

SECONDARY WATER QUALITY TREATMENT SYSTEM REQUEST

Hampton Park Well #2 NC 40-92-084 WSF ID No: TP1 AQUA NORTH CAROLINA, INC.

A. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Hampton Park Master Water System is comprised of 2 approved and active wells, Hampton Park Well #2 and 6, and two points of entry (POE), TP1 and TP2. The system consists of the following: two (2) wells (Well No. 2: 16 GPM approved pumping capacity (APPC) (18 GPM yield); Well No. 6: 96 GPM approved pumping capacity (APPC) (98 GPM yield)), disinfection and sequestration of iron and manganese at Well No. 2, disinfection, particulate filtration, radionuclide removal system and an iron and manganese filtration system at Well No. 6, one hydropneumatic storage tank with a total capacity of 10,000 gallons at Well #2, and distribution piping serving a total of 97 single family residential connections.

The latest Mn concentration at Hampton Park Well #2 is 0.329 mg/L on 12/16/2021 which makes it one of Aqua's Group 1 Priority Secondary Water Quality Projects as per the Water Quality Plan.

Aqua has previously analyzed purchased water (PW) for other systems. Purchase water is not available unless the homeowners all petition for annexation and extension of city sewer service.

Aqua has evaluated if interconnecting with an adjacent Aqua water system is possible. Based on the systems nearby, they too have similar secondary water quality issues. Therefore, Aqua does not believe it would be prudent to invest in interconnecting these systems together at this time.

Capex and Opex estimates are given below in Section D.2.

Aqua proposes installing an oxidation-filtration system at Hampton Park Well #2 in order to remove Fe/Mn below the sMCLs. Once the filter at Well #2 is installed, Aqua Operations can decrease the runtimes at Well #6 and in turn, decrease the Opex for operating the AdEdge Fe/Mn and WRT Radium filters at #6.

PROPOSED SYSTEM REQUIRING TREATMENT

1.	System Name:	Hampton Park Well #2
2.	PWS ID:	NC 40-92-084
3.	No. Active Residential Connections, as of March 2022:	81
4.	No. Permitted Residential Connections:	97
5.	List of DEH/PWSS Approved Wells and Storage	

TABLE 1: Approved and Active Wells in Proposed System**

	Capacity (GPM)			Max, Avg.,		Latest POE Inorganic Sampling Results							
Well Name and No.	Yield	M P	ax, Av in fro ast 1 lonth	om 2	M R fro	Max, Avg., Min Pump Runtime from Past 12 Months (hrs./day)		Fe (mg/L)*	Mn (mg/L)	Fe/Mn Loading Rate (lbs./day)	Fe/Mn Loading Rate (lbs./yr.)	Average Fe/Mn Loading Rate Per Residential Customer (lbs./yr.)	
Well 2	18	33	17	8	17	2	0.02	0.198	0.329	0.1	19	0.2	
Well 6	98	95	69	38	10	4	0.4	0.05	0.0007	0.0	8	0.1	

^{*}Raw samples are taken directly at the wellhead before chemical treatment and point of entry (POE) samples are taken after chemical injection and treatment but before the tank and distribution system **Loading calculations based on 12-hour per day runtime.

TABLE 2: Existing Storage at Well Sites

Well Name and No.	Storag	e Description	Most Recent Cleaning Date			
	Туре	Gallons	Dist. System			
Well 2	Hydro	10,000	May 2021			
Well 6	-	-	-			

6. Past Three (3) Years Flushing Occurrences, list month/year:

Response: May 2019, June 2020, May 2021

7. Next Planned Distribution System Flushing Occurrence:

Response: This water system will be flushed again by May 2022 and on an ongoing annual basis. Disclaimer: Flushing does not completely remove the mineral accumulation in the distribution mains when utilizing water with exceptionally high levels of iron and manganese in the source water.

8. List of chemicals being used:

TABLE 3: Existing Chemicals Used at Well Site

Well Name and No.	State Approved Treatment					
Well Name and No.	Disinfectant	Caustic	Sequestrant	Fe/Mn/Rad Filter		
Well 2	X	N/A	Х	N/A		

Well 6 X N/A N/A X

9. Current description of the water treatment system for each well over the past three (3) years, including specific names of chemicals and dates of changes:

Response: Started feeding sequestrant at Well #2 on 9/14/2015.

10. Planned changes (if any) for chemical treatment within the next six (6) months:

Response: None.

11. Comments on Approved/Current Well Capacity.

Response: None.

B. CURRENT SECONDARY WATER QUALITY CONCERNS

1. How many wells require treatment?

15 A NCAC 18C.1511 and 15 A NCAC 18C.1512 requires systems to provide treatment for concentrations of iron greater than 0.3 mg/L and for manganese greater than 0.05 mg/L.

- 2. Can system operate with single well offline? ______No
- 3. Are combined Fe/Mn concentrations above 1 mg/L? No*

*However, the latest POE Mn concentration from Well #2 is over 0.3 mg/L (0.329 mg/L)

4. Date of most recent POE Fe/Mn sampling results

12/16/2021

TABLE 4: Past 3 Years Fe/Mn Analysis

TABLE 4: Past 3 Years Fe/Mn Analysis								
Hampton Park Well #2 Laboratory Analysis at POE								
Date	Ire	on (Fe), mg	/L	Manganese (Mn), mg/L				
Date	Tot.	Sol.	Insol.	Tot.	Sol.	Insol.		
10/30/2017	0.363		-	0.312	-	-		
12/10/2020	0.601	-	-	0.319	-	-		
12/16/2021	0.198	-	-	0.329	-	-		
Hampton Park Well #6 Laboratory Analysis at POE								
Date	Ire	Iron (Fe), mg/L			Manganese (Mn), mg/L			
Date	Tot.	Sol.	Insol.	Tot.	Sol.	Insol.		
1/11/2019	1/11/2019 0.432		-	0.03 - 0.00066 -		-		
2/17/2022 0.05		-	-					

5. Describe previous actions to improve secondary water quality and describe results (i.e., installation of particulate filters and sequestering agents).

Response: Aqua flushes the water mains annually in this system. Started feeding sequestrant at Well #2 on 9/14/2015.

UTILITY COMMISION REQUIRED INFORMATION

1.	Well Location Map	<u>Attached</u>
2.	DEH/PWS Approval Letter	<u>Attached</u>
3.	Original 24 hr. Pump Status Report	<u>Attached</u>
4.	Past 36 months of pump status reports	<u>Attached</u>
5.	Inorganic Analysis Report submitted to DEH for well approval	Attached
6.	Past 6 yrs. inorganic analysis from each wellhead	<u>Attached</u>
7.	Past 3 yrs. Fe/Mn analyses, both soluble and insoluble.	<u>ee Table 4 Above</u>

Note: For item (6) above, provide information on baseline (w/o treatment – raw samples taken at the well head) and point of entry (after treatment).

C. CUSTOMER COMPLAINT DATA

1.	Total number of customer complaints in past 6 months	1
2.	Total number of customer complaints in past 12 months	1
3.	For past 6 months, do customer secondary water complaints	
	exceed 10% of the number of active customers?	No
4.	Provide 12-month list of all water quality complaints	<u> Attached</u>
5.	Provide 12-month list of all completed water quality work orders	Attached
6	Describe most common customer complaint over the past 12-mo	nth period relatin

Describe most common customer complaint over the past 12-month period relating to secondary water quality, i.e., discolored water, taste, or odor.

Response: Customer reported dirty water-stained laundry.

D. PROPOSED SECONDARY WATER QUALITY TREATMENT

- 1. Proposed treatment recommendation: Oxidation-Filtration Treatment System
- 2. System Capex Estimate:

	Filter Capex								
	Total design flow rate =	18	GPM						
TASK	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>QTY</u>	<u>UNIT</u>	UN	IIT COST		<u>TOTAL</u>		
1	Filter Skid, no recycle, sludge management systems required	1	EACH	\$	100,500	\$	100,500		
2	Backwash Supply System	1	EACH	\$	19,800	\$	19,800		
3	Freight (estimate from AdEdge)	1	EACH	\$	4,700	\$	4,700		
4	Engineering Design, Permitting, Bidding, & CA/CO (based on design costs of similar size filters)	1	EACH	\$	23,145	\$	23,145		
5	Construction Bonding, Mobilization and Demobilization	1	EACH	\$	4,000	\$	4,000		
6	Site Clearing, Grubbing, Grading, Gravel, Erosion Control	1	EACH	\$	15,000	\$	15,000		
7	Existing Well House Piping Modifications	1	EACH	\$	3,500	\$	3,500		
8	Filter Equipment Installation-Including but not limited to all water piping, water treatment filter installation, and necessary appurtenances, within the existing filter building. Also includes all extension piping near filter building	1	EACH	\$	15,000	\$	15,000		
9	Filter Building Construction-Including but not limited to concrete floor slab, well house erection, finishing, and necessary appurtenances	1	EACH	\$	45,000	\$	45,000		
10	Backwash Supply Tank Installation: Including but not limited to all piping from 12" above grade to 4" air gap, concrete pad, tank setting, electrical, and necessary appurtenances.	1	EACH	\$	10,000	\$	10,000		
11	Yard Piping-Including but not limited to all underground pipe, fittings, and valve	1	EACH	\$	10,000	\$	10,000		
12	Electrical/Controls-Including but not limited to all electrical power and controls wiring, conduit, panels, fixtures, electric heaters, thermostats, junction boxes, control equipment not provide by filter manufacturer, and miscellaneous appurtenances	1	EACH	\$	15,000	\$	15,000		
13	Aqua Direct Cost (payroll, water quality sampling) @	3%				\$	7,969		
TOTAL COST/TREATED GPM:									
	TOTALI	ESTIMAT	ED PRO	JEC1	COSTS:	\$	280,000		

Note: The above information is for planning purposes only and is subject to change based on further engineering evaluations, water quality analyses, site conditions, and other site-specific discoveries and information

3. Opex Estimate: <u>\$10,000</u>