From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Alice Loyd <aliceloyd@earthlink.net> Wednesday, January 06, 2016 11:40 AM Statements tim.dodge@psncuc.nc.gov Subject: DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 141 FILED

OFFICIAL COPY

JAN 0.6 2018

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

I'm writing to ask the Public Utilities Commission to OPPOSE any smart meter opt-out tariffs proposed by Duke Energy. People should not have to pay to protect their health from an industry-inflicted device that only increases profits of the industry.

The scientific literature shows that reported cases of electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS) are increasing. Many researchers are investigating the effects of electromagnetic frequencies such as that produced by smart meters on human health. The United States Access Board (developer of the Americans with Disabilities Act) recognizes that EHS is a growing problem, recognizes it as a disability, and is creating best practices to protect people afflicted with EHS.

The FCC standards that Duke Energy relies on to justify that smart meters are safe are not intended to be applied to smart meters, as discussed by the Environmental Protection Agency in 2002: <u>EPA position on FCC standards</u>.

Until these technologies have been proven benign, they should not be forced upon us. North Carolinians need the help of the North Carolina Utilities Commission to protect our health and our freedom to choose.

 From:
 jstocks1989@gmail.com on behalf of Jennie Stocks <jstocks@ctc.net> E D

 Sent:
 Wednesday, January 06, 2016 11:46 AM

 To:
 Statements; tim.dodge@psncuc.nc.gov

 Subject:
 DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 141

To whom it may concern:

Clerk's Office

N.C. Utilizes Commission d it so far fetched to imagine th

I do not know if there are health risks to smart meters or not. I do not find it so far fetched to imagine that there are people who are sensitive to electromagnetic frequencies (some people have reactions to all sorts of things, like peanuts, fragrances, etc). I do not believe that people should have to pay a fee to opt out of something they believe to be harmful to their health. If for no other reason than the poorest people will have no choice but to suffer the adverse health effects, while those who can afford it, will be able to opt out. That doesn't seem quite fair. And allowing Duke Energy to have tariffs/fees would set a precedent for other Utilities.

I also believe that the Utility should be liable (with no limits) for any and all adverse effects that these smart meters cause, especially if there is no way to opt out (or if there are fees involved in opting out). Then at least they would be accountable for the damage they may inflict on others. If they truly are safe, then they should have no objection to this.

Thank you for your consideration, Jennifer Stocks Concord, NC **OFFICIAL COPY** 

From: Sent: To: Subject: Genie Jansen <geniej@bellsouth.net> Wednesday, January 06, 2016 11:59 AM Statements Subject: DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 141

# FILED

JAN 0.6 2016

Clark's Office

N.C. United Commission Smart meters sound good but people are affected by magnetic waves. For example Carol who has had cancer has become sensitized to emissions and can no longer go to my church because they installed lots of solar panels and it results in body pain for her.

Ease of reading meters makes sense to Duke as a corporation but what is the result on human beings by these continuous emissions. We want to make the earth friendly to humans, not to harm them.

The Ohio penalties for not installing are out of range for retired Carol and for many, many others.

Thank you for re-evaluating this method on human beings. Sincerely, Genie Jansen

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jennifer Johnson <jennjohnmail@gmail.com> Wednesday, January 06, 2016 12:21 PM Statements; tim.dodge@psncuc.nc.gov DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 141

## FILED

JAN 0.6 2016

Clerk's Office

I am writing to express my hope that you will reject tariffs proposed by Duke Energy for smart here in the second state of the second s

Here are a few reasons why:

• People shouldn't be fined for trying to protect their health. The law view the home as sacred, and people who don't want harmful devices installed in their homes should not be penalized.

• The scientific literature shows that electromagnetic frequencies, such as that produced by smart meters, are a growing health threat and reported cases of electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS) are increasing.

• The United States Access Board (developer of the Americans with Disabilities Act) recognizes that EHS is a growing problem, recognizes it as a disability, and is creating best practices to protect people afflicted with EHS.

• The FCC standards that Duke Energy relies on to justify that smart meters are safe are not intended to be applied to smart meters, as discussed by the Environmental Protection Agency in 2002: <u>EPA position on FCC standards</u>.

• People should not have to pay to protect their health from an industry-inflicted device that only increases profits of the industry. The NCUC's position on Duke's tariffs will set a precedent for the other utilities who will deal with opt-out provisions, and the NCUC must keep that in mind.

• Ronald Powell, Phd Harvard and former employee at the Executive Office of the President, the National Science Foundation, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology created this list of potential adverse effects: Symptoms after Exposure to Smart Meter Radiation.

• North Carolinians need the help of the North Carolina Utilities Commission to protect their health. Duke Energy is the largest electricity provider in the world, and the average citizen doesn't stand a chance on their own.

From: Sent: To: Subject: drchapel@arisealcoholrecovery.com Wednesday, January 06, 2016 12:26 PM Statements SMART METERS EI0D SUB )41 FILED

JAN 06 2016

Clark's Office N.C. Utiliaes Commission

NO NO NO. It is our individual right to choose to accept or not accept Smart Meters placed at our homes. We should not be forced to pay a penalty for refusing to have them installed. I'm tired of greedy monopolies who have no interest in the health and safety of their customers. To allow this to go forward is do deny our constitutional freedom of choice. NO to Smart Meters. Suka Chapel-Horst

1

**DFFICIAL COPY** 

From: Sent:	Joanna P. Carey <joannapcarey@gmail.co Wednesday, January 06, 2016 1:34 PM</joannapcarey@gmail.co 	FILED
To: Subject:	Statements smart meters EIOD SUB14	

To whom it may concern,

Clerk's Office

I am opposed to smart meter use in Durham for all the reasons that have been stated thus far. They are unsafe and potentially very dangerous.

To force people to use them and then to charge people for them is absurd.

In addition, it is completely unfair to pronounce tarifs on those people who refuse to have these meters. This is unconstitutional and unreasonable.

I will not allow this to occur and ask you to honor the wishes of those who choose not to follow this process. And allow us to exercise our freedom by not charging us tarifs.

That is entirely unacceptable.

Thank you,

Joanna Carey

From: Sent: To: Subject: Sarah Ruiz <dayse2usc@gmail.com> Wednesday, January 06, 2016 3:14 PM Statements DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 141 JAN 06 2016

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

- Please do not force NC residents to install smart meters and especially do not impose heavy fines or tariffs for not complying. This is not freedom.
- The Public Utilities Commission should OPPOSE any smart meter opt-out tariffs proposed by Duke Energy.
- The scientific literature shows that electromagnetic frequencies, such as that produced by smart meters, are a growing health threat and reported cases of electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS) are increasing.
- The United States Access Board (developer of the Americans with Disabilities Act) recognizes that EHS is a growing problem, recognizes it as a disability, and is creating best practices to protect people afflicted with EHS.
- The FCC standards that Duke Energy relies on to justify that smart meters are safe are not intended to be applied to smart meters, as discussed by the Environmental Protection Agency in 2002: <u>EPA position on FCC standards</u>.
- People should not have to pay to protect their health from an industry-inflicted device that only
  increases profits of the industry. The NCUC's position on Duke's tariffs will set a precedent for
  the other utilities who will deal with opt-out provisions, and the NCUC must keep that in mind.
  Even if you aren't a Duke customer, the Duke tariff outcome could affect you.
- Include any personal adverse health experiences that you think are related to exposure to EMFs or mention that you want to avoid potential adverse effects. Here are a list of possible adverse effects, as created by Ronald Powell, Phd Harvard and former employee at the Executive Office of the President, the National Science Foundation, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology: <u>Symptoms after Exposure to Smart Meter Radiation</u>.
- North Carolinians need the help of the North Carolina Utilities Commission to protect their health. Duke Energy is the largest electricity provider in the world, and the average citizen doesn't stand a chance on their own.

DFFICIAL COP