

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Laura Young  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 10:27 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Laura Young

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Laura Young

### Email

dewdancefarm@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am retired on a fixed income. I purchased my panels under the net metering rules and do not think changing the rules on me is right. I also think erasing any credit just after the time of year with peak production is reasonable. If bookkeeping requires the erasing of the credit, it should be done at the end of summer or winter.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Mark Coughlin  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 1:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Coughlin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mark Coughlin

### Email

mcough22@morrisbb.net

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy to change the solar agreement. It is not fair or just to make changes that puts more money into Duke's pocket, and negatively impact the people who are trying to better the planet and who have already invested into a program with agreements in place.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sarah Meyer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 10:03 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sarah Meyer

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sarah Meyer

### Email

sarahe.meyer@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. It's really hurtful that we switched to solar in our home to help with climate goals and now we feel like we're going to be punished for it. We love the situation we have now and feel that it's fair to get paid what Duke charges, and messing with this set up could completely redirect our progress. From a greedy stand point, there is so much money to be made from renewable resources. From a humanitarian stand point, if we don't switch to renewable resources and give others' the incentive to do so, our kids won't stand a chance in their environment. Don't discourage people from going solar. Don't discourage renewable resources.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** James Schall  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 10:09 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James Schall

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James Schall

### Email

tchapi@icloud.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello, Please do not allow Duke to reduce the amount paid for energy produced by rooftop solar. Please complete conduct a full cost/benefit study of rooftop solar before any changes are made. Please support strong reimbursement for rooftop solar production since that will benefit more and more people in North Carolina and continue to support solar jobs. Thanks for your time. Sincerely, James

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Dan  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 7:15 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dan

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dan

### Email

DanSearles@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reject Dukes proposal do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Adam Tosh  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 7:33 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Adam Tosh

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Adam Tosh

### Email

adamjtosh@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I advocate that NCUC reject Duke Energy's Net Metering Proposal for the following reasons: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** JohnThomasson  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 8:03 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by JohnThomasson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

JohnThomasson

### Email

thomajo@prodigy.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

North Carolina has a chance to lead the nation in the deployment of renewable energy, which will protect us from highly volatile fuel costs and also help protect our warming world. Please study the true costs, both direct and indirect, before allowing significant decreases in Duke net metering reimbursement rates. More importantly, several municipal electric companies essentially ban rooftop solar by requiring absurd buy-all/sell-all arrangements, which reimburse only about 1/4 to 1/3 the value of personal electricity produced. This is basically robbery. These are vitally important regulatory decisions. Thank you for working to make sure they are the right ones.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Shani Whilby  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 9:26 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Shani Whilby

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Shani Whilby

### Email

shaniwhilby@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Our family, like many others, worked very hard to be able to finally install solar panels on our home less than 2 years ago. We made this sacrifice as a financial investment, and a way to contribute to greater use of green and sustainable energy. It took and continues to require sacrifice. It is absurd to think that our government would allow Duke Energy to literally steal the energy we generate so that they can increase their profits while families like us struggle to stay on track financially. Those of us who are helping to solve energy problems should not be punished or charged to do so. Our government should be protecting us, not corporations. PLEASE, consider that we are all real people trying to do what's right, and do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making metering changes!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Whitney  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 9:45 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Whitney

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Whitney

### Email

david@atlantecengineers.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please oppose this measure and help protect consumer solar and net metering. I support NC House Bill 589. I believe the true costs of roof top solar should be fairly evaluated.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Joshua Nagelberg  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 10:02 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Joshua Nagelberg

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Joshua Nagelberg

### Email

josh@nagelberg.me

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

PLEASE reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers like me. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees, time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents). Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers like me should be allowed to stay on our current net metering plan for the life of our system. If this is not stopped, my large network of North Carolinians will be happy to move to another state.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Larry Kirby  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 10:28 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Larry Kirby

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Larry Kirby

### Email

[larryw Kirby@aol.com](mailto:larryw Kirby@aol.com)

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I made the investment to buy solar panels and use them on the grid. I did not receive any monies from Duke energy for this project. Duke is a very large corporation. I feel as though I am helping them reduce their cost by supplying energy back to the grid which in turn reduces their demand on their resources. Then they will have to build fewer power plants. Also Duke continues to build their own solar plants and reap the rewards of the sun. I should be able to continue reap the benefits of the sun. I do understand that Duke energy has invested in infrastructure for the power grid and should be able to remain profitable but at the same time as an investor in Solar energy my rewards should not be infringed upon by a company just trying to make more profit. My power input into the grid should remain at the same rate reward as I am charged from the company.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Nancy Zuk  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 10:31 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Nancy Zuk

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Nancy Zuk

### Email

nancyszuk@gmail.com

### Docket

E100 Sub 180

### Message

Do not let Duke power get away with reducing payments to private residential solar investors. Reducing payments to 3 cents (wholesale rate) and reselling to other customers (at 9 or 10 cents) let's Duke profit on our substantial private solar investment!!! This is poor policy - we need to prioritize clean energy and get homeowners excited about helping the environment- not increasing profit for corporations.... Duke shouldn't have that kind of power - to reduce or discourage private solar investment...

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Lauren Coyle  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 12:54 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lauren Coyle

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lauren Coyle

### Email

lauren.j.coyle@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To Whom It May Concern, I encourage you to reject the proposal that Duke Energy has laid out in this docket. We need to be encouraging as many people as possible to adopt Solar Power for the sake of our children and this earth. I have solar panels on my home and love having them. It is a wonderful thing that we are less reliant on fossil fuels. Please say NO to Duke Energy. Sincerely, Lauren Coyle

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Timothy Lecrone  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 1:07 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Timothy Lecrone

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Timothy Lecrone

### Email

timothy.d.lecrone@gamil.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Over the past few years duke has found way s to squeeze every dollar out of there customers, from installing meters they increase homeowners costs and poisoning our state then making the state clean up after them. Duke already takes any excess energy every year. Please do not let them steal the energy I make with my solar energy system and sell it back to me and my neighbors and an increase price. Duke already has a monopoly on energy that is protected by the government. How much more do they want to take from the homeowners that are forced to buy from them?

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Alice Elizabeth Stokes  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 1:50 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Alice Elizabeth Stokes

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Alice Elizabeth Stokes

### Email

stokesw47@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I have just gotten my rooftop Solar several months ago. My property is all sun and no trees. My first bill was in the \$160. range, 2nd bill \$117. 3rd bill \$61. 4th bill \$30. 5th bill \$87. this bill was \$132. On this bill I only got \$3. for what I gave back. This is unbelievable how it has went down and then it goes up again. I spent \$16,000.00 for solar and I'm not seeing much of a profit for what it has cost me for the solar. Please do something to help the people that are trying to help go Green especially the older people that live on a limited budget. People that aren't all Electric get better electric prices then we do.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Shaughan G.  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 2:16 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Shaughan G.

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Shaughan G.

### Email

shagladd@pm.me

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Net metering is a vital way to encourage more adoption of rooftop solar, let alone any business that would wish to install solar as well. We need extreme change in this extreme time. We cannot allow the monopoly control that Duke has to bully the government into capitulating. It needs to be the other way around, and if Duke isn't happy about it then they need to adapt to the times like we all have had to.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Funsten  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 3:47 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Funsten

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Funsten

### Email

david.funsten@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I oppose the changes Duke Energy is attempting to get put in place relating to Net Metering charges. I put a solar array on my house last year and signed a net metering agreement with Duke. You should not allow them to get the changes they submit to be put in place unless it is to customer's advantage. It is your job to protect me, and others who may consider solar energy systems on their homes. I think it is ironic that Duke wants to throw roadblocks in the path a solar systems. If the "old guys" running Duke were wiser, they would move to become the main source for distributed micro-grid systems, and contract with customers for solar installations on their homes. They are in the best position to do so, but don't understand the way things will go in the future due to the need to reduce and eventually cease burning fossil fuels for power generation. Instead; If they took the opportunity to install distributed energy generation systems with micro-grids tied together It would give the company a larger market share in the long run, and slow the use of fossil fuels. It is an excellent profit opportunity they are in good position for, and it would help speed our transition into a survivable future. But the "old guys" seem to think the large centralized power generation and massive power distribution systems are going to remain the future of electric power, and they continue to spend in that direction. The effective reduction in benefits to consumers of solar systems by Duke Energy results from out-of-date "dinosaur" thinking by Duke Energy. You, as the NCUC are responsible to the consumers, and as such must protect our interests, now and into the future.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sean Gill  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 3:57 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sean Gill

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sean Gill

### Email

sfgill@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

We installed our Solar panels back in April of 2017 and have been fighting with Duke over our electric bills ever since (this is a separate long, long story by itself, but it's through those interactions that I concluded that Duke does not support Solar). We are vehemently opposed to this proposed change by Duke that is nothing more than their continued resistance to home-based solar panels. Duke already currently blows away any built up credits every year on May 31st, at the most inopportune time possible for residential users. This already greatly dissuades most homeowners from installing even more solar panels. Enacting this additional onerous meter rate plan will effectively kill solar panels from being installed for residential use across the entire state. The exact opposite of what North Carolinians should be doing. Thanks for your consideration in this manner.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Rachel Gill  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 4:19 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Rachel Gill

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Rachel Gill

### Email

rachelgill34@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Re: Docket E-100 Sub 180 Dear Governor Cooper When we moved to NC we almost immediately installed Solar panels back in April of 201. We have constantly been fighting with Duke over our electric bills ever since (this is a separate long, long story by itself, but it's through those interactions that I concluded that Duke does not support Solar). I am strongly opposed to this proposed change by Duke (Docket E-100 Sub 180CS) as it is nothing more than their continued resistance to home-based solar panels. Duke already currently blows away any built up credits every year on May 31st, at the most inopportune time possible for residential users. This caused us to install fewer panels than we would have otherwise because there's no point in producing energy we are not allowed to even use. Allowing Duke to enact this meter rate plan will effectively kill solar panels from being installed for residential use across the entire state. The exact opposite of what North Carolinians should be doing. We should be encouraging green energy, not making it as hard as possible to be green. If NCUC conducts a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar, as they should, they will see how WRONG this new rate plan would be. Please stand up for solar users and deny Docket E-100 Sub 180. Best, and thank you for your time and consideration, Rachel Gill

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Ginger Nelles  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 4:48 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ginger Nelles

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ginger Nelles

### Email

showbraidingmom@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy's net metering proposal last November met a lot of opposition, including from 17 rooftop solar companies who asked Governor Cooper to oppose it. This plan would significantly reduce the value of solar at a time when our state, country, and planet need to be placing more value than ever on solar. I am very happy with the current net metering plan and do not want it to be changed. I ask that you reject this proposal by Duke.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Janet Lyon  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 5:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Janet Lyon

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Janet Lyon

### Email

janetlyon33@yahoo.com

### Docket

Dcket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject the proposal from Duke Energy to change the net metering rules for residential customers who have solar. Not only will this affect existing customers with their investment in solar, but it will reduce the number of people who would invest in solar in the future. Our planet will be affected adversely and think of the jobs in the production of solar energy that will be lost! The NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar as required by NC House Bill 589. Studies show that the production of solar energy is cheaper than gas burning power plants and an investigation would prove that. Duke Energy just wants to reduce solar production so they can earn billions by building lots of new gas plants AND they want existing and future solar customers to help pay for those gas plants. In addition, Duke Energy wants to pay less for the excess my solar system produces! Unbelievable! In other words, they want to steal the electricity from a system that I paid for. At the end of May each year, Duke Energy already zeroes out the excess solar production accumulated that my system generates during the year— without reimbursement to me! Those of us who have invested in solar should be allowed to stay on the current net metering plan for as long as our system is operating.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Wayne M King  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 5:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Wayne M King

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Wayne M King

### Email

waynemking@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please complete a true and complete investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Thomas Bessette  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 6:05 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Bessette

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Thomas Bessette

### Email

retiredbullet@me.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We are an elderly couple (70+ yrs) and invested in solar roof panels approximately 4 years ago. I have been informed that Duke energy is before or soon to be before your commission to seek changes to the current agreement for buying surplus energy from our solar array. From what I can determine this if passed will reduce the money agreed to at inception and substantial loss of accumulated credits that are not redeemed at the end of cycle (June). We are on a fixed income and quite frankly cannot afford to subsidize Duke Energy. I am asking you to deny their request to chance the current policy. Respectfully, Thom Bessette

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Randy Toney  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 6:08 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Randy Toney

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Randy Toney

### Email

randytoney1973@att.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

In 2019 me and my wife put solar panels on iur house. 50k is what we spent. The solar panels reduces our bill in summer months but reduces very little on none in winter months. Even in summer months it doesn't eliminate the power bill. Then I get an email about Duke Energy wanting to reduce the solar credit. This should not be allowed.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Roger K.Chapin  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 6:11 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Roger K. Chapin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Roger K. Chapin

### Email

RKChapin@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to request that you conduct a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I purchased my solar system with a nearly \$30k investment. After my Federal tax rebate, I anticipate an eleven year break even point. Thanks to Duke Energy's way of doing business, I did not get anything from them! Crooks!! NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. I respectfully demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. This is not fare to the ratepayers that all Duke has to do is cry poor mouth. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals and it is unfair to those of us that have already made investment in Clean Energy. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. Duke's proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. It is time that NCUC stood up to Duke Energy, instead of capitulating to them. You are there to ensure fairness, and there is nothing fair about Duke Energy's proposal.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Alex Borst  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 6:26 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Alex Borst

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Alex Borst

### Email

alexanderborst94@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello, I am a homeowner in Durham who recently installed solar panels on my roof. I've greatly appreciated the net metering structure in North Carolina that has ensured that any excess energy that I produce is rolled over at a 1 to 1 rate. I made the decision to get solar panels based on the assumption that I would be able to cover the winter months with my summer month production. Under the proposed new new metering guidelines, this system would drastically shift, favoring the utility company over homeowners. So many people have moved to North Carolina because of the climate and the culture but also because of policies like Duke has had which incentivize green investment in our homes. I worry that the end of Duke's lottery program, the changing of how net metering works and the decrease in the federal tax credit will all bode negatively for the solar industry in NC and for homeowners. More solar production is better for us all - I think that I would prefer paying more of a connection fee on a monthly basis which I could plan for makes much more sense than moving away from 1 to 1 net metering. I urge the NCUC and Governor Cooper to maintain the current status quo as it pertains to net metering rather than stifling a burgeoning industry and putting new burdens on homeowners at a time when inflation and day to day costs run rampant. Thank you for your time.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Napoli  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 6:57 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Napoli

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Napoli

### Email

dcnapoli@icloud.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject Duke's proposal to change the rules on net-metering. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bruce Turner  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 8:27 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce Turner

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bruce Turner

### Email

seahawkdog06@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket-E-100. Sub 180

### Message

I am an existing solar customer and I oppose this proposal by Duke Energy. I have spent thousands on my system based on the existing requirements by Duke Energy! I have followed what they require they should do the same for all the solar customers that has invested in solar!!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Finlow  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 8:53 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Finlow

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Finlow

### Email

david.finlow@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We had to wait almost 6 weeks (April 1 to May 10) for Duke to switch the electric meter in order for our solar array to become operational. Clearly, Duke does not wish to have their customers installing solar. In Tennessee, where we had our first solar installation, TVA paid us more for our generated electricity than we paid to buy it from them. Duke does not pay a premium; we are paid at the same rate we would pay to buy it from Duke! So, for Duke to attempt to reduce the amount they pay to solar owners for their generated electricity is unconscionable. Kindly reject this egregious move by Duke.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sami Kirdar  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 3, 2022 10:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sami Kirdar

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sami Kirdar

### Email

samikirdar@me.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Ray Buynak Jr  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 12:09 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ray Buynak Jr

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ray Buynak Jr

### Email

rbuynak@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please complete a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Having fair net metering in place is crucial to making solar work for customers like myself.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Patricia L Wyche  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 7:02 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Patricia L Wyche

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Patricia L Wyche

### Email

tricia.wyche@outlook.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a senior citizen, I can attest that having clean energy and affordable utilities has had a hugely positive impact on our budget. The financial benefits of solar power have significantly offset our waning earned income. Due to soaring gas prices, ever more car owners are flocking to EV models. Charging electric cars may cripple the aging grid more than any of us can estimate. Few EV owners have roof-top solar to supply electricity to their vehicles. Without greater reliance on solar, power companies will be forced to build ever more power plants, taking up greater parcels of land and putting in lines where people will object to EMFs in their back yard. Rather than expanding the amount of real estate power companies need, there should be greater use of safe, clean energy to supply future capacity. At a time when climate change is causing environmental upheaval, people should be urged to make use of any and all renewable energy. It is beyond my comprehension how power companies in other states have managed detrimental (to consumers) net metering changes. It is our sincere hope that North Carolina is not one of them. Shouldn't the NC Utility Commission be urging Duke Energy et al to beef up utility scale solar development rather than discourage consumers from installing roof top solar systems? Planet trumps money.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sarah Morison  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 8:43 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sarah Morison

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sarah Morison

### Email

sarahmorison@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please conduct a full and fair review on the benefits and costs of residential and commercial-generated solar power before making changes to any payment or reimbursement system.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Duncan McPherson  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 10:09 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Duncan McPherson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Duncan McPherson

### Email

dhmcperson@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a recent owner of PV roof top panels on my house, the proposed changes create many problems for consumers. The payback time for the panels I bought a little over a year ago could now dramatically change. Consumers need to have some confidence on a reasonable return on investment. The net metering changes appear to be designed to make PV panels only possible to the very rich who have altruistic goals. Changing these net metering rules will make rooftop solar financially impossible for most of NC. I am also a small business owner with PV panels on our office building. Our landlord is considering new costs to us as tenants if his net metering terms change. Lastly, it seems we need a 3rd party study to determine what is the value of PV energy. Duke, as a regulated monopoly, does not have the citizens of NC in their interests. We are going in the wrong direction at a time where NC is getting battered by climate change.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Roger Criner  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 10:19 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Roger Criner

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Roger Criner

### Email

roger8697@duck.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please perform a thorough investigation of Duke Energy's policy concerning roof top power generation. With over 35,000 customers providing approximately 500Kw per month each to the grid, they are helping Duke Energy reduce its use of fossil fuels and yet Duke Energy penalizes roof top customers with a yearly carryover reset each June 1st. They are looking to further penalize us with higher rates and less carryover credits under the guise of making us "pay our fair share" of the grid usage. With energy costs soaring Duke Energy should be giving more incentives to roof top generators rather than more penalties.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Samuel Merr  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 10:24 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Samuel Merr

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Samuel Merr

### Email

invalidhour@mac.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers such as myself should be allowed to stay on our current net metering plan for the life of our system. It is imperative that a true investigation of solar costs and benefits should be made before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. The NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. -Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. -Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. -The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. -Complexity is anti-consumer. North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Stefanie Young  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 10:44 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Stefanie Young

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Stefanie Young

### Email

sassyong@mac.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The proposal should be rejected for the following reasons: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** James Ligon  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 10:56 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James Ligon

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James Ligon

### Email

ligonbiotech@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To the NC Utilities Commission: I understand that you are considering changing the net metering rules based on a request from Duke Energy. As an owner of solar panels I would like to register my opposition to these changes. On an annualized basis, I generate more energy than I consume and Duke Energy gives me an energy credit for this power. However, the only time of the year that I can use the credit is in the summer during AC season. Unfortunately, Duke Energy zeros out any credit accumulated over the year on May 31, just prior to the start of the AC season. So, in effect, Duke Energy is getting the excess electricity generated by my panels free of charge. Therefore, I certainly do not think it is warranted for the rules to be changed to further advantage Duke Energy at the expense of solar customers. Such an action would serve to discourage the installation of more solar panels by private consumers which is contrary to the efforts to reduce carbon emissions by the federal and state governments.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Greg Holcombe  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 11:24 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Greg Holcombe

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Greg Holcombe

### Email

greg@gregmail.org

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am not in favor of any increase in charges for installing or using residential solar power. In fact, I think that the \$16 / month connection charge I am paying is already too high. Solar should be supported as much as possible because it reduces the amount of electricity that needs to be carried over long-distance lines, and it is helping the state by reducing how many new plants we need to build to accommodate the increase in population. Let's take the connection fee down to \$0 and also eliminate the re-setting of solar credits that occurs every April/May!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Eben Miller  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 11:30 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Eben Miller

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Eben Miller

### Email

eben.t.miller@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Ned you to reject this based on the needs of the citizens of NC

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Eben Miller  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 11:31 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Eben Miller

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Eben Miller

### Email

eben.t.miller@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Need this proposal rejected until a full cost benefit study is performed on behalf of the citizens of NC

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Stephanie Embry  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 11:40 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Stephanie Embry

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Stephanie Embry

### Email

thetaworks@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear Commission, I was dismayed to learn recently that Duke Energy has submitted a plan to the Utilities Commission to change the net metering rules for residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount we are paid for the excess solar energy we generate and share with the grid. Many families, including mine, made an investment in solar. We believe in the importance of solar energy. If Duke Energy had to build solar farms to generate the energy that our homes generate, it would cost them far more than the amount they pay residential customers for our excess grid. And we have made the capital investment so they don't have to! Please deny this request from Duke Energy and keep the payments for excess solar energy the same. Thank you, Stephanie Embry Holly Springs, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** William M.Richard  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 12:42 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by William M. Richard

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

William M. Richard

### Email

wmrichard@bellsouth.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We just spent \$30,000 on our solar system with the understanding that the net metering, as is applied by Duke Power today, should give us ROI of around 15 years for our installation. We installed our system to offset future energy costs as we move into retirement on a fixed income. It has come to our attention that Duke is seeking to change the net metering rules which would adversely affect the intention of the system to reduce our electric bill and load on the grid. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the cost-benefit proposition of residential solar and its alleged unfairness to non-solar Duke customers. I strongly demand that the commission abide by the law and immediately initiate this investigation before granting Duke any changes: that adversely affects any metering change, creates higher fixed monthly fees, time-of-use billing, excess kWh monthly reimbursement at wholesale vs. the existing monthly roll-over retail rates for the excess electricity exported to the grid, and any other change that adversely affects, targets and penalizes the solar power customers who have, in good faith, pursued solar as a viable, clean and responsible renewable power source. I further demand that Duke Energy be more forthcoming and transparent about these proposed changes and should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar system investment after the fact and that existing solar customers be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Respectfully, William and Marilyn Richard

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Larry Grovenstein  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 12:53 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Larry Grovenstein

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Larry Grovenstein

### Email

[larry.grovenstein@gmail.com](mailto:larry.grovenstein@gmail.com)

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The NCUC should be encouraging the use of clean solar energy. Changing the rate structure to discourage use does not make any sense. Riders are added to offset the cost of renewable energy to Duke Energy, but homeowners are being discouraged with new rates. It appears to me Duke Energy sees homeowner as a competitor in energy generation and wants to eliminate clean homeowner generation.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Mark Rubenstein  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 1:41 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Rubenstein

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mark Rubenstein

### Email

mcrubenstein@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100sub180

### Message

It is unethical for Duke Energy to go backward on their commitment. Also, the state and Duke Energy should do everything in its power to help citizens lessen the footprint they leave on the planet. Solar Panels need to be encouraged, and subsidized. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** John Hartley  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 2:09 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John Hartley

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John Hartley

### Email

jnrhart76@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I have invested in solar panels because that was part of the contract!! I do not want that changed. Raze your rates and maybe more will buy solar, then we can decided what is fair....

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jay Willhite  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 2:11 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jay Willhite

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jay Willhite

### Email

jay.willhite@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The current proposal by Duke Energy has multiple issues, and is inherently anti-consumer. The complexities of the new proposal seem designed to make it more cumbersome for the consumer to determine the actual cost-benefit and discourage clean energy utilization. Given Duke Energy's claims that solar customers pay less than their fair share, NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar, as there has been no recent full cost-benefit study. Furthermore, reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Finally, Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of solar for the customers who have already invested in this system under these conditions. It would only be fair to allow customers to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of the system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Elijah Burris  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 2:12 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Elijah Burris

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Elijah Burris

### Email

eliistheman@live.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes; reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Their proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers, including me and my family. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Again, I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Dan W.Figgins  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 3:05 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dan W. Figgins, Jr.

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dan W. Figgins, Jr.

### Email

dwwiggins@gmail.com

### Docket

E 100, Sub180

### Message

Re: Docket No. E 100, Sub 180 I believe that the Duke Energy Plan as written has reversed what should be the correct order of priorities of its goals. I believe that the correct order of priorities should be: The first priority should be to produce and distribute energy by methods that maximize the creation of a habitable planet for the children and grand children of North Carolina. Methods of creating and distributing energy should avoid and minimize floods and droughts, sea level rise, cyclones and tornadoes, forest fires, farmland destruction, etc. The second priority should be regulations and incentives that produce maximum amounts of energy by solar panels and land and ocean wind turbines. The third and lowest priority (which appears to be first priority in the Duke Energy Plan) should be policies and programs which enrich Duke Energy stockholders and managers. All three of these priorities are undermined by building an infrastructure to produce and distribute electricity by hydraulic fracturing, pipeline distribution and burning in methane plants. Methane leakages at the wellhead, the pipelines, and the burning destroy environmental balances causing climate overheating disaster, earthquakes and water shortages. The Duke Energy Plan undermines the second priority by discouraging individuals and institutions from installing solar panels by three procedures: 1) complicated billing by time of day and night and overall quantity of energy use which makes calculation of savings (and therefore incentives) available by installing solar panels impossible to calculate; (My church has installed dozens of rooftop solar panels and received a rebate of \$7,400 under present more predictable calculation of savings) We might not have proceeded under the new complicated calculus.) A second discouragement to installation of solar panels is the structure of net metering which charges \$28 even if not one kilowatt of electricity is drawn. A third discouragement is the net metering structure which gives less credit for returning energy to the network than drawing energy from the network. The third priority is violated by the Duke Energy Plan proposal which is disingenuously labeled a "bridge" source of gas-powered electricity generation. Since generation plants have a lifetime of 40 to 60 years, they will be closed well before the far end of the "bridges" in 2030 and 2050 will have been reached. They are then "stranded assets" which customers will have their rates increased for costs which provide no service. Better spent would be -- and should be -- solar farms and off shore and on shore turbines, wind farms, and small molecular nuclear reactors, I am a member of the Pullen Memorial Baptist Church and co-founder of its Earth Care Group since 1999, and a member of the advisory boards of Interfaith Climate Care of the Triangle and the 36-state Interfaith Power and Light.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Rick Bocard  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 4:39 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Rick Bocard

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Rick Bocard

### Email

rboccy@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To Whom It May Concern, In regards to Docket E-100 Sub 180, the NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Lets not make it harder to accomplish the State's established climate goals. Sincerely, Richard Bocard 1409 Garner Rd. Raleigh, NC 27610

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Cindy jane Castevens  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 4:40 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Cindy jane Castevens

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Cindy jane Castevens

### Email

cjc648@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy's net metering proposal, which would greatly reduce the value of installing solar panels. We need to encourage alternative energy now more than ever, to help reduce emissions. Thanks, Cindy Castevens

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Stephen O'Quinn and Lisa O'Quinn  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 6:49 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Stephen O'Quinn and Lisa O'Quinn

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Stephen O'Quinn and Lisa O'Quinn

### Email

svoquinn@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We understand that Duke Energy is requesting to change the current approved net metering terms. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. We request that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. In our case, we pay a monthly net metering fee, plus the cost of any power used over what we produce (export to Duke Energy). While we get to carry over excess power to be used in a subsequent month during part of the year, Duke Energy takes (steals) all excess energy produced in June of each year. For example, Duke just took 3,561 kilowatts in June 2022. This represents all the excess we produced in the highest peak production months of the year (March - May). Duke purposefully times the stealing of the power at this time of the year, knowing the largest volume of excess power is produced during this time. Duke claims we don't pay our fair share for using the grid, but haven't stated the cost of maintaining the grid. We made the investment to put solar on our home to remove the burden of production through less green methods, but yet will be penalized for doing so. An additional potential change would stop allowing us to roll our excess power for use on a monthly basis. Duke Energy proposes instead to provide compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate which would mean we would get less than a third of the current value of our excess power. Again, this is not acceptable. Families in NC installed solar panels in good faith. Allowing changes in the current net metering plan, unless the change helps consumers (which none of the proposed changes will), should not be allowed without significant proof of necessity. Even then, the charges allowed by Duke Energy should be individualized as each customer's situation with solar panels will be different based upon the size of the system installed on their home. Please do the right thing on behalf of the citizens of North Carolina and reject Duke Energy's request without conducting the required full cost-benefit study. After doing that study, please lean to supporting the consumers vs Duke Energy and hold a conviction to consumer protection and fairness, despite the enormous lobbying effort (both time and significant dollars) from Duke Energy. The above does not even begin to address the significant impact these changes will have on installation of solar panels on home in NC in the future.... Installations will stop as the value proposition for families to install solar panels will no longer exist. Thank you for your dedicated and honorable service to the state of North Carolina.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sallyanne KROWICKI  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 7:46 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sallyanne KROWICKI

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sallyanne KROWICKI

### Email

sbonnerdmd@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Sirs: As an owner of rooftop solar, I urge you to reject the above proposal by Duke Energy to change the net metering rules for their residential customers. This proposal would reduce the value of solar and make it more difficult for North Carolina to meet its established climate goals. It will also lead to unpredictable bill increases for NC solar customers. I further urge you to please conduct a thorough full cost-benefit investigation of rooftop solar before making ANY CHANGES to net metering in NC Thank you, Sallyanne B KROWICKI

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Karen R Worley  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 7:56 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Karen R Worley

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Karen R Worley

### Email

krworley@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is my understanding that there is a proposal to allow Duke Energy to change the calculation for solar net metering. As one of their current solar metering customers, my husband and I purchased our solar system on a 20-year financing contract. Our plan is to save approximately as much in electric cost as the payments on the contract. If the metering rules change, I am afraid Duke Energy will be able to charge us more for the electricity we use and credit us less for the electricity we provide. If you do allow them to change the rules, please don't allow that change to extend to existing solar metering customers. But no matter what happens with me, the bigger issue is the current climate crisis for the world. This is NOT the time to be allowing a power company to make more profit at the expense of existing OR potential customers that choose to switch to solar and help in that climate crisis. Please conduct a full cost-benefit analysis of the benefits of rooftop solar before allowing Duke to possibly make solar less affordable. I cannot imagine why the state would even consider making such a move. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David H Smith  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 8:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David H Smith

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David H Smith

### Email

dsmith5261@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. We as consumers want to do our part to help our country move towards to safer, cleaner, future. Making it more expensive for the "little man" to do so is not the way to go. If Duke Power is really care about being "fair" to all consumers, they'll do everything they can to make sure as many people as possible are attracted to renewables. For the sake of fairness to our children's children. Thank you for your consideration! David Smith Durham NC

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** John and Linda Hanlin  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 10:27 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John and Linda Hanlin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John and Linda Hanlin

### Email

jagwar72@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

One of the main reasons we purchased solar panels is that we were receiving significant reimbursement for the excess power we would be generating. The proposed action by Duke would not only violate an agreement we thought was set for a reasonable time period. We feel that the proposed plan would discourage additional solar customers, when we need to at least keep the present plan to maintain growth in clean power.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bryan Levine  
**Sent:** Monday, July 4, 2022 10:45 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bryan Levine

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bryan Levine

### Email

bryclev@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

please reject Duke energy's proposal to change how we meter additional solar power and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kevin Martin  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 7:16 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin Martin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kevin Martin

### Email

kmartin37@icloud.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a solar array owner in NC and I am requesting that you reject Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering for solar customers. A true investigation should be conducted to determine the benefits and costs of solar power before changes are made to current net metering plans. I made a significant personal investment in solar energy based on the current plan, let it stand.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kevin Shortt  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 7:19 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin Shortt

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kevin Shortt

### Email

shortt.kevin@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

This is a quick note requesting that the proposal for changing the rules regarding net metering be REJECTED. Thank you for your consideration

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Thomas Welsh  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 7:33 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Welsh

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Thomas Welsh

### Email

welshtr@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

With the increasing need for renewable energy and the push to "Go Green" why would anyone want to discourage people from going to solar by changing the net metering. People are making big investments to save energy and the utility company wants to penalize them for doing so.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**From:** Steve Vidal  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 9:15 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Steve Vidal

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Steve Vidal

### Email

vidaldba@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The plan that Duke Energy has submitted a plan to the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers will significantly reduce the value of solar energy at a time that our state, country, and planet need to be placing more value on solar energy, not less. We need renewable energy sources now more than ever, especially with the oncoming surge of EV vehicles and thousands of people moving into the Southeast USA. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. A true investigation of solar costs and benefits needs to be undertaken before making any changes to net metering in North Carolina. Respectfully, Steve Vidal, RN

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Stephen F Weber  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 5, 2022 9:15 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Stephen F Weber

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Stephen F Weber

### Email

websteflo@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

This proposal is clearly geared to decrease payments to consumers for generation of solar power and to make those payments less predictable and less appealing. These recommendations are NOT based on any exhaustive unbiased assessment of the costs and benefits of consumer generated solar power. This would be a step backward in efforts to increase the use of solar energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022