

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Pamela Corvi
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 1:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Pamela Corvi

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Pamela Corvi

Email

corvi.pamela32@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject that plan that Duke Energy is pursuing!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Rachel Knapp
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 1:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rachel Knapp

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rachel Knapp

Email

rachelk1584@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please Save NC Solar! Please take the time to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Help protect the value of solar in North Carolina!

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Eileen Marie Herbst
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 1:43 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Eileen Marie Herbst

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Eileen Marie Herbst

Email

mamaherbst@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly urge you to consider REJECTING this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. The NCUC must conduct a full cost benefit study of rooftop solar. We clearly are in a time of energy consumption and higher costs - why would you want to stifle climate goals that North Carolina will benefit from? We have invested in solar panels, not because we would see a quick return on investment - but because we believe it is the right thing to do for our environment and the future of our planet. Please don't take 2 steps backward as our earth continues to experience global warming and energy resources are becoming vastly expensive for the population. Duke Energy should NOT be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact, and prevent others from investing in this valuable solar resource. We currently receive our electric power from Apex, but Duke Energy with its vast powerful resources, will put added pressure on other utility companies to comply. Do not let this happen, please!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Don Weber
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 1:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Don Weber

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Don Weber

Email

don82much@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please stay the course on the current Solar Net Metering program thru Duke Energy! Specifically the proposed changes of Time of Use, monthly solar accounting with no monthly rollover, and the wholesale rate applied to residential solar customers. Thank you, Don Weber

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Joseph J Alonis
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 11:56 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph J Alonis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joseph J Alonis

Email

joe.alonis@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Although I appreciate the need to cover all costs associated with the generation and delivery of electrical power to our homes and businesses, it seems to me that a home generator of any kind is simply a means of reducing electrical usage and thus indistinguishable from conservation. Shall we have a surcharge for customers who, by conservation, reduce their demand on the grid? Shall we have a surcharge for non-customers who have local power generation of any kind and thereby do not buy electricity from the grid at all? I oppose the changes as stated in the DEP/DEC filing. I believe they go beyond the mandate from the legislature to address cross subsidies.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Knapp
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 12:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Knapp

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Knapp

Email

jlk7299@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Michael Robert Carey
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 12:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Robert Carey

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Robert Carey

Email

carey.michael.r@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have read in the news about proposed changes to solar net-metering as recommended by Duke Energy. As a current owner of solar panels, the proposed changes are not only confusing but frankly insulting, when considering the amount of clean energy we generate and share with other consumers, and the cost and investment we put in to do that. With our climate getting ever warmer, and energy independence more important than ever, we should be encouraging, not punishing, homeowners for investing significant sums of their own money into our state's energy infrastructure. Please make sure that a true investigation of the costs and benefits of Duke's self-interested change in net-metering policy is completed in full and maintains the proper focus on the consumer and the environment.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Nicholas T Brown
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 12:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nicholas T Brown

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nicholas T Brown

Email

nicholas.teal.brown@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am thoroughly against letting the power company unilaterally rewrite the rules that solar households sign up for. Apart from feeling like a bit of a betrayal to those of us who were counting on the benefits we were promised, the proposed changes would discourage rooftop solar at a time when we need it more than ever. Sincerely yours, Nick Brown

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022