

**From:** [Eleanor Weston](#)  
**To:** [Statements](#)  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Eleanor Weston  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 27, 2022 11:52:40 AM

---

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Eleanor Weston

### Email

nora.h.weston@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to charge solar customer's more. It is just a request to let Duke Energy squeeze more money out of any customers they can. It does not make any sense from the perspective of Duke Energy's costs or what they are charging non-solar customers. It discourages customer-owned solar panel installation at a time when we need it most. Duke Energy is an inhuman social construct operated on the principle of maximizing profits regardless of how horrible the consequences are for the actual human beings it effects. Please protect customer--and all humanity's--well-being over increasing Duke Energy's profits.

**From:** [Carol Corriveau](#)  
**To:** [Statements](#)  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Carol Corriveau  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 27, 2022 11:51:39 AM

---

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Carol Corriveau

### Email

corriveaucottage@gmail.com

### Docket

E100 sub180

### Message

All efforts need to be made to encourage and support renewable energy. If you are not part of the solution your are part of the problem.

**From:** [George Greger-Holt](#)  
**To:** [Statements](#)  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by George Greger-Holt  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 27, 2022 11:49:57 AM

---

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

George Greger-Holt

### Email

ggregerholt@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

This proposal doesn't sound like Duke is serious about moving from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources. As a wise person once told me, "follow the money." Sounds like the expansion of solar in the state has Duke's profits taking a hit. Propping up their business model should not be the function of the Commission or the general public.

**From:** [Manuel Kleiner](#)  
**To:** [Statements](#)  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Manuel Kleiner  
**Date:** Sunday, December 25, 2022 10:18:48 AM

---

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Manuel Kleiner

### Email

manuel.kleiner2@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I own a 7.5 kWp solar power plant on my roof. As of right now (Dec 25 2022) I actually help stabilize the currently failing power grid in NC as on this cold winter day my plant produces four times as much electricity than we use. I do expect this to be appropriately compensated. Right now I am feeding the electricity into the grid with net-metering which means I get part of those kWhs back from the grid when I am not producing, but part of the production is als a free gift to Duke as we never use as much as we produce. I do ask that the current net-metering conditions stay in place or get improved as anything else would be massively unfair i.e. Duke would be stealing from me. I do think a fairer net-metering model would ensure that not all of my accumulated hours donated to the grid get erased every May, but a small number actually rolls over.

**From:** [Michelle Carr](#)  
**To:** [Statements](#)  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michelle Carr  
**Date:** Sunday, December 25, 2022 4:55:54 AM

---

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michelle Carr

### Email

mich20406@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke is doing its best to impose methane producing natural gas and minimize solar energy. Why does Duke get away with failing to compensate solar customers, punishing them instead of rewarding them, when there is no question that we need sustainable nonpolluting sources of energy IMMEDIATELY?

**From:** [salmons.dusk-03@icloud.com](mailto:salmons.dusk-03@icloud.com)  
**To:** [Statements](#)  
**Subject:** Docket#E100 Sub 180  
**Date:** Thursday, December 22, 2022 7:09:29 PM

---

Duke Energy is continuing to power the grid with polluting coal, gas, and nuclear energy instead of moving wholeheartedly to renewable resources like rooftop solar.

As rate payers we have protested against Duke Energy for decades and The Utilities Commission has ignored our demands for sustainable energy. We have borne the cost of lawsuits over pollution and supported unseemly corporate salaries and profits for investors.

Now Duke Energy is unfairly billing those with rooftop solar rather than paying producers a fair rate for distributing excess energy from solar to the grid.

Science tells us that solar and other renewable resources must replace burning dirty coal and gas to correct human caused climate disaster. As citizens we demand a cleaner, safer future.

Respectfully,  
Anne Whitefield  
4301 Regis Avenue  
Durham NC 27705

**From:** [Barbara Houghton](#)  
**To:** [Statements](#)  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Barbara Houghton  
**Date:** Thursday, December 22, 2022 9:56:04 AM

---

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Barbara Houghton

### Email

bh3474888@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 SUB 180

### Message

As a customer who has purchased out of pocket our residential solar array, please reject Duke Energy's proposed solar policy changes to impose new fees and onerous requirements on residential solar customers. Allowing Duke to restrict NC Regulators' right and responsibility to make NCUC's independent assessment of solar's benefits, as per House Bill 589, could harm the rooftop solar industry, all state power users and the reputation of the NCUC.