

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
UTILITIES COMMISSION
RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1343

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
)
Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC)
for Approval of Clean Energy and Energy)
Efficiency Portfolio Standard (CEPS))
Compliance Report and Cost Recovery Rider)
Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. 62-133.8 and)
Commission Rule R8-67)

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
KIMBERLY A. PRESSON**

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Kimberly A. Presson, and my business address is 525 South
3 Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina.

4 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION WITH DUKE ENERGY AND**
5 **DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.**

6 A. In my capacity as Renewable Compliance Manager, I am responsible for the
7 development and implementation of clean energy compliance strategies for
8 Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (“Duke Energy Carolinas,” or “DEC”), Duke
9 Energy Progress, LLC (“Duke Energy Progress,” “DEP” or “the
10 Company”) and Duke Energy Ohio, LLC. My responsibilities include
11 compliance with North Carolina’s Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency
12 Portfolio Standard (“CEPS”)¹, compliance with Ohio’s Renewable
13 Portfolio Standard and evaluation of clean generation initiatives and
14 customer programs that relate to CEPS compliance.

15 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL**
16 **BACKGROUND.**

17 A. I received a Bachelor of Arts in Business Administration from Furman
18 University.

19 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR BUSINESS BACKGROUND AND**
20 **EXPERIENCE.**

¹ On October 10, 2023, Session Law 2023-138 (“Senate Bill 678”) became law. The law modified the name of the former Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (“REPS”) by changing the name to the Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard. The law introduced, among other things, clean energy facilities and clean energy resources, and modified the definition of a Renewable Energy Certificate to include those clean energy resources.

1 A. I began my career with Duke Power Company (now known as Duke Energy
2 Carolinas) in 1990, where I held various positions in the customer service
3 and the finance organizations. I joined the Rates Department in 2019 and
4 moved to my current position as Renewable Compliance Manager in the
5 Business Development and Compliance Department in 2021.

6 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE NORTH**
7 **CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION?**

8 A. Yes, I most recently provided testimony in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1306 on
9 DEC's 2023 CEPS compliance report and application for approval of its
10 CEPS cost recovery rider.

11 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

12 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe Duke Energy Progress'
13 activities and the costs it has incurred, or expects it will incur, in support of
14 compliance with North Carolina's Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency
15 Portfolio Standard under N.C. Gen. Stat. ("G.S.") § 62-133.8 during the
16 twelve months beginning on April 1, 2023 and ending on March 31, 2024
17 ("Test Period"), as well as during the twelve months beginning on
18 December 1, 2024 and ending on November 30, 2025 ("Billing Period").

19 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXHIBITS TO YOUR TESTIMONY.**

20 A. My testimony includes twenty-six exhibits. Presson Confidential Exhibit
21 No. 1 is the Company's 2023 CEPS Compliance Report. Presson
22 Confidential Exhibit No. 2 provides actual and forecasted CEPS compliance
23 costs, by resource, that the Company incurred during the Test Period and

1 expects to incur during the Billing Period in support of compliance with
2 CEPS. Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 3 is a worksheet detailing the other
3 incremental costs included in the DEP CEPS filing and lists the labor costs
4 by activity as directed by the North Carolina Utilities Commission
5 (“Commission”) in its January 17, 2017 Order in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1109.
6 Presson Exhibit Nos. 4-26 contain updates and results of research studies
7 the costs of which the Company is recovering via the CEPS Rider.

8 **Q. WERE THESE EXHIBITS PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR**
9 **DIRECTION AND UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?**

10 A. Presson Confidential Exhibit Nos. 1-3 were prepared by me or under my
11 supervision. Presson Exhibit Nos. 4-26 include status reports and results of
12 studies not prepared under my supervision; however, in my role at Duke
13 Energy, I am familiar with the studies.

14

15 **COMPLIANCE WITH CEPS REQUIREMENTS**

16 **Q. WHAT ARE DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS’ CEPS**
17 **REQUIREMENTS UNDER G.S. § 62-133.8?**

18 A. Pursuant to G.S. § 62-133.8,² as an electric power supplier, Duke Energy
19 Progress is required to comply with the overall CEPS requirement (“total
20 requirement”) by submitting for retirement a total quantity of renewable

² In its *Order Clarifying Electric Power Suppliers’ Annual REPS Requirements*, Docket No. E-100, Sub 113 (November 26, 2008), the Commission clarified that the calculation of these requirements for each year shall be based upon the electric utility’s North Carolina retail sales for the prior year.

1 energy certificates (“RECs”) equivalent to the following percentages of its
2 North Carolina retail sales in the prior year:

- 3 ▪ Beginning in 2012, three percent (3%);
- 4 ▪ In 2015, six percent (6%);
- 5 ▪ In 2018, ten percent (10%); and
- 6 ▪ In 2021 and thereafter, twelve point five percent (12.5%).

7 Furthermore, each electric power supplier must comply with the
8 requirements of G.S. § 62-133.8 (d), (e), and (f) (individually referred to as
9 the “solar set-aside,” “swine waste set-aside,” and “poultry waste set-aside,”
10 respectively). That is, within the total requirement described above, each
11 electric power supplier is to ensure that specific quantities of qualifying
12 solar RECs, swine waste RECs, and poultry waste RECs are also submitted
13 for retirement. The Company generally refers to its total requirement net of
14 the three set-asides as its “general requirement.”

15 Specifically, each electric power supplier is to comply with the solar
16 set-aside by submitting for retirement qualifying solar RECs equivalent to
17 the following percentages of its North Carolina retail sales in the prior year:

- 18 ▪ Beginning in 2010, two-hundredths of one percent (0.02%);
- 19 ▪ In 2012, seven-hundredths of one percent (0.07%);
- 20 ▪ In 2015, fourteen-hundredths of one percent (0.14%); and
- 21 ▪ In 2018 and thereafter, two-tenths of one percent (0.20%).

22 Each electric power supplier is also to comply with the swine waste
23 set-aside by submitting for retirement qualifying swine waste RECs

1 equivalent to its pro-rata share of total retail electric power sold in North
2 Carolina multiplied by the statewide, aggregate swine waste set-aside
3 requirement.³ Duke Energy Progress' swine waste set-aside requirements,
4 as modified by the Commission,⁴ are as follows:

- 5 ▪ In 2018, its pro-rata share of two-hundredths of one percent (0.02%)
6 of the total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the year
7 prior;
- 8 ▪ In 2019, its pro-rata share of four-hundredths of one percent (0.04%)
9 of the total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the year
10 prior;
- 11 ▪ In 2020 and 2021, its pro-rata share of seven-hundredths of one
12 percent (0.07%) of the total retail electric power sold in North
13 Carolina in the year prior;
- 14 ▪ In 2022 and 2023, its pro-rata share of five-hundredths of one
15 percent (0.05%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina
16 in the year prior;
- 17 ▪ In 2024, its pro-rata share of fourteen-hundredths of one percent
18 (0.14%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the

³ In its *Order on Pro Rata Allocation of Aggregate Swine and Poultry Waste Set-Aside Requirements and Motion for Clarification* in Docket No. E-100, Sub 113 (March 31, 2010), the Commission approved the electric power suppliers' proposed pro-rata allocation of the statewide aggregate swine and poultry waste set-aside requirements, such that the aggregate requirements will be allocated among the electric power suppliers based on the ratio of each electric power supplier's prior year retail sales to the total statewide retail sales.

⁴The Swine set-aside requirement was modified in the Commission's December 11, 2023, *Order Modifying Swine Waste Set-Aside Requirement, and Granting Other Relief* ("2023 Delay Order").

1 prior year; and

- 2 ▪ In 2025 and thereafter, its pro-rata share of two-tenths of one percent
3 (0.20%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the
4 year prior.

5 Finally, each electric power supplier is also to submit for retirement
6 qualifying poultry waste RECs equivalent to its pro-rata share of the
7 aggregate state-wide poultry waste set-aside requirement. Duke Energy
8 Progress' poultry waste set-aside requirements, as modified by the
9 Commission,⁵ are as follows:

- 10 ▪ Beginning in 2014, its pro-rata share of 170,000 megawatt-hours
11 ("MWh");
- 12 ▪ In 2018, its pro-rata share of 300,000 MWh;
- 13 ▪ In 2019, its pro-rata share of 500,000 MWh;
- 14 ▪ In 2020, its pro-rata share of 700,000 MWh;
- 15 ▪ In 2021, its pro-rata share of 300,000 MWh;
- 16 ▪ In 2022, its pro-rata share of 700,000 MWh and
- 17 ▪ In 2023 and thereafter, its pro-rata share of 900,000 MWh.

18 The requirements described in this testimony and accompanying
19 exhibits reflect the aggregation of the CEPS requirements of Duke Energy
20 Progress' retail customers.

⁵ The Poultry set-aside requirement was modified in the Commission's March 4, 2022, *Order Modifying the Swine and Poultry Waste Set-Aside Requirements and Providing Other Relief*.

1 **Q. WHAT WERE THE COMPANY'S NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL**
2 **SALES FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2022, THE YEAR ON WHICH THE**
3 **COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR 2023 ARE BASED?**

4 A. The Company's total North Carolina retail sales for calendar year 2022 were
5 38,713,787 MWhs.

6 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS' CEPS**
7 **REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TEST AND BILLING PERIODS.**

8 A. The Company's total requirement for compliance year 2023 is 4,839,224
9 RECs. The Company submitted for retirement the following RECs, the sum
10 of which are included in the total requirement stated above: 77,428 solar
11 set-aside RECs, 19,357 swine waste set-aside RECs and 248,585 poultry
12 waste set-aside RECs.

13 For the prospective Billing Period, which spans two calendar years,
14 with different requirements in each year, the Company's estimated
15 requirements are as follows⁶:

16 For compliance year 2024, the Company estimates that it will be
17 required to submit for retirement 4,573,029 RECs to meet its total
18 requirement. Within this total, the Company is also required to retire the
19 following: 73,169 solar RECs, 51,218 swine waste RECs and 248,585
20 poultry waste RECs.

21 For compliance year 2025, the Company estimates that it will be
22 required to submit for retirement 4,762,790 RECs to meet its total

⁶ The Company's projected requirements are based upon retail sales estimates and will be subject to change based upon actual prior-year North Carolina retail sales data.

1 requirement. Within this total, the Company estimates that it will be
2 required to retire approximately 76,205 solar RECs, 76,205 swine waste
3 RECs and 248,585 poultry waste RECs.

4 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH ITS GENERAL**
5 **REQUIREMENT FOR 2023?**

6 A. Yes, the Company met its 2023 general requirement of 4,493,854 RECs.
7 Specifically, the RECs to be used for 2023 compliance have been
8 transferred from the NC-RETS Progress Energy Electric Power Supplier
9 account to the Progress Energy Compliance Sub-Account. Upon
10 completion of this regulatory proceeding, the Commission will finalize
11 retirement of the RECs.

12 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY COMPLY WITH ITS GENERAL**
13 **REQUIREMENT IN 2024?**

14 A. Yes, the Company is positioned to comply with its general requirement in
15 2024.

16 **Q. WHAT ACTIONS HAS DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS TAKEN**
17 **DURING THE TEST PERIOD TO SATISFY ITS CURRENT AND**
18 **FUTURE CEPS REQUIREMENTS?**

19 A. During the Test Period, Duke Energy Progress continued to produce and
20 procure RECs to satisfy its CEPS requirements. Specifically, the Company
21 took the following actions: (1) executed and continued negotiations for
22 additional REC purchase agreements with clean energy facilities; (2)
23 maintained an open solicitation for clean energy proposals of various types;

1 (3) continued operations of its solar facilities; (4) enhanced and expanded
2 energy efficiency programs generating savings that can be counted towards
3 the Company's CEPS requirement; (5) performed research studies, both
4 directly and through strategic partnerships, to enhance the Company's
5 ability to comply with its future CEPS requirements; (6) monitored the
6 development of projects selected in the Competitive Procurement of
7 Renewable Energy ("CPRE") Program of North Carolina House Bill 589
8 (SL 2017-192) ("NC HB 589"), the RECs from which will be used to meet
9 the Company's future CEPS requirements; and (7) monitored the progress
10 of the 2022 Solar and 2023 Solar plus Storage Procurements as directed by
11 Session Law 2021-165, the RECs from which will also be used to meet the
12 Company's future CEPS requirements.

13 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY ANTICIPATE ANY CHANGES TO ENERGY**
14 **EFFICIENCY CERTIFICATE REPORTING IN THE FUTURE?**

15 A. The Public Staff of the North Carolina Utilities Commission initiated
16 discussions with the Company regarding timing and processes relating to
17 reporting into NC-RETS those Energy Efficiency Certificates ("EECs")
18 which are used to satisfy a portion of DEP's CEPS Compliance obligation.
19 In prior years the number of EECs DEP reported into NC-RETS consisted
20 of those EECs earned for the year including a true-up of prior year EECs
21 relating to Evaluation Measurement and Verification ("EM&V"), or
22 participation updates, or both. The Public Staff and the Company are
23 currently exploring options to modify the EEC reporting process such that

1 only EECs for programs having fully progressed through EM&V and
2 participation review cycles are reported into NC-RETS. Migrating to this
3 EEC reporting process will result in a reduction of banked EECs available
4 for CEPS Compliance use while not materially contributing to the EEC
5 bank for several years. This proposed approach may eventually eliminate
6 the ongoing need for a discrete worksheet detailing EEC inventories and
7 linking them to EM&V reports as required by the Commission in its *Order*
8 *Approving REPS and REPS EMF Rider and 2014 REPS Compliance* in
9 Docket No. E-2, Sub 1071. Conversations are ongoing with the Public Staff.
10 As such, the Company has elected to delay reporting 2023 EECs into NC-
11 RETS and will do so at a later time.

12 **Q. IS THE COMPANY ABLE TO USE RECS GENERATED FROM**
13 **NET METERING FACILITIES TO SATISFY ITS CURRENT AND**
14 **FUTURE CEPS REQUIREMENTS?**

15 A. Yes. The Company is entitled to retain RECs from systems of customers
16 participating in net metering through the Net Metering for Renewable
17 Energy Facilities Rider (“Rider NM”) and the Non-Residential Solar
18 Choice Rider (“Rider NSC”) where, in either case, the base tariff associated
19 with the net metering service lacks time-of-use periods and demand charges
20 (“NMNTD”). While Rider NM was closed to all new participants on and
21 after October 1, 2023, the Company will continue to receive RECs as
22 described for residential customers continuing to be billed on this rider until
23 December 31, 2026. The Company will continue to receive RECs as

1 described for non-residential participants until September 30, 2033. The
2 Company also retains RECs as described under Rider NSC which became
3 effective for service rendered on and after October 1, 2023.

4 **Q. HOW ARE THE RECS CALCULATED AND RECORDED FOR**
5 **THOSE NET METERING FACILITIES DELIVERING RECS TO**
6 **DEP?**

7 A. Per the Commission's June 5, 2018, *Order Approving Rider and Granting*
8 *Waiver Request* ("NMNTD Order") in Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 1106 and E-
9 7, Sub 1113, for NMNTD customers, DEP may use the PVWatts™ Solar
10 Calculator developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory
11 ("NREL") for estimating the generation from NMNTD customers' solar
12 facilities, as permitted by Commission Rule R8-67(g)(2). Commission Rule
13 R8-67(g)(2) allows the use of a scalable conversion factor for estimating
14 annual generation from program participants. For those facilities delivering
15 RECs to DEP, the Company reports the aggregate amount of electricity
16 produced by facilities under Rider NM and new Rider NSC directly into
17 NC-RETS in a separately identified generation project. DEP complied with
18 these requirements and reported generation from NMNTD customers to
19 NC-RETS. The RECs from these facilities are currently in DEP's REC
20 inventory and available for use for future compliance requirements.

21 **Q. ARE THERE OTHER COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS IN THE**
22 **NMNTD ORDER WITH WHICH DEP MUST COMPLY?**

1 A. Yes. The NMNTD Order also requires DEP to provide a monthly report to
2 NC-RETS listing participating customers, their location, and the kW
3 capacity of their installations. Additionally, the NMNTD Order requires
4 that the report be available on the NC-RETS website. DEP has complied,
5 and continues to comply, with this requirement.

6 **Q. WILL ALL OF THE COMPANY'S RESIDENTIAL NET ENERGY**
7 **METERING TARIFFS CONTRIBUTE RECS ELIGIBLE TO BE**
8 **USED FOR CEPS COMPLIANCE?**

9 A. No. Generation for residential customers served under the Company's
10 revised net energy metering tariffs – the Residential Solar Choice Rider
11 (“Rider RSC”) and the Net Metering Bridge Rider (“Rider NMB”) – will
12 not contribute RECs to the Company's CEPS portfolio. Under the
13 Company's revised net metering tariffs filed in Docket No. E-100, Sub 180
14 and approved by the Commission's March 23, 2023, *Order Approving*
15 *Revised Net Metering Tariffs*, residential customers served under the new
16 Rider RSC and Rider NMB retain all RECs produced by their NEM facility.

17 For clarity, the Company only retains RECs from systems of
18 NMNTD customers participating in net metering through either Rider NM
19 or Rider NSC.

20 **Q. HOW DOES THE CPRE PROGRAM OF NC HB 589 (SL 2017-192)**
21 **IMPACT DEP'S COMPLIANCE WITH ITS GENERAL**
22 **REQUIREMENT?**

1 A. Under G.S. § 62-110.8(a), DEC and DEP are responsible for procuring
2 renewable energy and capacity through a competitive procurement program
3 with the purpose of adding 2,660 MW of renewable energy to the state's
4 generation portfolio in a manner that allows DEC and DEP to continue to
5 serve customers' future energy needs reliably and cost-effectively. To meet
6 the CPRE Program requirements, the Companies issued requests for
7 proposals to procure energy and capacity beginning on February 21, 2018.
8 The Companies solicited bids in three procurement windows, the last of
9 which closed on February 3, 2022. After the CPRE Tranche 3 bid window
10 closed, the CPRE Program was ultimately left with a 441 MW shortfall. The
11 Companies filed a petition in both the CPRE Program dockets and the 2022
12 Solar Procurement Proceeding and received the Commission's approval to
13 procure the remaining MWs through the 2022 Solar Procurement process.

14 Renewable energy facilities eligible to participate in the CPRE
15 solicitation(s) include those facilities that use renewable energy resources
16 identified in G. S. § 62-133.8(a)(8), the CEPS statute. The renewable energy
17 facilities developed or acquired by the Companies, or the renewable energy
18 procured from a third party through a power purchase agreement under the
19 CPRE Program and in the 2022 Solar Procurement, must also deliver to the
20 Companies the environmental and renewable attributes, or RECs,
21 associated with the power. The NC retail allocated portion of the actual and
22 estimated REC production from these projects during the Test and Billing
23 periods can be found in Presson Exhibit No. 2. Additional details regarding

1 DEP's CPRE compliance activities for the current Test Period are being
2 filed concurrently with this CEPS filing and may be reviewed in Docket No.
3 E-2, Sub 1344.

4 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH ITS SOLAR SET-ASIDE**
5 **REQUIREMENT FOR 2023?**

6 A. Yes, the Company met its 2023 solar set-aside requirement of 77,428 RECs.
7 Specifically, the RECs to be used for 2023 compliance have been
8 transferred from the NC-RETS Progress Energy Electric Power Supplier
9 account to the Progress Energy Compliance Sub-Account. Upon
10 completion of this regulatory proceeding, the Commission will finalize
11 retirement of the RECs.

12 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY COMPLY WITH ITS SOLAR SET-ASIDE**
13 **REQUIREMENT IN 2024?**

14 A. Yes, the Company will comply with its solar set-aside requirement in 2024.

15 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN UPDATE ON THE COMPANY'S EFFORTS**
16 **TO COMPLY WITH ITS SOLAR SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT.**

17 A. The Company will comply with its solar set-aside requirement in 2024
18 through a diverse and balanced portfolio of solar resources. The Company's
19 efforts to comply with the solar set-aside requirement include REC
20 procurement from solar facilities and generation from DEP-owned solar
21 photovoltaic ("PV") systems. The Company has constructed the following
22 four utility-scale solar PV facilities in North Carolina:

- 1 • the 13 MW Camp Lejeune Solar Facility located in Onslow County
2 which was placed in service in November 2015;
- 3 • the 40 MW Elm City Solar Facility located in Wilson County which
4 was placed in service in March 2016;
- 5 • the 23 MW Fayetteville Solar Facility located in Bladen County
6 which was placed in service in December 2015; and
- 7 • the 65 MW Warsaw Solar Facility located in Duplin County which
8 was placed in service in December 2015.

9 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH ITS POULTRY WASTE**
10 **SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT FOR 2023?**

11 A. Yes, the Company met its 2023 poultry waste set-aside requirement of
12 248,585 RECs. Specifically, the RECs to be used for 2023 compliance have
13 been transferred from the NC-RETS Progress Energy Electric Power
14 Supplier account to the Progress Energy Compliance Sub-Account. Upon
15 completion of this regulatory proceeding, the Commission will finalize
16 retirement of the RECs.

17 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY COMPLY WITH ITS POULTRY WASTE**
18 **SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT IN 2024?**

19 A. Yes, the Company will comply with its poultry waste set-aside requirement
20 in 2024. Longer-term compliance is dependent on the continued
21 performance of poultry waste-to-energy facilities that are currently
22 operating and the ability of one new facility to reach expected commercial
23 operation in 2024. The Company is encouraged by the performance of

1 currently operating poultry waste-to-energy facilities as well as the project
2 that is currently under construction and progressing toward commercial
3 operation.

4 **Q. WHAT ACTIONS HAS THE COMPANY TAKEN DURING THE**
5 **TEST PERIOD TO PROCURE OR DEVELOP POULTRY WASTE-**
6 **TO-ENERGY RESOURCES TO SATISFY ITS POULTRY WASTE**
7 **SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENTS?**

8 A. In the Test Period the Company (1) continued direct negotiations for
9 additional supplies of both in-state and out-of-state resources; (2) continued
10 pursuit of poultry-derived directed biogas from facilities located in North
11 Carolina in order to direct such biogas to combined cycle plants for
12 combustion and electric generation; (3) worked diligently to understand the
13 technological, permitting, and operational risks associated with various
14 methods of producing qualifying poultry RECs and to aid developers in
15 overcoming those risks; when those risks could not be overcome, the
16 Company worked with developers via contract amendments to adjust for
17 more realistic outcomes; and (4) maintained an open solicitation for out-of-
18 state poultry REC opportunities when available in the market. Additional
19 information on the Company's compliance with the poultry waste set-aside
20 requirement can be found in the Company's Joint Semiannual Progress
21 Report, filed on June 3, 2024, in Docket No. E-100, Sub 113A.

1 The Company remains committed to satisfying its statutory
2 requirements for the poultry waste set-aside and will continue to pursue
3 procurement of these resources reasonably and prudently.

4 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH ITS SWINE WASTE**
5 **SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT FOR 2023?**

6 A. Yes, the Company met its 2023 swine waste set-aside requirement of 19,357
7 RECs. Specifically, the RECs to be used for 2023 compliance have been
8 transferred from the NC-RETS Progress Energy Electric Power Supplier
9 account to the Progress Energy Compliance Sub-Account. Upon
10 completion of this regulatory proceeding, the Commission will finalize
11 retirement of the RECs.

12 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY COMPLY WITH ITS SWINE WASTE SET-**
13 **ASIDE REQUIREMENT IN 2024?**

14 A. Compliance with the swine waste set-aside for 2024 and beyond is uncertain
15 and will be difficult to meet as the swine waste obligation increases. Swine
16 waste-to-energy compliance challenges have been numerous and varied.
17 Existing contracts have not reached contracted levels of production. New
18 contracts failed to come online in the timeframe originally planned and took
19 longer than expected to ramp up production. Additionally, two facilities
20 previously online and producing swine RECs for the Company's
21 compliance portfolio were offline for a portion of the Test Period. One
22 facility experienced a tear in its cover in mid-2022 and has been unable to
23 come back online. The second facility had been unable to maintain

1 compliance with its air permit and temporarily shut down operations in
2 February 2023. After working with the North Carolina Environmental
3 Management Commission, the facility resumed operation in June 2023
4 under a Special Order of Consent that requires the facility to install emission
5 reduction equipment and continue monitoring emissions levels. Production
6 from both facilities was substantially lowered, greatly impacting the
7 Company's ability to comply with the 2023 swine waste set-aside. On the
8 other hand, while delayed from their originally expected Commercial
9 Operation Date, two new swine waste-to-energy projects came online
10 during the Test Period and have begun producing swine waste set-aside
11 RECs. The ability of all facilities to produce the full amount of RECs under
12 their contracts will determine the levels of compliance DEP is able to meet
13 in the near term.

14 Successfully developing swine waste-to-energy projects in North
15 Carolina has been a slow and tedious process over the last few years due to
16 several factors. The Company understands swine waste-to-energy projects
17 encountered difficulties due to issues including local opposition to facility
18 siting, difficulties securing project financing, technological challenges
19 encountered when ramping up production, and issues with lower-than-
20 expected production due to revised industry expectations, farm waste
21 management and biosecurity practices. Developers also communicated
22 delays as they worked through the regulatory process, interconnections with

1 local utilities, environmental regulations and other stakeholder concerns
2 affecting their development plans.

3 Both COVID-19 and the war in Ukraine created or exacerbated
4 global supply chain disruptions affecting prices and availability of
5 equipment and building materials which led to delays in project completion
6 dates. Additionally, disruptions in workflows led to depleted inventories.
7 Market price volatility for certain materials (e.g., stainless steel, rebar)
8 caused reluctance by suppliers to carry inventories which in turn created
9 shortages for those materials and goods. All these factors together caused
10 shipment delays and thus increased wait times on completion of new
11 facilities.

12 The Company remains actively engaged in monitoring existing
13 facilities and provides assistance where possible to help projects be
14 successful. DEP continues to seek additional resources and makes every
15 reasonable effort to comply with the swine waste set-aside requirement.

16 **Q. WHAT ACTIONS HAS DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS TAKEN**
17 **DURING THE TEST PERIOD TO PROCURE OR DEVELOP**
18 **SWINE WASTE-TO-ENERGY RESOURCES TO MEET ITS SWINE**
19 **WASTE SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENTS?**

20 A. In the Test Period the Company (1) continued direct negotiations for
21 additional supplies of in-state resources; (2) continued pursuit of swine-
22 derived directed biogas from North Carolina facilities in order to direct such
23 biogas to DEP's combined cycle plants for combustion and generation; (3)

1 worked diligently to understand the technological, permitting,
2 interconnection and operational risks associated with various methods of
3 producing qualifying swine RECs and to aid developers in overcoming
4 those risks; when those risks could not be overcome, the Company worked
5 with developers via contract amendments to adjust for outcomes the
6 developers believe are achievable based on new experience; (4) explored
7 leveraging current bioenergy contracts by working with developers to add
8 swine waste to their fuel mix; (5) maintained an open solicitation for swine
9 REC opportunities when available in the market; and (6) continued support
10 of research through North Carolina State University centered around low-
11 energy drying of swine waste for fuel and fertilizer products. Additional
12 information on the Company's compliance with the swine waste set-aside
13 requirement can be found in the Company's Joint Semiannual Progress
14 Report, filed on June 3, 2024, in Docket No. E-100, Sub 113A.

15 The Company remains committed to satisfying its statutory
16 requirements for the swine waste set-aside and will continue to pursue
17 procurement of these resources reasonably and prudently.

18 **Q. IS DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS CONTINUING TO EXECUTE**
19 **ADDITIONAL REC PURCHASE AGREEMENTS?**

20 A. Yes. The Company continues to execute additional REC purchase
21 agreements and maintains an open solicitation for proposals from
22 developers of clean energy resources.

1 **Q. DID THE COMPANY SELL ANY RECS DURING THE TEST**
2 **PERIOD?**

3 A. No, the Company did not sell any RECs during the test period.
4

5 **COSTS OF CEPS COMPLIANCE**

6 **Q. WHAT ARE THE COMPANY'S COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CEPS**
7 **COMPLIANCE DURING THIS TEST PERIOD AND THE**
8 **UPCOMING BILLING PERIOD?**

9 A. Duke Energy Progress' costs associated with CEPS compliance are
10 reflected in Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 2 and are categorized by
11 actual costs incurred during the Test Period and projected costs for the
12 Billing Period.

13 **Q. IN ADDITION TO RENEWABLE ENERGY AND REC COSTS,**
14 **WHAT OTHER COSTS OF CEPS COMPLIANCE DOES THE**
15 **COMPANY SEEK TO RECOVER IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

16 A. Presson Confidential Exhibit Nos. 2 and 3 identify "Other Incremental
17 Costs," "Solar Rebate Program Costs," "PowerPairSM Program Costs," and
18 "Research Costs" the Company incurred, and estimates it will incur, in
19 association with CEPS compliance.

20 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE OTHER INCREMENTAL COSTS**
21 **INCLUDED FOR RECOVERY IN THIS PROCEEDING.**

22 A. Other Incremental Costs include labor costs associated with CEPS
23 compliance activities and non-labor costs associated with administration of

1 CEPS compliance. Among the non-labor costs associated with CEPS
2 compliance are the Company's subscription to NC-RETS and accounting
3 and tracking tools related to RECs, reduced by agreed-upon liquidated
4 damages paid by sellers for failure to meet contractual milestones and
5 amounts paid for administrative contractual amendments requested by
6 sellers.

7 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE INFORMATION ON THE NC HB 589 (SL 2017-**
8 **192) SOLAR REBATE PROGRAM ("SOLAR REBATE**
9 **PROGRAM").**

10 A. As required by G.S. § 62-155(f), DEP developed a Solar Rebate Program
11 offering incentives to residential, non-residential, and non-profit customers
12 in North Carolina for the installation of small customer owned or leased
13 solar energy facilities participating in the Company's net metering tariff.
14 The Solar Rebate Program provides an economic incentive for customers to
15 adopt solar power by reducing the upfront costs of installing solar
16 equipment. The incentive is limited to ten kilowatts alternating current
17 ("kW-AC") for residential solar installations and 100 kW-AC for non-
18 residential solar installations. The program incentive is limited to 10,000
19 kW of installed capacity annually. The program began January 1, 2018, and
20 continued until December 31, 2022, with limits for each participant class.
21 At the end of 2022, 2,132 kW of unsubscribed capacity remained. This was
22 made available to any participant meeting the solar rebate rider
23 requirements when the 2023 rollover allocation process began on January

1 11, 2023. Solar Rebate Program participation caps for all customer types
2 and application periods were met following the close of the random
3 selection period on January 18, 2023. The waitlist established during the
4 January 2023 random selection process will remain until all customers with
5 rebate reservations are paid. The program is now closed to new applicants,
6 and all capacity has been reserved. Currently 21 DEP customers with a total
7 of 1,094 kW AC of reserved capacity are awaiting rebate payments upon
8 completion of their solar project installations. The Company expects most
9 projects to be online in 2024; however, two projects anticipate a 2025
10 completion date. Accordingly, the Company's incentive payment timeline
11 may extend into 2025 for those projects.

12 Additional information regarding the status of the solar rebate
13 program, including the Company's most recent Joint Annual Solar Rebate
14 Program Report and various filings relating to extended deadlines, may be
15 found in Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 1167 and E-7, Sub 1166.

16 **Q. ARE COSTS RELATING TO THE NC HB 589 (SL 2017-192) SOLAR**
17 **REBATE PROGRAM INCLUDED FOR RECOVERY IN THIS**
18 **FILING?**

19 A. Yes. Pursuant to G.S. § 62-155(f), each public utility required to offer a
20 solar rebate program "shall be authorized to recover all reasonable and
21 prudent costs of incentives provided to customers and program
22 administrative costs by amortizing the total program incentives distributed
23 during a calendar year and administrative costs over a 20-year period,

1 including a return component adjusted for income taxes at the utility's
2 overall weighted average cost of capital established in its most recent
3 general rate case, which shall be included in the costs recoverable by the
4 public utility pursuant to G.S. 62-133.8(h).” G.S. § 62-133.8(h) provides for
5 an electric power supplier’s cost recovery and customer charges under the
6 CEPS statute; NC HB 589 (SL 2017-192) amended it by adding a provision
7 to allow for the recovery of incremental costs incurred to “provide
8 incentives to customers, including program costs, incurred pursuant to G.S.
9 § 62-155(f).” Therefore, DEP included for recovery in this filing both costs
10 incurred during the Test period and projected to be incurred in the Billing
11 Period related to the implementation of the NC HB 589 Solar Rebate
12 Program. As detailed on Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 3, these costs
13 include the annual amortization of incentives paid to customers, program
14 administration costs including labor, information technology, and
15 marketing costs offset by early termination fees assessed to customers who
16 received a rebate check but failed to maintain participation in the Net
17 Metering Rider. Projected incentive costs for the Billing Period are based
18 on the approved rebate amounts: \$0.40 per watt for residential installations,
19 \$0.30 per watt for non-residential installations and \$0.75 per watt for non-
20 profit installations.

21 **Q. ARE COSTS RELATING TO THE POWERPAIRSM SOLAR AND**
22 **BATTERY INSTALLATION PROGRAM INCLUDED FOR**
23 **RECOVERY IN THIS FILING?**

1 A. Yes, Program costs for both the Test Period and Billing Period related to
2 the PowerPairSM Solar and Battery Installation Program (“PowerPairSM
3 Program”) are included in this filing pursuant to the Commission’s March
4 23, 2023, *Order Declining to Approve Proposed Smart Saver Solar
5 Program and Requiring Development of Pilot Program* (“Order
6 Establishing Pilot”) and the Commission’s January 11, 2024 *Order
7 Approving PowerPair Pilot Program, with Conditions, and Approving
8 Modifications to Energywise and Power Manager Residential Load Control
9 Programs* (“Order Approving PowerPair”) both in Docket No. E-2, Sub
10 1287. The pilot program established by the Commission provides that
11 participants receive an incentive of \$0.36/watt toward the cost of installing
12 a solar array and \$400/kWh for the battery storage component. The solar
13 incentive is capped at 10kW-AC per installation, and the battery storage
14 component is limited to 13.5 kWh. In its Order Establishing Pilot the
15 Commission provided a cost recovery mechanism for all reasonable and
16 prudent costs of the PowerPairSM Program participant incentives and
17 program administrative costs by instructing the Company to amortize the
18 total program incentives and administrative costs over a 20-year period,
19 including a return component adjusted for income taxes at the DEP’s overall
20 weighted average cost of capital as established in its most recent general
21 rate case, to be included in the costs recoverable by DEP through G.S. § 62-
22 133.8(h).

1 Therefore, as provided in both the Order Establishing Pilot and the
2 Order Approving PowerPair, DEP included amortization of actual costs
3 incurred in the Test Period and those costs projected to be incurred in the
4 Billing Period related to the implementation of the PowerPairSM Program.
5 These costs are detailed on Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 3 and include
6 the amortization of incentives projected to be paid to customers as well as
7 program administration costs including labor, information technology and
8 marketing costs.

9 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE DETAIL ON THE INTERNAL LABOR COSTS**
10 **INCLUDED IN DEP'S CURRENT APPLICATION FOR CEPS COST**
11 **RECOVERY.**

12 A. DEP charges only the incremental cost of CEPS compliance, the NC HB
13 589 (SL 2017-192) Solar Rebate Program, and the PowerPairSM Program to
14 the CEPS cost recovery rider. Consistent with that policy and DEP's
15 practices in previous applications for cost recovery for CEPS compliance,
16 internal employees who work to comply with G.S. § 62-133.8 and G.S. §
17 62-155(f) charge only that portion of their labor to CEPS or to the specific
18 programs mentioned. Labor related to the Solar Rebate Program and Solar
19 + Storage residential pilot program is isolated in the cost of those programs
20 which is in turn amortized to CEPS for cost recovery. The
21 departments/functions that charged labor to CEPS during the Test Period
22 are detailed in Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 3.

1 **Q. HOW DO EMPLOYEES CHARGE THEIR INTERNAL LABOR**
2 **COSTS TO CEPS?**

3 A. Employees positively report their time, which means that each employee is
4 required to submit a timesheet every two weeks in the Company's time
5 reporting system. Hours reported for the period are split according to the
6 accounting entered in the time reporting system for that specific employee.
7 As the nature of an employee's work changes, the division of hours is
8 updated for the reporting period. Additionally, each year prior to filing for
9 approval of the DEP CEPS Compliance Report and Cost Recovery Rider,
10 the labor hours charged to CEPS, the HB 589 (SL2017-192) Solar Rebate
11 Program and the PowerPairSM Program are carefully reviewed and
12 confirmed for accuracy.

13 **Q. ARE CEPS-RELATED RESEARCH COSTS INCLUDED FOR**
14 **RECOVERY IN THIS FILING?**

15 A. Yes. With respect to Research activities during the Test Period and
16 projected for the Billing Period, the Company has incurred or projects to
17 incur costs associated with the support of various pilot projects and studies
18 which encourage the development of renewable energy, energy efficiency
19 or improved air quality and is related to distributed energy technology and
20 the Company's CEPS compliance.

21

22

23

1 **RESEARCH STUDY RESULTS**

2 **Q. THE COMMISSION'S *ORDER APPROVING REPS AND REPS EMF***
3 ***RIDERS AND 2012 REPS COMPLIANCE* REQUIRES DUKE**
4 **ENERGY PROGRESS TO FILE WITH ITS 2023 CEPS RIDER**
5 **APPLICATION RESULTS FOR RESEARCH STUDIES THE**
6 **COSTS OF WHICH IT HAS RECOVERED VIA THE CEPS RIDER.**
7 **IS THE COMPANY SUPPLYING STUDY RESULTS IN THIS**
8 **FILING?**

9 **A.** Yes. The Company's Research efforts are an integral part of its CEPS
10 Compliance efforts. The following summary outlines efforts undertaken by
11 the Company in the Test Period and specifies the availability of applicable
12 study results.

- 13 • 2023 Resource Adequacy Study – During the Test Period the
14 Companies retained Astrapé Consulting, LLC to analyze the DEC
15 and DEP reserve margins relating to both islanded (where there are
16 no neighboring balancing authorities) and interconnected (where
17 neighboring balancing authorities exist). The 2023 Study built upon
18 studies it performed in previous years. In 2023, attention focused on
19 accurately modeling the shifting neighbor resource portfolios
20 including coal retirements and the buildout of solar, wind and
21 storage resources on other utilities' systems as well as cold weather
22 load response and unit performance. This changing resource mix,
23 along with the cold weather load response, shifts the resource

1 adequacy risk of the Companies’ neighbors to the winter. Because
2 of this, there is a reduction in market assistance available to the
3 Companies during periods of extreme winter weather, thus
4 increasing the Companies’ need to carry a higher reserve margin to
5 maintain a reliable system. These study results provide the planning
6 reserve margin target for use in development of the Company’s
7 consolidated Carbon Plan and Integrated Resource Plan (“CPIRP”)
8 filed August 17, 2023, in Docket No. E-100, Sub 190, as well as the
9 Supplemental Planning Analysis filed January 31, 2024. The results
10 of this study were included as Attachment I to the CPIRP and were
11 previously provided in Docket No. E-2, Sub1320 as Presson Exhibit
12 No. 18.

13 During the Test Period the Companies also retained Astrapé
14 Consulting, LLC to conduct a wind resources Effective Load
15 Carrying Capability (“ELCC”) study to determine the winter
16 capacity value for future wind resources on the Companies’ system
17 for use in development of the Companies’ CPRIP and Supplemental
18 Planning Analysis. Because solar and wind are intermittent
19 resources, a solar or wind facility’s ability to provide reliable
20 capacity when it is needed is different from that of a fully
21 dispatchable resource such as gas-fired turbine, which can be called
22 upon in any hour to produce energy, notwithstanding unit outages.
23 The Wind ELCC study evaluated three different wind portfolios at

1 four different capacity levels in conjunction with the existing solar
2 portfolio as well as expanded solar portfolios that totaled 10,000
3 MW, 15,000 MW and 20,000 MW. The wind resources were
4 simulated along with the different solar portfolios to capture the
5 synergistic effects of the two resource types when modeled together.
6 The Wind ELCC Study was included as Attachment II to the
7 Companies' 2023 CPIRP and can also be found as Presson Exhibit
8 No. 4.

9 • 2023 Solar Integration Services Charge Study – In the Test Period
10 the Company once again engaged Astrapé Consulting, LLC to
11 update its two previous Solar Integration Services Charge (“SISC”)
12 studies in order to satisfy one of the Commission’s directives from
13 its November 22, 2022, *Order Establishing Standard Rates and*
14 *Contract Terms for Qualifying Facilities* in Docket No. E-100, Sub
15 175 to address whether reserve levels used to calculate the SISC
16 could be further refined depending on each day’s volatility forecast
17 and to consider the effect, if any, of the Southeastern Energy
18 Exchange Market on the calculation of SISC. As part of this effort,
19 Astrapé analyzed and quantified the costs of the ancillary service
20 impact associated with integrating existing and future solar
21 generation on both the DEC and DEP systems. The final report was
22 included as Exhibit 10 in the Company’s November 1, 2023,

1 Biennial Avoided Cost filing in Docket No. E-100, Sub 194. A copy
2 of the report is included as Presson Exhibit No. 5.

- 3 • Application of High-Level Screening Tool for Data Analytics for
4 Operational Planning – The Company contracted with the Electric
5 Power Research Institute (“EPRI”) in the Test Period to fund
6 supplemental project 1-118465 using EPRI’s in-house High-Level
7 Screening (“HiLS”) tool to analyze a set of operational data and
8 create visualizations assisting the Company in identifying critical
9 operating conditions to enhance subsequent planning and operating
10 capabilities. The timelines for implementing network upgrades and
11 constructing interconnection facilities for a growing number of
12 clean energy resources requires the evaluation and identification of
13 system conditions that are outside of the traditional Spring and Fall
14 “outage season” windows when system loads are lower. Utilizing
15 the HiLS research to identify those time periods throughout the year
16 which are favorable for these system improvements and upgrades,
17 the Company can enable their on-time completion without
18 diminishing system reliability in the process. Participating in the
19 HiLS supplemental project allowed Duke Energy to contribute to
20 industry research to enable the interconnection of a growing number
21 of renewable resources. Additionally, the increasing variability of
22 both renewable generation and customer loads has resulted in power
23 system operators encountering conditions that were not previously

1 commonplace. Using HiLS to screen for and understand common
2 operating conditions requires analysis and visualizing large datasets
3 can be significantly challenging simply due to their size. The
4 development of the EPRI HiLS tool enabled the analysis and
5 clustering of data with similar operating hours and conditions based
6 on load and generation variability. The sample dataset contained
7 43,930 hourly conditions. HiLS identified 116 hours which capture
8 nearly all of the variability of the entire dataset and significantly
9 reduces the number of conditions to analyze. Results of this
10 assessment will support the Company's operations teams' need to
11 identify time periods and system conditions which can be leveraged
12 to develop more informed outage and maintenance scheduling for
13 the Company's operations thus improving reliability and reducing
14 unwanted customer interruptions and outages. The study was
15 completed in March 2024, and the final report can be found as
16 Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 6.

17 • Battery Cost Index – In the Test Period the Company subscribed to
18 the Fastmarkets Battery Cost Index to leverage Fastmarkets'
19 research and expertise to promptly update storage costs informing
20 resource planning models and cost benefit analysis. Fastmarkets is
21 a trusted cross-commodity reporting agency in the agriculture, forest
22 products, metals, and mining markets. The Battery Cost Index is an
23 innovative solution addressing the many challenges of traditional

1 cost analysis methods and metrics involving integrating price
2 indices for essential battery materials effectively tracking the
3 influence of material price volatility and enabling users to compare
4 material and manufacturing costs across a variety of cathode
5 chemistries. This proprietary tool provides valuable insights for
6 decision-making and requires a subscription to review. Please visit
7 the Fastmarkets website at www.fastmarkets.com for more
8 information regarding access to the tool. While the Company is
9 limited in what it can share regarding data extracted from the tool, a
10 sample is included as Presson Exhibit No. 7.

- 11 • Biogas Utilization in North Carolina – No costs were incurred in the
12 Test Period relating to the Biogas Utilization in North Carolina
13 study undertaken by RTI International (“RTI”). The Company
14 previously provided funding for a project requested by the NC
15 Energy Policy Council to determine the potential bioenergy/biogas
16 resources available in NC and to identify the most beneficial and
17 optimum utilization of resources to maximize economic,
18 environmental, and societal advantages. The second phase of the
19 RTI study, a portion of which extends the scope of Phase 1 to
20 include other sources of biogas feedstock, is now complete. Phase 2
21 was augmented by stakeholder outreach and policy option
22 evaluations addressing what the state’s objectives for the captured
23 emissions should be and includes an analysis of the physical,

- 1 economic, and political challenges to potential objectives. The
2 Phase 2 report can be found as Presson Exhibit No. 8.
- 3 • Bus Load Allocation Analysis – As an extension to the “Power Flow
4 Analysis to Improve Integrated Volt/Var (“IVVC”) and Energy
5 Efficiency Programs,” the Company contracted with NCSU in the
6 Test Period to more deeply investigate the options that exist within
7 our Distribution Management System (“DMS”) software which may
8 be adjusted to minimize the differences between power flow results
9 and measurements, focusing on the configuration of Bus Load
10 Allocation (“BLA”). The result of the study will be a set of
11 recommended settings that may be implemented by the Company to
12 reduce the differences between power flow results and
13 measurements, thereby improving the DMS’ ability to effectively
14 operate the IVVC program. The program scope can be found as
15 Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 9.
 - 16 • Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas – The Company renewed its
17 membership to the Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas in the Test
18 Period to add a valuable resource of knowledge and public policy
19 advocacy in this growing sector of potential animal waste supply.
20 The Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas provides its members with
21 exclusive whitepapers, support on model pipeline gas specifications
22 and access to other members for discussions on current and future
23 projects. The Company previously provided funding through the

1 Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas for a study by Colorado State
2 University of methane leakage from renewable natural gas
3 processing facilities to promote improved practices. The final report
4 from that study can be found as Presson Exhibit No. 10.

- 5 • DC Microgrids/DC Home Study – In the Test Period the Company
6 began collaborating with Direct Energy Partners and Renewable
7 Design Associates to validate, demonstrate and quantify the benefits
8 of a Community Microgrid coupled with a direct current (“DC”) link
9 connected to a mock DC powered home at the Company’s Mount
10 Holly test lab. Recognizing that an increasing amount of equipment
11 is DC powered, this study focuses on future DC Microgrid
12 architecture from a utility perspective to better serve customers. The
13 study will document the benefits of utility-owned and managed DC
14 distribution, storage, shared renewables, and fast charging. The
15 benefits of DC technologies and systems include: (1) eliminating
16 losses of more than 15% relating to conversions from direct current
17 to alternating current and back to direct current by matching PV,
18 battery, and electric vehicle (“EV”) with modern DC loads; (2)
19 reducing copper wiring costs by as much as 50%; and (3) reducing
20 integration costs as systems are simplified. The study is ongoing and
21 a status update on the project can be found as Presson Exhibit No.
22 11.

1 • DER Commissioning Procedures and Toolkit – The Company
2 contracted with EPRI in the Test Period to participate in a
3 collaborative research project which aims to identify the best
4 practices for Distributed Energy Resource (“DER”) commissioning
5 and to design, develop and demonstrate a DER Commissioning
6 Toolkit to simplify and automate the process to the greatest extent
7 possible. The IEEE 1547-2018 DER interconnection standard made
8 grid support functions mandatory for all DERS. Smart inverter
9 functions such as volt-var, volt-watt, and abnormal voltage and
10 frequency ride-through capabilities are required for DERS.
11 Commissioning tests are a key step in the interconnection process to
12 confirm a DER plant meets the grid support function settings and
13 power quality requirements are met at the point of applicability as
14 specified by the utility. A DER plant’s performance depends on
15 many individual hardware and software components which are not
16 possible to evaluate together during nationally recognized testing
17 laboratory certification testing. As utilities adopt the IEEE 1547-
18 2018 standard, detailed commissioning tests have become critically
19 important for the safety and proper operation of these systems. Tests
20 are more complex, and the volume of DER systems being added to
21 the electric grid is increasing quickly. The procedures developed in
22 this project will identify practical ways to verify DER performance
23 requirements. The toolkit will include the hardware and software

1 components necessary to record and automate data transfer,
2 analyses, and reporting in the field. An overview relating to the
3 study, EPRI project 1-117299, can be found as Presson Confidential
4 Exhibit No. 12.

5 • Developing Large DER Protection Guidelines and Settings for
6 Mitigating System-wide Impacts across Transmission and
7 Distribution Systems – In late 2021, the Company started the project
8 with the North Carolina State University, the University of North
9 Carolina at Charlotte (“UNCC”), and Clemson University through
10 the Center for Advanced Power Engineering Research (“CAPER”).
11 The project investigates the ability to develop a strategy for
12 evaluating protection device, recloser settings and control
13 algorithms for inverter-based resources (“IBRs”) with high
14 penetration levels of DER at both the distribution and transmission
15 levels with an integrated simulation model. There were no charges
16 incurred in the Test Period for this ongoing CAPER project. A status
17 update on the project can be found as Presson Exhibit No. 13.

18 • Electric Power Research Institute – In the Test Period the Company
19 subscribed to Program 174 – DER Integration, the costs of which
20 were recovered via the CEPS Rider. EPRI designates results from
21 studies under this program as proprietary or as trade secrets and
22 licenses such results to EPRI members, including Duke Energy
23 Carolinas. As such, the Company may not disclose the information

1 publicly. Non-members may access these studies for a fee.
2 Information regarding access to this information can be found at
3 <https://www.epri.com>.

4 • Experian EV Data – In the Test Period the Company subscribed to
5 receive quarterly updates from Experian which will include zip
6 code-level data relating to both the number of electric vehicles in
7 operation as well as new electric vehicle registrations plus the make,
8 model, drivetrain, and fuel used for vehicles in operation. The
9 dataset includes light, medium, and heavy-duty vehicles. This
10 information will be used to further advance EV forecasting,
11 charging infrastructure sizing and location, as well as tariff
12 development aimed at increasing EV adoption rates while
13 minimizing emissions and demands on electric infrastructure.
14 Experian considers information from its service to be proprietary
15 and confidential. Information regarding access can be found at
16 <https://www.experian.com/automotive/auto-quarterly-trends>.

17 • Grid Resilience – In late 2022 the Company contracted with Open
18 Energy Solutions, Inc (“OES”) to develop a framework and related
19 perspectives on the value of grid resiliency for Duke Energy. OES
20 tested a range of analytical methods for valuing the resilience
21 benefits of distributed energy resources. The project also focused on
22 example algorithms for grid resilience value levers using available
23 public research and Duke Energy system data. The study was

1 completed in August 2023, and no charges were incurred in the Test
2 Period. Study results can be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit
3 No. 14.

4 • Impacts of Managed Charging and Other Innovative Rates for EV
5 Charging on Utility Load and System – In the Test Period the
6 Company initiated a project with UNCC to examine the effect of
7 innovative rates on EV growth, charging time, utility daily load, the
8 utility system and utility carbon emissions. Although EVs are
9 currently a small percentage of overall utility demand, their
10 penetration rates are rapidly increasing. The primary tariffs offered
11 to households owning EVs are either flat rate or time of use (“TOU”)
12 rates. Flat rates do not encourage charging in off-peak times; TOU
13 rates have limited acceptance and the on-peak/off-peak hours are set
14 well in advance of actual conditions. Innovative rates, such as
15 managed charging, might use vehicle data or a second meter, could
16 reflect real-time conditions, and charging could be under greater
17 control of the electricity dispatcher. The study will use simulations
18 and data provided by the Company, giving special attention to
19 managed charging and the effect of charging on the utility’s
20 distribution system. The progress report for this multi-year study can
21 be found as Presson Exhibit No. 15.

22 • Low Energy Drying of Swine Sludge for Fuel and Fertilizer
23 Research Study – In the Test Period the Company continued support

1 of the various projects being undertaken by the Animal and Poultry
2 Waste Management Center at NCSU. This work is centered around
3 maximizing efficiencies in extracting and drying swine lagoon
4 solids, advancing lagoon solids post drying processing and bagging
5 development, and blending lagoon sludge mixed with other
6 agricultural wasteto create higher value fuels that can be safely and
7 easily transported. An update on the project can be found as Presson
8 Confidential Exhibit No. 16.

9 • Microgrid Electromagnetic Transient (“EMT”) Study Enhancement
10 – The Company kicked off a project with EPRI in the Test Period to
11 enhance the Company’s microgrid study process to increase the
12 level of automation and expand its applicability to cater to the
13 growing needs of microgrid analysis. This project will be completed
14 mid-2024 and is expected to deliver a tool to support CYME to
15 PSCAD conversion and PSCAD Simulation/plotting automation.
16 The scope for EPRI study 1-118890 can be found as Presson
17 Confidential Exhibit No. 17.

18 • Monitoring and Operational Assessment of DER Reactive Power
19 Control – In the Test Period the Company contracted with EPRI to
20 continue the work started in late 2022 relating to its evaluation of
21 the software-based controls of advanced inverters according to the
22 IEEE Standard 1547-2018 (“Standard”). Projects in the Smart
23 Inverter Pilot established in the “Joint Notice of Interconnection

1 Settlement and Petition for Limited Waiver” filed with the
2 Commission in Docket No. E-100, Sub 101 on September 3, 2020,
3 are being commissioned and beginning to operate on the Company’s
4 distribution system. Monitoring and assessing each project’s
5 performance is important. EPRI study 1-117092 collects operational
6 data, assesses the delivery of the systems’ active and reactive power
7 compared to the Standard, identifies any undesirable impact to the
8 feeder system, examines adverse interaction with local or central
9 controls of traditional regulating devices (e.g., voltage regulator,
10 capacitor bank), proposes potential updates for better coordination
11 and further improves the operation’s effectiveness. Results of the
12 2022 study are attached as Presson Confidential Exhibit Nos. 18 and
13 19. The 2023 Study is ongoing.

- 14 • NC State University’s Future Renewable Electric Energy Delivery
15 and Management (“FREEDM”) Systems Center – Duke Energy
16 supports NC State University’s FREEDM Center through annual
17 membership dues. The FREEDM partnership provides Duke Energy
18 with the ability to influence and focus research on materials,
19 technology, and products that will enable the utility industry to
20 transform the electric grid into a two-way power flow system
21 supporting distributed generation.
- 22 • Power Flow Analysis to Improve Integrated Volt/Var and Energy
23 Efficiency Programs – In late 2021 the Company contracted with

1 CAPER to address the issue of inaccurate power flow analysis
2 results in the current DMS when there are DER on a distribution
3 system. The objective of the project was to identify factors that
4 contribute to the differences between calculated power flow results
5 versus power flow measurements from the field on certain identified
6 feeders. When there are only slight differences between calculated
7 power flow results and power flow measurements, DMS has
8 accurate and detailed information about the state of the grid, so that
9 voltage reduction due to IVVC and energy savings can be
10 maximized. When there are large differences between calculated
11 power flow results and power flow measurements, it is very difficult
12 to achieve the full benefits of IVVC. This project analyzed DMS'
13 calculated power flow and offer suggestions for its improvement,
14 especially on circuits which contain high levels of DER. The project
15 was split into 2 phases:

- 16 ○ Phase 1 identified factors that contribute to the differences
17 between calculated power flow results versus power flow
18 measurements from the field and was primarily
19 accomplished by comparing the results of the DMS power
20 flow with the results of power flow from CYME, which is
21 an industry standard application. It was determined the DER
22 on the distribution system is a key contributor. Various
23 differences in modeling and power flow algorithms were

1 investigated, which highlighted process improvements that
2 can be implemented to improve power flow results in both
3 DMS and CYME at the Company. No charges were included
4 for this phase in the Test Period; Phase 1 final results are
5 included as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 20.

6 ○ The second phase of this study incorporated data analytic
7 tools to continue identifying factors contributing to the
8 differences between calculated power flow results versus
9 power flow measurements from the field and focused on
10 feeders with consistently large differences between the
11 power flow results and the measurements. The study team
12 developed a completely novel method of combining two
13 Machine Learning based tools, Binary Logistic Regression
14 and K-means clustering, to group similar feeders and then to
15 highlight the factors that most substantially contribute to the
16 discrepancy between the power flow results and the
17 measurements. This method identified features of the
18 Company's model and software that can be adjusted to
19 minimize the differences between the power flow results and
20 the measurements, including adjustments to the BLA
21 settings. The Company plans to continue using the
22 developed method to identify additional adjustments as
23 needed in the future. No charges were included for this phase

1 in the Test Period. Phase 2 final results are included as
2 Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 21.

3 • Reliability Assessment for Utility PV Inverter System – In
4 December 2022 the Company kicked off a second phase of the
5 Reliability Assessment for Utility PV Inverter System project with
6 UNCC to support the development of safe and reliable utility PV
7 and energy storage systems. The extended project conducted
8 technology and standard reviews on PV and utility battery arc fault
9 and fire prevention, evaluated the current arc fault detection and arc
10 flash prevention methods, researched real-time arc fault detection
11 and battery fire detection technology, and provided technical
12 recommendations to reduce fire hazards, enhance electrical safety,
13 and increase PV and utility energy storage system fire resilience.
14 There were no costs incurred for this project in the Test Period. The
15 study’s final results can be found in Presson Exhibit No. 22.

16 • Resilient Community Microgrids with Dynamic Reconfiguration to
17 Serve Critical Loads in the Aftermath of Severe Events – In 2021
18 the Company supported UNCC in the research project awarded by
19 the Department of Energy’s Office of Energy Efficiency and
20 Renewable Energy under DE-FOA-0002243. Duke Energy supports
21 this project with the expectation that it addresses all topics of
22 interest: (1) the study will recommend a methodology which
23 specifies relay-protection elements and settings for utilization in

1 island mode of operation; (2) the study will recommend
2 methodologies for island black start sequences; and (3) a
3 performance evaluation of the microgrid-control will be provided.

4 This three-year project is expected to be complete in April 2024, and
5 no charges were incurred in the Test Period. The progress for this
6 project can be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 23.

7 • Smart Electric Power Alliance (“SEPA”) – The Company renewed
8 its membership to the Smart Electric Power Alliance in the Test
9 Period. SEPA provides its members with exclusive whitepapers and
10 working group event opportunities on various topics including DER
11 integration, DER management systems, energy efficiency and
12 demand response, electric vehicle development, microgrid and grid
13 resiliency. Please visit SEPA’s website at <https://sepapower.org> for
14 more information on SEPA.

15 • Solutions for Islanding of Microgrids with High Inverter-Based
16 Resources – The Company contracted with Quanta Technologies in
17 the Test Period to investigate and study alternative solutions for the
18 islanding operation of microgrids with high penetrations of inverter-
19 based resources. This study conducted comparative analysis and
20 EMT studies on two potential solutions for improving the islanding
21 of inverter-based microgrids. The study was completed in 2023, and
22 the final report can be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 24.

- 1 • Southeastern Wind Coalition, Inc (“SEWC”) – The Company
2 renewed its membership in the Southeastern Wind Coalition in the
3 Test Period. SEWC conducts research on land-based wind, offshore
4 wind, and energy storage, which informs the Company of potential
5 clean energy generation opportunities that may enable the Company
6 to comply with CEPS in a cost-effective manner. In addition,
7 SEWC’s work is to advance wind policies across the southeast by
8 holding conferences, addressing prohibitive state policies related to
9 wind deployment, and ensuring workforce development and
10 educational outreach. Please visit SEWC’s website at
11 <https://sewind.org> for more information on SEWC.
- 12 • Strategic and Flexible Controllable Load Resources – In the Test
13 Period the Company kicked off a study with Tierra Resource
14 Consultants to develop a framework for real-time grid control of
15 strategic and flexible Controllable Load Resources (“CLRs”). CLRs
16 are loads which can be flexible and agnostic relative to when their
17 loads are increased or decreased. They include flexible computing
18 resources, fleet EV chargers, and other data center CLRs, and enable
19 quick response to fluctuations in both non-dispatchable renewable
20 resources as well as grid constraints. Work is currently being
21 coordinated with Duke Energy’s Emerging Technology Office. The
22 project team is also assessing grid value streams attributed to
23 automated-load-fill controls as well as performance attributes and

1 corresponding contributions to the Company's evolving grid
2 management requirements. This phase of the study is expected to be
3 completed in mid-2025. An overview of the study can be found as
4 Presson Exhibit No. 25.

- 5 • Verifying Performance of Bulk Power-System-Connected Solar,
6 Wind and Storage Plants – The Company started project 1-117805
7 with EPRI in the Test Period intended to improve verification
8 practices for technical interconnection requirements of IBRs that
9 will be connected to transmission systems. During the project EPRI
10 will provide or compile information that will be useful in assessing
11 the current state of verification practices and provide information
12 and webinars from the industry and scientific communities about
13 verification practices. EPRI will provide the comparison table of
14 NERC IBR event causes and IEEE 2800 requirements to verify all
15 causes were addressed by the Standard. The project scope can be
16 found as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 26.

17 **Q. ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THE ACTUAL COSTS INCURRED**
18 **IN THE TEST PERIOD HAVE BEEN, AND THAT THE**
19 **PROJECTED COSTS OF THE BILLING PERIOD WILL BE,**
20 **PRUDENTLY INCURRED?**

21 A. Yes. Duke Energy Progress believes it has incurred and projects to incur all
22 these costs associated with CEPS compliance in a prudent manner. The
23 Company continues to exercise thorough and rigorous technical and

1 economic analysis to evaluate all options for compliance with its CEPS
2 requirements. Duke Energy Progress has developed strong foundational
3 market knowledge related to clean energy resources. The Company
4 continues to enhance and develop expertise in this field through the various
5 solicitations for clean energy and the operation of its unsolicited bid
6 process, its operation of DEP-owned utility-scale solar facilities, its
7 participation in industry research, and daily interaction with developers of
8 clean energy facilities. As a result of these efforts, the Company has been
9 able to identify, procure, and develop a diverse portfolio of clean resources
10 to meet its CEPS requirements in a prudent, reasonable, and cost-effective
11 manner.

12 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

13 **A. Yes.**