Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina

Recommended Capital Structure and Cost Rates
for Ratemaking Purposes
at June 30, 2018

| Type Of Capital | Ratios (1) | Cost Rate | Weighted Cost Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Debt | 49.09\% | 5.68\% (1) |  |  |
| Common Equity | 50.91\% | 10.80\% - 11.20\% (2) | 5.50\% | 5.70\% |
| Total | $\underline{ }$ |  | $\underline{ }$ | 8.49\% |

Notes:
(1) Company-Provided.
(2) From page 2 of this Schedule.

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina

Brief Summary of Common Equity Cost Rate

| Line No. | Principal Methods | Proxy Group of Six Water Companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Discounted Cash Flow Model (DCF) (1) | 9.15\% |
| 2. | Risk Premium Model (RPM) (2) | 10.73\% |
| 3. | Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) (3) | 10.93\% |
| 4. | Market Models Applied to Comparable Risk, Non-Price Regulated Companies (4) | 12.43\% |
| 5. | Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate before Adjustment for Size Risk | 10.80\% |
| 6. | Size Risk Adjustment (5) | 0.40\% |
| 7. | Recommended Range of Common Equity Cost Rates | 10.80\%-11.20\% |

Notes: (1) From page 3 of this Schedule.
(2) From page 10 of this Schedule.
(3) From page 21 of this Schedule.
(4) From page 26 of this Schedule.
(5) From Schedule DWD-8 (direct testimony).

Carolina Water Service，Inc．of North Carolina
Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Using the Discounted Cash Flow Model for the
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| Proxy Group of Six Water Companies |
| :--- |
| American States Water Co． |
| American Water Works Company Inc |
| Aqua America Inc |
| California Water Service Group |
| Middlesex Water Co． |
| York Water Co． |








# Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina <br> Summary of Risk Premium Models for the 

Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

| Predictive Risk <br> Premium Model <br> (PRPM) (1) |  | Proxy Group of Six Water Companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10.90 \% |
| Risk Premium Using an Adjusted Total Market Approach (2) |  | 10.56 \% |
|  | Average | 10.73 \% |

Notes:
(1) From page 11 of this Schedule.
(2) From page 12 of this Schedule.
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 Median
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Proxy Group of Six Water Companies } \\
& \hline \\
& \text { American States Water Co. } \\
& \text { American Water Works Company Inc } \\
& \text { Aqua America Inc } \\
& \text { California Water Service Group } \\
& \text { Middlesex Water Co. } \\
& \text { York Water Co. }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] | [6] | [7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Group of Six Water Companies | LT Average Predicted Variance | Spot Predicted Variance | Recommended Variance (2) | GARCH <br> Coefficient | Predicted Risk Premium (3) | Risk-Free Rate (4) | Indicated ROE (5) |
| American States Water Co. <br> American Water Works Company Inc <br> Aqua America Inc <br> California Water Service Group <br> Middlesex Water Co. <br> York Water Co. | 0.38\% | 0.30\% | 0.30\% | 1.84918 | 6.81\% | 3.71\% | 10.52\% |
|  | NMF | NMF | NMF | 5.57916 | NMF | 3.71\% | NMF |
|  | 0.44\% | 0.25\% | 0.25\% | 2.29311 | 7.14\% | 3.71\% | 10.85\% |
|  | 0.32\% | 0.26\% | 0.26\% | 1.93864 | 6.33\% | 3.71\% | 10.04\% |
|  | 0.30\% | 0.37\% | 0.30\% | 2.04292 | 7.60\% | 3.71\% | 11.31\% |
|  | 0.46\% | 0.34\% | 0.34\% | 1.93972 | 8.26\% | 3.71\% | 11.97\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | 10.94\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Median | 10.85\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average of M | and Median | 10.90\% |
|  | NMF $=$ Not Meaningful Figure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The Predictive Risk Premium Model uses historical data to generate a predicted variance and a GARCH coefficient. The historical data used are the equity risk premiums for the first available trading month as reported by Bloomberg Professional Service. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Due to current market conditions, I have selected the lower value between the two predicted variances at this time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left(1+(\text { Column }[3] * \text { Column }[4])^{12}\right)-1$. <br> From note 2 on page 22 of this Schedule. Column [5] + Column [6]. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina<br>Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate<br>Through Use of a Risk Premium Model<br>Using an Adjusted Total Market Approach

## Line No.

1. Prospective Yield on Aaa Rated Corporate Bonds (1)
2. Adjustment to Reflect Yield Spread Between Aaa Rated Corporate Bonds and A Rated Public Utility Bonds

Proxy Group of Six
Water Companies

Selected Bond Yields
[1]
[2]
[3]


A Rated Public Utility Bonds Over Aaa Rated Corporate Bonds:

$$
0.37 \%(1)
$$

Baa Rated Public Utility Bonds Over A Rated Public Utility Bonds:

$$
0.40 \%(2)
$$

Notes:
(1) Column [2] - Column [1].
(2) Column [3] - Column [2].

Source of Information:
Bloomberg Professional Service

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina
Comparison of Long-Term Issuer Ratings for Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

|  | Moody's |  | Standard \& Poor's |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Long-Term Issuer Rating |  | Long-Term Issuer Rating |  |
|  | September 2018 |  | September 2018 |  |
|  | Long-Term Issuer Rating | Numerical <br> Weighting (1) | Long-Term Issuer Rating | Numerical <br> Weighting(1) |
| American States Water Co. (2) | A2 | 6.0 | A+ | 5.0 |
| American Water Works Company Inc (3) | A3 | 7.0 | A | 6.0 |
| Aqua America Inc (4) | NR | -- | A+ | 5.0 |
| California Water Service Group (5) | NR | -- | A+ | 5.0 |
| Middlesex Water Co. | NR | -- | A | 6.0 |
| York Water Co. | NR | -- | A- | 7.0 |
| Average | A2/A3 | 6.5 | A | 5.7 |

Notes:
(1) From page 6 of Schedule DWD-4 (direct testimony).
(2) Ratings that of Golden State Water Company.
(3) Ratings that of New Jersey and Pennsylvania American Water Companies.
(4) Ratings that of Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.
(5) Ratings that of California Water Service Company.

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina

Judgment of Equity Risk Premium for
Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

| Line |
| :---: |
| No. |

1. Calculated equity risk premium based on the total market using the beta approach (1)
2. Mean equity risk premium based on a study using the holding period returns of public utilities with A rated bonds (2)

Average equity risk premium

Water Companies

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina

Derivation of Equity Risk Premium Based on the Total Market Approach
Using the Beta for the
Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

| Line No. | Equity Risk Premium Measure | Proxy Group of Six Water Companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ibbotson-Based Equity Risk Premiums: |  |  |
| 1. | Ibbotson Equity Risk Premium (1) | 5.70 \% |
| 2. | Regression on Ibbotson Risk Premium Data (2) | 7.28 |
| 3. | Ibbotson Equity Risk Premium based on PRPM (3) | 6.41 |
| 4. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Value Line Summary and Index (4) | 6.01 |
| 5. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Value Line S\&P 500 Companies (5) | 11.64 |
| 6. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Bloomberg S\&P 500 Companies (6) | 10.30 |
| 7. | Conclusion of Equity Risk Premium | 7.89 \% |
| 8. | Adjusted Beta (7) | 0.78 |
| 9. | Forecasted Equity Risk Premium | 6.15 \% |

Notes provided on page 17 of this Schedule.

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina<br>Derivation of Equity Risk Premium Based on the Total Market Approach Using the Beta for the<br>Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

Notes:
(1) Based on the arithmetic mean historical monthly returns on large company common stocks from Ibbotson $\circledR$ SBBI® 2017 Market Report minus the arithmetic mean monthly yield of Moody's average Aaa and Aa corporate bonds from 1926-2017.
(2) This equity risk premium is based on a regression of the monthly equity risk premiums of large company common stocks relative to Moody's average Aaa and Aa rated corporate bond yields from 1928-2017 referenced in Note 1 above.
(3) The Predictive Risk Premium Model (PRPM) is discussed in the accompanying direct testimony. The Ibbotson equity risk premium based on the PRPM is derived by applying the PRPM to the monthly risk premiums between Ibbotson large company common stock monthly returns and average Aaa and Aa corporate monthly bond yields, from January 1928 through September 2018.
(4) The equity risk premium based on the Value Line Summary and Index is derived by subtracting the average consensus forecast of Aaa corporate bonds of 4.78\% (from page 12 of this Schedule) from the projected 3-5 year total annual market return of 10.79\% (described fully in note 1 on page 22 of this Schedule).
(5) Using data from Value Line for the S\&P 500, an expected total return of $16.42 \%$ was derived based upon expected dividend yields and long-term earnings growth estimates as a proxy for capital appreciation. Subtracting the average consensus forecast of Aaa corporate bonds of $4.78 \%$ results in an expected equity risk premium of $11.64 \%$.
(6) Using data from the Bloomberg Professional Service for the S\&P 500, an expected total return of $15.08 \%$ was derived based upon expected dividend yields and long-term earnings growth estimates as a proxy for capital appreciation. Subtracting the average consensus forecast of Aaa corporate bonds of $4.78 \%$ results in an expected equity risk premium of $10.30 \%$.
(7) Average of mean and median beta from page 21 of this Schedule.

Sources of Information:
Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation - 2018 SBBI Yearbook, John Wiley \& Sons, Inc. Industrial Manual and Mergent Bond Record Monthly Update.
Value Line Summary and Index
Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, October 1, 2018 and June 1, 2018
Bloomberg Professional Service

Consensus Forecasts of U.S. Interest Rates and Key Assumptions

| Interest Rates | ----------------------------------History--------------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Consensus Forecasts-Quarterly Avg. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------Average For Week Ending------ |  |  |  | ----Average For Month--- Latest Qtr |  |  |  | 4Q | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q | 1Q |
|  | Sep 21 | Sep 14 | Sep 7 | Aug 31 | Aug | Jul | Jun | Q3 2018* | $\underline{2018}$ | 2019 | 2019 | $\underline{2019}$ | 2019 | $\underline{2020}$ |
| Federal Funds Rate | 1.92 | 1.92 | 1.91 | 1.92 | 1.91 | 1.91 | 1.81 | 1.91 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Prime Rate | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.88 | 5.00 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| LIBOR, 3-mo. | 2.35 | 2.33 | 2.32 | 2.32 | 2.33 | 2.34 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Commercial Paper, 1-mo. | 2.10 | 2.03 | 2.00 | 1.99 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.92 | 1.98 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Treasury bill, 3-mo. | 2.17 | 2.15 | 2.14 | 2.12 | 2.07 | 1.99 | 1.94 | 2.06 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Treasury bill, 6-mo. | 2.36 | 2.32 | 2.30 | 2.27 | 2.24 | 2.16 | 2.11 | 2.24 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Treasury bill, 1 yr . | 2.58 | 2.55 | 2.50 | 2.47 | 2.45 | 2.38 | 2.31 | 2.45 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Treasury note, 2 yr . | 2.80 | 2.75 | 2.67 | 2.65 | 2.64 | 2.60 | 2.51 | 2.65 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Treasury note, 5 yr. | 2.94 | 2.87 | 2.78 | 2.76 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.76 | 2.80 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Treasury note, 10 yr . | 3.05 | 2.97 | 2.91 | 2.87 | 2.90 | 2.88 | 2.90 | 2.91 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Treasury note, 30 yr . | 3.19 | 3.11 | 3.08 | 3.01 | 3.05 | 3.00 | 3.04 | 3.05 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Corporate Aaa bond | 4.17 | 4.12 | 4.10 | 4.03 | 4.04 | 4.06 | 4.09 | 4.07 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Corporate Baa bond | 4.86 | 4.83 | 4.82 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.79 | 4.81 | 4.78 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| State \& Local bonds | 3.75 | 3.71 | 3.67 | 3.63 | 3.63 | 3.60 | 3.62 | 3.64 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Home mortgage rate | 4.65 | 4.60 | 4.54 | 4.52 | 4.55 | 4.53 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
|  |  |  |  | -Histor |  |  |  |  |  | nsensu | Fore | casts- | uarte |  |
|  | 4Q | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q | 1Q | 2Q | $3 Q$ | 4Q | $1 Q$ | 2 Q | 3Q | 4Q | $1 Q$ |
| Key Assumptions | $\underline{2016}$ | 2017 | $\underline{2017}$ | 2017 | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | 2018* | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | 2019 | $\underline{2020}$ |
| Major Currency Index | 93.6 | 94.3 | 92.9 | 88.3 | 88.9 | 86.1 | 88.3 | 90.2 | 90.0 | 89.8 | 89.4 | 88.6 | 88.5 | 88.5 |
| Real GDP | 1.8 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| GDP Price Index | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Consumer Price Index | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |

Forecasts for interest rates and the Federal Reserve's Major Currency Index represent averages for the quarter. Forecasts for Real GDP, GDP Price Index and Consumer Price Index are seasonally-adjusted annual rates of change (saar). Individual panel members' forecasts are on pages 4 through 9. Historical data: Treasury rates from the Federal Reserve Board's H.15; AAA-AA and A-BBB corporate bond yields from Bank of America-Merrill Lynch and are 15+ years, yield to maturity; State and local bond yields from Bank of America-Merrill Lynch, A-rated, yield to maturity; Mortgage rates from Freddie Mac, 30-year, fixed; LIBOR quotes from Intercontinental Exchange. All interest rate Bank of America-Merrill Lynch, A-rated, yield to maturity; Mortgage rates from Freddie Mac, 30-year, fixed; LIBOR quotes from Intercontinental Exchange. Alr interest rate
data are sourced from Haver Analytics. Historical data for Fed's Major Currency Index are from FRSR H.10. Historical data for Real GDP and GDP Chained Price Index are data are sourced from Haver Analytics. Historical data for Fed's Major Currency Index are from FRSR H.10. Historical data for Real GDP and GDP Chained Price Index are
from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). Consumer Price Index (CPI) history is from the Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). ). Interest rate data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). Consumer Price Index (CPI) history is from the Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). ). Interest rate data
for Q3 2018 based on historical data through the week ended September 21. Data for Q3 2018 Major Currency Index based on data through week ended September 21. Figfor Q3 2018 based on historical data through the week ended September 21. "Data for Q3 2018 Major Currency Index based on data through week ended September 21 .
ures for Q3 2018 Real GDP, GDP Chained Price Index and Consumer Price Index are consensus forecasts based on a special question asked of the panelists this month.


U.S. 3-Mo. T-Bills \& 10-Yr. T-Note Yield
(Quarterly Av erage)
Forecast

U.S. Treasury Yield Curve


## Long-Range Survey:

The table below contains the results of our twice-annual long-range CONSENSUS survey. There are also Top 10 and Bottom 10 averages for each variable. Shown are consensus estimates for the years 2020 through 2024 and averages for the five-year periods 2020-2024 and 2025-2029. Apply these projections cautiously. Few if any economic, demographic and political forces can be evaluated accurately over such long time spans.

| Interest Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  | Five-Year Averages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2020-2024 | 2025-2029 |
| 1. Federal Funds Rate | CONSENSUS | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 2. Prime Rate | CONSENSUS | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.5 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| 3. LIBOR, 3-Mo. | CONSENSUS | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| 4. Commercial Paper, 1-Mo. | CONSENSUS | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| 5. Treasury Bill Yield, 3-Mo. | CONSENSUS | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 6. Treasury Bill Yield, 6-Mo. | CONSENSUS | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| 7. Treasury Bill Yield, 1-Yr. | CONSENSUS | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| 8. Treasury Note Yield, 2-Yr. | CONSENSUS | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| 10. Treasury Note Yield, 5-Yr. | CONSENSUS | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 11. Treasury Note Yield, 10-Yr. | CONSENSUS | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| 12. Treasury Bond Yield, 30-Yr. | CONSENSUS | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| 13. Corporate Aaa Bond Yield | CONSENSUS | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| 13. Corporate Baa Bond Yield | CONSENSUS | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.3 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| 14. State \& Local Bonds Yield | CONSENSUS | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| 15. Home Mortgage Rate | CONSENSUS | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| A. FRB - Major Currency Index | CONSENSUS | 89.6 | 89.4 | 89.6 | 90.0 | 90.1 | 89.7 | 90.4 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 94.3 | 94.6 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 94.8 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 84.6 | 84.0 | 84.3 | 85.4 | 85.6 | 84.8 | 85.9 |
|  |  |  | Year-Over-Year, \% |  | Change------- |  | Five-Year Averages |  |
|  |  | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2020-2024 | 2025-2029 |
| B. Real GDP | CONSENSUS | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| C. GDP Chained Price Index | CONSENSUS | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| D. Consumer Price Index | CONSENSUS | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 |

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina<br>Derivation of Mean Equity Risk Premium Based Studies<br>Using Holding Period Returns and<br>Projected Market Appreciation of the S\&P Utility Index

| Line No. |  | Implied Equity Risk Premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Equity Risk Premium based on S\&P Utility Index Holding Period Returns (1): |  |
| 1. | Historical Equity Risk Premium | 4.04 \% |
| 2. | Regression of Historical Equity Risk Premium (2) | 5.43 |
| 3. | Forecasted Equity Risk Premium Based on PRPM (3) | 3.72 |
| 4. | Forecasted Equity Risk Premium based on Projected Total Return on the S\&P Utilities Index (Value Line Data) (4) | 4.72 |
| 5. | Forecasted Equity Risk Premium based on Projected Total Return on the S\&P Utilities Index (Bloomberg Data) (5) | 4.67 |
| 6. | Average Equity Risk Premium (6) | 4.52 \% |

Notes: (1) Based on S\&P Public Utility Index monthly total returns and Moody's Public Utility Bond average monthly yields from 1928-2017. Holding period returns are calculated based upon income received (dividends and interest) plus the relative change in the market value of a security over a one-year holding period.
(2) This equity risk premium is based on a regression of the monthly equity risk premiums of the S\&P Utility Index relative to Moody's A rated public utility bond yields from 1928-2017 referenced in note 1 above.
(3) The Predictive Risk Premium Model (PRPM) is applied to the risk premium of the monthly total returns of the S\&P Utility Index and the monthly yields on Moody's A rated public utility bonds from January 1928 - September 2018.
(4) Using data from Value Line for the S\&P Utilities Index, an expected return of $9.87 \%$ was derived based on expected dividend yields and long-term growth estimates as a proxy for market appreciation. Subtracting the expected A rated public utility bond yield of $5.15 \%$, calculated on line 3 of page 12 of this Schedule results in an equity risk premium of $4.72 \%$. $(9.87 \%-5.15 \%=4.72 \%)$
(5) Using data from Bloomberg Professional Service for the S\&P Utilities Index, an expected return of $9.82 \%$ was derived based on expected dividend yields and longterm growth estimates as a proxy for market appreciation. Subtracting the expected A rated public utility bond yield of $5.15 \%$, calculated on line 3 of page 12 of this Schedule results in an equity risk premium of $4.70 \%$. $(9.82 \%-5.15 \%=$ 4.67\%)
(6) Average of lines 1 through 5.




## Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina <br> Notes to Accompany the Application of the CAPM and ECAPM

## Notes:

(1) The market risk premium (MRP) is derived by using six different measures from three sources: Ibbotson, Value Line, and Bloomberg as illustrated below:

Historical Data MRP Estimates:
Measure 1: Ibbotson Arithmetic Mean MRP (1926-2017)

| Arithmetic Mean Monthly Returns for Large Stocks 1926-2017: | 12.07 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic Mean Income Returns on Long-Term Government Bonds: | 5.15 |
| MRP based on Ibbotson Historical Data: | 6.92 |
| Measure 2: Application of a Regression Analysis to Ibbotson Historical Data (1926-2017) | 8.59 |
| Measure 3: Application of the PRPM to Ibbotson Historical Data: (January 1926-September 2018) | 7.26 |

Value Line MRP Estimates:
Measure 4: Value Line Projected MRP (Thirteen weeks ending September 28, 2018)

| Total projected return on the market 3-5 years hence*: | $10.79 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Projected Risk-Free Rate (see note 2): | 3.71 |
| MRP based on Value Line Summary \& Index: | $-7.08 \%$ |

MRP based on Value Line Summary \& Index:

$$
\overline{7.08} \%
$$

*Forcasted 3-5 year capital appreciation plus expected dividend yield

Measure 5: Value Line Projected Return on the Market based on the S\&P 500
Total return on the Market based on the S\&P 500:

The criteria for selection of the Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group was that the nonprice regulated companies be domestic and reported in Value Line Investment Survey (Standard Edition).

The Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group was then selected based on the unadjusted beta range of $0.33-0.75$ and residual standard error of the regression range of 2.5251 - 3.0115 of the Utility Proxy Group.

These ranges are based upon plus or minus two standard deviations of the unadjusted beta and standard error of the regression. Plus or minus two standard deviations captures $95.50 \%$ of the distribution of unadjusted betas and residual standard errors of the regression.

The standard deviation of the Utility Proxy Group's residual standard error of the regression is 0.1216 . The standard deviation of the standard error of the regression is calculated as follows:

Standard Deviation of the Std. Err. of the Regr. $=$ Standard Error of the Regression

$$
\sqrt{2 N}
$$

where: $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations. Since Value Line betas are derived from weekly price change observations over a period of five years, $\mathrm{N}=259$

$$
\text { Thus, } 0.1216=\frac{2.7683}{\sqrt{518}}=\frac{2.7683}{22.7596}
$$

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina<br>Basis of Selection of Comparable Risk<br>Domestic Non-Price Regulated Companies

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Group of Six Water Companies | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ \text { Line } \\ \text { Adjusted } \\ \text { Beta } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Unadjusted Beta | Residual Standard Error of the Regression | Standard <br> Deviation of Beta |
| American States Water Co. | 0.75 | 0.59 | 2.8678 | 0.1079 |
| American Water Works Company Inc | 0.60 | 0.34 | 2.1070 | 0.0793 |
| Aqua America Inc | 0.70 | 0.48 | 2.2225 | 0.0836 |
| California Water Service Group | 0.75 | 0.58 | 2.8593 | 0.1076 |
| Middlesex Water Co. | 0.75 | 0.59 | 3.1751 | 0.1195 |
| York Water Co. | 0.80 | 0.64 | 3.3783 | 0.1271 |
| Average | 0.73 | 0.54 | 2.7683 | 0.1042 |
| Beta Range ( + /- 2 std. Devs. of Beta) | 0.33 | 0.75 |  |  |
| 2 std. Devs. of Beta | 0.21 |  |  |  |
| Residual Std. Err. Range ( $+/-2$ std. Devs. of the Residual Std. Err.) | 2.5251 | 3.0115 |  |  |
| Std. dev. of the Res. Std. Err. | 0.1216 |  |  |  |
| 2 std. devs. of the Res. Std. Err. | 0.2432 |  |  |  |

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina<br>Proxy Group of Non-Price Regulated Companies<br>Comparable in Total Risk to the<br>Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Group of Seventeen Non-Price Regulated Companies | VL Adjusted Beta | Unadjusted Beta | Residual <br> Standard Error of the Regression | Standard Deviation of Beta |
| AutoZone Inc. | 0.80 | 0.67 | 2.7751 | 0.1044 |
| Cheesecake Factory | 0.75 | 0.55 | 2.7961 | 0.1052 |
| Cboe Global Markets | 0.75 | 0.59 | 2.7130 | 0.1021 |
| Cracker Barrel | 0.80 | 0.64 | 3.0058 | 0.1131 |
| Chemed Corp. | 0.80 | 0.68 | 2.6135 | 0.0983 |
| C.H. Robinson | 0.80 | 0.68 | 2.5785 | 0.0970 |
| Campbell Soup | 0.65 | 0.45 | 2.6367 | 0.0992 |
| Dollar General | 0.85 | 0.73 | 2.9989 | 0.1128 |
| Dunkin' Brands Group | 0.65 | 0.44 | 2.7974 | 0.1053 |
| Darden Restaurants | 0.85 | 0.70 | 2.9531 | 0.1111 |
| Forrester Research | 0.70 | 0.54 | 2.6049 | 0.0980 |
| HCA Holdings | 0.85 | 0.74 | 2.9907 | 0.1125 |
| Hormel Foods | 0.70 | 0.54 | 2.5543 | 0.0961 |
| Vail Resorts | 0.80 | 0.68 | 2.5433 | 0.0957 |
| NVR, Inc. | 0.85 | 0.70 | 2.8597 | 0.1076 |
| Pinnacle Foods | 0.75 | 0.62 | 2.5873 | 0.0974 |
| Texas Roadhouse | 0.85 | 0.73 | 2.9257 | 0.1101 |
| Average | 0.78 | 0.63 | 2.7600 | 0.1000 |
| Proxy Group of Six Water |  |  |  |  |
| Companies | 0.73 | 0.54 | 2.7683 | 0.1042 |

Source of Information:
Valueline Proprietary Database, September 2018

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina
Summary of Cost of Equity Models Applied to
Proxy Group of Seventeen Non-Price Regulated Companies
Comparable in Total Risk to the Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

| Principal Methods |  | Proxy Group of Seventeen NonPrice Regulated Companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discounted Cash Flow Model (DCF) (1) |  | 13.79 \% |
| Risk Premium Model (RPM) (2) |  | 12.32 |
| Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) (3) |  | 11.52 |
|  | Mean | 12.54 \% |
|  | Median | 12.32 \% |
|  | Average of Mean and Median | 12.43 \% |

Notes:
(1) From page 27 of this Schedule.
(2) From page 28 of this Schedule.
(3) From page 31 of this Schedule.


Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina<br>Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate<br>Through Use of a Risk Premium Model<br>Using an Adjusted Total Market Approach

Line No.
Proxy Group of Seventeen NonPrice Regulated Companies

1. Prospective Yield on Baa Rated Corporate Bonds (1)
2. Equity Risk Premium (2)
3. Risk Premium Derived Common

Equity Cost Rate

Notes: (1) Average forecast of Baa corporate bonds based upon the consensus of nearly 50 economists reported in Blue Chip Financial Forecasts dated October 1, 2018 and June 1, 2018 (see pages 18 and 19 of this Schedule). The estimates are detailed below.

| Fourth Quarter 2018 | $5.10 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| First Quarter 2019 | 5.30 |
| Second Quarter 2019 | 5.40 |
| Third Quarter 2019 | 5.50 |
| Fourth Quarter 2019 | 5.60 |
| First Quarter 2020 | 5.60 |
| 2020-2024 | 6.10 |
| 2025-2029 | 6.30 |
| $\%$ |  |

(2) From page 30 of this Schedule.

## Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina

Comparison of Long-Term Issuer Ratings for the
Proxy Group of Seventeen Non-Price Regulated Companies of Comparable risk to the
Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

|  | Moody's <br> Long-Term Issuer Rating September 2018 |  | Standard \& Poor's Long-Term Issuer Rating September 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Group of Seventeen NonPrice Regulated Companies | Long- <br> Term <br> Issuer <br> Rating | Numerical Weighting <br> (1) | Long- <br> Term <br> Issuer <br> Rating | Numerical <br> Weighting <br> (1) |
| AutoZone Inc. | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Cheesecake Factory | NR | -- | NR | -- |
| Cboe Global Markets | Baa1 | 8.0 | A- | 7.0 |
| Cracker Barrel | WR | -- | NR | -- |
| Chemed Corp. | WR | -- | NR | -- |
| C.H. Robinson | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| Campbell Soup | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB- | 10.0 |
| Dollar General | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Dunkin' Brands Group | NR | -- | NR | -- |
| Darden Restaurants | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Forrester Research | NR | -- | NR | -- |
| HCA Holdings | Ba2 | 12.0 | BB+ | 11.0 |
| Hormel Foods | A1 | 5.0 | A | 6.0 |
| Vail Resorts | NR | -- | NR | -- |
| NVR, Inc. | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| Pinnacle Foods | NR | -- | BB- | 13.0 |
| Texas Roadhouse | NR | -- | NR | -- |
| Average | Baa2 | 8.7 | BBB | 9.0 |

Notes:
(1) From page 6 of Schedule DWD-4 (direct testimony).

Source of Information:
Bloomberg Professional Services

## Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina

Derivation of Equity Risk Premium Based on the Total Market Approach
Using the Beta for
Proxy Group of Seventeen Non-Price Regulated Companies of Comparable risk to the Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

| Line No. | Equity Risk Premium Measure | Proxy Group of Seventeen NonPrice Regulated Companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ibbotson-Based Equity Risk Premiums: |  |  |
| 1. | Ibbotson Equity Risk Premium (1) | 5.70 \% |
| 2. | Regression on Ibbotson Risk Premium Data (2) | 7.28 |
| 3. | Ibbotson Equity Risk Premium based on PRPM (3) | 6.41 |
| 5. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Value Line Summary and Index (4) | 6.01 |
| 6. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Value Line S\&P 500 Companies (5) | 11.64 |
| 7. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Bloomberg S\&P 500 Companies (6) | 10.30 |
| 8. | Conclusion of Equity Risk Premium | 7.89 \% |
| 9. | Adjusted Beta (7) | 0.85 |
| 10. | Forecasted Equity Risk Premium | 6.71 \% |

Notes:
(1) From note 1 of page 16 of this Schedule.
(2) From note 2 of page 16 of this Schedule.
(3) From note 3 of page 16 of this Schedule.
(4) From note 4 of page 16 of this Schedule.
(5) From note 5 of page 16 of this Schedule.
(6) From note 6 of page 16 of this Schedule.
(7) Average of mean and median beta from page 31 of this Schedule.

Sources of Information:
Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation - 2018 SBBI Yearbook, John Wiley \& Sons, Inc.
Value Line Summary and Index
Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, October 1, 2018 and June 1, 2018
Bloomberg Professional Services

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina
Traditional CAPM and ECAPM Results for the Proxy Group of Non-Price-Regulated Companies Comparable in Total Risk to the Proxy Group of Six Water Companies

®

$\sqrt{2}$


$\cdots$

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\top}$


Notes:
(1) From note 1, page 22 of this Schedule.
(3) Average of CAPM and ECAPM cost rates.

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina<br>Summary of Cost of Equity Models Applied to<br>Proxy Group of Seventeen Non-Price Regulated Companies<br>Comparable in Total Risk to the<br>Mr. Hinton's Water Proxy Group

| Principal Methods | Proxy Group of <br> Seventeen Non- <br> Price Regulated <br> Companies |
| :--- | :---: |
| Discounted Cash Flow Model (DCF) (1) | $14.13 \%$ |
| Risk Premium Model (RPM) (2) | 12.32 |
| Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) (3) | 11.52 |


| Mean | 12.66 |
| ---: | :---: | Median | 12.32 |
| ---: |

## Notes:

(1) From page 2 of this Schedule.
(2) From page 28 of Schedule DWD-1R
(3) From page 31 of Schedule DWD-1R

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina
DCF Results for the Proxy Group of Non-Price-Regulated Companies Comparable in Total Risk to the Mr. Hinton's Water Proxy Group

(1) The application of the DCF model to the domestic, non-price regluated comparable risk companies is identical to the corrected application of the DCF to Mr. Hinton's water proxy group. The dividend yield is derived by using the 13 week average price and the projected dividend as of September 28, 2018 and is added to the average expected EPS growth rate from Value Line and Yahoo! Finance.

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina Corrected DCF Analysis Reflecting Exclusive Reliance on Expected Growth in EPS

| Line No. Company Name |  |  | Value Line Forecast | Yahoo Forecast | Forecast EPS Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yield | $\begin{gathered} \text { EPS 5- } \\ \text { Yr. } \end{gathered}$ | EPS 5-Yr. |  |
| 1 | American States Water | 1.9 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 2 | American Water Works | 2.2 | 10.0 | 8.1 | 9.1 |
| 3 | Aqua America | 2.5 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 6.3 |
| 4 | California Water | 1.9 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 9.7 |
| 5 | Middlesex Water | 2.1 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 5.4 |
| 6 | York Water | 2.1 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 7.0 |
|  | Ave | 2.1 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 7.0 |
|  |  | Result | 10.4 | 7.9 | 9.1 |

Source of Information:
Hinton Exhibit JRH-3

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina
Demonstration of the Inadequacy of a DCF Return Rate Related to Book Value When Market Value is Greater than Book Value
[A]
[B]

Line No.

1. Per Share
2. DCF Cost Rate (3)

Based on Mr. Hinton's Water Proxy Group

| Group |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Market Value |  |

3. Return in Dollars (4)
\$ 50.04 (1)
\$ 15.56
(2)
4. Dividends (5)
5. Growth in Dollars (6)
\$ 3.303
\$ 0.303
6. Return on Market Value (7)
8.70\%
2.71\%
7. Rate of Growth on Market

Value (8)
6.60\%
0.61\%

Notes:
(1) Average of the average 13-week prices from Value Line as used by Mr. Hinton.
(2) Average book value dividing total common equity at year-end 2017 by common shares outstanding at year-end 2017 for each proxy group company.
(3) Mr. Hinton's Recommended DCF cost rate on from Hinton Exhibit JRH-3.
(4) Line $1 \times$ Line 2.
(5) Dividends are based on a $2.10 \%$ dividend yield, from Hinton Exhibit JRH-3.
(6) Line 3 - Line 4.
(7) Line 3 / Line 1.
(8) Line 5 / Line 1.
Calculation of Indicated DCF Applied to Book Value Capital Structure
of Mr. Hinton's Proxy Group


|  |  | Prospective A <br> Rated Utility | Prospective <br> Equity Risk | Indicated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | Slope | Bond (1) | Premium | ROE |
| $8.816452 \%$ | -0.77848 | $5.07 \%$ | $4.87 \%$ | $9.94 \%$ |

Notes:
(1) Prospective Moody's A rated utility bond is calculated by first calculating the Aaa Bonds

|  | Aaa Bonds |
| ---: | ---: |
| Third Quarter 2018 | $4.10 \%$ |
| Fourth Quarter 2018 | $4.30 \%$ |
| First Quarter 2019 | $4.50 \%$ |
| Second Quarter 2019 | $4.60 \%$ |
| Third Quarter 2019 | $4.70 \%$ |
| Fourth Quarter 2019 | $4.80 \%$ |
| $2020-2024$ | $5.30 \%$ |
| $2025-2029$ | $5.40 \%$ |
| ${ } \\ { } &{ }$ |  |

After calculating the prospective Moody's Aaa corporate yield, we apply a recent spread between Aaa corporate bonds and A rate utility bonds to arrive at a porspective Moody's A rated utility bond.

|  | Aaa Corp | A Utility | Spread |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Jun-18 | $3.96 \%$ | $4.27 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ |
| Jul-18 | $3.87 \%$ | $4.27 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| Aug-18 | $3.88 \%$ | $4.26 \%$ | $0.38 \%$ |
| Average |  |  | $0.36 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Prospective Moody's A rated utility bond yield |  |  |  |

## Sources of Information:

Blue Chip Financial Forecasts September 1, 2018 and June 1, 2018
Hinton Exhibit JRH-4
Bloomberg Professional Services
Calculation of Range of ROEs needed
to Obtain a Single "A" Rating


Notes
(1) From Schedule DWD-1R, page 1.
(2) Column (a) x Column (b)
(3) Low end of updated recommended range as shown on Schedule DWD-1R, page 1.
(4) Overall Equity Cost Rate $\times$ Tax Conversion Factor
(5) Hinton Direct Testimony
$\stackrel{\text { 甲 }}{\stackrel{1}{1}}$



ヘิ





 $\stackrel{\text { din }}{\text { en }}$ $\rightarrow$

ゅ
엉․ ．
$\leftrightarrow$
$\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$



$\stackrel{\square}{\oplus}$

$\%$ ¢ $\cdot \stackrel{ }{ }$

ベ1
๗ٌ


$\bar{\omega}$






## 






## 

2．18\％

Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina
R-Squareds of Mr. Hinton's Proxy Group

| Mr. Hinton's Water Proxy Group | R- <br> Squared |
| :--- | ---: |
| American States Water Co. | 0.1043 |
| American Water Works | 0.0664 |
| Aqua America inc. | 0.1153 |
| California Water Service Group | 0.1023 |
| Middlesex Water | 0.0863 |
| York Water Company | $\underline{0.0896}$ |
| Average | $\underline{0.0941}$ |

Source of Information: Value Line Proprietary Database, September 2018

Docket No. W-354, Sub 360
Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina
Rate Mechanisms in Place at Proxy Group Operating Subsidiaries


