

ERRATA

To: Shonta Dunston, Chief Clerk
From: Kim Mitchell, Hearings and Court Reporting Manager
CC: All parties of record
Date: October 11, 2022
Re: Docket No. E-100, Sub 179, Volume 21
Duke Energy Progress, LLC, and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC,
2022 Biennial Integrated Resource Plans and Carbon Plan

The following correction has been made to the public and confidential transcripts in the above-mentioned docket. To ensure the accuracy of the docket, please find attached to this Errata the corrected page 217.

Page/Line(s)	Text	Should Be
217 / 1	NERC	NERP

Page 217

1 the NERP report that correspond to future legislation
2 or regulatory changes that would require the
3 procurement of additional renewable or low-cost carbon
4 to comply with particular policy mandates.

5 Q. Thank you. And I'll return to that subject
6 in just a minute. But let me ask you this.

7 Is it also your opinion that procurement of
8 resources needed under the Carbon Plan through PPAs
9 would facilitate lower cost and lower risk resource
10 procurement than utility-owned assets?

11 A. I believe that the question of utility
12 ownership is specified in 951, and I do believe, and I
13 stated on page 50, that PPAs could facilitate lower
14 cost and lower risk resource procurement.

15 Q. Okay. Thank you. And I saw your comments
16 file, and I understand the Public Staff's position on
17 that, but can you help reconcile what seemed to be
18 contradictory positions that factually competitive
19 procurement produces the least cost and least risk
20 pathway to compliance with the Carbon Plan goals, but
21 the Public Staff believes it's not allowed? So can you
22 reconcile those two positions?

23 MS. LUHR: Objection. These are legal
24 opinions that are laid out in our comments filed