont Electric Membership Corp. - Economic	3,438,074	12	1,386,160	77,245	1,285,028	808,738	
PJM Interconnection LLC	48,734,861			1,886,482	28,508,320	18,228,631	
Rutherford Electric Membership Corp - Economic	3,260,863			123,700	2,781,219	818,434	
Southern	3,538,807			122,818	2,151,231	1,375,376	
The Energy Authority	3,247,022			123,468	1,881,171	1,286,561	
Town of Dallas	7,008		7,008				
Town of Forest City	238,272	7	238,272				
TVA	4,483,410			215,736	2,736,080	1,748,311	
Wester Energy, Inc.	46,299			2,195	28,243	19,069	
	\$ 373,433,077		\$ 14,389,333		\$ 1,063,100	\$ 182,940,719	\$ 123,881,333
							\$ 1,472,683

Purchased Power	Total	Capacity		Non-capacity		Net Fuel \$	
Renewables	\$	MW	\$	MWh	Fuel \$	Fuel-related \$	Net Fuel-related \$
Active Concepts, LLC	\$ 8,722			108	\$ 8,722		
Cargill Power Marketing	29,457,443			821,548	29,457,443		
City of Charlotte	28,213			405	28,213		
Concord Energy, LLC	1,813,739			25,546	1,813,739		
Devision Gas Producer, LLC	824,629			13,287	824,629		
Dixon Dairy Road, LLC	561,515			7,058	561,515		
Durham Landfill Electricity, LLC	1,214,902			20,848	1,214,902		
Gas Recovery Systems, LLC	1,937,496			28,428	1,937,496		
Gaston County	1,387,325			21,718	1,387,325		
Greenville Gas Producer, LLC	1,128,520			20,686	1,128,520		
Loothart - Lower Peebles Hydro	27,994			412	27,994		
Loothart Power Company	856,803			10,214	856,803		
Lynwood Solar, LLC	4,638			68	4,638		
Nypro, Inc.	18,360			203	18,360		
Ronnie B. Powers	48,380			742	48,380		
Sun Edison, LLC	2,062,418			30,418	2,062,418		
Tencarva Machinery Company	15,153			213	15,153		
WM Renewable Energy, LLC	1,319,381			18,651	1,319,381		
	\$ 42,681,083			703,881			\$ 42,681,083

Purchased Power	Total	Capacity		Non-capacity		Net Fuel \$	
Other	\$	MW	\$	MWh	Fuel \$	Fuel-related \$	Net Fuel-related \$
SC Public Service Authority - Emergency	\$ 8,181			100	\$ 8,181		
Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corp	17,000,744	46	\$ 7,722,831	353,818	8,668,711	3,816,602	
City of Concord	67,748			1,080	67,748		
Haywood Electric	4,349,332	12	\$ 1,772,247	88,484	1,572,021	1,005,084	
NCDCM Load Following					(442)	442	
Piedmont Electric Membership Corp	8,300,008	20	\$ 3,883,978	175,438	2,834,142	1,811,981	
DE Progress - Fee	150,030					150,030	
Generation Imbalance	3,118,938			88,033	1,826,711	1,251,227	
Energy Imbalance - Purchases	2,210,031			28,681	1,346,120	881,811	
Energy Imbalance - Sales	(1,124,968)				(648,646)	(476,042)	
Other Qualifying Facilities	8,486,514			171,641		8,486,514	
	\$ 43,873,188		\$ 13,182,120		\$ 307,381	\$ 123,881,333	\$ 17,776,238

TOTAL PURCHASED POWER \$ 294,867,983 134 \$ 28,157,943 8,704,831 \$ 178,882,988 \$ 123,881,333 \$ 18,262,102

INTERCHANGES IN
Other Catawba Joint Owners 70,802,047
Total Interchanges In 70,802,047

INTERCHANGES OUT
Other Catawba Joint Owners (58,691,880) (1,934,634) (7,037,148) (30,274,631) (37,032,005)
Catawba - Net Negative Generation (179,193) (179,193) (28,485) (460,849) (114,217)
Total Interchanges Out (58,691,880) (1,934,634) (7,037,148) (30,274,631) (37,032,005)

Net Purchases and Interchange Power \$ 396,703,100 (702) \$ 27,815,406 8,537,038 \$ 178,052,481 \$ 123,881,333 \$ 21,640,700

NOTES: Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.
Capacity MW amounts varied across the range of time indicated.
The amounts shown represent the capacity effective as of the period end date.
As of December 2012, non-fuel costs related to mitigation losses and sharing of mitigation loss margins are no longer presented on this report.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
INTERSYSTEM SALES*
NCUC-R6-52

Twelve Months Ended
DECEMBER 2012

Schedule 3, NC, Sales, 12ME
Page 4 of 4

SALES	Total		Capacity		Non-capacity		
	\$	MW	\$	MWh	Fuel \$	Non-fuel \$	
Utilities:							
Progress Energy Carolinas - Emergency	\$ 11,711	-	-	320	\$ 10,971	\$ 740	
SC Public Service Authority - Emergency	130,844	-	-	2,758	102,834	27,810	
SC Electric & Gas - Emergency	25,183	-	-	417	15,424	9,759	
Market Based:							
American Electric Power Services Corp.	5,625	-	-	75	2,889	2,636	
Cargill-Avant, LLC	30,506	-	-	542	24,079	6,427	
Cobb Electric Membership Corp	-	-	-	-	(8,268)	9,268	
Constellation Power Sources	(259,039)	-	-	(7,914)	6,009	(265,048)	
EDF Trading North America, LLC	27,485	-	-	454	29,181	2,284	
MISO	77,032	-	-	1,200	121,897	(44,865)	
Morgan Stanley	29,211	-	-	544	22,999	6,212	
NCEMC (Generator/Instantaneous)	11,250	-	-	150	5,241	6,009	
NCMPA #1	1,510,541	50	\$ 1,038,380	9,888	388,702	83,459	
Oglethorpe	11,868	-	-	222	8,325	3,341	
PJM Interconnection LLC	10,613,635	-	-	176,779	8,887,112	3,826,523	
SC Electric & Gas Market based	1,173,616	-	-	14,538	813,203	580,412	
Southern	82,780	-	-	1,455	71,347	21,433	
The Energy Authority	937,435	-	-	15,180	643,302	294,133	
TVA	257,180	-	-	4,361	191,211	66,989	
Other:							
Cargill-Avant, LLC - Mitigation sales	10,193,130	-	(16,835)	421,592	13,915,967	(3,705,802)	
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer Savings	546,583	-	-	-	546,583	-	
DE Progress - Native Load Transfer	10,177,437	-	-	282,350	9,588,985	588,482	
DE Progress - Off System Sales/PJM Share	2,089,921	-	-	125	8,148	2,063,773	
DE Progress - Purchases	5,334,092	-	-	207,457	5,334,092	-	
Generation Imbalance	430,731	-	-	9,502	326,728	104,003	
BPM Transmission	(1,489,018)	-	-	-	-	(1,489,018)	
Total Intersystem Sales	\$ 41,930,316	50	\$ 1,021,446	1,141,573	\$ 38,955,041	\$ 1,967,810	

* Sales for resale other than native load priority.

NOTES: Detail amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

Capacity MW amounts varied across the range of time indicated.

The amounts shown represent the capacity effective December 31, 2012.

Duke Energy Carolinas
Over / (Under) Recovery of Fuel Costs
December 2012
NCUC R8-52

Schedule 4

Line No.			Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Total
1	N.C. Retail kWh sales	Input	1,725,903,650	1,717,681,336	951,965,307	4,395,820,302
2	Approved fuel and fuel related rates (\$/kWh)					
2a	Billed rates by class (c/kWh)	Input	2.2224	2.2483	2.2504	
2b	Merger fuel savings decrement	Input	(0.0707)	(0.0609)	(0.0379)	
2c	Net billed rates by class (c/kWh)	L2a + L2b	2.1517	2.1954	2.2215	2.1839
2d	Billed fuel expense	L1 * L2c / 100	\$37,138,206	\$37,709,537	\$21,147,909	\$96,995,652
3	Total system kWh sales	Input				5,442,815,200
4	NC kWh sales %	L1 T / L3				68.23%
5	Incurred base fuel and fuel related (\$/kWh) (less renewable purchased power capacity)					
5a	Docket E-7, Sub 1002 allocation factor	Input	37.41%	40.03%	22.58%	100.00%
5b	System incurred expense	Input				\$143,795,965
5c	Incurred base fuel rates (\$/kWh)	(L4 * L5b) * L5a / L1 * 100	2.1265	2.2063	2.3248	2.2319
5d	NC Incurred expense by class	L5c * L1 / 100	\$36,703,134	\$39,270,666	\$22,131,211	\$98,105,011
6	Incurred renewable purchased power capacity rates (\$/kWh)					
6a	NC retail production plant %	Input				78.30%
6b	Production plant allocation factors	Input	43.28%	39.08%	16.68%	100.00%
6c	System incurred expense	Input				\$380,893
6d	Incurred renewable capacity rates (\$/kWh)	(L6a * L6c) * L6b / L1 * 100	0.0073	0.0084	0.0057	0.0066
6e	NC Incurred renewable capacity expense	L6d * L1 / 100	\$125,750	\$110,802	\$54,228	\$290,800
7	Total Incurred rates by class (\$/kWh)	L5c + 6d	2.1338	2.2027	2.3305	2.2385
8	Difference in \$/kWh (billed - incurred)	L2b - L7	0.0179	(0.0873)	(0.1000)	(0.0548)
9	Over / (under) recovery	L8 * L1 / 100	\$309,292	(\\$1,871,731)	(\\$1,037,528)	(\\$2,909,987)
10	Prior period adjustments	Input				
11	Total over / (under) recovery	L9 + L10	\$309,292	(\\$1,871,731)	(\\$1,037,528)	(\\$2,909,987)
12	Total system incurred expense	L6b + L6c				\$144,176,858
13	Over / (under) recovery for each month of the current calendar year					

Year 2012	Over / (Under) Recovery				
	Total To Date	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Total Company
January	\$19,638,597	33,587,318	37,408,842	53,842,737	\$19,638,597
February	43,294,079	9,438,636	8,079,858	5,236,766	23,655,482
March	67,879,379	9,149,599	9,739,577	5,986,124	24,565,300
April	62,005,147	4,363,250	6,136,814	3,826,704	14,125,708
J1 May	78,290,361	(1,197,907)	(1,598,902)	(959,977)	(3,744,786)
June	78,548,051	81,289	127,816	78,586	285,690
July	58,879,600	(7,822,165)	(7,742,763)	(4,001,803)	(19,668,451)
August	63,277,405	1,730,239	1,747,384	920,182	4,367,805
J2 September	79,021,147	3,741,329	8,681,891	3,420,722	15,743,742
J2 October	78,150,978	(8,927,371)	1,957,749	2,089,453	(2,870,166)
November	50,205,097	(13,093,651)	(9,188,730)	(3,665,501)	(26,945,881)
December	\$47,805,130	\$309,292	(\\$1,871,731)	(\\$1,037,528)	(\\$2,909,987)

Notes:

Detailed amounts may not recalculate due to percentages presented as rounded.

J1 Includes prior period adjustments.

J2 Reflects a prorated rate for periods in which the approved rates change.

DRAFT ENERGY CHARTERS FUEL AND RUEL RELATED DOCUMENT REPORT

W. G. O'Dell received a stipend of \$1007.720 which included a stipend for his wife.

卷之三

卷之三

卷之三

卷之三

卷之三

卷之三

THE JOURNAL OF CLIMATE

卷之三

卷之三

卷之三

INTERSTATE COMPETITION AND STATE-LEVEL ENERGY STRATEGIES 11

卷之三

Contents

DURE ENERGY CAROLINAS
FUEL AND FUEL RELATED CONSUMPTION AND INVENTORY REPORT
December 2012.

Description	Allen Steam	Buck Creek	Buck CreekCT	Den River	Den River CT	Total 12 MCE December 2012								
Credit Data:														
Beginning balance														
Tons received during period	780,008	1,845,357	63,262	501,147	-	130,167	-	1,261,346	-	100,590	-	4,767,849	-	4,139,259
Inventory adjustments (A)	80,038	886,276	-	78,176	-	-	-	526,533	-	-	-	880,025	-	11,336,465
Tons burned during period (B)	120	945	204	1,160	-	-	-	(1,344)	-	-	-	(872)	-	(20,210)
Ending balance (C)	823,723	1,870,349	6,092	49,936	-	-	-	322,470	-	-	-	802,371	-	10,701,130
MMTU per ton burned	837,250	1,750,862	57,304	531,846	-	130,167	-	1,261,100	-	157,003	-	4,746,471	-	4,746,471
MMTU per ton burned	23.37	24.85	23.57	20.68	-	-	-	29.97	-	24.32	-	28.62	-	24.38
Cost of ending inventory (Bx10 ⁶ C)	100,79	91,63	100,21	102,41	-	-	-	86,30	-	101,05	-	100,36	-	100,36
Electricity Fuel Data:														
Beginning balance														
Tons received during period														
Inventory adjustments														
Tons burned during period		189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	186	-	2,251
Ending balance		68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	68	-	68
Cost of ending inventory (\$/ton)		41.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41.07	-	41.07
Pure Oil Data:														
Beginning balance	68,182	228,500	280,942	24,370	82,507	-	9,874,408	203,745	1,864,180	128,412	2,000,650	18,324,454	-	14,353,803
Gallons received during period	74,898	140,648	45,836	603,972	-	89,216	-	164,290	-	30,907	-	1,380,140	-	14,719,408
Intermediate usage, transfers and adjustments	(5,000)	(8,546)	(1,017)	(1,650)	(1)	(2,587)	-	(29,386)	-	(222)	-	(51,057)	-	(51,057)
Gallons burned during period (D)	71,279	124,259	24,453	650,972	-	704	444	51,881	-	21,316	-	842,854	-	14,857,318
Ending balance	83,902	227,244	301,112	183,339	82,508	572,657	8,877,881	289,079	1,864,180	137,462	2,000,550	18,679,000	-	18,679,000
Cost of ending inventory (\$/gal)	3.14	3.20	2.88	3.14	3.08	2.85	1.49	3.23	2.98	3.03	2.47	2.13	2.13	2.13
Gas Data (E):														
Beginning balance														
MCF received during period (F)		-	3,073,740	-	1,130,830	-	3,817	2,407	-	13,253	-	71,561	-	41,924,739
MCF burned during period (G)		-	3,073,740	-	1,130,930	-	3,817	2,407	-	13,253	-	71,561	-	41,924,739
Ending balance														
Cost of ending inventory (\$/MMBtu)														
Lignite Data:														
Beginning balance	25,379	67,943	-	25,304	-	-	-	52,057	-	-	-	151,703	-	215,549
Tons received during period	-	4,651	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,138	-	10,008	-	320,745
Tons consumed during period (H)	4,673	22,939	-	-	-	-	-	6,185	-	-	-	52,393	-	454,018
Ending balance	20,906	31,805	-	-	-	-	-	20,119	-	-	-	118,370	-	118,370
Cost of ending inventory (\$/ton)	35.77	31.02	-	-	-	-	-	30.83	-	-	-	31.59	-	31.59

(A) Coal inventory adjustments include a transfer from Dan River to Beltsville Creek of 3,400 tons to the current month and 65,803 tons for the twelve months ended. The tons transferred between the stations net to zero.

(A) Coal inventory adjustments include a transfer from Beltsville Creek to Dan River Station following a turn-up to physical inventory.

(B) The current month and twelve months ended data include an annual aerial survey adjustment recorded in Dec 2012.

(C) Coal Inventory Ending Balance includes 6,412 tons and 280,726 unreported with terminals for the current month.

(D) Total gallons of fuel oil burned includes 662 gallons of diesel fuel oil for electric utility generators for the month and -6,057 for the twelve months ended. Monthly consumption is reported on a month lag due to timing of data availability.

(E) Gas is burned as feedstock. Inventories at terminals, transfers and adjustments are not maintained.

(F) Twelve months ended Gas Metric Method and Burned Indirect PTC 2012 MM Btu attributable to combined cycle plant activity.

(G) The twelve months ended October 1, 2012, Buck units 7, 4, & 6; Dan River units 8, 9, 10, & 11; and Roanoke units 8, 7, 4, & 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, & 15.

(H) Buck unit 8 was placed in service December 30, 2012.

(I) Dan River unit 1, 2, & 3 were netted April 1, 2012. Dan River combined cycle plant was planned to service December 10, 2012.

Note:
Duct sheet amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

**DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
ANALYSIS OF COAL PURCHASES
December 2012**

STATION	TYPE	QUANTITY OF TONS DELIVERED	DELIVERED COST	DELIVERED COST PER TON
ALLEN	SPOT			
	CONTRACT	80,839	\$ 8,000,372.60	\$ 98.97
	ADJUSTMENTS		716,012.16	
	TOTAL	<u>80,839</u>	<u>8,716,384.76</u>	<u>107.82</u>
BELEWS CREEK	SPOT			
	CONTRACT	395,278	36,360,329.11	91.99
	ADJUSTMENTS (A)	3,909	2,860,078.67	731.74
	TOTAL	<u>399,186</u>	<u>39,220,407.78</u>	<u>98.25</u>
CLIFFSIDE	SPOT			
	CONTRACT	79,176	7,226,032.40	91.27
	ADJUSTMENTS		561,199.28	
	TOTAL	<u>79,176</u>	<u>7,787,231.68</u>	<u>98.35</u>
DAN RIVER	SPOT			
	CONTRACT			
	ADJUSTMENTS (A)	(3,909)	(398,872.65)	102.05
	TOTAL	<u>(3,909)</u>	<u>(398,872.65)</u>	<u>102.05</u>
MARSHALL	SPOT			
	CONTRACT	325,533	31,191,548.61	95.82
	ADJUSTMENTS		1,007,215.95	
	TOTAL	<u>325,533</u>	<u>32,198,764.56</u>	<u>98.91</u>
ALL PLANTS	SPOT			
	CONTRACT	880,826	82,778,282.72	93.98
	ADJUSTMENTS		4,745,633.41	
	TOTAL	<u>880,826</u>	<u>\$ 87,523,916.13</u>	<u>\$ 99.37</u>

(A) 3,909.60 coal tons were transferred from Dan River station to Belews Creek station.
The book cost of coal tons transferred was \$398,872.65 plus the \$51,000.00 cost of freight.

Schedule 8

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Analysis of Quality of Coal Received
December 2012**

Station	<u>Percent Moisture</u>	<u>Percent Ash</u>	<u>Heat Value</u>	<u>Percent Sulfur</u>
Allen	16.01	7.10	10,998	3.47
Belews Creek	6.86	10.31	12,428	1.35
Cliffside	7.72	19.76	10,665	1.34
Marshall	6.61	11.74	12,227	1.41

Duke Energy Carolinas
Analysis of Cost of Oil Purchases
December 2012

Station	Allen	Belvoir Creek	Buck	Cliffside	Lee	Marshall	Riverbend
Vendor	High Towers	High Towers	High Towers	High Towers	High Towers	High Towers	High Towers
Spot / Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract
Sulfur Content %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gallons Received	74,898	140,648	45,836	803,672	89,915	164,590	30,587
Total Delivered Cost	\$ 238,478.54	\$ 451,701.49	\$ 145,176.81	\$ 2,537,625.23	\$ 305,464.77	\$ 544,643.38	\$ 86,976.08
Delivered Cost/Gal	\$ 3.18	\$ 3.21	\$ 3.17	\$ 3.16	\$ 3.40	\$ 3.31	\$ 3.17
BTU/Gallon	137,264	137,467	137,723	138,890	138,374	137,446	137,538

Note A: Total delivered cost for receipts from HighTowers Petroleum.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE DATA
TWELVE MONTHS SUMMARY
January, 2012 - December, 2012

<u>Plant Name</u>	<u>Generation MWH</u>	<u>Capacity Rating MW</u>	<u>Capacity Factor %</u>	<u>Net Equivalent Availability %</u>
Oconee	20,647,480	2,538	92.62	91.26
McGuire	17,968,152	2,200	92.98	89.04
Catawba	17,829,299	2,258	89.89	87.89

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Power Plant Performance Data
Twelve Month Summary**

January 2012 through December 2012

Steam Units

Unit Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Capacity Factor (%)	Equivalent Availability (%)
Belows Creek 1	7,685,065	1,110	78.82	90.70
Belows Creek 2	6,305,060	1,110	84.87	85.20

Duke Energy Carolinas
Power Plant Performance Data
Twelve Month Summary
January 2012 through December 2012

Steam Units

Unit Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Capacity Factor (%)	Equivalent Availability (%)
Cliffside 5	1,144,368	555	23.49	89.57
Marshall 1	1,078,626	380	32.31	84.84
Marshall 2	1,370,510	380	41.06	87.87
Marshall 3	3,263,260	658	56.46	88.39
Marshall 4	3,902,223	660	67.31	87.65

Note: This report is limited to capturing data beginning the first full month a unit is in commercial operation.

Cliffside unit 6 began pre-commercial operation in June 2012 and commercial operation on December 30, 2012. Cliffside unit 6 net generation (mWh) within the twelve month period was as follows:

June 2012:	1,496 mWh; pre-commercial
July 2012:	77,787 mWh; pre-commercial
August 2012:	212,376 mWh; pre-commercial
September 2012:	139,874 mWh; pre-commercial
October 2012:	(1,302) mWh; pre-commercial (auxiliaries only)
November 2012:	170,464 mWh; pre-commercial
December 2012:	168,280 mWh; pre-commercial & commercial combined

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Power Plant Performance Data**

Schedule 10

Page 4 of 7

**Twelve Month Summary
January 2012 through December 2012**

Other Cycling Coal Units

Unit Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Capacity Factor (%)	Operating Availability (%)
Allen 1	100,069	162	7.03	88.77
Allen 2	78,152	162	5.49	89.76
Allen 3	606,229	261	26.44	92.76
Allen 4	777,282	276	32.06	95.97
Allen 5	386,992	266	16.56	86.90
Buck 5	146,714	128	13.05	98.94
Buck 6	73,215	128	6.51	99.53
Dan River 1	-1,373	67	0.00	100.00
Dan River 2	-166	67	0.00	100.00
Dan River 3	-396	142	0.00	100.00
Lee 1	19,113	100	2.18	99.86
Lee 2	29,392	100	3.35	97.96
Lee 3	80,920	170	5.42	99.24
Riverbend 4	26,139	94	3.17	99.31
Riverbend 5	23,562	94	2.85	99.57
Riverbend 6	45,321	133	3.88	98.84
Riverbend 7	61,489	133	5.26	99.15

Note:

Dan River units 1, 2, & 3 were retired April 1, 2012.

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Power Plant Performance Data
Twelve Month Summary**

January,2012 through December,2012

**Schedule 10
Page 5 of 7**

Combustion Turbines

Station Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Operating Availability (%)
Buck CT	-180	47	66.67
Buzzard Roost CT	-868	132	89.99
Dan River CT	-153	36	87.48
Lee CT	55,780	82	98.88
Lincoln CT	28,506	1,264	94.26
Mill Creek CT	125,402	592	91.10
Riverbend CT	-725	48	100.00
Rockingham CT	715,431	825	56.55

Note:

The following units were retired October 1, 2012:

Buck CT units 7, 8, & 9

Buzzard Roost CT units 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, & 15.

Dan River CT units 4, 5, & 6

Riverbend CT units 8, 9, 10, & 11.

Duke Energy Carolinas
Power Plant Performance
12 Months Ended December 2012

Name of Plant	Generation (MWH)	Capacity Rating (MW)	Operating Availability (%)
Conventional Hydro Plants:			
Bridgewater	41,458	31,500	91.45
Cedar Creek	93,608	45,000	98.80
Cowans Ford	100,905	325,200	95.61
Dearborn	104,232	42,000	78.44
Fishing Creek	91,594	49,000	99.77
Gaston Shoals	16,221	2,000	42.62
Great Falls	7,948	12,000	98.38
Keowee	41,997	152,000	99.35
Lookout Shoals	67,912	27,900	81.28
Mountain Island	71,839	62,000	98.51
Ninety Nine Island	48,577	6,400	98.54
Oxford	77,315	40,000	79.75
Rhodhiss	46,478	30,000	91.85
Rocky Creek	(181)	-	-
Tuxedo	19,953	6,400	72.67
Wateree	125,831	85,000	92.02
Wylie	85,879	72,000	92.34
Nantahala	208,704	50,000	97.25
Queens Creek	2,618	1,440	94.60
Thorpe	69,509	19,700	83.02
Tuckasegee	5,988	2,500	84.40
Tennessee Creek	26,421	9,800	92.95
Bear Creek	24,861	9,450	89.96
Cedar Cliff	17,699	6,400	93.54
Mission	1,557	0,600	88.48
Franklin	1,489	0,600	74.58
Bryson	1,208	0,480	89.88
Total Conventional	1,400,604		
Pumped Storage Plants:			
Jocassee	928,617	780,000	91.64
Bad Creek	1,752,364	1,360,000	95.79
Subtotal	2,680,981		
Energy for Pumping:			
Jocassee	(1,103,984)		
Bad Creek	(2,218,596)		
Subtotal	(3,322,580)		
Generation less Energy for Pumping			
Jocassee	(175,387)		
Bad Creek	(466,232)		
Total Pumped Storage	(641,599)		

NOTE(S):

Capacity MW amounts varied across the range of time indicated.

The amounts shown represent the capacity effective as of the period end date.

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Power Plant Performance Data**

Schedule 10

Page 7 of 7

**Twelve Month Summary
January 2012 through December 2012
Combined Cycle Units**

Unit Name	Net Generation (mWh)	Capacity Rating (mW)	Capacity Factor (%)	Operating Availability (%)
Buck CC 10	4,167,226	620	76.52	89.93

Note: This report is limited to capturing data beginning the first full month a station is in commercial operation.

Dan River CC began pre-commercial operation in July 2012 and commercial operation on December 10, 2012. Dan River CC net generation (mWh) within the twelve month period was as follows:

July 2012:	935 mWh; pre-commercial
August 2012:	3,526 mWh; pre-commercial
September 2012:	2,209 mWh; pre-commercial
October 2012:	8,488 mWh; pre-commercial
November 2012:	104,254 mWh; pre-commercial
December 2012:	1,986 mWh; pre-commercial
December 2012:	135,081 mWh; commercial

Schedule 11

Delta Energy Committee
North Edison
Budget reported in (\$)

December 2012

	Gross Savings			Allocated Savings			DE Carolina		
	DE Carolina	DE Progress	Combined	DE Carolina	DE Progress	Combined	DE Carolina	DE Progress	NC Retail portion
1 John Dispatch	\$ 1,930,364	\$ 400,756	\$ 2,330,122	\$ 1,087,568	\$ 202,440	\$ 1,289,522	\$ 670,433	\$ 130,495	
2 Coal Stacking	11,283,862	430,982	11,714,842	542,574	380,470	913,046	225,377	41,074	
3 Coal Procurement	11,284,431	430,982	11,715,413	974,109	602,044	1,577,145	52,811	9,841	
4 Coal Transportation	610,727	310,382	921,109	132,210	81,814	50,496	902,410	15,113	
5 Regent Procurement & Transportation	43,577	13,138	-	2,158,479	1,131,953	621,518	-	-	
6 Natural Gas Capacity	2,158,479	-	-	35,954	27,152	13,872	-	-	
7 Natural Gas Trading	35,954	-	-	326,000	\$ 1,680,489	\$ 3,358,183	\$ 1,407,761		
	<u><u>\$ 7,892,702</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 1,488,208</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 9,380,910</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 4,862,815</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 895,420</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 5,757,251</u></u>			
Revenue ratio %	61.20%	34.73%	100.00%						
Target Sales (MMWh)									
Sales allocation %									
Previous Month's Ending									
	Gross Savings			Allocated Savings			DE Carolina		
	DE Carolina	DE Progress	Combined	DE Carolina	DE Progress	Combined	DE Carolina	DE Progress	NC Retail portion
1 John Dispatch	\$ 11,372,000	\$ 2,920,286	\$ 14,292,300	\$ 8,216,084	\$ 1,753,154	\$ 9,982,217	\$ 5,683,934	\$ 1,184,681	
2 Coal Stacking (a)	21,524,131	1,074,432	22,598,533	4,029,460	2,390,194	6,009,615	11,700,526	1,629,517	
3 Coal Procurement (a)	1,624,432	2,475,430	4,109,862	2,165,521	1,272,568	3,438,083	1,475,239	362,795	
4 Coal Transportation (a)	2,130,451	1,075,339	3,207,390	580,578	574,578	1,496,781	1,507,578	92,260	
5 Regent Procurement & Transportation	450,300	683,849	1,160,149	2,807,972	-	-	-	-	
6 Natural Gas Capacity	4,754,353	4,754,353	-	225,724	127,549	61,255	-	-	
7 Natural Gas Trading	215,724	-	-	\$ 13,860,489	\$ 3,358,183	\$ 17,571,659	\$ 8,730,182		
	<u><u>\$ 46,971,382</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 7,271,208</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 54,242,597</u></u>						
Total End-of-Month									
	Target			Gross Savings			Allocated Savings		
	DE Carolina	DE Progress	Combined	DE Carolina	DE Progress	Combined	DE Carolina	DE Progress	NC Retail portion
1 John Dispatch	\$ 11,372,000	\$ 2,920,286	\$ 14,292,300	\$ 8,216,084	\$ 1,753,154	\$ 9,982,217	\$ 5,683,934	\$ 1,184,681	
2 Coal Stacking (a)	21,524,131	2,475,430	24,999,561	4,029,460	2,390,044	6,009,615	11,700,526	1,629,517	
3 Coal Procurement (a)	1,624,432	1,075,339	2,100,770	580,578	574,578	1,496,781	1,475,239	362,795	
4 Coal Transportation (a)	2,130,451	683,849	2,814,299	2,807,972	127,549	81,255	1,507,578	92,260	
5 Regent Procurement & Transportation	450,300	4,754,353	5,204,653	13,860,489	\$ 3,358,183	\$ 17,571,659			
6 Natural Gas Capacity	4,754,353	215,724	-						
7 Natural Gas Trading	215,724	-	-						
	<u><u>\$ 46,971,382</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 7,271,208</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 54,242,597</u></u>						

(a) Includes January - June 2012 savings associated with fuel related rateup performance, notified by the original bill company.

Note: Overall amounts may not add to totals shown due to rounding.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN

NCUC RULE R8-53 (b)

PERIOD: December, 2012

PLANT Owner	UNIT	DATE OF OUTAGE	DURATION OF OUTAGE	SCHEDULED / UNSCHEDULED	CAUSE OF OUTAGE	REASON OUTAGE OCCURRED	REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN	
							1	2
Oceans	1	None						
	2	None						
	3	None						
McCaffie	1	None						
Caterba	2	12/01/2012 - 12/02/2012	23:45	UNSCHEDEDLED	TURBINE TRIP DUE TO INCORRECT TURBINE INLET PRESSURE SETPOINT	ENGINEERING MODIFICATION SETPOINT ERROR	DEVELOPED NEW SETPOINTS	
Caterba	1	11/24/2012 - 12/20/2012	460:42	SCHEDULED	END-OF-CYCLE 20 REFUELING OUTAGE	REFUEL AND MAINTENANCE	REFUEL AND MAINTENANCE	
	1	12/20/2012 - 12/20/2012	8:00	UNSCHEDEDLED	OUTAGE DELAYED 0:33 DAYS DUE TO REFUELING EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE DEFICIENCIES	REFUELING EQUIPMENT FAILURES	REPAIRED REFUELING EQUIPMENT	

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN

PERIOD: December, 2012

BENEFICIAL ACTION TAKEN

ITEM	OUTAGE OF OUTAGE	UNSCHEDED	UNSCHEDED	REACTOR COOLANT PUMP SEAL CHECK VALVE FAILURE	REPAIR REACTOR COOLANT PUMP SEAL/CHECK VALVE	REPAIR AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP	REPAIR AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP	COMPLETED SCHEDULED OVERSPEED TEST
1	1220/2012 - 1224/2012	9390	UNSCHEDED	OUTAGE DELAYED 1.88 DAYS DUE TO REACTOR COOLANT PUMP SEAL INJECTION CHECK VALVE FAILURE		AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP TURBINE FAILURE		
1	1224/2012 - 1228/2012	9462	UNSCHEDED	OUTAGE DELAYED 3.94 DAYS DUE TO AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP TURBINE FAILURE DURING TESTING				
1	1228/2012 - 1229/2012	370	SCHEDUL	MAIN TURBINE OVERSPEED TRIP TEST		SCHEDULED OVERSPEED TEST		

Page 2 of 15

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Base Load Power Plant
Performance Review Plan**

Page 3 of 15

NCUC Rule R8-53 (B)

December 2012

Belews Creek Steam Station

Unit	Duration of Outage	Type of Outage	Cause of Outage	Reason Outage Occurred	Remedial Action Taken
02	12/2/2012 10:02:00 PM To 12/3/2012 11:05:00 PM	Unach	1050	Second Superheater Leaks	BOILER TUBE LEAK,SSH.

Unit	Duration of Outage	Type of Outage	Cause of Outage	Reason Outage Occurred	Remedial Action Taken
01	12/14/2012 1:58:00 PM To 12/17/2012 7:03:00 AM	Unach	1050	Second Superheater Leaks	BOILER TUBE LEAK,SSH.

Duke Energy Carolinas
BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN
NCUC RULE R8-53 (c) (2) (3)
December 2012
Oconee Nuclear Station

Page 4 of 15

	<u>Unit 1</u>	<u>Unit 2</u>	<u>Unit 3</u>			
(A) MDC (MW)	846	846	846			
(B) Period Hours	744	744	744			
(C1) Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	640302	101.73	647687	102.90	652211	103.62
(D1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Schedule Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (D2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	1826	0.39	0	0.00	213	0.03
(E1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (E2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-12704	-2.02	-18263	-2.90	-23000	-3.65
* (F) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G) Core Conservation	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H) Net MWH Possible In Period	629424	100.00%	629424	100.00%	629424	100.00%
(I) Equivalent Availability		99.71		100.00		99.97
(J) Output Factor		101.73		102.90		103.62
(K) Heat Rate		10,132		10,052		9,976

* Estimate
FOOTNOTE: D1 and E1 include Ramping Losses

Duke Energy Carolinas
BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN
NCUC RULE R8-53 (c) (2) (3)
December 2012
McGuire Nuclear Station

Page 5 of 15

	<u>Unit 1</u>	<u>Unit 2</u>		
(A) MDC (MW)	1100	1100		
(B) Period Hours	744	744		
(C1) Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	861255	105.24	771515	94.27
(D1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Schedule Outages	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (D2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	53512	6.54
(E1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	0	0.00	26015	3.18
* (E2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-42855	-5.24	-32642	-3.99
(F) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G) Core Conservation	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H) Net MWH Possible In Period	818400	100.00%	818400	100.00%
(I) Equivalent Availability		100.00		89.60
(J) Output Factor		105.24		97.37
(K) Heat Rate		10,040		10,138

* Estimate

FOOTNOTE: D1 and E1 include Ramping Losses

Duke Energy Carolinas
BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN
NCUC RULE R8-53 (c) (2) (3)
December 2012
Catawba Nuclear Station

Page 6 of 15

	<u>Unit 1</u>	<u>Unit 2</u>		
(A) MDC (MW)	1129	1129		
(B) Period Hours	744	744		
(C1) Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	54805	6.52	864096	102.87
(D1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Schedule Outages	523991	62.38	0	0.00
* (D2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	34934	4.16	0	0.00
(E1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	220855	26.29	0	0.00
* (E2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	5391	0.65	-24120	-2.87
* (F) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G) Core Conservation	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H) Net MWH Possible In Period	839976	100.00%	839976	100.00%
(I) Equivalent Availability		7.78		100.00
(J) Output Factor		57.60		102.87
(K) Heat Rate		13,149		9,965

* Estimate
FOOTNOTE: D1 and E1 include Ramping Losses

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Base Load Power Plant
Performance Review Plan**

Page 7 of 15

NCUC Rule R8-53 (C) (2) (3)

December 2012

Belleville Creek Steam Station

	<u>Unit 1</u>	<u>Unit 2</u>
(A) MDC (mw)	1,110	1,110
(B) Period Hrs	744	744
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	686,947	650,712
(C1) Capacity Factor	83.18	78.79
(D1) Net mWh Not Generated due to Full Scheduled Outages	0	0
(D1) Scheduled Outages: percent of Period Hrs	0.00	0.00
(D2) Net mWh Not Generated due to Partial Scheduled Outages	0	0
(D2) Scheduled Derates: percent of Period Hrs	0.00	0.00
(E1) Net mWh Not Generated due to Full Forced Outages	72,243	27,805
(E1) Forced Outages: percent of Period Hrs	8.75	3.37
(E2) Net mWh Not Generated due to Partial Forced Outages	0	11
(E2) Forced Derates: percent of Period Hrs	0.00	0.00
(F) Net mWh Not Generated due to Economic Dispatch	86,650	147,311
(F) Economic Dispatch: percent of Period Hrs	8.07	17.84
(G) Net mWh Possible in Period	825,840	825,840
(H) Equivalent Availability	91.25	96.63
(I) Output Factor (%)	91.16	85.25
(J) Heat Rate (BTU/NkWh)	9,056	9,211

*Estimated

Footnote: (J) Includes Light Off BTU's

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Base Load Power Plant
Performance Review Plan**

Page 8 of 16

NCUC Rule R8-53 (C) (2)

December 2012

Marshall Steam Station

	Marshall 1	Marshall 2	Marshall 3	Marshall 4
(A) MDC (mWh)	380	380	658	660
(B) Period Hrs	744	744	744	744
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	76,799	159,950	365,452	386,681
(D) Net mWh Possible in Period	282,720	282,720	489,552	491,040
(E) Equivalent Availability	90.31	98.75	94.71	99.56
(F) Output Factor (%)	58.54	61.57	78.70	78.74
(G) Capacity Factor	27.16	56.58	74.65	78.74

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Base Load Power Plant
Performance Review Plan**

Page 9 of 15

NCUC Rule R8-53 (C) (2)

December 2012

Cliffside Steam Station

Cliffside 5

(A) MDC (mWh)	556
(B) Period Hrs	744
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	-2,968
(D) Net mWh Possible in Period	413,564
(E) Equivalent Availability	57.46
(F) Output Factor (%)	0.00
(G) Capacity Factor	0.00

Note:

This report is limited to capturing units in full months of commercial operation. Cliffside unit 6 was placed into service on December 30, 2012. During the month of December 2012, Cliffside unit 6 produced 168,280 mWh of pre-commercial and commercial generation combined.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN
NCUC RULE R8-53 (c) (2) (3)
January 2012 - December 2012
Oconee Nuclear Station

	UNIT 1	UNIT 2		UNIT 3	
(A) MDC (MW)	846		846		846
(B) Period Hours	8784		8784		8784
(C1) Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	6701974	90.19	7537005	101.42	6408501
(D1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	589282	7.93	0	0.00	1111221
* (D2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	19514	0.26	1082	0.01	52836
(E1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	155672	2.09	22994	0.31	0
* (E2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-35178	-0.47	-129817	-1.74	-141294
* (F) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
* (G) Core Conservation	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
(H) Net MWH Possible In Period	7431264	100.00%	7431264	100.00%	7431264
(I) Equivalent Availability		89.31		99.57	
(J) Output Factor		100.23		101.74	
(K) Heat Rate		10,256		10,158	
					10,025

*Estimate

FOOTNOTE: D1 and E1 Include Ramping Losses

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN
NCUC RULE R8-53 (c) (2) (3)
January 2012 - December 2012
McGuire Nuclear Station

	<u>UNIT 1</u>	<u>UNIT 2</u>		
(A) MDC (MW)	1100		1100	
(B) Period Hours	8784		8784	
(C1) Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	10114042	104.67	7854110	81.29
(D1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	0	0.00	1003200	10.38
* (D2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	1143	0.01	67742	0.70
(E1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	0	0.00	1042690	10.79
* (E2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-452785	-4.68	-305342	-3.16
* (F) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G) Core Conversion	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H) Net MWH Possible In Period	9662400	100.00%	9662400	100.00%
(I) Equivalent Availability		99.99		78.08
(J) Output Factor		104.67		103.12
(K) Heat Rate		10,097		10,126

*Estimate

FOOTNOTE: D1 and E1 Include Ramping Losses

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
 BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN
 NCUC RULE R8-53 (c) (2) (3)
 January 2012 - December 2012
 Catawba Nuclear Station

	UNIT 1	UNIT 2		
(A) MDC (MW)	1129	1129		
(B) Period Hours	8784	8784		
(C1) Net Gen (MWH) and Capacity Factor	8767327	88.41	9061972	91.38
(D1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Scheduled Outages	708673	7.15	734449	7.41
* (D2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Scheduled Outages	27712	0.28	30272	0.31
(E1) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Full Forced Outages	556247	5.61	314347	3.17
* (E2) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Partial Forced Outages	-142823	-1.45	-223904	-2.27
* (F) Net MWH Not Gen Due To Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00
* (G) Core Conversion	0	0.00	0	0.00
(H) Net MWH Possible In Period	9917136	100.00%	9917136	100.00%
(I) Equivalent Availability		86.68		89.10
(J) Output Factor		101.33		102.18
(K) Heat Rate		10,094		10,022

*Estimate

FOOTNOTE: D1 and E1 Include Ramping Losses

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Base Load Power Plant
Performance Review Plan**

Page 13 of 15

NCUC Rule R8-53 (C) (2) (3)

January 2012 through December 2012

Belews Creek Steam Station

	<u>Unit 1</u>	<u>Unit 2</u>
(A) MDC (mw)	1,110	1,110
(B) Period Hrs	8,784	8,784
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	7,685,065	6,305,060
(C1) Capacity Factor	78.82	64.67
(D1) Net mWh Not Generated due to Full Scheduled Outages	567,081	1,243,570
(D1) Scheduled Outages: percent of Period Hrs	5.82	12.75
(D2) Net mWh Not Generated due to Partial Scheduled Outages	40,005	56,080
(D2) Scheduled Derates: percent of Period Hrs	0.29	0.57
(E1) Net mWh Not Generated due to Full Forced Outages	275,243	36,741
(E1) Forced Outages: percent of Period Hrs	2.82	0.38
(E2) Net mWh Not Generated due to Partial Forced Outages	24,328	106,993
(E2) Forced Derates: percent of Period Hrs	0.25	1.10
(F) Net mWh Not Generated due to Economic Dispatch	1,158,520	2,001,796
(F) Economic Dispatch: percent of Period Hrs	11.88	20.53
(G) Net mWh Possible in Period	9,750,240	9,750,240
(H) Equivalent Availability	90.70	85.20
(I) Output Factor (%)	89.40	84.30
(J) Heat Rate (BTU/NkWh)	9,102	9,279

*Estimated

Footnote: (J) Includes Light Off BTU's

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Base Load Power Plant
Performance Review Plan**

Page 14 of 15

NCUC Rule R8-53 (C) (2)

January 2012 through December 2012

Marshall Steam Station

	Marshall 1	Marshall 2	Marshall 3	Marshall 4
(A) MDC (mWh)	380	380	658	660
(B) Period Hrs	8,784	8,784	8,784	8,784
(C) Net Generation (mWh)	1,078,626	1,370,510	3,263,280	3,902,223
(D) Net mWh Possible In Period	3,337,920	3,337,920	5,779,872	5,797,440
(E) Equivalent Availability	84.84	87.87	88.39	87.65
(F) Output Factor (%)	66.21	67.78	74.44	76.70
(G) Capacity Factor	32.31	41.06	56.46	67.31

**Duke Energy Carolinas
Base Load Power Plant
Performance Review Plan**

Page 15 of 15

NCUC Rule R8-53 (C) (2)

January 2012 through December 2012

Cliffside Steam Station

Cliffside 5

(A) MDC (mWh)	554
(B) Period Hrs	8,784
(C1) Net Generation (mWh)	1,144,368
(D) Net mWh Possible in Period	4,872,192
(E) Equivalent Availability	89.57
(F) Output Factor (%)	70.96
(G) Capacity Factor	23.49

Note: This report is limited to capturing data beginning the first full month a unit is in commercial operation.

Cliffside unit 6 began pre-commercial operation in June 2012 and commercial operation on December 30, 2012. Cliffside unit 6 net generation (mWh) within the twelve month period was as follows:

June 2012:	1,496 mWh; pre-commercial
July 2012:	77,787 mWh; pre-commercial
August 2012:	212,376 mWh; pre-commercial
September 2012:	139,874 mWh; pre-commercial
October 2012:	(1,302) mWh; pre-commercial (auxiliaries only)
November 2012:	170,464 mWh; pre-commercial
December 2012:	168,280 mWh; pre-commercial & commercial combined

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 888

CALCULATION OF MERGER-RELATED FUEL COST SAVINGS

Line No.	Total DEC and PEC Savings Projected For Year 1	
1	\$77,000,000	Merger Application Exhibits 4 and 5
2	Portion of Year One in Initial DEC Rate Period	<u>83.33%</u> September 2012 through June 2013
3	Amount to Include in Initial DEC Rate Reduction	\$60,000,000 Line 1 x Line 2
4	Total DEC and PEC Savings Projected For Year 2	\$100,000,000 Merger Application Exhibits 4 and 5
5	Portion of Year Two in Initial DEC Rate Period	<u>16.67%</u> July 2013 through August 2013
6	Amount to Include in Initial DEC Rate Reduction	\$16,666,667 Line 4 x Line 5
7	Total Amount to Include in Initial DEC Rate Reduction	<u>\$76,666,667</u> Line 3 + Line 6
8	Projected Allocation to DEC based on 2012 Fuel Filings	<u>58.75%</u> Forecasts in E-7, Sub 1002 and E-2, Sub 1018
9	Amount Allocated to DEC	\$45,041,667 Line 7 x Line 8
10	Projected Allocation to NC Retail based on E-7, Sub	<u>67.78%</u> Line 9 of Supplemental McManus Exhibit 1, Schedule 2(c), Page 2 (E-7, Sub 1002)
11	Amount Allocated to DEC NC Retail	\$30,527,839 Line 9 x Line 10
12	Projected Billing MWh Sales	55,014,183 Supplemental McManus Exhibit 1, Schedule 2(c), page 2 (E-7, Sub 1002)
13	Current composite Merger Savings decrement cents/kWh	(0.0555)

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
E-7, Sub 1000
FUEL RATE CHANGE ASSOCIATED WITH MERGER SAVINGS
DERIVATION OF EQUAL PERCENTAGE DECREASE FOR ALL RATE CLASSES

Line No.	Rate Class	Protected Billings Protected, Multi-Schedule (a)	Annual Revenue at Current Rates (b)	Affordable Margin Related Fuel Cost Surcharge To Customer Class (c)	Increase / (Decrease) in % of Annual Revenue at Current Rates (d)	Rider 1000 Interim(Decrease)(e)	Rider 1000 Stabilization Factor(f)
1	Residential	20,759,438	3	2,168,605 \$ 3	(14.86%)	-0.7%	(0.6731)
2	General Service/Lighting	21,058,810	3	1,649,533 \$ 3	(11.161)	-0.7%	(0.6537)
3	Industrial	12,295,908	3	987,624 \$ 3	(4.861)	-0.7%	(0.6337)
4	NC Rate	50,014,185	3	4,503,063 \$ 3	(20.53%)		
5	NC Rate Decrease in Fuel Revenue(g)		4		(90.538)		

(2) Incremental Rates including NC gross receipts taxes and regulatory fee

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Billing Period Sept 2013 through Aug 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 3

	Catawba 1	Catawba 2	McGuire 1	McGuire 2	Oconee 1	Oconee 2	Oconee 3	Total
MW-Hs	8886	9100	9717	9224	7403	6227	6814	57,370.03
Hours	8760	8760	8760	8760	8760	8760	8760	8760
MDC	1129	1129	1129	1129	846	846	846	7054
Capacity factor	89.85%	92.01%	98.25%	93.26%	99.89%	84.02%	91.94%	92.84%
Cost \$/MWH	58,506.30	64,893.26	64,136.26	61,268.04	50,747.55	43,802.62	47,039.02	390,393.04
Avg \$/MWHr	6.58	7.13	6.60	6.64	6.86	7.03	6.90	
Remove dry storage cask cost (DSC)	99.24%	99.25%	99.78%	99.77%	99.01%	98.99%	99.02%	
Costs W/O DSC \$/MWH	58,059.46	64,408.35	63,992.21	61,127.78	50,242.79	43,360.56	46,576.39	387,767.54
	6.53	7.08	6.59	6.63	6.79	6.96	6.84	
Avg \$/MWHr Cents per KWh	6.759061	0.675906						

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Billing Period Sept 2013 through Aug 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 10333

Smith Workpaper 4

	Catawba 1	Catawba 2	McGuire 1	McGuire 2	Oconee 1	Oconee 2	Oconee 3	Total
MWHS with approved CF	8926	8926	8926	8926	6594	6594	55,483.64	
Hours	8760	8760	8760	8760	8760	8760	8760	
MDC	1129	1129	1129	1129	846	846	7,054.00	
Capacity factor	90.25%	90.25%	90.25%	90.25%	88.97%	88.97%	88.97%	
Cost	60,738.24	60,738.24	60,738.24	60,738.24	44,867.83	44,867.83	44,867.83	377,556.45
Avg \$/MWhr			6.80483					
Costs W/O DSC	60,329.76	60,329.76	60,329.76	60,329.76	44,566.08	44,566.08	44,566.08	375,017.29
\$/MWh W/O DSC								
Avg \$/MWhr			6.759061					
Cents per KWh			0.675906					

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Billing Period Sept 2013 through Aug 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033**Smith Workpaper 5**

RESOURCE_TYP	DATA_TYPE	UNIT	Sept 13-Aug 14
NUC Total			57,370,032
COAL Total			26,716,153
Adjustment			(438,378)
Adjusted Coal Total			26,277,775
Gas CT and CC total			10,016,167 CC and CT
Run of River Total			1,779,848
Pumped storage total			3,194,477
conversion factor			80%
Energy used to generate			3,993,096
			798.62
Catawba Joint Owners			(13,929,209)
PURC Total			9,448,043
Adjustment to exclude cost of mitigation sales			(803,900)
SALE Total			(1,683,858)

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Smith Workpaper 6

Billing Period Sept 2013 through Aug 2014

Docket E-7, Sub 1033

RESOURCE_TYPE	Sept 13 - Aug 14
NUC Total	390,393.04
Adjustment for DSC	(2,625.50)
Total Nuclear	<u>387,767.54</u>
COAL Total	1,006,203.35
Adjustment	(16,668.63)
Portion of savings pymt to PEC	<u>9,636.08</u>
Adjusted Coal Total	<u>999,170.80</u> Workpaper 7
Gas CT and CC total	302,936.92
Gas Transportation cost	19,900.00
Portion of savings pymt to PEC	<u>3,177.89</u> Workpaper 7
	<u>326,014.80</u>
PURC Total	8,070.28
Co gen Capacity	10,211.64
Renewables	34,567.18
Renewables Capacity	6,918.58
Other Purchase Info not in model	6,923.83
Allocated Economic Purchase cost	<u>103,191.82</u> Workpaper 7
Payment to Progress	<u>165,373.85</u> Workpaper 7
	<u>336,257.19</u>

LUXE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Statement Expense

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com on 2013-08-05 14:57:33

卷之三

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Billing Period Sept 2013 through Aug 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 8

	Transfer Projection		Purchase Allocation Delta		Adjusted Transfer		Fossil Gen Cost		Pre-Net Payments		Actual Payments	
	PECCoDEC	DECtoPEC	PEC	DEC	PECCoDEC	DECtoPEC	PEC	DEC	PECCoDEC	DECtoPEC	PECCoDEC	DECtoDEC
9/1/2013	211,342	49,902	137,687	(137,687)	349,039	49,902	35.15	\$ 35.82	\$ 1,787,629	\$ 12,267,455	\$ 10,795,256	
10/1/2013	219,225	6,464	105,379	(105,379)	324,504	6,464	34.77	\$ 34.95	\$ 235,929	\$ 11,287,424	\$ 11,061,496	
11/1/2013	313,598	69,920	181,505	(181,505)	495,103	69,920	34.65	\$ 34.57	\$ 2,417,473	\$ 17,153,110	\$ 14,735,637	
12/1/2013	241,167	151,218	11,555	(11,555)	252,721	151,218	36.30	\$ 36.40	\$ 5,504,254	\$ 9,173,720	\$ 3,659,466	
1/1/2014	284,237	179,120	7,741	(7,741)	291,977	179,120	38.21	\$ 37.76	\$ 6,764,168	\$ 11,155,381	\$ 4,391,413	
2/1/2014	290,285	153,988	13,527	(13,527)	303,813	153,988	38.41	\$ 37.60	\$ 5,789,681	\$ 11,669,771	\$ 5,880,090	
3/1/2014	257,980	101,227	51,399	(51,399)	309,378	101,227	36.39	\$ 37.21	\$ 3,767,083	\$ 11,256,750	\$ 7,489,707	
4/1/2014	303,867	122,212	27,920	(27,920)	331,787	122,212	36.73	\$ 36.80	\$ 4,497,867	\$ 12,185,402	\$ 7,687,536	
5/1/2014	513,221	16,830	222,430	(222,430)	735,651	16,830	35.74	\$ 36.57	\$ 615,447	\$ 26,295,708	\$ 25,880,262	
6/1/2014	319,160	36,971	105,402	(105,402)	424,562	36,971	36.43	\$ 38.30	\$ 1,415,877	\$ 15,467,155	\$ 14,051,278	
7/1/2014	253,516	74,399	126,996	(126,996)	380,512	74,399	37.17	\$ 39.08	\$ 2,907,318	\$ 14,145,168	\$ 11,237,850	
8/1/2014	267,901	83,041	96,694	(96,694)	364,595	83,041	36.53	\$ 38.40	\$ 3,189,148	\$ 13,316,863	\$ 10,127,715	
												126,491,974
	3,475,498	1,045,292			4,563,732	1,045,292			38,881,873	165,373,847		

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Smith Workpaper 9

Billing Period Sept 2013 through Aug 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

	Sept - Aug	Retail Sales	
NC Retail Sales	55,516,317	55,516,317	
SC Residential MWh sales	6,516,476	6,516,476	
SC Commercial MWh sales, incl. outdoor light	5,872,824	5,872,824	
SC Public Light	41,371	41,371	
SC Industrial MWh sales	8,545,462	8,545,462	
NPL Resale	90,124	76,492,451	
10A NC	1,191,810		
10A SC	317,356		
Rutherford @meter above FFR 2009-2010	818,960		
Piedmont @meter	390,737		
Blue Ridge @meter	1,150,383		
NC EMC fixed load shape	379,552		
Haywood @meter	115,735		
New River @meter	250,974		
Greenwood @meter	296,972		
Central @meter	895,875		
Regular Sales	82,368,880		
Company Use	218,987		
Line Losses	5,164,802		
Change in Unbilled	(96,395)		
Line Losses & Change in Unbilled & Company Use	5,287,395		
SC total	20,976,133		
North Carolina:			
		Residential	20,955,314
		General	22,081,756
		Industrial	9,637,232
		Textile	2,607,521
		Other	234,494
		NC RETAIL	55,516,317

Journal of Safety Leadership
North Carolina Annual Fall and Best Reward Luncheon
Last Period Index October 14, 2012
Volume 17, No. 10

THE JOURNAL OF CLIMATE

卷之三

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Smith Workpaper 11

Billing Period Sept 2013 through Aug 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Reagents Forecast
Summary of All Stations

	2013	2013	2013	2013	2014	2014	2014	2014	2014	2014	2014	2014	12ME
Tons	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	AUG
Limestone	48,651	38,354	39,200	57,835	50,418	64,575	61,126	39,638	44,517	75,244	81,022	83,005	713,585
Lime	513	404	544	508	988	856	698	700	401	818	666	900	8,437
Ammonia	772	260	249	908	1,183	890	749	653	591	1,028	1,122	1,190	9,804
Urea	1,321	1,532	1,440	1,550	1,848	1,553	1,466	591	1,137	1,711	1,790	1,747	17,885
Aqueous Ammonia	502	601	473	518	509	454	264	489	508	489	483	531	5,719
DBA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Reagents	51,759	41,051	41,807	61,377	64,934	68,329	64,505	42,089	47,154	79,288	85,283	87,373	755,030

Average Cost/Ton

Limestone	30.50	30.09	29.68	30.47	30.78	30.78	30.60	30.44	31.44	30.87	30.93	31.02
Lime	125.20	125.66	125.65	125.65	127.28	127.28	127.28	127.74	127.74	127.74	128.20	129.20
Ammonia	772.32	782.01	789.05	744.50	753.11	753.20	757.34	769.00	769.01	772.15	758.16	784.24
Urea	384.89	376.98	363.95	363.97	368.78	388.04	401.11	414.03	427.12	400.55	400.21	384.94
Aqueous Ammonia	212.54	211.40	212.23	207.07	207.64	207.63	223.61	208.65	211.29	208.48	202.71	-
DBA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cost

Limestone	1,480,692	1,154,025	1,162,247	1,762,034	2,475,258	1,985,364	1,870,562	1,208,638	1,399,734	2,322,748	2,506,022	2,574,903	21,904,445
Lime	64,258	50,768	68,331	71,321	123,189	106,953	114,269	89,402	51,276	104,582	111,055	115,384	1,072,784
Ammonia	585,958	203,263	196,605	678,184	898,593	670,542	587,524	484,824	454,818	782,485	850,888	881,926	7,283,011
Urea	508,226	577,595	524,182	563,828	662,595	602,748	586,181	244,888	485,612	685,158	716,435	872,534	6,851,848
Aqueous Ammonia	106,687	105,904	100,450	107,183	105,591	94,312	59,030	102,073	107,304	103,274	100,767	107,669	1,200,225
DBA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Reagents	\$2,759,034	\$2,001,572	\$2,051,825	\$3,180,550	\$4,286,234	\$3,462,918	\$3,199,585	\$2,137,823	\$2,498,442	\$4,008,205	\$4,284,647	\$4,332,398	\$38,292,312

Summary Costs by Station

Allen	-	-	-	-	16,528	-	-	-	-	29,550	102,158	64,220	212,456
Belvoir Creek	999,411	241,618	163,009	1,133,079	1,438,204	1,069,686	881,184	798,216	815,767	1,277,517	1,380,251	1,480,845	11,859,688
Buck	1,507	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,472	12,746	2,939	21,984
Buck CC	51,262	54,600	49,243	49,278	49,632	43,470	1,417	48,771	48,845	47,798	48,671	47,543	536,330
Dan River CC	55,405	51,304	51,208	57,906	58,859	60,841	57,813	56,302	57,850	55,476	54,098	60,125	683,895
Cliffside	487,280	363,813	517,000	591,302	1,083,516	837,083	835,280	844,913	370,647	938,257	1,027,750	988,866	8,876,090
Marshall	1,159,533	1,260,237	1,269,866	1,352,689	1,855,422	1,456,224	1,444,061	880,077	1,204,724	1,626,816	1,629,004	1,606,510	16,415,851
Riverband	4,856	-	-	8,226	5,973	4,813	-	2,344	-	30,218	31,670	20,436	108,338
Total by Station	\$2,759,034	\$2,001,572	\$2,051,825	\$3,180,550	\$4,286,234	\$3,462,918	\$3,199,585	\$2,137,823	\$2,498,442	\$4,008,205	\$4,284,647	\$4,332,398	\$38,292,312

Total by Product	Allen	BC	Buck	Buck CC	Cliffside	Dan River CC	Marshall	Riverband	Total	Less Riverband	Add New	Total
12ME												
2014	Limestone	132,406	6,165,634	-	-	5,834,449	-	9,772,065	-	21,904,445		
AUG	Lime	-	-	-	-	1,072,784	-	-	-	1,072,784		
	Ammonia	-	5,494,153	-	-	1,700,857	-	-	-	7,283,011		
	Urea	80,040	-	21,664	-	-	-	6,843,796	106,338	6,851,848	(106,338)	
	Aqueous Ammonia	-	-	-	538,330	-	683,895	-	-	1,200,225		
	DBA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(106,338)	3,654,195	41,840,169
	Total	212,456	11,859,688	21,664	538,330	8,676,090	683,895	16,415,851	106,338	38,292,312	(106,338)	

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Billing Period Sept 2013 through Aug 2014
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 12

Projected DEC fuel flex chemicals

Month	Mag Hydrotide Cost (\$/1000)			Calcium Carbionate (\$/1000)			Hydrotide Lime (\$/1000)					
	Allen	Belews Creek	Cliffsides 5	Marshall	Allen	Belews Creek	Cliffsides 5	Marshall	Allen	Belews Creek	Cliffsides 5	Marshall
September-13	\$ -	\$ 51.34	\$ -	\$ 70.64	\$ -	\$ 32.57	\$ -	\$ 67.23	\$ -	\$ 29.81	\$ -	\$ -
October-13	\$ -	\$ 53.14	\$ -	\$ 58.29	\$ -	\$ 33.71	\$ -	\$ 55.47	\$ -	\$ 30.86	\$ -	\$ -
November-13	\$ -	\$ 48.34	\$ -	\$ 61.08	\$ -	\$ 30.67	\$ -	\$ 58.13	\$ -	\$ 32.75	\$ -	\$ -
December-13	\$ -	\$ 55.62	\$ -	\$ 73.47	\$ -	\$ 35.28	\$ -	\$ 69.91	\$ -	\$ 44.85	\$ -	\$ -
January-14	\$ 3.19	\$ 83.09	\$ -	\$ 91.70	\$ 2.02	\$ 52.71	\$ -	\$ 87.26	\$ -	\$ 61.65	\$ -	\$ -
February-14	\$ 2.14	\$ 61.68	\$ -	\$ 77.30	\$ 1.35	\$ 39.13	\$ -	\$ 73.56	\$ -	\$ 45.76	\$ -	\$ -
March-14	\$ -	\$ 59.80	\$ -	\$ 80.43	\$ -	\$ 37.93	\$ -	\$ 76.54	\$ -	\$ 44.36	\$ -	\$ -
April-14	\$ -	\$ 57.21	\$ -	\$ 35.79	\$ -	\$ 36.29	\$ -	\$ 34.05	\$ -	\$ 42.44	\$ -	\$ -
May-14	\$ -	\$ 52.01	\$ -	\$ 64.19	\$ -	\$ 32.99	\$ -	\$ 61.09	\$ -	\$ 38.59	\$ 7.90	\$ -
June-14	\$ 3.44	\$ 84.74	\$ -	\$ 76.81	\$ 2.18	\$ 53.76	\$ -	\$ 73.10	\$ -	\$ 62.87	\$ 26.87	\$ -
July-14	\$ 13.53	\$ 95.99	\$ -	\$ 75.97	\$ 8.58	\$ 60.90	\$ -	\$ 72.29	\$ -	\$ 55.74	\$ 31.96	\$ -
August-14	\$ 5.69	\$ 103.44	\$ -	\$ 80.56	\$ 3.61	\$ 65.62	\$ -	\$ 76.67	\$ -	\$ 60.06	\$ 22.49	\$ -
12 MIE 8/31/2014	\$ 28	\$ 806	\$ -	\$ 846	\$ 18	\$ 512	\$ -	\$ 805	\$ -	\$ 550	\$ 89	\$ -
												\$ 3,654

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
2% calculation test
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Revised Smith Workpaper 13

Line No.	Description	Forecast \$	(over)/under Collection \$	Total \$
1	Amount in current docket	117,328,716	43,824,250	161,150,967
2	Amount in Sub 1002, prior year docket	<u>127,612,570</u>	<u>56,365,940</u>	<u>183,978,510</u>
3	Increase/(Decrease)	(10,285,854)	(12,541,690)	(22,827,543)
4	2% of 2012 NC revenue of 4,557,487,757			<u>91,149,755</u>
	Excess of purchased power growth over 2% of Revenue			0
WP 6	PURC Total	9,070.28	68.31%	6,195,648
WP 6	Cogen Capacity	10,211.64	71.82%	7,333,693
WP 6	Renewables	34,567.18	68.31%	23,611,842
WP 6	Renewables Capacity	6,918.58	71.82%	4,968,719
WP 6	Other Purchase Info not in model	6,923.83	68.31%	4,729,466
WP 6	Allocated Economic Purchase cost	<u>103,191.82</u>	<u>68.31%</u>	<u>70,487,347</u>
		170,883.34		117,326,716

2012															
System Actual \$ - Sch 4		System Actual \$ - Sch 3 Fuel		System Actual \$ - Sch 3 Fuel-Subsidy		System Actual \$ - Sch 3 Fuel-Subsidy		System Actual \$ - Sch 4		System Actual \$ - Sch 4		System Actual \$ - Sch 4		System Actual \$ - Sch 4	
6,985,700,814 4,680,332,138	6,551,270,561 4,471,304,224	6,137,000,128 5,671,304,224	5,600,512,687 5,187,500,128	5,007,594,411 4,010,511,402	5,019,537,846 4,000,511,402	4,902,320,270 4,000,511,402	4,902,320,270 4,000,511,402	6,019,537,846 5,400,511,402	6,019,537,846 5,400,511,402	7,850,793,651 5,300,512,271	7,850,793,651 5,300,512,271	7,192,111,2308 6,400,512,271	7,192,111,2308 6,400,512,271	6,947,159,616 4,002,000,904	6,947,159,616 4,002,000,904
68.10%	68.10%	68.10%	68.10%	67.87%	67.87%	67.87%	67.87%	67.87%	67.87%	68.37%	68.37%	68.17%	68.17%	68.37%	68.37%
Link to Sch 4		Link to Sch 4		Link to Sch 4		Link to Sch 4		Link to Sch 4		Link to Sch 4		Link to Sch 4		Link to Sch 4	
Fuel related component of purchased power (Expenditure)															
\$ 4,295,728 17,158,285	\$ 10,710,353 7,000,000	\$ 9,197,000 10,201,300	\$ 14,678,715 10,201,300	\$ 10,085,500 8,071,300	\$ 10,085,500 8,071,300	\$ 10,085,500 8,071,300	\$ 10,085,500 8,071,300	\$ 10,085,500 8,071,300	\$ 10,085,500 8,071,300	\$ 17,912,487 7,050,616	\$ 17,912,487 7,050,616	\$ 18,924,401 8,053,029	\$ 18,924,401 8,053,029	\$ 22,712,080 8,053,029	\$ 22,712,080 8,053,029
82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h	82h
\$ 15,877,014 18,863,428	\$ 15,862,075 15,862,075	\$ 16,701,113 16,701,113	\$ 16,701,113 16,701,113	\$ 16,701,113 16,701,113	\$ 16,701,113 16,701,113	\$ 16,701,113 16,701,113	\$ 16,701,113 16,701,113	\$ 16,701,113 16,701,113	\$ 16,701,113 16,701,113	\$ 24,920,572 24,920,572	\$ 24,920,572 24,920,572	\$ 24,920,572 24,920,572	\$ 24,920,572 24,920,572	\$ 30,054,438 14,342,081	\$ 30,054,438 14,342,081
11,208,346	10,419,741	10,174,268	10,174,268	10,174,268	10,174,268	10,174,268	10,174,268	10,174,268	10,174,268	17,766,829	17,766,829	18,186,237	18,186,237	\$ 79,462,788	\$ 79,462,788
Fuel related component of purchased power (Expenditure)															
\$ 15,877,014 18,863,428	\$ 15,862,075 15,862,075	\$ 20,701,113 20,701,113	\$ 20,701,113 20,701,113	\$ 20,701,113 20,701,113	\$ 20,701,113 20,701,113	\$ 20,701,113 20,701,113	\$ 20,701,113 20,701,113	\$ 20,701,113 20,701,113	\$ 20,701,113 20,701,113	\$ 14,611,574 9,962,154	\$ 14,611,574 9,962,154	\$ 14,611,574 9,962,154	\$ 14,611,574 9,962,154	\$ 6,058,532 4,319,427	\$ 6,058,532 4,319,427
5	50,873,694	52,793,812	50,990,324	51,764,529	51,310,663	51,310,663	51,310,663	51,310,663	51,310,663	2,629,450	2,629,450	10,169,837	10,169,837	5,562,376	5,562,376
0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%
Billed rate (\$/MWh)															
\$ 7,462,156	\$ 7,154,907	\$ 5,674,340	\$ 6,372,597	\$ 6,372,597	\$ 6,372,597	\$ 6,372,597	\$ 6,372,597	\$ 6,372,597	\$ 6,372,597	\$ 8,645,211	\$ 8,645,211	\$ 9,319,703	\$ 9,319,703	\$ 8,773,234	\$ 8,773,234
(1,416,200)	(5,684,720)	(4,275,984)	(4,291,572)	(4,291,572)	(4,291,572)	(4,291,572)	(4,291,572)	(4,291,572)	(4,291,572)	(1,370,820)	(1,370,820)	(1,370,820)	(1,370,820)	\$ 6,720,211	\$ 6,720,211
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Own (Under) £c															
\$ (1,416,200)	\$ (5,684,720)	\$ (4,275,984)	\$ (4,291,572)	\$ (4,291,572)	\$ (4,291,572)	\$ (4,291,572)	\$ (4,291,572)	\$ (4,291,572)	\$ (4,291,572)	\$ (1,370,820)	\$ (1,370,820)	\$ (1,370,820)	\$ (1,370,820)	\$ 3,192,634	\$ 3,192,634
Fuel related component of purchased power (Expenditure)															
\$ 4,133,609	\$ 3,175,251	\$ 2,040,449	\$ 3,063,302	\$ 3,063,302	\$ 3,063,302	\$ 3,063,302	\$ 3,063,302	\$ 3,063,302	\$ 3,063,302	\$ 2,760,164	\$ 2,760,164	\$ 4,194,330	\$ 4,194,330	\$ 3,487,264	\$ 3,487,264
6	139,794	139,804	172,206	171,371	171,371	171,371	171,371	171,371	171,371	1,360,911	1,360,911	1,360,911	1,360,911	4,015,847	4,015,847
0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223
System Actual \$ - Sch 2 PD 1 ANNUAL VIEW															
\$ 1,887,701	\$ 2,085,313	\$ 2,523,563	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,404,437	\$ 2,404,437	\$ 2,051,456	\$ 2,051,456	\$ 2,762,940	\$ 2,762,940
0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223
Bill rate (\$/MWh)															
\$ 1,047,218	\$ 977,101	\$ 942,269	\$ 894,380	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 1,194,568	\$ 1,194,568	\$ 1,131,241	\$ 1,131,241	\$ 1,356,420	\$ 1,356,420
(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Own (Under) £c															
\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
Fuel related component of purchased power (Expenditure)															
\$ 1,887,701	\$ 2,085,313	\$ 2,523,563	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 115,271	\$ 115,271	\$ 143,854	\$ 143,854	\$ 86,826	\$ 86,826
0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223
System Actual \$ - Sch 2 PD 1 ANNUAL VIEW															
\$ 1,887,701	\$ 2,085,313	\$ 2,523,563	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,404,437	\$ 2,404,437	\$ 2,051,456	\$ 2,051,456	\$ 1,765,915	\$ 1,765,915
0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223
Bill rate (\$/MWh)															
\$ 1,047,218	\$ 977,101	\$ 942,269	\$ 894,380	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Own (Under) £c															
\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
Fuel related component of purchased power (Expenditure)															
\$ 1,887,701	\$ 2,085,313	\$ 2,523,563	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,404,437	\$ 2,404,437	\$ 2,051,456	\$ 2,051,456	\$ 1,765,915	\$ 1,765,915
0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223
Bill rate (\$/MWh)															
\$ 1,047,218	\$ 977,101	\$ 942,269	\$ 894,380	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Own (Under) £c															
\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
Fuel related component of purchased power (Expenditure)															
\$ 1,887,701	\$ 2,085,313	\$ 2,523,563	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,504,593	\$ 2,404,437	\$ 2,404,437	\$ 2,051,456	\$ 2,051,456	\$ 1,765,915	\$ 1,765,915
0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223	0.0223
Bill rate (\$/MWh)															
\$ 1,047,218	\$ 977,101	\$ 942,269	\$ 894,380	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ 910,343	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	(1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Own (Under) £c															
\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)	\$ (1,080,212)
Fuel related component of purchased power (Expenditure)															
\$ 1,887,701	\$ 2,085,313	\$ 2,523,563													

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
22% calculation test
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Revised Scratch Workpaper

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Smith Workpaper 14

Test Period Ended December 31, 2012

Docket E-7, Sub 1033

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>MWH Net Output</u>	<u>MWH Line Loss/ Company Use</u>	<u>Average Line Loss/ Co. Use %</u>
1	2008	Prior fuel filing	90,943,002	5,234,947	
2	2009	Prior fuel filing	84,321,352	5,161,728	
3	2010	Prior fuel filing	90,359,224	5,683,489	
4	2011	Prior fuel filing	87,535,397	4,792,382	
5	2012	Exhibit 6	86,224,791	5,214,250	
6	5 Years	Sum L1:L5	<u>439,383,766</u>	<u>26,108,795</u>	<u>5.94%</u>
7	Line Loss/Co. Use Factor		((1/(1-L6))		<u>1.0632</u>

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Smith Workpaper 15

Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Subject: Median Hydro Generation 1982 - 2012

The table below summarizes the updated 31 year median hydro generation for the historical calendar year period 1982 - 2012.

Duke Energy

MEDIAN CONVENTIONAL HYDRO GENERATION (MWH)
(Pumped Storage Hydro plants are not included)
31 YEARS 1982 - 2012

	Median Year	System Total	R-O-R	Storage	Nantahala
January	1994	222,000	10,500	173,400	38,100
February	2004	170,700	10,800	122,000	37,900
March	1982	220,000	10,600	163,800	45,600
April	1996	154,600	13,000	114,900	26,700
May	2005	128,200	8,400	84,400	35,400
June	1987	124,700	4,900	81,700	38,000
July	1998	96,900	6,000	69,000	22,000
August	1993	109,200	5,000	77,300	26,900
September	1983	106,800	4,700	64,500	37,700
October	1984	98,700	4,800	66,900	27,000
November	1984	103,000	5,100	68,100	29,800
December	1995	169,700	9,600	104,200	55,800
TOTALS		1,704,500	93,400	1,190,200	420,900

Note: The Run-of-River (R-O-R), Storage, and Nantahala Medians do not necessarily correspond to the year of the System Median.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 16

Line No.	Year	Reference to <u>NC Fuel Filing</u>	Jocassee			Bad Creek			System Total Net
			Pumped	Storage	Input	Pumping	Storage	Input	
1	2008	Sch 10, p. 6 of 6	1,083,815		1,387,130	2,554,294		3,210,183	(959,204)
2	2009	Sch 10, p. 6 of 6	926,568		1,148,987	1,917,824		2,417,800	(722,375)
3	2010	Sch 10, p. 6 of 6	925,837		1,077,790	2,041,348		2,578,364	(688,969)
4	2011	Sch 10, p. 6 of 7	917,215		1,042,175	1,997,078		2,532,517	(660,399)
5	2012	Sch 10, p. 6 of 7	928,617		1,103,984	1,752,364		2,218,596	(641,599)
6	Average		956,410		1,152,009	2,052,582		2,591,492	<u>(734,509)</u>

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 17

Line No.	Year	Reference	Actual Generation from CTs		
			Oil MWH	Gas CT MWH	Total MWH
1	2010	Schedule 5	(9,500)	612,241	602,741
2	2011	Schedule 5	40,811	700,504	741,315
3	2012	Schedule 5	6,865	916,328	923,193
4	Total	Sum L1:L3	38,176	2,229,073	2,267,249
5	Average	Calc	12,725	743,024	755,750

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expenses

Smith Workpaper 18

Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Smith Workpaper 18

Test Period Ended December 31, 2012

Docket E-7, Sub 1033

	January 1	February 2	March 3	April 4	May 5	June 6	Total
Fuel Savings - Gross for current month							
DEC Fuel Savings - Gross							
Coal Blending	\$ 1,383,822	\$ 1,531,341	\$ 1,223,878	\$ 1,445,766	\$ 1,362,588	\$ 1,910,429	8,857,824
Coal Commodity	159,879	171,243	127,638	86,616	85,702	20,858	651,936
Coal Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Natural Gas / Oil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reagents	14,213	7,899	12,582	4,023	36,103	66,374	141,194
Avoided Gas Desk O&M Cost	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
subtotal - DEC fuel savings	1,557,914	1,710,483	1,364,098	1,536,405	1,484,393	1,997,661	9,650,954
PEC Fuel Savings - Gross for current month							
Coal Blending	-	-	-	70,567	112,326	296,607	479,500
Coal Commodity	-	-	-	75,137	106,683	124,184	306,004
Coal Transportation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Natural Gas / Oil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reagents	35,182	35,046	70,300	60,565	38,762	46,962	285,817
Avoided Gas Desk O&M Cost	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
subtotal - PEC fuel savings	35,182	35,046	70,300	206,269	257,771	467,753	1,072,321
Total - Fuel Saving -Gross	1,593,096	1,745,529	1,434,398	1,742,674	1,742,164	2,465,414	10,723,275
DEC sharing ratio July - Dec	0.58777968	0.58777968	0.58777968	0.58777968	0.58777968	0.58777968	
PEC sharing ratio July - Dec	0.41222032	0.41222032	0.41222032	0.41222032	0.41222032	0.41222032	
Total DEC share	936,389	1,025,986	843,110	1,024,308	1,024,009	1,449,120	6,302,923
Total PEC share	656,707	719,543	591,288	718,366	718,155	1,016,294	4,420,352
DEC gross	1,557,914	1,710,483	1,364,098	1,536,405	1,484,393	1,997,661	9,650,954
DEC net share	936,389	1,025,986	843,110	1,024,308	1,024,009	1,449,120	6,302,923
Amount to be shared with PEC	(621,525)	(684,497)	(520,988)	(512,097)	(460,384)	(548,541)	(3,348,031)
	68.10%	68.59%	68.85%	67.87%	67.61%	67.88%	
	(423,273)	(469,468)	(358,714)	(347,558)	(311,282)	(372,323)	(2,282,619)
PEC gross	35,182	35,046	70,300	206,269	257,771	467,753	1,072,321
PEC net share	656,707	719,543	591,288	718,366	718,155	1,016,294	4,420,352
Amount to be received from DEC	621,525	684,497	520,988	512,097	460,384	548,541	3,348,031

	Adjusted test period sales MWhs	Adjusted test period sales as a % of total MWh sales	Amount to be shared with PEC allocated as a % of total MWh sales
Residential	21,143,695	38.07%	(868,993)
General	22,112,646	39.82%	(908,939)
Industrial	12,278,269	22.11%	(504,687)
	55,534,611	100.00%	(2,282,619)

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Smith Workpaper 19

Test Period Ended December 31, 2012

Docket E-7, Sub 1033

MWhs

Line	#	Description	Reference	NORTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA	Retail TOTAL COMPANY	% NC	% SC
1		RESIDENTIAL	RAC001	20,121,712	6,157,414	26,279,126	76.57	23.43
2		Total General Service	RAC001	22,116,267	5,649,488	27,765,755		
3		less Lighting and Traffic Signals		739,161	227,740	966,901		
4		General Service subject to weather		21,377,106	5,421,748	26,798,854	79.77	20.23
		INDUSTRIAL						
5		Textile	RAC001	2,794,192	1,125,375	3,919,567	71.29	28.71
6		Other Industrial	RAC001	9,523,736	7,534,250	17,057,986	55.83	44.17
7		Total Industrial		12,317,928	8,659,625	20,977,553	58.72	41.28
8		Total Retail Sales	1+2+7	54,555,907	20,466,527	75,022,434		
9		Total Retail Sales subject to weather	1+4+7	53,816,746	20,238,787	74,055,533	72.67	27.33

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Weather Normalization Adjustment
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 20
Page 1 of 5

Line #	<u>Description</u>	<u>REFERENCE</u>		Total <u>Company</u>	<u>NC RETAIL</u>		<u>SC RETAIL</u>	
		<u>MWH</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>MWH</u>	<u>% To Total</u>	<u>MWH</u>	<u>% To Total</u>	<u>MWH</u>
<u>Residential</u>								
1	Total Residential			1,274,546	76.57	975,920	23.43	298,626
<u>General Service</u>								
2	Total General Service			90,927	79.77	72,533	20.23	18,395
<u>Industrial</u>								
3	Textile			(10,161)	71.29	(7,243)	28.71	(2,917)
4	Other			(26,777)	55.83	(14,950)	44.17	(11,827)
5	Total Industrial			(36,937)	58.72	(22,193)	41.28	(14,744)
6	Total Retail	L1:L2 + L5		1,328,536		1,026,260		302,277
7	Wholesale			127,409				
8	Total Company	L6 + L7		<u>1,455,945</u>		<u>1,026,260</u>		<u>302,277</u>

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Weather Normalization Adjustment
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 20
Page 2 of 5

2012	TOTAL MWH ADJUSTMENT
JAN	228,976
FEB	299,365
MAR	263,635
APR	297,306
MAY	(49,081)
JUN	65,830
JUL	(160,338)
AUG	(22,169)
SEP	186,835
OCT	101,001
NOV	(63,599)
DEC	126,785
ANN. SUM	1,274,546

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Weather Normalization Adjustment- General
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 20
Page 3 of 5

2012	Non-TOD Non-Electric Heat	Non-TOD Electric Heat	TOD Non-Electric Hat	TOD Electric Heat	TOTAL MWH ADJUSTMENT
JAN	14,370	3,802	(7,783)	2,631	13,020
FEB	18,782	4,981	(10,278)	3,607	17,092
MAR	14,573	2,862	(13,413)	2,909	6,931
APR	15,423	2,354	(17,622)	3,124	3,279
MAY	(7,478)	(4,562)	(9,543)	(1,303)	(22,886)
JUN	6,392	3,026	3,558	1,151	14,127
JUL	(19,581)	(10,737)	(18,778)	(3,454)	(52,550)
AUG	(2,699)	(1,496)	(2,575)	(478)	(7,247)
SEP	22,897	12,648	22,001	4,109	61,655
OCT	13,962	8,171	15,710	2,421	40,265
NOV	(1,130)	1,326	9,193	(318)	9,071
DEC	8,064	2,249	(3,677)	1,536	8,171
ANN. SUM	83,575	24,625	(33,209)	15,936	90,927

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Weather Normalization Adjustment- Industrial
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 20
Page 4 of 5

2012	TEXTILES	OTHER INDUSTRIAL	TOTAL MWH ADJUSTMENT
JAN	(1,568)	(4,183)	(5,751)
FEB	(3,132)	(8,360)	(11,492)
MAR	(5,402)	(14,755)	(20,157)
APR	(4,067)	(11,073)	(15,140)
MAY	(3,529)	(9,952)	(13,481)
JUN	4,542	12,862	17,403
JUL	(10,500)	(29,717)	(40,217)
AUG	3,315	9,381	12,696
SEP	5,750	16,285	22,035
OCT	5,126	14,385	19,511
NOV	2,483	6,834	9,317
DEC	(3,179)	(8,484)	(11,664)
ANN. SUM	(10,161)	(26,777)	(36,937)

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense
Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Weather Normalization Adjustment- Wholesale
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 20
Page 5 of 5

2012	TOTAL MWH ADJUSTMENT
JAN	31,280
FEB	23,892
MAR	43,059
APR	12,244
MAY	(6,383)
JUN	2,534
JUL	(16,366)
AUG	9,029
SEP	16,022
OCT	2,590
NOV	(19,217)
DEC	28,725
ANN. SUM	127,409

Note: The Resale customers include:

- 1 Concord
- 2 Dallas
- 3 Forest City
- 4 Kings Mountain
- 5 Due West
- 6 Prosperity
- 7 Lockhart
- 8 Western Carolina University
- 9 City of Highlands
- 10 Haywood
- 11 Piedmont
- 12 Rutherford
- 13 Blue Ridge
- 14 Greenwood

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Customer Growth Adjustment to KWH Sales
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012

Smith Workpaper 21
Page 1

<u>Rate Schedule</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>NC Proposed KWH Adjustment</u>	<u>SC Proposed KWH Adjustment</u>	<u>Wholesale Proposed KWH Adjustment</u>
NC Residential	ND-310/1	46,063,236	15,983,294	
NC General:				
General Service Small and Large	ND-330	(78,013,556)	(13,358,995)	
T2 Flood Lighting/Outdoor Lighting	ND-310/2	(1,406,241)	1,740,247	
Miscellaneous	ND-310/3	318,397	243,176	
Total General		(79,101,400)	(11,375,572)	
NC Public Street Lighting:				
T	ND-310/4	3,070,775	161,167	
TS	ND-310/5	(122,998)	59,808	
Total Street Lighting		2,947,777	220,975	
NC Industrial:				
I - Textile	ND-330	(946,436)	(1,007,571)	
I - Nontextile	ND-330	(16,519,327)	(1,668,123)	
Total Industrial		(17,465,764)	(2,875,694)	
Total		(47,556,150)	2,153,003	14,471,452

¹ Using the regression method (Residential, Lighting, Misc classes) and a customer by customer method for General Service and Industrial

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to KWH Sales - Wholesale
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012

Smith Workpaper 21

Page 2

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Reference</u>	
1 Total System Resale (kWh Sales)	RAC001	6,130,366,441
2 Less Intersystem Sales	Schedule 1	<u>1,141,573</u>
3 KWH Sales Excluding Intersystem Sales Total	L1 - L2	6,129,224,868
4 Residential Growth Factor	Line 8	<u>0.2361</u>
5 Adjustment to KWH's - Wholesale	L3 * L4 / 100	<u>14,471,452</u>
6 Total System Retail Residential kWh Sales	RAC001	26,279,126,866
7 2012 Proposed Adjustment KWH - Residential (NC+SC)	ND310	62,046,530
8 Percent Adjustment	L7 / L6 * 100	0.2361

"RAC001": Carolinas Operating Revenue Report

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Customer Growth Adjustment to KWH Sales
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012
Customer by Customer Approach

Smith Workpaper 21
Page 3

	<u>Rate Schedule</u>	<u>Test Yr</u>		<u>(a) Unrealized Sales from New Accounts (kWh)</u>	<u>No. of Bills Closed Accounts</u>	<u>(b) Lost Sales from Closed Accounts</u>	<u>Net Adjustment to Growth (a minus b)</u>
		<u>No. of Bills New Accounts</u>	<u>Consumption New Accounts</u>				
NC	GENL NTEX	32,375	84,207,556	68,652,550	77,333	146,668,106	(78,013,556)
NC	INDL NTEX	163	8,947,590	8,847,597	830	25,368,924	(18,519,327)
NC	INDL TEX	21	393,154	188,410	68	1,134,846	(946,436)
NC	Total	32,559	73,548,300	77,688,557	78,231	173,167,876	(95,479,319)
SC	<u>Rate Schedule</u>	<u>No. of Bills New Accounts</u>	<u>Test Yr Consumption New Accounts</u>	<u>(a) Unrealized Sales from New Accounts (kWh)</u>	<u>No. of Bills Closed Accounts</u>	<u>(b) Lost Sales from Closed Accounts</u>	<u>Net Adjustment to Growth (a minus b)</u>
SC	GENL NTEX	9,531	19,863,363	20,275,925	21,277	33,634,920	(13,358,995)
SC	INDL NTEX	66	4,486,152	3,323,226	245	4,991,349	(1,668,123)
SC	INDL TEX				19	1,007,571	(1,007,571)
SC	Total	9,597	24,349,515	23,599,151	21,541	39,633,840	(16,034,689)

(a): Estimated from individual accounts and bills

(b): Calculated from individual accounts and bills

The method uses the estimated lost sales from closed accounts, offset by the unrealized sales from newly established accounts with less than the full complement of bills (normally 12) issued during the year. The method was first approved for use in Docket E-7 Sub 909; see Bailey Direct Testimony pages 5,6 for a more detailed explanation and rationale

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to kWh
Twelve Months Ended Dec 31 2012
North Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21
Page 4

Month	Number of Customers			KWH Consumption ²	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) in KWH
	Actual # of Customers ¹	Projected ³	Increase (Decrease)			
January	1,592,490	1,600,367	7,877	2,052,553,641	1,289	10,153,453
February	1,592,911	1,600,367	7,456	1,785,443,480	1,121	8,358,176
March	1,594,367	1,600,367	6,000	1,576,360,855	989	5,934,000
April	1,594,956	1,600,367	5,411	1,252,704,582	785	4,247,635
May	1,595,500	1,600,367	4,867	1,320,093,240	827	4,025,009
June	1,598,272	1,600,367	4,095	1,638,140,483	1,026	4,201,470
July	1,597,773	1,600,367	2,594	2,158,210,131	1,351	3,504,494
August	1,598,508	1,600,367	1,859	2,137,559,484	1,337	2,485,483
September	1,598,686	1,600,367	1,681	1,773,807,628	1,110	1,865,910
October	1,598,501	1,600,367	1,866	1,271,002,314	795	1,483,470
November	1,600,025	1,600,367	342	1,426,842,682	893	305,406
December	1,600,832	1,600,367	(465)	1,725,953,659	1,078	(501,270)
Total	19,160,821		43,583	20,121,712,389		46,063,236

¹ Carolinas ORR Jan-Dec 2012

² Carolinas ORR Jan-Dec 2012
³ Using Polynomial Cubic 24 Month Regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to kWh
Twelve Months Ended Dec 31 2012
North Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21

Page 5

GENERAL T2 (Outdoor Lighting)
Schedules 25,34,35,36,26,37,38,39,94,95,96
(includes NPL yard and flood lighting)

Month	Number of Customers		KWH Consumption ¹	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) in kWh
	Actual # of Customers ²	Projected ³			
January	277,900	275,362	(2,538)	41,309,482	149
February	275,512	275,362	(150)	40,861,812	148
March	277,314	275,362	(1,952)	40,992,971	148
April	275,085	275,362	277	40,783,584	148
May	276,142	275,362	(780)	40,967,603	148
June	273,080	275,362	2,282	40,557,043	149
July	278,789	275,362	(3,407)	41,419,562	149
August	273,210	275,362	2,152	40,638,593	149
September	279,011	275,362	(3,649)	41,123,568	147
October	278,480	275,362	(3,118)	41,095,891	148
November	275,623	275,362	(261)	40,889,325	148
December	273,745	275,362	1,617	40,705,161	149
Total	<u><u>3,313,871</u></u>		<u><u>(9,527)</u></u>	<u><u>491,314,393</u></u>	<u><u>(1,406,241)</u></u>

¹ Per Book by Rate Schedule Page 8 attached

² Using polynomial quartic 48 month regression

³ Note: NPL unmetered signs included with Public Lighting

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to kWh
Twelve Months Ended December 31 2012
North Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21

Page 6

GENERAL-MISC.
Schedules 49 (BC)

Month	Number of Customers		KWH Consumption ¹	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) in KWh
	Actual # of Customers ¹	Projected ²			
January	4,260	4,686	426	948,459	223
February	4,172	4,686	514	973,584	233
March	4,388	4,686	298	797,557	182
April	4,453	4,686	233	616,592	138
May	4,560	4,686	126	571,850	125
June	4,596	4,686	90	662,903	144
July	4,643	4,686	43	751,216	182
August	4,646	4,686	40	727,609	157
September	4,744	4,686	(59)	651,894	137
October	4,811	4,686	(125)	523,308	109
November	4,701	4,686	(15)	778,946	166
December	4,690	4,686	(4)	758,274	162
Total	54,864		1,568	8,763,192	318,397

¹ Per Book by Rate Schedule Page 4 attached
² Using polynomial cubic 12 month regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to kWh
Twelve Months Ended December 31 2012
North Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21

Page 7

GENERAL T (Public and Govt Lighting)
Schedule 72,73,74,75

Month	Number of Customers		KWH Consumption ¹	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) In KWh
	Actual # of Customers ¹	Projected ² (Decrease)			
January	5,279	5,376	97	19,826,814	3,718
February	5,244	5,376	132	19,651,634	3,747
March	5,240	5,376	136	19,637,249	3,748
April	5,209	5,376	167	19,624,398	3,767
May	5,359	5,376	17	19,705,973	3,677
June	5,249	5,376	127	19,701,720	3,753
July	5,435	5,376	(59)	19,735,428	3,631
August	5,268	5,376	108	19,716,875	3,743
September	5,371	5,376	5	19,744,417	3,676
October	5,371	5,376	5	19,798,935	3,680
November	5,333	5,376	43	19,768,573	3,707
December	5,335	5,376	41	19,695,807	3,692
Total	<u>63,693</u>		<u>819</u>	<u>236,315,724</u>	<u>3,070,775</u>

¹ Per Book by Rate Schedule Page 1. New Schedule GL (73,74,75) included beginning 2010
² Using polynomial cubic 48 month regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to kWh
Twelve Months Ended December 31 2012
North Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21
Page 8

GENERAL TS
Schedule 83

Month	Actual # of Customers ¹	Number of Customers Projected ²	Increase (Decrease)	KWH Consumption ¹	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) in KWh
January	5,734	5,618	(116)	1,062,360	184	(21,712)
February	5,709	5,616	(93)	944,416	165	(15,345)
March	5,723	5,616	(107)	955,003	167	(17,869)
April	5,708	5,616	(90)	961,785	169	(15,210)
May	5,730	5,616	(114)	901,657	157	(17,888)
June	5,640	5,616	(24)	981,118	170	(4,080)
July	5,713	5,616	(97)	939,207	164	(15,908)
August	5,640	5,616	(24)	840,936	167	(4,008)
September	5,622	5,616	(6)	854,809	170	(1,023)
October	5,704	5,616	(88)	925,723	162	(14,256)
November	5,630	5,616	(14)	954,425	170	(2,380)
December	5,578	5,616	38	979,742	176	6,688
Total	68,129		(737)	11,471,181		(122,998)

¹ Per Book by Rate Schedule Page 2
² Using linear 12 month regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to KWHs
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012
South Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21
Page 9
RESIDENTIAL

Month	Number of Customers		KWH Consumption ¹	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) in KWh
	Actual # of Customers ²	Projected ³			
January	454,717	457,241	2,524	620,513,075	1,365
February	455,053	457,241	2,188	596,458,051	1,179
March	455,546	457,241	1,695	459,027,591	1,008
April	455,401	457,241	1,840	385,822,606	847
May	455,894	457,241	1,347	411,585,345	903
June	455,935	457,241	1,306	522,258,634	1,145
July	456,614	457,241	627	683,840,454	1,498
August	456,332	457,241	909	667,940,089	1,464
September	456,282	457,241	959	550,757,420	1,207
October	456,339	457,241	902	387,130,369	848
November	457,165	457,241	78	419,182,832	917
December	457,493	457,241	(252)	512,789,401	1,121
Total	<u>5,412,771</u>		<u>14,121</u>	<u>6,157,414,477</u>	<u>15,983,294</u>

¹ Carolinas Operating Revenue Report Summary

² Carolinas Operating Revenue Report Summary

³ Using Polynomial Quartic 36 Month Regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

**Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to KWHs
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012
South Carolina Retail**

Smith Workpaper 21

Page 10

GENERAL T2 (Outdoor Lighting)

Schedules 25,32,34,35,36,26,37,38,39,95,98

(Includes Greenwood SL)

Month	Number of Customers		KWH Consumption ¹	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) In KWH	KWH for T2	KWH for SL ²
	Actual # of Customers ¹	Projected ² * (Decrease)					
January	116,812	118,125	1,313	15,109,623	129	169,377	15,080,081
February	116,938	118,125	1,187	15,569,705	133	157,871	15,540,361
March	116,889	118,125	1,226	15,483,642	132	161,832	15,454,220
April	116,988	118,125	1,127	15,524,512	133	149,891	15,495,091
May	116,415	118,125	1,710	15,445,763	133	227,430	15,416,161
June	117,329	118,125	796	15,592,593	133	105,868	15,563,095
July	116,935	118,125	1,190	15,585,239	133	158,270	15,555,939
August	116,924	118,125	1,201	15,520,010	133	159,733	15,490,550
September	116,924	118,125	1,201	15,383,152	131	157,331	15,333,808
October	116,777	118,125	1,348	15,455,773	132	177,936	15,426,465
November	117,178	118,125	947	15,524,575	132	125,004	15,495,412
December	118,203	118,125	(78)	15,575,221	132	(10,286)	15,546,131
Total	<u>1,404,332</u>	<u>13,168</u>	<u>185,749,808</u>		<u>1,740,247</u>	<u>185,397,314</u>	<u>352,494</u>

¹ Per Book by Rate Schedule Pages 14 (Misc T2-Greenwood) and Page 16 attached

² Using Polynomial Quartic 12 month regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to KWhs
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012
South Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21
Page 11

GENERAL-MISC.
Schedules 33, 49 (BC and EH)

Month	Number of Customers		KWH Consumption ¹	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) in KWh
	Actual # of Customers ²	Projected ²			
January	1,274	1,603	329	296,634	233
February	1,299	1,603	304	231,714	178
March	1,354	1,603	249	287,103	212
April	1,379	1,603	224	49,503	36
May	1,446	1,603	157	141,602	98
June	1,478	1,603	125	161,419	109
July	1,533	1,603	70	222,592	145
August	1,554	1,603	49	230,274	148
September	1,583	1,603	20	198,121	125
October	1,584	1,603	19	184,311	104
November	1,596	1,603	7	187,125	117
December	1,604	1,603	(1)	246,086	153
Total	17,584		1,552	2416,484	243,176

¹ Per Book by Rate Schedule Page 12

² Using polynomial quartic 12 month regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to KWHs
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012
South Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21
Page 12

GENERAL T
Schedule 72,73,74,75
(Government, Public Lighting)

Month	Number of Customers		KWH Consumption ¹	Average Per Customer	Increase (Decrease) in KWh
	Actual # of Customers ¹	Projected ²			
January	1,902	1,934	32	3,192,396	53,696
February	1,899	1,934	35	3,302,937	60,865
March	1,913	1,934	21	3,300,055	36,225
April	1,916	1,934	18	3,304,542	31,050
May	1,913	1,934	21	3,307,589	36,309
June	1,928	1,934	(4)	3,316,560	(6,844)
July	1,928	1,934	6	3,337,956	10,386
August	1,937	1,934	(3)	3,334,991	(5,166)
September	1,958	1,934	(34)	3,307,362	(57,154)
October	1,923	1,934	11	3,288,857	16,810
November	1,929	1,934	5	3,328,017	8,625
December	1,949	1,934	(15)	3,331,323	(25,635)
Total	23,115		93	39,632,985	161,167

¹ Per Book by Rate Schedule Page 9

² Using polynomial Quartic 24 month regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment to KWHs
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012
South Carolina Retail

Smith Workpaper 21
Page 13

GENERAL TS
Schedule 83

Month	Actual # of Customers ¹	Projected [*]	Increase (Decrease)	KWH Consumption ¹	Average Par Customer	Increase (Decrease) In KWH
January	1,419	1,467	48	208,290	147	7,056
February	1,423	1,467	44	183,105	129	5,676
March	1,424	1,467	43	187,414	132	5,676
April	1,421	1,467	46	183,663	136	6,256
May	1,417	1,467	50	183,141	129	6,450
June	1,423	1,467	44	197,006	138	6,072
July	1,427	1,467	40	195,467	137	5,480
August	1,441	1,467	26	194,881	135	3,510
September	1,430	1,467	37	195,669	138	5,106
October	1,428	1,467	39	190,810	134	5,226
November	1,439	1,467	28	198,563	138	3,894
December	1,471	1,467	(4)	207,613	141	(564)
Total			441	2,336,743		56,808
			17,163			

1 Per Book by Rate Schedule Page 10

2 Using Polynomial Quartic 12 month regression

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
North Carolina Annual Fuel and Fuel Related Expense

Test Period Ended December 31, 2012
Docket E-7, Sub 1033

Smith Workpaper 22

Year 2012	AC-Energy	AC-Capacity	North Carolina		NC Retail MWh Sales	Total sales from fuel report less interrupt system	% of NC in total sales from fuel report	Plant allocator NC	Plant allocator Resid	General	Industrial
			AC-Energy	AC-Capacity							
January	\$ (236,971)	\$ (38,781)	(161,383)	(26,411)	4,696,133	6,895,591	68.10%	0.00%	46.04%	37.53%	16.43%
February	\$ (168,371)	\$ (27,734)	(115,822)	(19,022)	4,471,304	6,519,271	68.59%	0.00%	46.04%	37.53%	16.43%
March	\$ (218,561)	\$ (35,918)	(150,554)	(24,731)	4,225,513	6,137,030	68.85%	0.00%	46.04%	37.53%	16.43%
April	\$ (220,235)	\$ (38,158)	(149,473)	(25,898)	4,010,671	5,909,384	67.87%	0.00%	46.04%	37.53%	16.43%
May(1)	\$ (198,242)	\$ (32,588)	(134,038)	(22,101)	4,082,258	6,037,618	67.61%	0.00%	46.04%	37.53%	16.43%
June	\$ (196,371)	\$ (32,383)	(133,287)	(21,980)	4,696,516	6,919,337	67.88%	0.00%	46.04%	37.53%	16.43%
July	\$ (150,373)	\$ (24,834)	(102,813)	(16,980)	5,356,807	7,834,783	68.37%	0.00%	46.04%	37.53%	16.43%
August	\$ (144,778)	\$ (21,982)	(100,076)	(15,195)	5,440,542	7,870,768	69.12%	0.00%	46.04%	37.53%	16.43%
September	\$ (173,415)	\$ (28,550)	(119,583)	(21,784)	4,959,528	7,192,113	68.96%	76.30%	43.28%	38.06%	18.66%
October	\$ (227,572)	\$ (37,482)	(155,052)	(28,599)	4,052,001	5,947,190	68.13%	76.30%	43.28%	38.06%	18.66%
November	\$ (179,071)	\$ (29,504)	(121,143)	(22,511)	4,169,014	6,162,548	67.65%	76.30%	43.28%	38.06%	18.66%
December	\$ (118,150)	\$ (19,565)	(80,608)	(14,928)	4,395,620	6,442,815	68.23%	76.30%	43.28%	38.06%	18.66%
Total	\$ (2,232,710)	\$ (367,379)	(1,523,832)	(260,138)	54,555,907	79,868,568	68.31%				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Supplemental Testimony of Robert J. Duncan, II and Revised Smith Exhibits and Workpapers in Docket No. E-7 Sub 1033 has been served by electronic mail (e-mail), hand delivery, or by depositing a copy in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, properly addressed to the parties of record.

This, the 3rd day of June, 2013.



Brian L. Franklin
Associate General Counsel
Duke Energy Corporation
550 S. Tryon Street
DEC45A/P.O. Box 1321
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201
Tel: 980-373-4465
Fax: 980-373-8534
Brian.Franklin@duke-energy.com
North Carolina State Bar No. 35075

Robert W. Kaylor
Law Office of Robert W. Kaylor, P.A.
3700 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 330
Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
Tel: 919-828-5250
North Carolina State Bar No. 6237

ATTORNEYS FOR DUKE ENERGY
CAROLINAS, LLC