

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Michael Fetting  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:53 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Fetting

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Fetting

### Email

mfetting@nc.rr.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. This is a sign of corporate greed to deprive and penalize (as well as TAX the sun) the consumer. Instead of encouraging people to be environmentally conscious and to assist us in wise and thoughtful spending, Duke is showing us how greedy and selfless they truly are.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Evan Catlett  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:53 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Evan Catlett

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Evan Catlett

### **Email**

firefighter\_cat09@yahoo.com

### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

Hi I'm a resident with roof top solar at my house. I ask you to appose the change to net metering. One of the main reason my home got solar was to reduce my usage and to help supply the system. Changing the net meter rules will be bad for people with solar and for people that are considering getting it. Again please reject the change.

**From:** Walt Milowic  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:53 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Walt Milowic

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Walt Milowic

### **Email**

wmilowic@gmail.com

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

Gentlemen/ladies, Please do not allow Duke energy to reduce the amount they pay to those of us who have solar power and return power to the grid. This is a valuable resource that should not be decreased, but rather increased, to encourage further use of solar and less reliability on fossil fuels. Sincerely Walt Milowic

**From:** Robert Steffan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:53 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Steffan

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Robert Steffan

### Email

huntpa@penn.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a current owner of solar power and am requesting an thorough review of Duke Power request to change the net metering rules. My system was a great cost to install and now the want to reduce the cost of excess power I provide to the grid. I find this totally unexceptable.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Kati  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:52 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kati

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kati

### Email

mallets-tam.0h@icloud.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reject the proposal!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Jason Stanbro  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:52 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Stanbro

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jason Stanbro

### Email

jkstanbro@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. The NCUC needs to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made.

**From:** Mike Dolan Fliss  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:51 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mike Dolan Fliss

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mike Dolan Fliss

### Email

aspiringbodhisattva@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hi there, Please do not further change net metering rules to reduce the value of those of us (me included) who spent big money on our installations. This feels very backwards, in a world that needs to rely on renewable energies more and more, not further dissuade NC customers from supporting that future. Best wishes, -Mike

**From:** David Bedington  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:50 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Bedington

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Bedington

### Email

dbedington@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The idea of Duke Energy changing these metering and compensation rules is pure corporate greed. They already maximize their rate hikes every year, even asking for more than the allowed maximum. You cannot allow them to punish customers like myself for doing what is good for the state and good for the Earth. The cost-benefit study required by NC House Bill 589 have not been performed yet and must be performed before making a change like this.



**From:** John Mark Bojanski  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:48 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John Mark Bojanski

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John Mark Bojanski

### Email

jumbojanski@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I had solar panels installed on my home several years ago, and the impact has been very positive. I rely on both the energy savings through generating my own power and selling the excess back to the grid using the existing net metering rules. Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering. It will only slow the growth of solar and reduce its value.

**From:** Dominique Lewis  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:47 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dominique Lewis

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dominique Lewis

### Email

nikkisweethang@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Peter M Burkard  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:47 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Peter M Burkard

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Peter M Burkard

### Email

pmborganic@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

This scheme to reduce what utilities pay to rooftop solar power generators has been rejected by Democrats and Republicans alike, most recently by Florida Governor Desantis, who vetoed a very similar Florida bill. Patriotic and climate-helping citizens who generate their own power, plus extra for the grid, should be celebrated, not penalized.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Thomas Thotus  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:47 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Thotus

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Thomas Thotus

### Email

TomThotus@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please keep the Net Metering law as it is to grant me the highest value when selling, or trading, my solar generated electricity. Keep Net Metering as it is now in 2022.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Michael Hickenbottom jr.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:46 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Hickenbottom jr.

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Hickenbottom jr.

### Email

mrhjryellow68@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear Commissioners Please stop this Bill From being passed by Duke power. My future is in the balance . When I purchase those solar panels for saving money. When I retire My income will be less than I can afford. By paying for the solar panels now I will save in the future. By keeping cost down and the electric down having solar panels too. By passing this bill You will be taking away Customers Rights to think of the future by going green. Thank you Signed Michael Hickenbottom jr.

**From:** Kevin Lubick  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:46 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin Lubick

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kevin Lubick

### Email

kevin.lubick@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Do not get rid of net metering! Do a true cost/benefit analysis. I believe net metering is bad for people with solar panels like me, and disincentivizes others from getting solar panels.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Lucia Marquez-Henning  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:42 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lucia Marquez-Henning

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lucia Marquez-Henning

### Email

lucymarquez12@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We paid already high electric bills since price keep going up every year and now Duke is trying to take away the little bit of savings we are getting from our solar panels I hope this does not pass we need help.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Jordan Chailland  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:41 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jordan Chailland

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jordan Chailland

### Email

jkchailland@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reject this proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022



**From:** Josephine M Hall  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:41 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Josephine M Hall

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Josephine M Hall

### **Email**

josephinehall@mail.com

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

Last year, my husband and I installed solar panels on our house mostly in response to climate change, but also because of rising prices for energy. I strongly oppose Duke Energy's proposal that would diminish the amount customers receive in exchange for the excess energy produced by solar panels installed on personal property. Duke Energy has a monopoly in this area. We have no choice but to buy our electricity from them. Do not give them power to reduce the advantages of having solar panels, especially at this time when the world needs MORE renewable energy sources not less. I strongly urge you to reject Duke Energy's proposal. Supporting such a proposal will slow the growth of renewable energy installations which goes against all the science demanding urgent action to combat climate change. Reject Duke Energy's proposal. Thank you. Josephine Hall

**From:** Abigail Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:40 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Abigail Williams

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Abigail Williams

### Email

abbypreston22@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 sub-180

### Message

I am writing to ask that NCUC do a full cost-benefit analysis of roof top solar. Duke Energy's claims that roof top solar customers do not adequately pay into the system is false. As a private energy owner, I pay over \$220 per month to own my panels and an additional \$16 to Duke Energy (for no actual service). Duke Energy has long maintained a monopoly in this state. They offered incentives to consumers to switch to solar, including rebates that could not be paid. In the face of climate change, NC needs to invest, subsidize, and incentivize switching to renewables. \$16 per month to be connected to the Duke Energy grid while using none of their power is more than sufficient to support the utility provider. Thank you for your consideration. Abigail Williams Civil Engineer

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Karl  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:40 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Karl

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Karl

### Email

kalohed@gmail.com

### Docket

Rh100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** David Kammer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:38 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Kammer

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Kammer

### Email

david.kammer@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Before we blindly Duke energy to significantly increase the cost Customers with solar installations, we should have a full cost benefit study of rooftop solar power. I know that with my set up during the hot summer months, when the demand on the grid is the highest, I am making net contributions to the grid. Duke is getting access to this power in return for an investment that I made with my money, it cost them nothing. This is the kind of investment we want our citizens to make, Duke shouldn't be allowed to push for measures that would discourage it without us fully understanding the costs and benefits.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Joshua Chorman  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Joshua Chorman

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Joshua Chorman

### Email

jjchorman@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

Will you please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Will the NCUC please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. I love my home Solar and am afraid Duke Energy's greed is going to hurt my home investment and the environment.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Shyam Sareen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Shyam Sareen

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Shyam Sareen

### Email

shyamsareen@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Gerardo Rodriguez  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Gerardo Rodriguez

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Gerardo Rodriguez

### Email

gc0814@gmal.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 18

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** victor bono  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by victor bono

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

victor bono

### Email

porciniman@att.net

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before allowing Duke Energy to change the rules, after I've invested THOUSANDS in solar to help reduce my energy costs in the face of continuing rising energy costs ! I urge you most strongly to reject this proposal, as it would depreciate the value of something I have spent a great deal of money on !!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022



**From:** Debbie Matthews  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:31 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Debbie Matthews

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Debbie Matthews

### Email

debmatthews@nc.rr.com

### Docket

R-100 Sun 180

### Message

we are very pleased of our solar system and oppose any changes

**From:** Thomas Harris  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:28 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Harris

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Thomas Harris

### Email

tmhwil03@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Stop Duke Energy

**From:** Sarah Allen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:27 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sarah Allen

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sarah Allen

### Email

garelicks@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I have almost 100% solar, On most days, I send almost double back what I use. I already pay 19.81\$ a month ( and give them more energy than I use) please do NOT allow Duke to charge more for people with Solar coverage. We need more clean air, not less, and this whole idea to charge us more ( After I paid 40,00\$ to go solar) is insane!!!! Thank You Sarah Allen

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Larry McManus  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:27 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Larry McManus

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Larry McManus

### Email

larrymcmanus01@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a residential solar panel user of Duke Power Net metering was important to our decision to buy solar panels and in balancing our electrical use. We are opposed to E 100 Sub 180.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Billy H Robinson  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:26 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Billy H Robinson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Billy H Robinson

### Email

brobinson5142@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy to change rates. We installed solar panels so we could save on our monthly bill but also to help with the invironment. We are saving on energy. That's what we have been asked to do. Plus we have a considerable investment in our panels. It would not be fair to change the rates now.

**From:** Michael Kinder  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:26 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Kinder

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Kinder

### Email

jrylenek@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is not fair for Duke to change the value of our solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. We have spent a lot of money to purchase solar panels. We should not be penalized by having to pay more for our electricity as well as the cost of paying for the solar system. If you are going to change the rules after the fact, then we should be re-imbursed for our solar system! This is not fair!

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Chip H Brandt  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:26 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Chip H Brandt

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Chip H Brandt

### Email

ghbrandt@ctc.net

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

Please do not let Duke Energy get away with this plan

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Lauren Boyd  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:26 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lauren Boyd

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lauren Boyd

### Email

Lbrock80@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Please Do not allow Duke to change the net metering of solar to this more complex and ultimately disadvantageous to consumers system. It discourages solar installation, makes those of us with solar already installed lose quite a bit of value on our investment and is bad for both the environment and consumers as people will be much less likely to install solar going forward if they can only make a portion of the money back for the energy they produce. As it is we are giving Duke a great deal by adding to their electricity coffers without them having to pay for maintenance etc. Don't let them take further advantage of us.



**From:** Max Burrus  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:23 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Max Burrus

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Max Burrus

### Email

Max@powermaxconsulting.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear sirs - I am one of hundreds of Duke Customers who were told Duke had released Coal ash in the Elo River and wanted to do the right thing and encourage the installation of Solar Panels I did this even though it cost me over \$35,000 dollars - the only benefits - my peace of mind knowing I am helping reduce my carbon footprint and the minimal amount of credit I get on my Duke bill ( maybe 25-30% decrease in my charges) Please do not let Duke change this so we get even less to help defer the cost of a VERY EXPENSIVE installation.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Susan H Trimmer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:23 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Susan H Trimmer

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Susan H Trimmer

### Email

strimmer@nc.rr.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a primary residence owner of solar panels, I wholeheartedly reject the docket proposal. I am appalled to hear that Duke Energy is contemplating a net metering proposal that negatively impacts the whole reason behind having solar panels. Solar panels are effective in lowering my energy footprint but also giving back to the grid is just as important. Not to forget that these panels are good for our environment at a time when this is crucial. It is absolutely shameful that this proposal is even on the docket for consideration. We need to strive to find ways to save our environment, lower costs for energy, and develop programs that make solar panel availability accessible for ALL structures so that energy consumption is minimized. Solar in NC needs to grow and have more initiatives that are promising to the consumer as well as the environment. The value associated with solar energy is now, more than ever, a necessity,

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Jason Mathew  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:22 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Mathew

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jason Mathew

### Email

jasonpmathew@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. I may not switch to solar this year if this proposal is not rejected.

**From:** Brian H.Washburn MD  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:22 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Brian H. Washburn MD

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Brian H. Washburn MD

### Email

bhwashmd@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

In July 2020, my wife and I invested in a roof top solar array. We also have two Tesla Powerwalls and Geothermal heat pump system. Our energy demands from Duke Energy grid has decreased substantially. Our hope is for Western North Carolina residents to be a model to help the environment through renewable resources and decrease the residential demands on the fossil fuel powered grid.

**From:** Martin Alan Dyckman  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:22 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Martin Alan Dyckman

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Martin Alan Dyckman

### Email

dyckmanm@bellsouth.net

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am passionately opposed to what's going on in this matter. Duke is trying to pull off a coup like the power lobby attempted in Florida. They want to cripple solar power unless they're the ones providing it. You must not even consider this without obtaining an INDEPENDENT expert analysis of the company's pricing structure and how it affects net metering. The public is watching this, and isn't a partisan issue. Democrats, Republicans and independents alike understand and resent the gross influence of the utility lobbies. Enough!

**From:** Melanie D Gilbee  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Melanie D Gilbee

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Melanie D Gilbee

### Email

momoftag@sbcglobal.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I placed solar power on my home to complete 3 purposes. 1. lower my impact on the electrical grid 2. insure I have electricity if there are issues with the electrical supply 3. make my home less reliant on Duke Energy and decrease my electrical demand I did not place my home, at my expense, on solar so Duke Energy could find a way to use my expense to line their pockets and pay their stock holders more money. As it is I will not see the solar rebate for assisting them in energy creation. That is a huge loss I had not planned on. I expect Duke Energy to be respectful of my family as customers of their company. I really don't understand why they want to make all these changes except to make more money and cause their customers increased financial pressure. I supply Duke with all the extra power I create and they supply me when I need more power. I believe this is a balanced system that benefits both of us. There is no reason to decide my power to them is worth less than their power to me. My power helps them supply other customers during their peak usage hours. How is it worth less? I believe my largest usage of their services is during the hours where they have the least demand on their system. To change the pricing to charge solar customers more for usage during off peak hours of the day is really unreasonable. I believe our electric use should continue as it is today. I am normally supplying Duke energy during their peak usage times when my system is producing the most power.

**From:** Omar Young  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Omar Young

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Omar Young

### Email

ohms824@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

North Carolina and the rest of the country needs to realize that alternative energy is needed if we are going to have a cleaner planet and decrease pollution. Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering rules. Our state should be a symbol of clean energy for the rest of the country. I ask you to reject policy that moves us backwards and to move the state to the 21st century.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** James M McKelvy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James M McKelvy

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James M McKelvy

### Email

themckelvyfamily@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Per enacted legislation, the NCUC must investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. Since it has yet to occur, I am requesting NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar in evaluation of Duke Energy's proposed changes. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022



**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Aaron Brooks  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Aaron Brooks

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Aaron Brooks

### Email

aarondbrooks1@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Don't let Duke Energy pay us less for solar! Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Sukhjeet Kaur  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sukhjeet Kaur

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sukhjeet Kaur

### Email

sainisukhjeet91@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reject Duke Energy proposal and prevent Duke Energy from raising rates for current solar customers and slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina.

**From:** Michael Cline  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:20 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Cline

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Cline

### Email

michaelcline45@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy's billing modification need to be rejected. It is everyone responsibility to prioritize solar. This proposal will undermine the mission we all need to pursue: resucing green house gases for a more sustainable future. The buyback should increase to close the 7cent per Kwh gap which currently exists. Duke wants to pay out less for energy solar home owners are providing and that is irresponsible and unethical. Fee increases for providing Duke with lots of excess energy also makes no sense. If these changes to undermine a crucial effort to reduce emissions goes through I will be committed to going completely off grid and store my own energy rather than sell to Duke at bargain rates.

**From:** Rui Zou  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:18 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Rui Zou

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Rui Zou

### Email

zourui.mkithappen@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Electricity generated by NC residential roof solar panels is being given a lower than commercial price already. Further Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to reach North Carolina's established climate goals. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Mark Cigal  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:18 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Cigal

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mark Cigal

### Email

mcigal38@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am requesting a proper and true investigation of solar costs and benefits be made before making any changes to net metering in North Carolina. Respectfully submitted, Mark A. Cigal

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Steven D Pompey  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:18 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Steven D Pompey

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Steven D Pompey

### Email

nevetsdp@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am trying to do the right thing for myself, NC and the USA by having a solar system installed on my home's roof. If I do not get paid for the power sent to Duke Energy the solar system becomes worthless to me because I lose my benefit. Please keep the net metering at 1 to 1 as it is now. It is the only fair way to do it.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Philip Keller  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:18 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Philip Keller

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Philip Keller

### Email

ajkeller99@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reject the proposal from Duke!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Maveric Ledbetter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:17 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Maveric Ledbetter

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Maveric Ledbetter

### Email

mavericlaneledbetter@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100Sub 180

### Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022



**From:** Russ Jerrett  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:17 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Russ Jerrett

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Russ Jerrett

### **Email**

jerrettcr@gmail.com

### **Docket**

E-100 sub 180

### **Message**

Do not make rules that would discourage the use of solar energy. We need more alternative energy sources

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Jennifer Mathis  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:17 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jennifer Mathis

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jennifer Mathis

### Email

jenmathis52@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To whom it may concern - I am writing concerning Duke's proposal to change net metering. Now it is more important than ever to boost alternative energy sources and this will do the opposite of that. We need to secure a strong future for solar energy. Please don't consider changing the terms of net metering. Regards - Jennifer Mathis 510 Merrimon Avenue Asheville, NC 28804

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022