

Dunston, Antonia

From: Paul Schuler
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:52 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Schuler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paul Schuler

Email

paulschuler25@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge a vote against this measure and would ask for an in depth investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering for solar in NC. Many of us in our neighbourhood have rooftop solar to offset the ever rising energy costs to keep our homes livable in the summer, and that cost will only increase as the temperatures continue to rise over the coming years. We also want to ensure others are incentivised to consider green energy, and if that incentive is reduced, I fear it will be a much harder decision to make due to the upfront costs of the installation and maintenance itself. Thanks you for your time and consideration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Noel Stevens
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:52 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Noel Stevens

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Noel Stevens

Email

noelandron@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Based on continued worldwide promotion to look for alternative energy sources, I added solar to my home I do not agree with Duke Energy's submitted a plan to the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers I Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From: Matt Bolick
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Matt Bolick

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Matt Bolick

Email

matt@matthewbolick.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a residential solar customer in North Carolina I am writing in opposition to the proposed net metering changes proposed by Duke Energy. Their proposed rate changes will have a chilling effect on residential customers, such as myself, who spent a significant amount of hard earned money to install solar systems to do the right thing for our planet and the state reducing the load on our power grid. Most of us aren't making this significant investment for immediate savings or any hopes of breaking even in the first 12-14 years. We're doing it because it's the right thing to do for our community and our planet. With this proposal, Duke is asking us to bear an even greater portion of this burden as they pay us an unfair rate for energy they don't have to generate. Moves like this will only serve to increase Duke's profits on the backs of North Carolina residents trying to do the right things for the planet.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Roy Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Roy Brooks

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Roy Brooks

Email

rob Brooks@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. NCUC must conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar as required by law. time-of-use billing peak rates does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Priscilla Rust
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Priscilla Rust

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Priscilla Rust

Email

chirpone@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please don't allow Duke to charge solar customers more for energy. They need to honor the original net metering agreement. Let's prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Thank you.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Kent Robertson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:50 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kent Robertson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kent Robertson

Email

kentr1@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a complete investigation of the cost of my use of the grid versus the amount I already pay to support the grid before changing the rate. I purchased my solar unit with the agreement that Duke would pay me for the extra electricity I produce. That original agreement should last for the life of my rooftop solar panels. Don't be a party to a switch and bait scheme. Don't pull the rug out from under me after I paid to install my solar panels to help NC be more energy and environmentally responsible.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Roy L and Lisa Oldham
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Roy L and Lisa Oldham

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Roy L and Lisa Oldham

Email

loldham1@ec.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are asking you to vote negatively on Duke Energy's ask. We just purchased our solar in this year because we wanted to help with less imprint on the earth. I realize that they make money selling energy and solar will dip into their pockets. I understand that, but we need to try to save the planet before there is just nothing left to save. The extra energy we generate will help with the amount that can be done. So please deny their request to pay less for the extra energy that those of us who are doing a little extra to help. We would like our grandchildren to be able survive n this earth.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Michael Mahan
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Mahan, P. E.

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Mahan, P. E.

Email

mmahanws@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I think it is interesting that Duke Power is asking for relief from making their renewable energy goals while pushing a scheme that will reduce the residential switch to solar energy. Hopefully the NC legislature will not enable them to do either!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Thomas Carson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Carson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thomas Carson

Email

thcarson@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I encourage you to reject the net metering changes proposed by Duke Energy. You should do an in-depth cost/benefit analysis of solar in NC before making any changes. Also, the solar industry provides lots of jobs in this state, and those jobs would be at risk. Thank you for your consideration!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Monika Wengler
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Monika Wengler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Monika Wengler

Email

hamtoavl@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Madam or Sir, I had solar panels installed on my house last year. At that time I was under the impression that I would be able to regain the substantial investment I had made through savings in electricity bills. Now everything seems to be up for grabs. In my opinion, Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Sincerely, Monika Wengler

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Ruth Frame
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:46 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ruth Frame

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ruth Frame

Email

rframe@embarqmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to the change requested by Duke Power to the net metering regulations. One of the big reasons I installed a 11.4 kw solar system was NC net metering. This made it more cost effective since I didn't need to install a battery backup. Net metering made the battery back up unnecessary. If the rules are changed now, it will negatively effect future solar decisions. You need to encourage more residential solar customers not Thank you.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Anita A.Bolz &Carl W.Bolz
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:46 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Anita A. Bolz &Carl W. Bolz

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Anita A. Bolz &Carl W. Bolz

Email

bolzca3@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Not only should you reject Duke proposal to change the value electricity produced of residential solar homes owners. You should allow residential homeowners to set the date Duke resets the excess electricity produced to zero. We typically lose 400 to 500 kW every year. If we could change the date to December 31st, instead of May 31st we would not lose any electricity we produced but did not get credit for from Duke. Anita & Carl Bolz

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jon Capps
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:46 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jon Capps

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jon Capps

Email

jonjcapps@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I just found out that Duke Energy wants to change the net metering rules and back out of the deal that I made to get compensated for investing in solar. Sounds fishy that a big push was and is being made to help the entire electrical grid on its impact, only to have Duke Energy offer less compensation after the fact. I spent a lot of money to help reduce my overall cost, help out the grid and help the environment. Why are you allowing this strong arm behavior? Please do not change a deal that was made with me, unless it is to help me recover my cost quicker. now if Duke Energy want to give me \$45K in a form of a check in the next 12 months, I am game for what ever they want, I will get my money back, my electrical bill will be cheaper and Duke can have the wholesale electricity.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rick James
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rick James

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rick James

Email

rjames@meredithwebb.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I agree the current Net Metering rules need to be changed, but not in a way that could benefit Duke Power. At this point Duke Energy has stolen over 2MW of production from me over the years I have had my solar system. Each year they reset the carryover at the end of may, which drops off all of my banked credits from the wintertime, where I easily overproduce my usage as I have gas heat. The company is already crooked enough and protected enough by our legislation and shouldn't be covered anymore. Until the president of Duke is out cleaning up the accidents his company has caused they should get no concession, nor anything that could possibly be remotely viewed as a benefit to them. They have not been operating in such a way that promotes all of us doing well, they have clearly shown they will screw over this state and it's people to gain a profit.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Robert Schopler
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Schopler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Schopler

Email

rls28@duke.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a solar panel owner who has been donating my energy to Duke Energy's grid for the past few years. They reset the net metering just after the peak of sunlight hours so as to zero out the maximum energy from what I and others have generated and now they are asking to charge us more than the \$ we currently pay for the privilege of giving them energy and a good name. Please conduct a full cost benefit analysis of rooftop solar energy before allowing Duke Energy to put through another increase in our costs.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ken Hunter
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ken Hunter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ken Hunter

Email

blueknight571968@gmail.com

Docket

specify Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

I am opposed to this, it is not fair to the people trying to do the right thing, and big power doesn't have to pay the amount they charge us anyway. Vote no, Big powers pockets are already deep enough!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Andrew Lobonc
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Andrew Lobonc

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Andrew Lobonc

Email

loboncaj@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the proposal from Duke Energy to change how metering works for rooftop solar. It would greatly harm current and future solar projects at a time when we need to be encouraging alternative energy sources. Duke Energy consistently is looking out for its own good and not the greater good of the community/state. Thanks for your time.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Gayle Matthews
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gayle Matthews

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gayle Matthews

Email

gmm54@icloud.com

Docket

Docket E 100 sub 180

Message

I am writing to ask the NC Utilities Commission to not go ahead with Duke Energies request to lower what they pay to homeowners for solar energy that we generate. We were assured when we installed our solar system that we would be paid for the energy that went into Duke's grid, but now they are trying to renege on this arrangement. We are trying to be environmentally conscience. The solar system was not cheap to install and we feel that Duke has a responsibility to live up to their original agreement. Please find in favor of the consumers. Sincerely, William and Gayle Matthews

Dunston, Antonia

From: Christy Carter
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Christy Carter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Christy Carter

Email

cjobecarter@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

You guys already screwed the consumer with Duke by allowing them to make us pay for their coal ash clean up... DO NOT screw the consumer again by allowing Duke to cut the solar payback plan. Have Lynn Goode pay for any deficit out of her pocket. Lynn Good's \$16.5 million total compensation package in 2021 was 142 times the \$115,851 in total compensation for the company's median employee. Start thinking about the consumer and how we and even you as someone who uses energy is getting screwed everyday by Duke.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jeff Wright
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jeff Wright

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeff Wright

Email

Jbwsilco@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose what Duke wants to do!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Lucas Kleinschmidt
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lucas Kleinschmidt

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lucas Kleinschmidt

Email

lucaskleinschmidt@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject. As a new solar panel buyer, if I was aware of this change prior to paying a large sum to go solar I would not have gone this way. Changing the rules now would extend my payoff period by years. Please reconsider.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Donald H Bland
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Donald H Bland

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Donald H Bland

Email

llewelin5@aol.com

Docket

E-100 SUB 180

Message

Duke Energy, is starting to cry the blues because they are afraid that they will loose a dollar down the road, considering they are loosing millions due to their battle of having to clean up all that they have messed up and have to clean the grounds they have dumped their waste. Cut back on the amount that they can pay out to those consumers who purchase their stocks and bonds, and give the poor working man who pays out their last dollars they work their fingers to the bone trying to provide for their families. The amount that they pay their stock holders is totally out of reason while those paying their last dollar for power so their family can keep their food stored and children warm in the winter and cooled in the summer so they will not suffer heat strokes is totally WRONG.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Lee Stockdale
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:43 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lee Stockdale

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lee Stockdale

Email

leelawsonstockdale@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a retired Army Judge Advocate Colonel with thirty years in the Army. My father was a US Marine in World War II. My son was an Army Ranger in Iraq and Afghanistan. Please reject whatever Duke is proposing to make residential and other solar options less attractive than they are now. It is simply mind-blowing that Duke, or any entity, period, would try to make it more difficult to transition to clean, renewable, sustainable energy. Please vote with your consciences and with the proven knowledge that clean, renewable energy is the only way to positively address the existential threat to our planet. Duke's profit margin will mean nothing if the planet is destroyed. Please do not put Duke shareholders and corporate executives above the good of the planet, generally, and North Carolinians, specifically. It is simply unbelievable to me that Duke is trying to do anything but make solar more accessible and attractive for all.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Holly Goddard Jones
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:43 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Holly Goddard Jones

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Holly Goddard Jones

Email

goddardjones@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing out of concern about Duke Energy's efforts to lobby against net metering for North Carolina customers who have solar panels. My husband and I invested in solar panels in 2017 at a cost of nearly \$40,000. We did this purely out of concern for the planet we are leaving our young children and with the understanding that, even under net metering, it would take us many years to break even on our investment. Ending net metering would make investing in solar even more difficult for most NC households at a time when it's crucial that alternative energies should be incentivized. My understanding is that it's Duke's claim that solar customers pay less than our fair share for use of the grid. Solar production peaks at times when energy usage is highest, and Duke already offers incentives to customers who will allow their home productions to be governed so as to not strain the system during peak hours. Furthermore, rooftop solar is a private investment that benefits Duke, who will benefit even more by the investment as time passes and as alternative energy mandates increase. We were the ones who contracted, financed, and managed installation of our panels. We are the ones who set aside space on our property for rooftop solar. Duke will have to take advantage of more rooftops as time goes by and suitable land for solar farms becomes more scarce. Duke has a long history of environmental degradation and prioritizing profits over people and planet. Their manipulations of our system must not be allowed to continue.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Tyrell Heaton
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:43 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tyrell Heaton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tyrell Heaton

Email

tyheaton@hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We just invested a lot of money on our solar panels to help offset the effects of increasing utility bills and ultimately do our part to combat climate change. Support customers who are using their own money to install solar panels that ultimately reduce the strain on Duke Energy's system, especially during peak usage times.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jason Blane
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:42 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Blane

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jason Blane

Email

jason.blane24@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not let Duke change net metering rules unchallenged. I already pay too much for my grid connection and Duke benefits greatly because I produce more electricity than I use and am not compensated. In fact, my "roll over credits" expire in a manner engineered to benefit Duke Energy. Rooftop solar should be encouraged to help meet our clean energy goals, not penalized to maximize Duke's profit. Thank you! Jason Blane

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Tracy OBrien
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:42 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tracy OBrien

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tracy OBrien

Email

naturespirit63@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC Utilities Commission, Pertaining to: Docket E-100 Sub 180 I'm going to be ROILINGLY PISSED if Duke Energy is allowed to use the energy I'VE paid \$37,000 for by installing solar panels to our roof, and NOT pay me fair market price!!! I do not make much money, but have saved for YEARS to have solar panels installed in order to break away from the dirty energy from Duke Power. I will be paying for these panels for MANY more years to come, and I expect NC Utilities Commission to protect and support homeowners like me. Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.!!! Most Ardently! Tracy OBrien, Summerfield, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ashley Fazal
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ashley Fazal

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ashley Fazal

Email

ashleyfazal@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As a solar customer, retaining current, straightforward net metering policy is much preferred.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Justin Jones
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Justin Jones

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Justin Jones

Email

justin.jones5@outlook.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rob DiTomas
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rob DiTomas

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rob DiTomas

Email

robditomas@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I would like to make sure duke energy does not reduce the value of my solar panels unless they are going to payback for the value of the solar that I am still paying on. With duke being a monopoly and with me having no choice on what electric company I get to use, I would like to believe my thoughts are taken into consideration. I would hate for duke to get another black eye after coal ash in my area, polluting the lake in my area and now essentially price gouging in my area.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: GENE WILKINS
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by GENE WILKINS

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

GENE WILKINS

Email

geneavone@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Noah Ingersoll
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Noah Ingersoll

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Noah Ingersoll

Email

n.ingersoll50@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. The NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. Including mine. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand Compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of any solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Justin Jones
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Justin Jones

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Justin Jones

Email

justin.jones5@outlook.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Scott Buckel
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Scott Buckel

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Scott Buckel

Email

scottbuckel@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal. This is a critical time to support solar and other renewable energy sources. I believe this proposal will only help Duke Energy and not the people in our state that really care about climate change.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: charles v croft
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by charles v croft

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

charles v croft

Email

cvcroft@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I invested in a green future. Please do not pass this as to reduce my investment and taint our future.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Chad Lassere
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Chad Lassere

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Chad Lassere

Email

chadlassere@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke energy in NC is already benefiting from Solar customers by resetting any surplus in May, one of the most productive months while other states due so either in winter months or not at all. They are effectively leasing the real estate on my roof for free to be a part of their solar farm. Now corporate greed and deep pockets are attempting to disadvantage consumers who own solar and make it less appealing for new customers to produce renewable energy. This is unethical to change the rules once the game has already started.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: MADANR GOYAL
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by MADANR GOYAL

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

MADANR GOYAL

Email

Madeera.Goyal@Gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have solar energy since Dec. 2021 I am happy with this. It is very important that we go for renewable energy sources much as possible to reduce global warming.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Francisco Rivas
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Francisco Rivas

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Francisco Rivas

Email

francisco.o.rivas@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please carefully consider, including with a full cost-benefit study what impact changes to residential rooftop solar rules will have on what customers pay for electricity, NC's climate goals, and the investments residents have made in their homes with rooftop solar installations. Straight forward net metering rules are what have made North Carolina attractive for solar investment. Allowing Duke Energy to charge peak pricing during certain times, but paying less than they charge for that same energy from residential solar installations is patently unfair to consumers. Duke Energy's effective monopoly on residential electricity in their areas of service eliminates competition from other companies, and it seems like their proposed changes are trying to eliminate residential solar from competing with them as well. Please keep net metering rules straight forward and at a 1:1 ratio for energy generated from residential solar installations.

From: Karen Pullen
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Karen Pullen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Karen Pullen

Email

karenwpullen@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm asking that the NCUC conduct a thorough cost-benefit analysis of rooftop solar before making any changes to the ways net-metering is accounted. It's so important to encourage the use of rooftop solar, and homeowners want to see some economic benefit to their installation. We have a new home that is energy efficient so we will have a long payback period for our solar, but it's worth it to us to know we are helping the planet. Please do not make it harder to make that decision.

From: Daphne Pfister
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Daphne Pfister

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Daphne Pfister

Email

lanatha@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a full investigation to the cost and benefits of solar before doing any changes to net metering in NC. NC house bill 589 requires such an investigation. Changes to this policy could impact NC jobs including those of solar installers. Changing the existing bill structure will pool the rug out of those that have installed solar for the benefit of our communities and the load reduction of Duke energy. Would the peek hour even match peek demand? Please investigate before allowing them to make any changes.

From: Dennis Stuempfle
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dennis Stuempfle

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dennis Stuempfle

Email

dstump@atmc.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I've been made aware of a Duke Energy proposal to change the net metering for homeowner's solar production in NC. I installed solar a few years ago. While my service provider is not Duke Energy directly but Brunswick Electric, a proposal like this would significantly reduce the value of my investment. I understood at the time that SC did not have net metering and but offered a % of a return on the power that a homeowner generated. That was not the case here in Brunswick County where the decisions I made a directly related to the 1 for 1 metering. It affected whether I would buy storage to store my own power but it also affected whether I viewed this, as someone in his 70s, as something worth doing. Please do not change the rules now. I ask that you reject the Duke Energy proposal. Dennis

From: Caitlin Stafford
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Caitlin Stafford

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Caitlin Stafford

Email

caitstafford4@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a thorough and public investigation of solar costs before making any changes to net metering in our state. Solar is vital to maintaining energy independence as a nation and state.

Dunston, Antonia

From: James W Sylivant
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James W Sylivant

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James W Sylivant

Email

jsylivant@pobox.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As an existing home solar customer, we should be allowed to stay on our current net metering plan. Duke should retain its current net metering policy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Dennis Folmar
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dennis Folmar

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dennis Folmar

Email

dennis.folmar47@gmail.com

Docket

E100 sub 180

Message

Please stop Duke energy from robbing us of the value of electricity that we produce to help the state of NC. We are helping our state reduce our emissions in our area and they are trying to penalize us for it.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Taylor Small
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Taylor Small

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Taylor Small

Email

taylorsmall@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NCUC, As a customer who recently paid to have solar installed on my home, it is necessary that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. This has not been performed. Reducing the value of solar will prevent North Carolina from hitting its climate goals. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Having NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar is not a stall tactic as some attorneys have claimed. It is the right thing to do before considering any change.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Kellie Moylan
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kellie Moylan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kellie Moylan

Email

kjmoylan@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sun 180

Message

Please stop Duke energy from their greedy proposal and prevent them from slowing the growth of solar energy in North Carolina. The world needs more solar. Stop the greed.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Bren Schell
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:54 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bren Schell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bren Schell

Email

brschell@bellsouth.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the Duke proposal. They negotiated the current guidelines....now they want to do something different that isn't based on research...just their greed bottom line not for the environment or those of us who went to great expense. I didn't get a refund from their lottery...seems a bit rigged...yet again!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Ed Campbell
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ed Campbell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ed Campbell

Email

campbeep@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar owner in NC, I take issue with Duke rearranging the terms of the agreement to favor their business and devalue solar production. This company already has plenty of advantages including essentially a regional monopoly. Please check their power and keep this from happening.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jennifer McLucas
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jennifer McLucas

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jennifer McLucas

Email

jmclucas-ingold@wakemed.org

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please ensure that you do a true investigation of metering costs before making any changes. NC needs more incentives to solar, not less.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Alyssa Thalmann
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:36 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Alyssa Thalmann

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alyssa Thalmann

Email

alyssathalmann@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Docket E-100 Sub 180 Please protect us solar energy users from having our clean energy contributions reduced in value by Duke Energy. This proposal is counter to what we should be doing to encourage more renewable energy production. Thank you for your consideration.