

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Steve Synesael
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:39 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steve Synesael

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steve Synesael

Email

synesael@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have solar panels in two of the houses that I own. One in New York and one in North Carolina. I can't imagine not having solar panels on my roofs moving forward. It is a great way to save energy

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Joel C Keller
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:40 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joel C Keller

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joel C Keller

Email

joel_keller@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We can't continue using fossil fuels like we have been the past 100 years. Right now the earth's atmosphere has more CO2 in it than ever before. Rooftop solar helps us all: homeowners are empowered to create and share their kilowatt hours while the energy companies get power back from their customers. Please keep net meters in use as we begin to become better stewards of the earth.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Stephen Cline
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:41 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stephen Cline

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stephen Cline

Email

stephen.cline.w@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180.

Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy to change to a time of use model for electricity billing. Myself and many other current and prospective solar customers, who are doing our part to keep the energy grid stable and operational at peak times, would be very negatively affected by this. We have made the decision to help the environment and our communities by investing in solar and we should not be penalized for this, which is what Duke Energy is trying to do.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Steve Exum
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:42 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steve Exum

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steve Exum

Email

nimbus@exumphoto.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke energy is not going far enough to support and promote solar, the cleanest energy solution. We don't need MORE fossil fuel plants we need more roof top solar. Please hold Duke Energy responsible for creating and attracting MORE solar investments. Our environment and the people of NC deserve more clean energy. We should make it our goal to be the # 1 state in the union promoting clean solar energy. I have an array on my home in Beaufort NC and love my clean energy solution installed by Southern Energy Management.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Dr Grant Hardesty
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dr Grant Hardesty

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dr Grant Hardesty

Email

hardestydmd@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

To whomever it may concern, I am a rooftop solar owner and I am writing to request you to oppose this proposal. This is nothing but Duke Energy trying to maximize profits. and does nothing but harm people like me who have invested considerable sums of money into my solar system. I have, at my own expense, installed a system that was approved to be a generation site for Duke energy. Every system like mine does not just help my energy situation but excess electricity is sent to the grid, which helps with the grid load as a whole. Duke Energy did not have to spend the tens of thousands of dollars to install this capacity and they benefit from it by having their renewable energy portfolio expanded. Every rooftop solar system also enlarges their energy production capacity, especially on hot sunny days, where there is more strain. The utility company has paid nothing, beyond switching the meter to bidirectional, for this generation site and is now trying to have their cake and eat to to by changing how I am charged/compensated which looks like a money grab. Not only that but as this proposal makes rooftop solar more expensive it discriminates against middle and lower income households who would be less likely to be able to afford a system under the new proposal. The Commission should be supporting rooftop solar and not handicapping it. Make NC a leader and stop this proposal Sincerely, Dr. Grant Hardesty

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Corinne Wilson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:45 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Corinne Wilson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Corinne Wilson

Email

corinne.e.wilson@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Hello, I am writing about the docket number E-100 Sub 180 changing the net metering rules. I feel that this change is benefitting Duke and hurting the public. It's not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively, and before I've even paid it off. As it stands now, Duke has set up the net metering to benefit them more than it should, with a connectivity fee, solar fee, and a rollover in May when I have all my energy saved up through winter that I can't use. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules, and should protect the consumer and the public rather than allowing Duke to make massive profits year after year.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Sean Long
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:45 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sean Long

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sean Long

Email

sean.m.long2.civ@army.mil

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

If the country wants to reduce green house gas's why would we let the energy companies dictate how solar is utilized by the consumer. It is only fair that the use of Net Metering remain in place and the customers still get paid for the energy they are providing to the Electrical company. We the Customer have spent a great deal of money with a expected ROI. If the government allows the Electric company to take this ROI away less customers will chose to go Solar and will continue to add to the Green house gases that the world would like to lower. Big business will always worry about their bottom line over the customers. Our country has let big business run the country into the ground with the politicians taking their share of the money while the average American pays more and loses more. Great job American leadership Great Job. Don't let big business dictate our world and families future.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Katharine Archer
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:45 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Katharine Archer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Katharine Archer

Email

katdragonmail@icloud.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

I feel that I want to help the planet as well as myself by getting solar panels- what is being proposed is the opposite of what will bring about real change-penalizing people that are spending lots of their own money to do the right thing and then it just benefiting a large corporation and hurting the chances of others joining the fight for our planet.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mehul Dilip Shah
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:47 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mehul Dilip Shah

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mehul Dilip Shah

Email

mehul50@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke can't change the value of our solar investments retroactively, that's not fair! The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Thank you, Mehul

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Bonita S Holton
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:47 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bonita S Holton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bonita S Holton

Email

bholton@embarqmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

PLEASE reject Duke Powers proposal for the sake of our children and their children!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Scott Dallamura
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:48 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Scott Dallamura

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Scott Dallamura

Email

scott.dallamura@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge the utilities commission to reject this proposal. We cannot allow Duke energy - a serial polluter - to discourage citizens from providing their own power from the sun. This proposal would threaten thousands of jobs and make it harder (or impossible) to meet climate goals. It would also result in higher costs for consumers across the board.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robert G Mclver
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:49 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert G Mclver

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert G Mclver

Email

rmciver@greensborolaw.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a homeowner with a newly installed solar roof-top system, I write to object to the proposed changes to the regime of net metering currently in effect in N.C. No change to the rules should be made without a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis by the Commission. Distributed roof-top solar systems should be promoted and incentivized to maximum extent possible. In the first full year of my systems operation here in Guilford county, my all electric house operated without the net purchase of electricity for 7 months. During this time I exported substantial clean energy to Duke Energy. Further, the grid should be managed under rules which allow the the receipt of distributed roof-top solar production and other decentralized production to the maximum extent possible.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: George Jamesson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:50 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by George Jamesson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

George Jamesson

Email

gjtwo1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy's net metering proposal is an unfair attempt to retroactively penalize those of us who have made large financial investment in rooftop solar. The investment under the existing rules is 'break even'. Under the proposal, consumer financed rooftop solar will be all but eliminated. We need rooftop solar as a component of society's mission to reduce our carbon footprint. Please reject Duke's proposal and insist upon rules that benefit all of us for the future. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Michael Prewitt
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:50 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Prewitt

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Prewitt

Email

prewitt@nc.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

When I purchased and had rooftop solar panels installed, Duke Energy appeared to be very supportive of this activity including supporting the crediting of excessive solar production. I feel that is it very hypocritical of Duke Energy to propose introducing net metering and ultimately undermining my solar investment. I implore the Commission do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar production that is required by law (HB 589) before allowing changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jennifer Davis
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:51 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jennifer Davis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jennifer Davis

Email

ferferncbills@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not lower the amount of net metering being allocated. My house got hosed on the Duke solar credit (submitted within minutes of the window opening for submission). We applied twice and our spot in the list never moved (in 2 submissions). Our dollar advantage from getting solar will not be seen for close to 15 years. Particularly since they changed the submission process after we signed our contract. Lowering the net metering credit will significantly impact our household in a negative way. Feel free to contact me if you need more information.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Travis Book <travis.book@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:51 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Hello,

As a current solar user with an installation on my roof I encourage you to reject Duke Energy's efforts to stifle solar growth and further their own interests of burning more fossil fuels to generate electricity. Rooftop solar is one of the best ways to fight climate change and create stable decentralized energy for America.

Thank you
Travis Book
Brevard, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: ANDREA WATSON
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:51 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by ANDREA WATSON

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

ANDREA WATSON

Email

Aharris8405@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

If we are to maintain a future for our children and grandchildren we need to rely less on fossil fuels. Solar is the perfect option for this!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Clifford Perry
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:51 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Clifford Perry

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Clifford Perry

Email

cbperry52@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose the changes to net metering for various reasons: 1) Essentially an implied contract was created when I signed up for net metering with Duke energy on which I made financial decisions. If the rules are changed I will incur financial damages for the life of my solar system and would expect Duke energy or the State of NC to compensate me. 2) This policy change would give power companies an unfair advantage over individual power producers, essentially reinforcing the monopoly of power companies and undermining free enterprise and democratization of power production. 3) This change would further illustration the power of corporate lobbyists and corruption of state government and commissions. 4) This policy will reduce the incentives to shift our power grid to a more robust/resilient system (individually power producers help support peak loads and ability to recover from disruptions) ; environmentally sustainable power production (reduced reliance on fossil fuels) and reduced capital costs on power companies by reducing investments in incremental capacity. If the commission approves the changes it shows it's corrupt loyalty to power companies over the people of NC (sad day!).

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robert Pleasants
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Pleasants

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Pleasants

Email

rpleasants69@mac.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180.

Message

Dear Commissioner's, Thank you for reading this and your service on this commission. I am a fairly significant shareholder of Duke Power stock. I honestly see no problem in receiving less dividends if we can invest more in clean energy because i think in the end it will pay for itself. It is also necessary to halt global warming. I have tried to do my part by conserving energy and using rooftop solar array. I think Duke should honor their commitment to pay market price for receiving this energy back on to the grid. Sincerely, Robert Pleasants

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: RUPA KASHYAP
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by RUPA KASHYAP

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

RUPA KASHYAP

Email

rupakarra@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please stop DUKE from changing the net metering rules. Home owners have spent out of pocket money to generate clean energy and transfer excess energy to DUKE. DUKE does not own my rooftop or my solar investment. NCUC asked Duke Energy to submit a draft plan and the contents are horrible: lots more new fossil gas plants and modular nuclear reactors, coal until the mid-2030s, no progress on affordability, and (no surprise to you) very little role for rooftop solar. #SAVENCOSOLAR

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Charles and Karen Clark
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Charles and Karen Clark

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charles and Karen Clark

Email

theclarx@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are writing regarding Duke Energy's plan to change the net metering rules for their residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount we are paid for the excess solar energy we share with the grid. We reject this proposal because it would slow the growth of solar in NC and negatively impact us and other contributors to the grid. A full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar should be done before any net metering changes are made. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. We should be allowed to stay on our current net metering plan - or one even more beneficial to customers who have made this investment - for the life of our system. Also slowing the growth of solar is Duke's meager rebate program that is a lottery which leaves out many homeowners who have installed roof top solar. This should be expanded to include all new installations even if the amount of the rebate per installation is lower. Thank you for considering our request. Charles and Karen Clark

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jamie Lau
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jamie Lau

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jamie Lau

Email

jtlau6@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am an owner of residential solar panels. It is one of the best investments I have made and Duke Energy should not be able to retroactively change the value of my investment because it has monopoly control of the power grid. Additionally, HB 589 required a cost-benefit analysis be done by the Utilities Commission, not the private utility in control of the grid. This is a shocking dereliction of duty on the Commission's part and highlights capture by Duke Energy. The Commission itself must do a cost-benefit analysis before making changes to my solar investment. Anything less is putting the power of industry over the interests of the people of North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jedediah Hinkley
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:53 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jedediah Hinkley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jedediah Hinkley

Email

jedediahwood81@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robert Breslin
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:53 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Breslin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Breslin

Email

bbunitedllc@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke's draft prioritizes selfish, short-term profits at the expense of people and the environment. Favoring coal while hurting individual solar customers with a poor draft/net metering plan is not ok. We stand against it, and ask that you deny Duke's draft plan.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jeffrey Strang
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:55 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jeffrey Strang

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeffrey Strang

Email

jmstrang@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing in support of continuing the current net metering rules. When I installed my rooftop residential solar system, net metering was the key financial metric that made it affordable. Net metering also benefits Duke Energy by supplying electricity during the sunniest and hottest part of the day, when electricity demand is high due to air conditioning use. Rooftop solar allows Duke to avoid bringing up their peaking plants and reduces their capital expenditure. Let's keep North Carolina at the forefront of residential solar power adoption by maintaining the current rules and encouraging further adoption of rooftop solar power.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Frederick D Frieslander
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:56 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Frederick D Frieslander

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Frederick D Frieslander

Email

Pirateduff911@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

My wife and I are retired. We chose to go solar for several reasons: clean energy; power during storms, hurricanes; saving money in the long run. We give Duke Energy a lot of kilowatts every day during their peak hours when they need it the most. We should get back exactly what we give them at the same rate. They should not make any money on what they give us back, it was ours to start with... we help them when they need it most! Please keep it kilowatt per kilowatt.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Cathy Whitlock
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:57 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Cathy Whitlock

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Cathy Whitlock

Email

clwhitlock@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Duke Energy, My family is not wealthy, but we invested in solar panels both in an effort to combat climate change and because net metering made this work for our long-term family budget. It is deeply disturbing to hear that you are considering making big changes to this important program. Batteries are still too expensive for most families. Please reconsider. Cathy Whitlock

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Henry Johnson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:57 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Henry Johnson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Henry Johnson

Email

jrsm715@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy currently takes all excess energy that is made by consumer solar and then charges consumers for that electricity that was taken. The home that produced the excess electricity gets charged a fee every month just to be hooked up to the grid. This fee is charged no matter how much electricity is produced at the consumers residence. Duke energy also allows the consumer to save the excess electricity produced from June to June each year. The excess that you have built up is wiped clean every year in June. The highest producing months for solar is from February to June. The highest usage months are of course June to September. This makes perfect business sense for Duke Energy as the reap the benefits of being able to take and sell all excess electricity to other consumers and maximize profits. However the household that produced the energy in the first place gets charged every month to be hooked up to the grid and also gets all of the excess that was produced during the prime solar months wiped out before they could actually use it during the summer months. So Net metering would be the best option for helping the grid overall as it will draw more people to want to go to solar. The second option would be to move the date that Duke power wipes out the credits to September of each year. This would allow the solar producers to at least see the rewards for what was produced. The 3rd option would be to take away the option for Duke power to wipe the credits clean at any time. The excess electricity that is produced would simply build credits. Thank you for the forum to make a statement on this issue.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Adam BURke
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:58 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Adam BURke

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Adam BURke

Email

atburke85@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar customer, I believe the current policies on solar only limit the value of residential solar. Allowing Duke to devalue the production of consumers will only further reduce the value of my solar installation and further dissuade further renewable expansion. The commission should not allow duke to devalue my installation retroactively. The commission should do all cost benefit analysis for roof-top solar as was required by HB 589 before net metering rules were changed. Duke energy should not have any say in the way the NC government and the NC residents work towards energy sustainability. The utilities commission should be working to make solar installations more valuable to existing and future consumers and protecting our investments.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Joshua N Kruzich
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:58 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joshua N Kruzich

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joshua N Kruzich

Email

joshua.kruzich@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm deeply concerned about efforts to change the current status of Net Metering in North Carolina. NC is currently a national leader in this area. The rules we have in place feed a growing industry of rooftop solar that has advantages for consumers as well as solar and utility companies. Greed, however, is driving utilities to change the rules so they reap more of the benefit. While these changes will certainly help the bottom line of power providers, they will make rooftop solar inaccessible for low to medium income families and harm thriving solar businesses. As a resident with rooftop solar, this issue is deeply personal to me. I've been blessed with the financial means to power my home with clean energy while reducing strain on our power grid. My goal in writing is that this opportunity be viable for future homeowners. I hope that the Commission considers carefully the ramifications for those whom they serve - the people of NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Tyson Hallet
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:59 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tyson Hallet

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tyson Hallet

Email

vtchallet@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

The state of North Carolina should prioritize the interests of North Carolinians over the interests of publicly listed corporate utilities like Duke Energy in critical decisions about the energy future of our state. Rooftop solar has benefited our state and will continue to do so. It makes sense in terms of benefits to individual citizens, increased energy resilience, and resourceful use of land and space. It makes sense in terms of the jobs and revenue the rooftop solar industry has created and will continue to create for our state.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: James F.Hawthorne
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 9:59 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James F. Hawthorne, Jr

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James F. Hawthorne, Jr

Email

rickhawthornejr@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are new solar power participants at our home in Asheville. If we are generating me energy, and Duke energy is benefiting, the rate for which we are being compensated should not be reduced, it should be in line with the production/cost of producing. The law should not change to benefit Duke energy, they should be benefiting the supplier, which is the individual/location producing the energy. The rates should be continuable, and not be zeroed out once per year. If you're producing the energy to cover our usage, we should not be penalized during those periods where our production is decreased, or a random calendar period when Duck Energy decides to benefit from our production, without crediting us for that production. In summary, the Duke energy rates should not change to benefit the power company, they should benefit the individual producing the energy. Thank you for this consideration and we recommend not changing the laws to benefit Duke Energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Alexander Majeska
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:00 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Alexander Majeska

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alexander Majeska

Email

alexmajeska@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please consider supporting home energy storage or communal storage subsidies and promotion before considering reducing net metering rates. If we are trying to beat the "duck curve" from solar generation demand/production, the long-term solution would be in the promotion of sustainable renewables, not the reduction of them.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: ray n pope
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:00 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by ray n pope

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

ray n pope

Email

rareearth4999@att.net

Docket

e100

Message

As a past Environmental Educator, I ask that you please support solar power in any way possible. Build panels in the US, pay more to citizens that produce power, expand tax credits etc. If the war with Ukraine has taught us nothing, surely we can agree that we cannot be held hostage with energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Warren Faust
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:00 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Warren Faust

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Warren Faust

Email

wpfbus@atmc.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Our family made a substantial investment on a rooftop solar system less than 2 years ago. We invested in solar for two reasons. The first was financial. Considering the rate we were paying for electricity and the rate of return on our investment, we would pay for the cost of the system in 20 years (+/- 1 year) meaning a return of approximately 5% over the 20 years. We made the decision understanding at the time if electricity rates declined our return of investment would take more time and if electricity rates increased we might see a quicker return of our investment. The second reason to invest in solar was our concern for our future generations. More solar production means less carbon emissions means less damage to the world our future generations inherit from us. I strongly oppose the changes in net metering proposed by Duke Energy. Solar producing customers already pay a premium in their meter rates, in my case \$5 per month more than a non solar producing customer. Allowing the proposed changes would put additional burdens on those who have already invested in solar and those who are considering investing in home solar. With those extra costs the choice to go solar will be much more difficult to make. With those rate increases current home solar investors may make a jump to increasing their solar capacity and adding batteries allowing them to disconnect from the grid. The grid will still need to be maintained so those who have not disconnected and those with no solar will have to cover the lost revenue from those who disconnected. I just cannot logically see how these net metering changes will help any customers of Duke Energy and the changes should not be approved.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: A.S.
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:01 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by A.S.

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

A.S.

Email

buchire80@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Good afternoon, I'm a new customer to solar panels and recently received news of the changes that the power companies are trying to push through. One of the biggest reasons for our decision to go solar is for the 'green' benefits of solar power and trying to do our part for the environment. We decided to proceed with this investment with the peace of mind of knowing that the investment would offer a good return however this new plan to change metering is very bothersome to us and we're not happy with this manipulation. While we understand that the power companies are publicly owned and have a bottom line, it shouldn't come at the cost of solar power providers. We are more than happy to contribute to the grid but not if that means filling the big corporations' pockets at our expense. Please look into this; whether you believe climate change is real or not, going solar is a wonderful benefit for the entire planet and we need to motivate more people to go the 'green' route. Thank you for your time and consideration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Joel Fitzgerald
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joel Fitzgerald

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joel Fitzgerald

Email

jfitzg17@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

This plan would significantly reduce the value of solar at a time when our state, country, and planet need to be placing more value than ever on solar. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. The NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: john mcdermott
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by john mcdermott

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

john mcdermott

Email

john@bolafurniture.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

please maintain solar net metering ability with Duke Energy. I want to add rooftop solar to my house. thanks

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David Weintraub
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Weintraub

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Weintraub

Email

greenmentch@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I recently installed solar on my roof in Flat Rock, NC. I followed all the rules and guidelines and was aware of the financial incentives that I would be offered in being a solar generator contributing to bringing energy to the grid in my small way. Now I find that Duke wants to change the rules by making it less advantageous for solar owners like me to benefit so that greedy Duke can take a larger slice of the pie. Given that solar energy so critical for our energy future especially with the volatility in the fossil fuel market, the state should do everything possible to encourage solar energy not discourage it. Furthermore, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. I hope to find out that the Commission has decided that standing with the residents of NC is more important than Duke's stockholders.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Paul Raynor
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:07 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Raynor

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paul Raynor

Email

paulraynor53@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of our solar investment retroactively The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. There should not be a cut off were we lose our energy credits. They should continually roll over month to month with no end date. It's not fair Duke gets to keep my unused energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Sanjeev Shukla
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:07 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sanjeev Shukla

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sanjeev Shukla

Email

sanjeev.shukla@sanmita.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

To Whomsoever it may concern, The current net metering rule itself is flawed and proposed changes will make it worse. I would like net metering rules to be reviewed and updated as following: -The price of electricity should be same whether generated by Utilities or the Consumer's Solar Installation. That is, when consumers sell excess electricity to the utilities, they should receive the same amount as being charged by the utilities when utilities companies sell electricity to the consumers. -The current rule of utility companies to charge (real dollars) from solar customers when they use electricity (from utility companies) and give credit (not real dollars) when utility companies use electricity (from consumer's solar installation) needs to be terminated immediately. Either the real dollar transaction should be used or credits should be used in both the transactions. Utility companies cannot take unfair advantage of the consumers. - Currently Duke Energy terminates all the accumulated credits of solar customers on May end, an arbitrary month/date, and then charges the same customers for electricity when they need extra electricity in the summer months, which is totally unfair and needs to be stopped immediately. -it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively -The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules I would be happy to discuss these issues in details, if needed. Thank you. --Sanjeev Shukla

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Nikhil joshi
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:09 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nikhil joshi

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nikhil joshi

Email

joshi_nikhil@yahoo.com

Docket

docket number E-100 Sub 180

Message

save rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: mark <mark@cohenserver.com>
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:09 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E100 Sub 180CS

I am writing about the proposed rule changes regarding Solar Energy. Please DO NOT support any changes that negatively impact net metering or other programs that encourage solar installations on homes or businesses. There are two fundamental reasons for my request. First of all, it is absolutely the wrong direction to go in vis a vis global warming/climate change. This is a critical issue and as a society and for our children we need to be encouraging more solar energy and renewable energy not less. Second is that as a homeowner and fairly recent (last few years) owner of a rooftop solar system, it is patently unfair for you to change the rules that I based my decision and installation on. More fundamental than that what you are contemplating basically amounts to a crime - robbery - as you will be unilaterally devaluing the energy that I am providing back to the grid. How would you like it if your employer said you have to keep working, you have to keep paying for that college loan, but starting tomorrow I am going to pay you 1/3 of what you make today... oh, and you have absolutely no ability to quit and seek other employment. Not too much huh? Well, that is exactly what you are proposing to do all of those who already installed solar systems.

In the interest of fairness and of future generations - don't do it! Instead, consider how you can encourage more solar - not less.

Mark Cohen

101 Warley Circle
Cary, NC 27513

Email Addresses:
mark@cohenserver.com
markcohen@ieee.org

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Douglas Dotson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:09 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Douglas Dotson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Douglas Dotson

Email

DougDotsonPottery@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We put solar panels on our house last year and I think it would be unfair for Duke to change the rules of net metering in their favor now. In fact, I really think NC should do more to encourage solar energy. Duke's proposal does the opposite. Also, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lisa Ross
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:10 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lisa Ross

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lisa Ross

Email

happyarzikis@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Protect and create policies that promote the use of renewable energy and end climate destruction. Thank you, Lisa

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Paul Neebe
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:16 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Neebe

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paul Neebe

Email

neebetc@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

This bill will ruin solar power in North Carolina. We need more incentives for solar not less. Duke Power is essentially steeling from its customers in my opinion.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Bruce Buley
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:17 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce Buley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bruce Buley

Email

brucebuley@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

As a solar rooftop residential provider and concerned NC citizen regarding the future of our environment, I would like to request that Duke Energy make a more serious attempt at carbon footprint reduction by reducing carbon emissions they cited for 2050 to 2030. For the sake of our children's future, I believe this should be a priority.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ben Troxler
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:19 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ben Troxler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ben Troxler

Email

geotrox1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am asking to not change the present home owner solar net metering policy being pushed by Duke Energy. This policy will reduce rooftop solar in NC and make us fall short of our climate goals. I am in favor of removing the solar credit wipeout that occurs at the end of each May which denies homeowners the solar credits that they have built up from being extended into the fall and winter where the solar production is reduced and the credits would be useful in reducing my energy bill. I would ask Duke power to further work with solar installers and homeowners to utilize the many battery storage options homeowners currently have as "peaker plants" which substitute for fossil fuel driven "peaker plants".

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Doug Schuetz
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:20 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Doug Schuetz

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Doug Schuetz

Email

dougschuetz59@gmail.com

Docket

"E-100 Sub 180

Message

It is unbelievable that Duke wants to change the rules on solar net metering. Everything should be pointed toward promotion of solar and alternative energies and Duke is making that almost impossible. They have to see in the long run it's going to benefit them as the Raleigh area expands and the need for energy grows. My installing a solar array on my house was dependent on how net metering was done at the time so I could recoup my investment. You should not let them touch that and even make it more beneficial for people to put solar on their house.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Anthony Ruppert
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:24 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Anthony Ruppert

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Anthony Ruppert

Email

aruppert@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear NC Utilities Commission, I'm writing you today regarding Docket No. E-100, Sub 180. In July 2021 I had solar panels installed on my home in Apex, NC. For the past year it has been great to see how my investment has lowered my electric bill to a point where I've been in the black based on my monthly electric bill and my monthly cost for the solar equipment. Additionally, it is even more wonderful to see that I have been able to generate enough electricity from solar on my roof to cover my electricity requirements and give back to the overall grid. This is a win – win! A win for me and a win for the other customers that I've been able to provide clean energy. Given my example and that of so many other North Carolinians please reconsider these changes to the net energy metering (NEM). And for as much as we all are at the mercy of big companies and their subsidiaries – like Duke Energy, let us get back to common sense when it comes to solar and meeting our energy needs overall. The solar panels on my house are a smart investment for my energy needs, your energy needs, and all of the energy needs of North Carolinians. Please do not take these steps to complicate and ultimately choke personal solar production in North Carolina. We as a people, and you as a commission, should be doing things to make solar more accessible, available and affordable to all and not just bending to the desires of Duke Energy yet again. Please make the right choice here and with our collective energy decisions going forward that will help all North Carolinians now and for future generations. Thank you, Anthony Ruppert aruppert@gmail.com

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Allen Poweski
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:25 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Allen Poweski

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Allen Poweski

Email

dunkirkal@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am against Duke Energy proposal to change the net metering rules. It would increase my and many others monthly bills retroactively by changing the one-to-one payback for my solar system. Negatively hurt the environment by building gas plants that would increase the amount of methane released to the atmosphere.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Soren Palmer
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:28 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Soren Palmer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Soren Palmer

Email

sorengpalmer@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We love our solar and everything that comes along with it, including the net metering. We feel like we did the right thing for the environment and for our children. The cost was expensive for us, but we knew it would pay itself back in the long run and -- again -- help the environment. On the one hand, the fact that Duke Energy is trying to undercut this program, our efforts to help the environment, our financial investment as consumers, and hobble local businesses, seems incredibly unkind, mean-spirited, and unethical. On the other hand, it's Duke Energy and this mean-spirited, unkind, and unethical attack on solar energy is not at all surprising. I'm continually disappointed by their complete greed. I urge the Commission to put an end to this malicious attack on solar energy, small businesses, and the resident consumers of this state.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jacob Bonogofsky
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:28 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jacob Bonogofsky

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jacob Bonogofsky

Email

jacob.bonogofsky@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a homeowner that took steps to be more responsible not only for my own electrical consumption needs, but to help alleviate our grid load during high use times, I find it wholly disagreeable that Duke would attempt to further stymie the interconnect agreements with rooftop solar installations. Not only does Duke currently wipe out our net-metering credits right at the time of the year when we would begin to use them (end of May) but now they seek to further cripple us. I urge the NCUC to reject this proposal and keep the solar generation we contribute to the grid as the current levels.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Benjamin Hollifield
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:28 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Benjamin Hollifield

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Benjamin Hollifield

Email

hollifield@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Having invested in solar for my home and family, I do not support allowing Duke Energy to change net metering rules. I urge the commission to do the appropriate cost-benefit analysis of rooftop solar, per HB589, prior to allowing the changing of those rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Barbara Michelle Hall
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:29 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Barbara Michelle Hall

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Barbara Michelle Hall

Email

michelle.lubick@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. The biggest issue I see with this is we've made the investment to aid Duke Energy in using Solar energy to meet their energy goals. Effectively Duke Energy is renting or loading our solar panels to use for the rest of the rid. All power types require maintenance and Duke Energy is not providing maintenance on customer purchased solar. Costs such as employees to run, waste maintenance, regulation requirements, support staff for employees, staff to check on any issues etc. With solar they pay a 1 time fee and then occasional pay if a solar owner outputs more than they input. They help with a 1 time amount and then after then there is a max amount we are allowed to get back on our investment. Duke is basically getting the energy for minimal cost on their end other than the credit and the occasional extra balance (that is already capped)

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Chase Gibson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:30 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Chase Gibson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Chase Gibson

Email

cg77474@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

This is a step backwards and is not what the community wants. Let's promote the right thing and not take away from the people trying to do the right thing.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robin Ollison
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:30 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robin Ollison

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robin Ollison

Email

Ollisonrv@gmail.com

Docket

E10-180

Message

Solar energy is very important in helping reduce carbon emissions in the environment. Importance of educating families about clean energy and how it affects the community and environment.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jason Boster
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:30 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Boster

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jason Boster

Email

jason.boster@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am contacting you in regards to the proposed changes to Net Metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers (Docket E-100 Sub 180). This proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. Reducing the value of solar will impact thousands of consumers who have already invested in solar and make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. NC House Bill 589 requires that you investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: linda joyce
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:30 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by linda joyce

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

linda joyce

Email

lindac4569@yahoo.com

Docket

E100-SUB 180

Message

I strongly oppose Duke's Energy Proposed changes. They should not be allowed to change net metering rules on their own.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Leonard
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:31 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Leonard

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Leonard

Email

johnleonard@emeraldenergync.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We have been made aware Duke Energy intends to change their solar metering policies after our significant investments in solar throughout this state. This does not seem very friendly to the solar industry and gives it a bad name. We also do not feel that Duke should be able to change any metering rules without allowing the Commission to perform the cost/benefit analysis for solar that has been REQUIRED by law HB589.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Heather Liles
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:36 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Heather Liles

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Heather Liles

Email

hliles1973@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

No to Duke's proposal

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Eric Shen
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:37 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Eric Shen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Eric Shen

Email

eric.shen@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Governor Cooper, Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Solar power will be integral to the future of sustainable in North Carolina. Please request for a TRUE investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Rooftop solar systems need to be encouraged, not discouraged if we are to move in the right direction. Best wishes, Eric Shen

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Marie Michael
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:37 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Marie Michael

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Marie Michael

Email

moree39@gnail.com

Docket

E-109 sub180

Message

I care about the future of the planet that i will leave to my children. I fully support solar energy. We currently use it and wish for it to remain a viable option for consumers for not only cost but usage. Please continue the full reimbursement to consumers who use it.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ashok Ramakrishnan
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:38 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ashok Ramakrishnan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ashok Ramakrishnan

Email

ashok.ramakrishnan@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hi: It's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively. Changing the credit for solar generation based on time of day is giving Duke the ability to manipulate the equation to their benefit. This is absolutely dangerous and should NOT be approved! At the very minimum, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Thanks for your consideration. -
Ashok Ramakrishnan 428 Havenfield Ct, Apex, NC 27523

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Hendricks
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:40 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Hendricks

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Hendricks

Email

johnhendricks505@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am against the new net metering rule proposed by Duke Energy. By putting solar on my house I took financial responsibility to generate my own power. Thus if it breaks I pay to fix it. Extra power goes to Duke at the same rate they charge me. This is only fair since they don't have to manage generation. Seems wrong that they want to generate power from solar and charge me more for power generated the same way I make it. It should all be the same rate buying and selling to Duke. I am happy to pay a grid fee since I do use the grid and feed the grid.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Deborah Barnette
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:41 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Deborah Barnette

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Deborah Barnette

Email

debarnette@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Commission, I invested in roof top solar in 2019 as part of a personal commitment to help reduce greenhouse gases and other pollution, i.e. nuclear. It has worked very well for my family and we try to do our most energy intensive activities during the day to make the most impact. Even with that, we still export quite a bit of energy back to the grid. Now it seems that Duke Energy wants to change the value proposition of my solar investment retroactively. That is not fair. Further, I fear such action will disincentivize future consumers like me from making the investment and that will negatively impact progress toward reducing greenhouse gases which is an imperative to our society as we are facing the imminent threat of extreme weather among other consequences due to climate change. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Best Regards, Deborah Barnette

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Ludden
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:42 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Ludden

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Ludden

Email

jdludden@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not change net metering at all. I purchased solar last year. Partly because of the current arrangement between Duke and residents. We need to expand solar and make it easier and more affordable, not go backwards

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Sameer Gotkhindikar
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sameer Gotkhindikar

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sameer Gotkhindikar

Email

sameer_sg@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

If Duke Energy implements the new net metering rules, it will not be fair for the homeowners such as me who have invested their hard earned money into solar. The payback period for our investment will be doubled or trippled. Future solar investors will be discouraged. It would be a bait and switch on Duke's part.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Karen Frankola
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Karen Frankola

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Karen Frankola

Email

karenfrankola@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC should be doing all it can to encourage the use of solar and reduce fossil fuels. This is a step in the wrong direction. I purchased solar panels a year ago, never dreaming Duke Energy would get to rewrite the rules to its advantage.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Charles M GREESON
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Charles M GREESON

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charles M GREESON

Email

martin@greesonpix.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I just finished installing solar panels on my roof. Part of my decision to do it now is because Duke Energy's proposed changes will diminish interest for future home owners to install this clean energy opportunity. Any changes that make incentives less should not be accepted. I would propose increasing incentives in these times where energy costs and consumption are going up. Increasing clean energy opportunities should be the goal of any of Duke Energy's and NC state's future plans.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kelley Griffith
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:46 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kelley Griffith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kelley Griffith

Email

sunfinewood@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

In 2018 I had 21 solar panels installed on my house. This as been a very successful system. It has saved me money and is my contribution to North Carolina's need for clean, renewable energy. Duke Energy now claims that solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid. They have submitted to the North Carolina Utilities Commission a plan to reduce the amount solar customers are paid for the excess solar energy they share with the grid. Before making any changes to net metering, I request that the North Carolina Utilities Commission thoroughly investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Joseph P.Nawrocki
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:48 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph P. Nawrocki

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joseph P. Nawrocki

Email

Joseph.Nawrocki@me.com

Docket

E100 sub 180

Message

I don't think duke energy should change the current terms of net metering. If anything they should be doing more to help promote clean energy and making it more appealing not less appealing.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robert David Boss Jr.
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:50 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert David Boss Jr.

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert David Boss Jr.

Email

david@bosspc.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a rooftop solar owner, I am concerned that Duke Energy is proposing a change to net metering. My installation was paid for by me and the energy my installation generates is enough to carry me through most months with Duke receiving the overages. Currently any left over overages disappear for me every year. First, that is a net win for Duke as they receive that energy free of charge from me without doing anything except charging me \$16 per month for the privilege. Duke's proposal is a worse situation than that for the consumer and energy generator. Please consider NOT accepting Duke's proposal AND consider removing the yearly reset and allowing me to benefit fully from the energy I am generating. Respectfully, R. David Boss Jr.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Geoffrey Hirsch
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:51 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Geoffrey Hirsch

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Geoffrey Hirsch

Email

hgeoffreyd@gmail.com

Docket

239272

Message

Rather than punish people who seek renewable energy sources, Duke should be investing in such sources so Duke can be a bigger player in reducing greenhouse gases and helping to counter-act climate change.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ryan Quinn
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:53 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ryan Quinn

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ryan Quinn

Email

ryan3q+ncuc@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

The Duke net-metering proposal is nothing short of a cash grab at the expense of the environment and the entire NC solar industry.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Bertha Lisa Luna
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:53 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bertha Lisa Luna

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bertha Lisa Luna

Email

bgraciano76@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose to the Duke Energy Proposal. I request that a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. We shouldn't be penalized for wanting a healthier climate.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Rohin Sharma
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:55 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rohin Sharma

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rohin Sharma

Email

rohinsharma.work@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I would like to voice my concerns against Duke Energy's proposed changes to net metering rules. I strongly feel that it is unethical and not consumer friendly as these new rules primarily benefit Duke Energy. I would appreciate if North Carolina Utilities Commission takes into consideration the consumer benefits and do not let Duke Energy change these rules. Regards, Rohin Sharma

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Gabriel Lawrence Strizzi
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:57 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gabriel Lawrence Strizzi

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gabriel Lawrence Strizzi

Email

gabrielstrizzi@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules . I have solar on my roof and happy to contribute to fossil free energy production and use. Also do not take away any value of the net metering , this will force me and maybe others to go completely off the grid. I am on the fence about that situation now, at this time I am still contributing to peak demand during the day to the grid.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: ronald bruzzese
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:57 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by ronald bruzzese

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

ronald bruzzese

Email

ronald.bruzzese@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not eliminate or reduce the benefits of home owners generating solar power for NC. Continue to support the existing net metering structure.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David House
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:00 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David House

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David House

Email

dhouse@presby.edu

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

Duke's attempt to revise Net Metering rules is not only unethical, but criminal. The changes Duke is proposing amount to widespread theft. Where I live, there is no alternative to Duke Energy (making it a monopoly, which should also be highly illegal) and even if a citizen could be totally self-sustaining in terms of energy production, they legally cannot disconnect from Duke, or risk having the Certificate of Occupancy revoked. As a citizen who has invested in a solar system on my roof, I not only produce my own electricity, I back-feed the grid to provide low cost energy for Duke. I recognize that managing the electrical grid is difficult and solar complicates the process (unpredictable output during bad weather), but creative thinking can solve this problem. If the NC Government allows Duke to make these changes, I will consider the government complicit in the robbery of its citizens.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Josh Clawson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:01 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Josh Clawson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Josh Clawson

Email

joshua.m.clawson@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I purchased a roof top solar system to try to make a difference in this world. I have been very frustrated with Duke Power since I put the system roof top solar in. First, Duke power rebate program had major concerns: - The website crashed when you had to submit for rebate Jan 2020 - Never receive the rebate due to these reasons Now since Duke Power is pushing these changes and if approved it would: - it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively (maybe Grandfather customer in to current program) - Currently it is straightforward net metering rules and the change would be very confusing - Less accessible than it is now for low - to moderate incomes that would like to make a difference in the environment - Most credit for electricity they feed onto the grid during times when little solar power is produced - Institute a minimum monthly bill of up to \$28 for homes adding solar, and would lower the price paid for their excess power by up to two-thirds from the current retail rate - I would like the Commission to do a cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules For these reason I would like us to reject this docket E100 sub 180 Thank you Josh

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lynne Carpenter
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:02 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lynne Carpenter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lynne Carpenter

Email

lynne@phicare.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We have invested a little over \$100,000 to install solar panels for our 6000 sq ft home. We get very little as it is from Duke Energy and it's not fair for Duke to change the value of our solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Home owners are trying to help protect the environment and save money while the power companies continue to make more and more profits.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Arthur Mulligan
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Arthur Mulligan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Arthur Mulligan

Email

arthurrmulligan@icloud.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a new solar homeowner in Raleigh I am not in favor of the proposed Duke net metering changes. Beyond the energy savings and amount of electric excess production I'm sending to the grid, I'm in favor of retaining the current rate structure and incentives so others can help NC move to a greener future. The proposal seems counter to our climate change goals and our future.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Todd Nelson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:14 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Todd Nelson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Todd Nelson

Email

todd@toddnelson.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

The proposed changes to the Duke Energy net metering program have me very concerned as a residential solar array owner for the last 3 years. As I understand it the changes will drastically reduce the financial benefit we are due for our investment in solar energy and the environment. Forcing Time of Use rates on solar producing homes will significantly reduce our ability to offset our charges at night and capitalize on our excess energy production during the day. I would urge you to consider these changes carefully as they will undoubtedly have great harm on the adoption of solar power in North Carolina. Already, my in-laws, who were ready to move ahead with a solar install, have postponed due to this news. It's now much less clear how financially viable a solar installation will be for the average consumer if these proposed changes are enacted. I would hope you agree that we should be positioning North Carolina as a leader in the renewable energy industry by making it as accessible and financially viable as possible. Changes like what Duke Energy is proposing are only intended to increase their profits at the expense of individuals such as myself and small companies like solar installers that are trying to do their part to move our energy production to a sustainable future. Sincerely, Todd Nelson

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kathleen Blackwell
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:15 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kathleen Blackwell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kathleen Blackwell

Email

katbalu2014@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Replying to email

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: James Hunter Schofield
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:24 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James Hunter Schofield

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James Hunter Schofield

Email

leatherhidestore@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I purchased 40 panels from NC Solar and am now proud to say I am a small part of the solution. The proceeds from my excess energy production was part of my decision to make the investment. To change the rules now to reward Duke instead of the consumers seeking a carbon-free future is simply wrong-headed. We can all do better.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: James Hunter Schofield
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:24 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James Hunter Schofield

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James Hunter Schofield

Email

leatherhidestore@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I purchased 40 panels from NC Solar and am now proud to say I am a small part of the solution. The proceeds from my excess energy production was part of my decision to make the investment. To change the rules now to reward Duke instead of the consumers seeking a carbon-free future is simply wrong-headed. We can all do better.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lindsay Torrice
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:27 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lindsay Torrice

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lindsay Torrice

Email

lindsay.torrice@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I invested in solar energy and installed my rooftop solar array in 2020 under the net metering rules in place at that time that ensured I would receive the same price for energy my system contributes to the grid as the price I am required to pay for any energy I use from the grid. It is not fair for Duke to retroactively change the value of my solar investment. The NCUC should do the legally required cost benefit analysis (per HB 589) prior to changing any net metering rules. The proposal by Duke Energy will significantly decrease the value of my investment and discourage others from investing in solar energy. This is detrimental to those who have already invested in solar energy and those who are considering investing in solar. I strongly encourage and respectfully request that the NCUC consider the comments from current and potential solar customers, engage in in-depth analysis of the Duke Energy proposal and follow the steps required by HB 589. Please do not change the net metering rules, which would decrease the value of my investment in solar energy and undermine the benefits/appeal of further investments in solar energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jen Gad
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jen Gad

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jen Gad

Email

jengad@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Our rooftop solar panels have improved our family's life so much! We are able to cover all of our electricity costs, including our electric vehicle. This has made a positive impact on our budget as well as our childrens' and neighbors' attitudes about renewable energy. We hope that rooftop solar opportunities can be extended to more households throughout the state!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David Spooner
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:34 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Spooner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Spooner

Email

spoonedog@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please complete a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Changing net metering will have a huge adverse impact on middle class working folks like myself who have worked hard to get solar installed on their homes. I'm trying to do my part contributing to renewable, clean energy and changing net metering will effectively wipe out any gains our community has made.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David Spooner
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:34 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Spooner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Spooner

Email

spoondog@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please complete a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Changing net metering will have a huge adverse impact on middle class working folks like myself who have worked hard to get solar installed on their homes. I'm trying to do my part contributing to renewable, clean energy and changing net metering will effectively wipe out any gains our community has made.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robert Solomon
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:36 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Solomon

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Solomon

Email

eclepticearth@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Changing the way home electric generators are re-imbursed is resoundingly unfair. I made a large investment, and this is an attempt to change the terms of the agreement after the fact. Notwithstanding the global energy crisis and global climate change due to CO2 dumping, the world needs solar generation. How can you expect people to be able to participate if you cater to the whims of corporate generators, who have neglected the need to upgrade renewable, non-polluting generation on a large and diverse scale.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: James Whelan
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:37 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James Whelan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James Whelan

Email

whelanj1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sun 180

Message

I made a substantial life savings investment in a 14.3 kw solar system in 2017 and based it on the laws, rules, and regulations at indentured provided by government at that time. To allow a large profit making utility take my money by changing the rules after I made a decision is unfair. We need more solar and alternative fuels not less. Please do not support such actions.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Steven Levi LeWinter
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:38 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steven Levi LeWinter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven Levi LeWinter

Email

slewinter@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

I recently purchased a solar panel system for my house based on the current net metering rules. It's not fair to change them now.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Christie Clem
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Christie Clem

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Christie Clem

Email

cclem@alumni.unc.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180.

Message

Duke should not make changes and increase the cost to cm customers that have rooftop solar. It's unfair to customers that already have solar and will negatively influence others who are considering residential solar in the future. Duke already resets net metering at the end of the summer just after peak production. Because of this I chose to do a smaller home solar system size to maximize my return on investment. I would have liked to do a larger system size to better offset my energy usage, but since net metering is reset when it is, I would not have seen the financial savings of a larger system. I would only give more energy to Duke. From an environmental standpoint I would like to do this, but I can't financially justify a larger system to only give away those credits. It is frustrating and disappointing to hear that Duke now wants to charge me even more.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Wayne Chapman
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Wayne Chapman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Wayne Chapman

Email

wayne.chapman13@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

I lost out on the Duke rebate because their website crashed within the first few minutes of registration. OK I can understand that but now they want to change the rules on metering which will make my system worth even less. Also a cost analysis should be done as per HB 589

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kim Kane
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kim Kane

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kim Kane

Email

kanej2888@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am somewhat dismayed that Duke Energy wants to change the rates for unused energy from people that are helping produce energy via solar panels. Since Duke is the only energy company and therefore holds a monopoly in this area is becoming greedy and actually hurting individuals families that have installed solar panels, a huge debt, in order to produce energy for the benefit of others. This company has gouged the people for a long time. Especially the ones on a limited income. I say it's time for them to give back to the people that are helping produce energy that they can use for the benefit of others.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Wayne Chapman
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Wayne Chapman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Wayne Chapman

Email

wayne.chapman13@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

I lost out on the Duke rebate because their website crashed within the first few minutes of registration. OK I can understand that but now they want to change the rules on metering which will make my system worth even less. Also a cost analysis should be done as per HB 589

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Christie Clem
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Christie Clem

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Christie Clem

Email

cclem@alumni.unc.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180.

Message

Duke should not make changes and increase the cost to cm customers that have rooftop solar. It's unfair to customers that already have solar and will negatively influence others who are considering residential solar in the future. Duke already resets net metering at the end of the summer just after peak production. Because of this I chose to do a smaller home solar system size to maximize my return on investment. I would have liked to do a larger system size to better offset my energy usage, but since net metering is reset when it is, I would not have seen the financial savings of a larger system. I would only give more energy to Duke. From an environmental standpoint I would like to do this, but I can't financially justify a larger system to only give away those credits. It is frustrating and disappointing to hear that Duke now wants to charge me even more.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Edwin Hightower
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Edwin Hightower

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Edwin Hightower

Email

ehigh4444@gmail.com

Docket

E100 sub 180

Message

The proposed changes to Net Metering rules would drastically reduce the financial payback for roof top solar so much so that it is doubtful anyone would proceed with an installation. With the current rules our calculated breakeven date was around 15 years. The proposed rules would push that out to 30+ years and a 2.8 % ROI. Very few people will choose to proceed in that situation so it will totally kill the residential solar industry. According to the NC Solar Report from Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA) in Quarter 1 2019, solar is the second largest contributing sector in the clean energy industry in North Carolina. A total of 553 companies are providing 6,457 jobs and generating \$2.9 billion in revenue. The reductions in credit for solar power fed back into the grid ignore two things: It gives 100% of the profit on the power to the Utilities with none back to the solar owner. And it is the owner who has funded this "power plant" with no contribution by the utility.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Billy H Robinson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:48 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Billy H Robinson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Billy H Robinson

Email

brobinson5142@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do not allow Duke Energy to change the metering process. I have quite an investment in my solar panels and it would be right to change the process now that would prevent me from benefit from my solar investment. That is why I installed solar panels to save on my monthly bill and conserve energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

From: Nathaniel Clough
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:51 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nathaniel Clough

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nathaniel Clough

Email

nathaniel.p.clough@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

The way net metering currently works is simple and straightforward for residential power customers with solar arrays. I only need to do some basic math to evaluate the accuracy of my electric bill, which gives me confidence in the larger system because it empowers me to independently determine that I'm not being cheated/over-charged. The simple calculation also enhances the broader perception of fairness - I only have to pay for energy consumption above and beyond the energy that my system produces. The fact that public utility companies do not currently have the ability to store the energy I produce during low-demand times (for use during peak demand times) is definitely an issue that public policies should seek to address, but rather than solving that issue, the proposed net-metering changes effectively seek to punish people like me for the limitations of traditional power infrastructure. The policy solutions should not be designed to bend over backwards to accommodate the antiquated, centralized business model that power companies currently represent, especially not when the proposed solutions undermine public perceptions of fairness (like the current net metering change proposals). I hold no animosity toward power companies like Duke Energy, and I'm even supportive of policy changes for things like helping them transition to a more resilient business model (with decentralized, more independent production capacity) that focuses more on energy storage/transmission than centralized production. Regardless, the way forward in the short/medium-term should not involve making complicated changes to net-metering that reduce transparency for existing solar owners AND undermine efforts to continue expanding the productive capacity of renewable energy sources.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Heidi Wessels
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Heidi Wessels

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Heidi Wessels

Email

heidiwessels@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

To whom it may concern, We need to utilize our natural & free resources (Sun/Wind) to provide us with the energy we need. Duke energy's monopoly on power need to be curbed and as a Solar panel owner, I urge you to not allow Duke to receive an further benefits at the expense of Solar panel owners. thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Scott Dunham
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:53 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Scott Dunham

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Scott Dunham

Email

scottdunham10@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I do not support the proposed changes to net metering arrangements. NC should be doing its best to promote solar power, and reducing or eliminating the return on investment from solar installations does not do that. My family invested almost \$40,000 in a solar installation with an expectation of a return from net metering to offset the cost. It wouldn't be fair to retroactively change the economics used to make such decisions. Reject Duke's proposals: they only benefit Duke.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Brenda Sedberry
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:55 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Brenda Sedberry

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brenda Sedberry

Email

bsedberry1@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100. Sub 280

Message

Please don't allow Duke Energy to thwart the advancement of solar power!!!!!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Joshua Humphreys
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:56 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joshua Humphreys

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joshua Humphreys

Email

josh@croataninstitute.org

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Commissioners: I'm writing to express concern as a residential consumer about Duke Energy's proposed changes to North Carolina's net-metering rules, which currently credit customers like me for the solar energy we generate and return to the energy grid. I live on a small farm in central North Carolina that installed a ground-mounted solar array in late 2015. The system generates approximately 5kW of power at peak performance using solar panels that were made in the USA (not China). This system has provided more than 35% of my farm's annual electricity needs, and each month the power I generate is credited to my account, offsetting the energy I use on the farm and in my home. On a couple occasions, when the weather cooperates, my array generates more energy than the farm uses. As a Duke Energy customer, this surplus is credited to my account, reducing my following month's bill accordingly. I invested over \$20,000 in this system so that it could operate with the existing grid (rather than be off the grid) because I believe it's a public service to help diversify the state's energy mix with renewable energy sources. In a small measure I am both helping the state and Duke Energy meet their renewable energy objectives and contributing to a much cleaner energy future. Six and a half years after installation I have generated nearly 40MWh of electricity that have been used not only by my farm but by other Duke Energy customers tied to the grid who haven't had the wherewithal to make a similar investment in our public energy infrastructure. (Incidentally, I also have the odd misfortune of paying a much higher per kWh rate because I do not use as much energy as Duke Energy customers relying exclusively on the grid. One would think that my farm should be rewarded with a lower rate for the lower burden we place on the grid. Those who use more energy actually pay less per kWh, which is an unfair tax on those of us who are more restrained, responsible energy consumers.) Nevertheless, I have saved more than \$2,000 in electricity charges thanks to the net-metering rules, but I have not yet recuperated the value of my initial investment. Initially, I had anticipated that it would take at least 10 years for my farm to do so, and the state encouraged me to make such a long-term investment with both tax credits and a favorable net-metering environment that fairly credits my farm for the power that we produce. It's not fair for Duke Energy to change the value of my solar investment retroactively by modifying the net-metering rules in its favor. The standard for modifications should be in the public interest, not in the interest of a for-profit, shareholder-owned energy conglomerate that has repeatedly abused the public's trust in its poor management of its fossil-fuel-dominated infrastructure, the coal-ash catastrophe on the Dan River being only one of the worst examples of Duke's gross negligence in this regard. Modifying net-metering at this time places the burden of their poor management of our public

utility infrastructure on those of us who actually are investing responsibly in our state's energy infrastructure. My small farm will be substantially harmed economically if net-metering is modified to limit the credits I receive for the energy my system generates. State energy policy should help, not hurt, NC agriculture. The Commission should therefore do the cost-benefit analysis for residential solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing any net-metering rules. But I am writing the commission for the first time as a farmer and customer on this matter because I strongly think that net-metering should be strengthened, not weakened. Small-scale, long-term solar investments should be encouraged and rewarded for the resilience they are creating in our communities. The commission should therefore ensure that solar net-energy generators are fully compensated with the market rate for that energy that others are consuming via our subsidized public utility infrastructure. And we should not be taxed with higher rates because we use less energy than our neighbors. Thank you for your consideration. I would be happy to elaborate on my remarks. Sincerely, Joshua Humphreys PO Box 117 Saxapahaw, NC 27340

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Gary G Montmorency
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 11:59 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gary G Montmorency

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gary G Montmorency

Email

garymontmorency@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules .

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jason Parsley
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Parsley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jason Parsley

Email

jasonparsley@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy wants to change how net metering works for its customers. I strongly urge you to not give into Duke Energy's demands. As a state, we need to implement a variety of energy solutions. We should reward individuals and businesses that opt for clean energy solutions, like solar. Preserve net metering, please. I call on you to go further, and demand that Duke Energy go to completely net metering. Right now, any energy balanced in my favor, as a solar owner, is forfeited each May ... specifically before peak electric use in the summer. This is motivated only by Duke Energy's greed. Thank you, Jason Parsley

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Richard Sears
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Sears

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Sears

Email

francis984@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I consider net metering to be a crucial element of reducing our dependency on fossil fuels. As a consumer, I spent \$27,000 on my system and am very pleased with it. I can finally cool my home as I need without feeling guilty. If net metering is eliminated, I will be badly out of pocket and will see it as yet another American step backwards into the future.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Greg Morris
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Greg Morris

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Greg Morris

Email

gsmwf360@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Enough already from Duke. They erase stored energy every May cycle which happens to be the richest month homeowners can store solar energy and consume less due to milder temps. Duke erased 2k+ kWh's from me again this year. Now, they unfairly want homeowners to pay them several fees while they use the homeowners solar energy against them and make more profits off the backs of solar owners. This will seriously harm solar in NC. Don't let Duke bully NC into submission.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mark S.Powell
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:11 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mark S. Powell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark S. Powell

Email

markpowell.msp@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Sir/Madam: Any adjustment to current net metering rules which reduces the value of energy returned to the grid will disincentivize a move to rooftop solar PV. This is exactly the wrong thing to be doing. I suggest that the NCUC should demonstrate leadership and instead promote not only rooftop solar but large scale solar to any company that has a reasonable and cost effective proposal. We need to be acting faster to minimize use of fossil fuels and move rapidly to renewable, sustainable energy. Anything that dampens that goal should be a non-starter. Sincerely, Mark Powell

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Chris Daffara
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:11 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Chris Daffara

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Chris Daffara

Email

cmdaffara@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

I am for keeping net metering 1:1. I have solar and power returned to the grid means less power needed from power plants at that time. Less electrical losses from power plant to consumer means duke saves money as well. Don't punish solar owners. It will only drive those with solar to buy batteries and go off- grid....then you lost a customer by becoming greedy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ron Madl
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:11 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ron Madl

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ron Madl

Email

rmadlksu@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I understand that there is still consideration to change Net Metering from equivalence between solar generated electricity and that provided from the electric grid. As a rooftop solar panel homeowner, I feel strongly that the Net Metering system must be maintained and residential solar encouraged in NC. If it is increased, that would reduce the need for more gas-fired generating plants and move us more quickly to a lower carbon footprint. Duke Energy should be investing more in electric storage than in new generating capacity. They should encourage more rooftop solar, not discourage it as the Duke proposal does. Solar panel owners already pay a monthly fee minimum no matter how much energy they generate. We already lose any energy credit they've accumulated on May 31st each year. Those two give-backs to Duke should help compensate them for their infrastructure investments. As a community utility Duke should be working with the citizens to be most efficient in production and consumption of energy. We need to be partners in these decisions, not robot consumers. Do not let Duke change Net Metering!! Thanks. Ron Madl

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: PETER MORCOMBE
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:13 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by PETER MORCOMBE

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

PETER MORCOMBE

Email

peter@morcombe.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

My rooftop solar panels (5.2 kVA capacity) were installed on May 17, 2022 but have not delivered any power to date (July 8, 2022). I can't get the contractor to tell me when the system will be operational yet I am being asked to pay for something that does nothing!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mandy Wilkins
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:18 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mandy Wilkins

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mandy Wilkins

Email

mandy_wilkins@icloud.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please protect our environment and investments.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Shirley Williams
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:18 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Shirley Williams

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Shirley Williams

Email

itsahappyday@gmail.com

Docket

E-100-Sub180

Message

It is not fair for Duke to charge the value of your solar investment retroactively!!! The Common should do the cost benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing metering rules. Do the right thing!!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Eli Tiller
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:18 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Eli Tiller

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Eli Tiller

Email

ejtiller@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I purchased solar panels for my home last year. It was an important investment in my home and for the coming years and decades. I made the decision to purchase this based on the financial projection and the power agreement with Duke energy progress. It is incredibly important for the future of North Carolina citizens to have a similar setup of net metering without significant changes to the contracts.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Luis Torres
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:19 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Luis Torres

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Luis Torres

Email

Ltorres1081@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Last month for I got my bill after having solar finally active in February and to my surprise I saw my rolled over energy being "reset". I called Duke to find out what happened to my energy bank. They told me it went over 1000kwh and that's the cap. How is it ok for them to cap me out and then reset me back to zero??!! That's called theft if you ask me. I'm now looking to get a battery installed and cut them off before i reach the 1000kwh if i continue an energy efficient trend. Or I stop caring about being energy efficient and just keep everything on.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Erin Brethauer
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Erin Brethauer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Erin Brethauer

Email

erin.brethauer@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Commissioners, As a residential solar rooftop owner, it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively. Additionally, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. I'll be monitoring this situation and hope that you'll consider my concerns. Best, Erin Brethauer Asheville, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Gerrie Provost
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gerrie Provost

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gerrie Provost

Email

gprovost@ec.rr.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Everything possible must be done to combat climate change. The benefits to homeowners for installing solar panels should not be reduced. Making it harder to afford solar panels is ignorant and destructive; it does nothing positive for the citizens of North Carolina. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Roy Saltz
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Roy Saltz

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Roy Saltz

Email

rfsaltz@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I had solar panels installed based on the NC guidelines. The installation company estimated that the panels would break even at about 10 years. I have kept all my bills and can already tell that it will take more than 10 years to be worthwhile. I am tied into the electric grid using net metering. If the present rate of exchange in net metering is changed to be more favorable to electric companies, there will be no advantage left to installing solar panels. At a time when the government is pushing alternative energy, it would be extremely short sighted to change the existing reimbursement rate to solar customers. It was a very close decision for me to install solar panels under the existing rules but I would not even consider it under the proposed changes. I hope NC will look at the big picture and realize the electric company proposal is only good for the elec

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Evelyn Johann
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:36 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Evelyn Johann

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Evelyn Johann

Email

evjohann57@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180)

Message

To whom it may concern, It says a lot about the level and distribution of power, when a third part informs me about possible legal changes applied for by Duke that will have a heavy impact on my relationship with Duke as a customer. It looks from my point as a solar energy producing customer like an end run around customer to change the base of said relationship to increase Duke's profit margin. This kind of business behavior tell you everything you never wanted to know about that entity.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Florence Kaplan
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Florence Kaplan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Florence Kaplan

Email

kapbrd@aol.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Duke Energy is being unfair to their consumers. We need to have the 3rd party review, that was promised and they need to stop taking financial advantage of people trying to do the right thing for the environment. Solar energy needs to grow, for the jobs it provides and the good they do. Duke Energy should not be able to mandate the use of MY roof, my solar energy. It always comes down to their bottom line no matter what the cost to their customers or the environment.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kae Livsey
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kae Livsey

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kae Livsey

Email

kaerlivsey@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

This is an unfair proposal for people who are wishing to save the planet by installation of solar especially those of us who have already done so under prior rules related to net metering. Get off the fossil fuels and get with the program. we need to move to renewables. You all should be able to figure out a way to do the right thing and still make money

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Brooks Cunningham
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Brooks Cunningham

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brooks Cunningham

Email

brookscunningham@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It is very important to me that we support solar, nuclear, and other green energy. We should incentivize residential rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Celia Cox
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Celia Cox

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Celia Cox

Email

celiascottcox@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I would like to make a few important points: -Duke should not be allowed to change the value of your solar investment retroactively. -The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) and not allow Duke to change net metering rules before know what that looks like. -Why should the large company continue to profit and pass down cost to individuals that are still trying to survive the COVID pandemic and now the outrageous cost of inflation? -Lastly, Duke should be audited as I believe they were I initially taking my unused energy during the Month of May. They used to send statements, but stopped doing so. -Why should we pay Duke for the energy our solar panels produce? Shouldn't we benefit from the solar panels that we are still paying for?

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Joyce Roberts
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joyce Roberts

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joyce Roberts

Email

joyceroberts32161@gmail.com

Docket

specify docket number E-100 Sub 180

Message

My concern is that recent DUKE Energy plans are failing to fully support the individual owners AND those seeking solar energy. It also seems that their current proposals could make it harder for small solar business to make a living. Overall, it appears that private installation of solar panels is being threatened at a time when North Carolina is on an accelerated plan to reduce our carbon footprint. Thank you for listening, please consider our citizens and small business ahead of bigger business.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Donald foster
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:46 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Donald foster

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Donald foster

Email

fostip32@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100Sub 180

Message

I am a rooftop customer and a Duke stock owner. Dukes proposal does not help NC carbon goals and removes a major incentive for rooftop solar. please say NO to E-100Sub 180.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Claire M Miller
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Claire M Miller

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Claire M Miller

Email

clairemariemiller1979@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Tell the Commission: I am a senior citizen. I got solar on my roof, to help offset the CO2 Emissions. In the few weeks since turning the solar on I have saved over 5000 lb of emission. I have grandchildren and I care deeply about their future. I will pay off my solar loan when I am 87. So it is not for me as much as the future for all. It is ridiculous and shameful that we are not encouraging more homes to add solar. It's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules With only around 36,000 currently residential rooftop solar. WE NEED MORE. Not sanctions that support Duke and Dominion power and not us the citizens of this state. This will effect who I vote for. Frustrated and sadden, Claire M Miller

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jeremy Morgan
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:50 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jeremy Morgan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeremy Morgan

Email

jbmorgan@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I recently signed a contract to have solar panels added to my home. I made this decision based on the current Duke rules around net metering. It's not fair for Duke to change the value of an existing solar investment retroactively, which is not adding additional cost for Duke. I would note that based on production and net metering policy, Duke resets the rollover electrical overproduction each July. For most customers, Duke is already keeps energy in the rollover. New policies for existing solar infrastructure should maintain the energy value for the customer, who had invested tens of thousands of dollars to install the equipment. I would request that the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Thank you for listening, Jeremy M.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Joyce Roberts
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:50 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joyce Roberts

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joyce Roberts

Email

joyceroberts32161@gmail.com

Docket

specify docket number E-100 Sub 180

Message

My concern is that recent DUKE Energy plans are failing to fully support the individual owners AND those seeking solar energy. It also seems that their current proposals could make it harder for small solar business to make a living. Overall, it appears that private installation of solar panels is being threatened at a time when North Carolina is on an accelerated plan to reduce our carbon footprint. Thank you for listening, please consider our citizens and small business ahead of bigger business.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Yvonne G York
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Yvonne G York

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Yvonne G York

Email

yvonneyork@gmail.com

Docket

E100Sub180

Message

I put solar panels up to save money and energy I want to turn my house green. I am trying my best to save energy. Please acknowledge the fact that I am paying for these panels and taking care of the green energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Philip Renfroe
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 12:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Philip Renfroe

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Philip Renfroe

Email

philrenfroe@clancytheys.com

Docket

Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Message

I would like to register my commentary in strong opposition to the Duke Energy proposed changes to net metering. I am a homeowner in Cary, NC and our home has rooftop solar so this proposal has a direct effect on me and others with home solar generation. The proposed changes devalue our investment. Duke Power is already getting virtually free power from us to resell, quite likely at a higher rate than what we are being compensated for. Note that our investment to provide clean energy to the grid thru Duke Energy was funded by our family and generous tax credits from the federal government, not by Duke Energy and not by the State. Duke has no facility cost and no fuel cost for the power we generate and we are not compensated for the excess credit that is wiped out each year. The new proposal to lower the credited rate is not fair to the collective investors in solar generation. It is already being taken from us for no cost to be resold, the new proposal is trying to soak more from us. The price for a unit of electricity should be the same into or out of our home, regardless of the time of day or time of year. The world is currently facing an existential disaster due to burning fossil fuels. New regulation needs to be tailored toward providing incentives toward solar, wind and storage and increasing access to it for all, rather than turning our individual investments to do our part into a free source of money for the power company. Ultimately, there appears to be no real plan to use this stolen money to improve green power solutions, but rather to invest in more fossil fuel burning facilities. A better proposal would be remove the lost credits and allow clean power and battery investors to benefit financially from their investment so as to provide strong incentives for the pool of power generation investors to be expanded. This would increase the investors in clean power generation quickly, storage could start to really increase, and the increased clean generation would decrease the need to construct new fossil fuel burning facilities.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Patricia Maley
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 1:10 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Patricia Maley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Patricia Maley

Email

pmaley2009@gmail.com

Docket

E100-SUB180

Message

When I purchased a solar system for my home, my biggest concern was the trustworthiness of Duke Energy. I am appalled that Duke Energy now wants to change the terms of the signed agreement I have with them. It is not fair to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. It was an expensive investment that I made to help the environment, certainly not my pocketbook! Duke needs to complete a cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was REQUIRED by HB 589 before changing the metering standards. Better yet, an independent cost analysis should be required.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Andrew T Chmar
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 1:14 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Andrew T Chmar

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Andrew T Chmar

Email

andrew.chmar@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

To Whom It May Concern, I'm appalled at the proposed changes to the NC Solar Incentive Program. It will slow the growth of home solar installations; increase the use of fossil fuel-based power, exacerbating a global warming crisis; change the net-metering rules, thus increasing the years it will take existing and new solar owners to recoup their installation costs, a major incentive to increase number of roof-top solar systems, and likely cost high paying jobs in NC as installations stall. Either the NCUC represents the interests of the State's citizens on this decision or Duke Energy. Please continue to look for the interests of residents rather than the shareholders of Duke.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Aleesha Bake
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 1:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Aleesha Bake

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Aleesha Bake

Email

abakefamily@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Our family went solar a few years ago: it's not cheap but we believe in helping to work towards being more energy independent in America. I was shocked to discover that other states have tax incentives and NC does not. We do nothing here to support the public in going solar! Now Duke energy wants to steal more of the power we are producing- making it even less rewarding than it already is in NC. Already, they take all of our excess power once a year. Power that we produce with solar panels that WE own and pay for. We also pay Duke energy for the privilege of being tied to the grid, they are asking US to pay; for them to take the power that we produce. They do not compensate us at all: Just take. Each may is our highest producing solar month- and June, July, and August are the highest consumption. Duke energy knows this- and so they've chosen to take all of our excess power on May 31st: forcing us to pay for power in June, July and August when we would have had enough to cover a good portion of that. And now they want to take more? Net metering is already unfair and takes all of the benefits. And they want more. Please stop this: don't punish those who are working hard to produce energy for our state out of our own pockets

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Charles M.Krowicki
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 1:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Charles M. Krowicki

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charles M. Krowicki

Email

charles_krowicki_757@comcast.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We made a significant investment in clean solar energy. Please reject Duke Energy's net metering proposal from slowing the growth of solar energy in North Carolina and stop them from changing the rules after our solar system was installed. Thank you for your consideration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Rachel Cox
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 1:29 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rachel Cox

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rachel Cox

Email

rachelleecox@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy's solar rebate program is a scam. They have an absurdly long "waitlist" and don't distribute the funds to most customers. Don't do anything to allow Duke Energy to further disincentivize rooftop solar power. Don't make it harder for others to choose environmentally friendly energy sources. Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. While I do not endorse net metering, if you do allow net metering, excess energy should absolutely be compensated at market rate - anything less is thievery.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ethan V Ferguson
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 1:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ethan V Ferguson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ethan V Ferguson

Email

ethanfergusonis@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I believe changing the net metering rules in favor of Duke energy would significantly discourage homeowners from investing in solar power in the future in NC. As a proponent of renewable energy, I believe we should promote investment in renewables in as rapid a way possible to the benefit of the environment, not the way which enriches a corporation. Legacy power companies, Duke included, have consistently held the best interest of the public below their profits and should not be enriched by government mandate at the expense of the public.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 08 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: robert eadie
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 1:53 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by robert eadie

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

robert eadie

Email

eadier@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

My family and I have made a decision to invest in solar energy based on the rules in place at the time. Duke Energy should not be allowed to retroactively change the value of those private investments.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 08 2022