1	STAFF CONFERENCE JANUARY 31, 2022
2	CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Good morning.
3	Let's come order and go on the record, please. I'm
4	Charlotte Mitchell, Chair of the Utilities Commission.
5	With me this morning are the following Commissioners.
6	When I call your name, please announce your presence.
7	Commissioner Brown-Bland.
8	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good morning.
9	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.
10	COMMISSIONER GRAY: Good morning.
11	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Clodfelter.
12	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yes, good morning.
13	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.
14	COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Good morning.
15	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.
16	COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Good morning.
17	CHAIR MITCHELL: And Commissioner McKissick.
18	COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Good morning.
19	Present.
20	CHAIR MITCHELL: In compliance with the
21	State Government Ethics Act, I remind Members of the
22	Commission of our duty to avoid conflicts of interest
23	and inquire, at this time, as to whether any member of
24	the Commission has a known conflict of interest with

1	respect to matters coming before us this morning.
2	(No response)
3	CHAIR MITCHELL: The record will reflect
4	that no conflicts have been identified, so we'll
5	proceed to Public Staff, Electric Item P1.
6	MR. LUCAS: Good morning. I'm Jay Lucas
7	with the Energy Division. Item P1 is an application
8	by Oak Solar, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental
9	Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity to
10	construct a new 230-kV transmission Tap Line to
11	interconnect at Oak Solar's Solar facility. The
12	Public Staff recommends that the Commission grant the
13	requested Certificate.
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of
15	the recommendation.
16	COMMISSIONER GRAY: Second.
17	THE COURT: It's been moved and seconded
18	that the item be approved as recommended by the Public
19	Staff. Any questions or discussion on the motion?
20	(No response)
21	CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, I'll call the
22	roll for a vote. When I call your name, please
23	indicate with aye if you support the motion and no if
24	you do not support the motion. Commissioner

1	Brown-Bland.
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Aye.
3	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.
4	COMMISSIONER GRAY: Aye.
5	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Clodfelter.
6	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Aye.
7	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.
8	COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Aye.
9	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.
10	COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Aye.
11	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner McKissick.
12	COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Aye.
13	CHAIR MITCHELL: And, for the record, I
14	support the motion as well, and the motion carries.
15	Just checking in with John. Have we lost video feed?
16	MR. MCKOY: It looks like it.
17	(Pause)
18	CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.
19	MR. MCKOY: The video is back.
20	CHAIR MITCHELL: Perfect. We'll proceed now
21	to Public Staff, Electric Item P2.
22	MR. FLOYD: Good morning. This is Jack
23	Floyd with the Energy Division, and if with your
24	permission, I will address Item 2 and Item 4

```
2 CHAIR MITCHELL: Please proceed.

3 MR. FLOYD: Very similar. Item 2 is a

4 request by Duke Energy Progress. Item 4 is a request

5 by Duke Energy Carolinas to modify their respective
```

simultaneously, if that's okay.

6 load control programs, air condition load control

7 programs, to remove the limits on availability of

8 those programs, and open it to all residential

9 customers. That's the only modification proposed for

10 | both programs.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The modifications would allow all customers on any residential rate schedule to participate, including those that were recently approved in the time-of-use orders, E-2, Sub 1280, and E-7, Sub 1253.

The Public Staff has reviewed both requests, and based on our review, recommends that you issue our Proposed Orders approving the proposed modification for both companies. Progress, it would be the residential service load control program, and for Duke Carolinas, the residential load control program, a slightly different name.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of the recommendation for Items P2 and P4.

COMMISSIONER GRAY: Second.

1	CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and
2	seconded that the items be approved as recommend by
3	the Public Staff. Are there questions or discussion
4	on that motion?
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Yes, Madam Chair,
6	I do have a question for Mr. Floyd.
7	CHAIR MITCHELL: All right.
8	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: To the extent
9	that the item speaks to the modifications being
10	focused on winter peaking, are there any other changes
11	between the programs as we're accustomed to them in
12	terms of being AC control? Is there another heat
13	control going on or no?
14	MR. FLOYD: With respect to these items,
15	there's no other modification other than simply
16	opening up the existing programs as they are presently
17	constituted to all residential customers.
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you.
19	CHAIR MITCHELL: All right
20	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Do you have
21	something else that you were going to add, Mr. Floyd?
22	MR. FLOYD: No. I mean they still remain
23	winter focused, to a large extent. That's the only

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIR MITCHELL: Any additional questions or
4	discussion?
5	(No response)
6	CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, I'll call the
7	roll for a vote. Indicate your support for the motion
8	with an aye and your opposition with a no.
9	Commissioner Brown-Bland.
10	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Aye.
11	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.
12	COMMISSIONER GRAY: Aye.
13	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Clodfelter.
14	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Aye.
15	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.
16	COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Aye.
17	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.
18	COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Aye.
19	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner McKissick.
20	COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Aye.
21	CHAIR MITCHELL: And, for the record, I
22	support the motion as well, and the motion carries.
23	Thank you, Mr. Floyd. We'll proceed now to Public
24	Staff, Electric Item P3.

MR. FLOYD: Item 3 is a request by Duke
Energy Progress to modify their service regulations to
eliminate a minimum bill provision related to contract
demand. The modification clarifies that new customers
and customers modifying their contract demands would
not be billed on the basis of a contract demand for
the first 12 months of service following the change.
No other modifications are proposed.

This has come to light because of the implementation of the customer connect billing system where new customers and those changing their contract demands could immediately be charged a minimum bill based on that contract demand rather than their actual billing demands.

The modification would allow a grace period for the first 12 months of service based on the actual billing demands rather than contract demands. It gives the customers a time to ramp up their loads to the contract demand without being adversely impacted by the minimum bill provision during that time.

I included a couple of things in the Agenda

Item, just for your perspective. We looked at minimum

bills in the last rate case for Duke Progress. That's

Sub 1219-K, and about 800 non-residential customers

were impacted by the provision of minimum bill. It's not clear that the minimum bills for new customers versus existing customers would be heavily impacted, but we don't believe it will be a big change in terms of revenues.

The other issue that came to light is there is a companion item, which I'll mention in a moment, Item 5, by Duke Carolinas for a similar request. Duke Carolinas makes a request, a ramp up, to provide a grace period of four months rather than 12, and we attempted to try to figure out what the difference was and why the difference was necessary.

This is one of these issues that stems from the history of Duke Progress and Duke Carolinas. And the structural issues are tough to address outside of general rate cases, and the Public Staff believes that it's appropriate to deal with this alignment issue in the context of our general rate case.

As far as I can recall, this issue has not come up in the Comprehensive Rate Study that we've been going through, so I don't have a whole lot of new information based on that. But our review, based on our review, the Public Staff believes the modification is reasonable and recommends that the Commission issue

```
our Proposed Order approving Duke Progress' proposed
 1
 2
    modification to its service regulation as filed.
 3
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of
 4
    the recommendation.
 5
              COMMISSIONER GRAY:
                                   Second.
 6
              CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and
 7
    seconded that the item be approved as recommended by
    the Public Staff. Are there any questions or
    discussion on that motion?
 9
10
              COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Madam Chair, I did
11
    have one question for Mr. Floyd.
12
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Please proceed.
13
              COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Other than the
14
    explanation that it's due to, I guess, the
15
    institutional history of culture of the two different
16
    companies, was there any further explanation why one
17
    would need four months and the other one 12 that could
18
    be readily provided at this time?
19
               I mean it -- you know, when I read through
20
    that information, I was still at somewhat of a loss to
21
    understand that discrepancy or why it would be a need
    to have the different ramp up periods.
22
23
              MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir. I believe Teresa Reed
```

with the company is online and able to, I think,

24

1 address that question to you.

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: That would be excellent. Ms. Reed, if you could do so.

MS. REED: I can. My name is Teresa Reed.

I am the director of Rates and Regulatory Planning for

Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress, and we

do have structural issues between the two utilities

with respect to our large rate schedules for certain

customers, for non-residential customers.

So for Duke Energy Progress, we have a medium general class that goes from 30 kW to 999 kW, and then at which point you would move into a large general schedule which starts with 1 megawatt.

If you're a customer and you're ramping up past the 1 megawatt range, we want to put you on the best rate schedule until you ramp up, so the best rate schedule would be MGS or SGS TOU, which are medium General Service Schedules. And then if you pass the 1 kW or the 1 megawatt range, you would move over to an LGS schedule.

The demand rates, if you look at the schedules, are very different. So for MGS, the demand rate is around \$7 per kW. If you look at LGS, it's 15 kW, and there's a minimum of 1,000 kW to be on that

schedule. So if you don't ramp up fast enough, you will be hit with minimum charges on the Duke Energy Progress side that are significant, and the Public Staff had the same question as you have.

We provided an example and a calculation to show that it could be drastically different, depending upon your contract demand. So for Duke Energy Progress, we've had this practice for a long time. It wasn't transparent in the service regulations and we're attempting to make it transparent.

We also recognize that we need to align between the utilities. We feel that, at this time, it would be a little bit much to do that. We need time to examine what the impacts would be to customers.

And to be frank with you, Commissioner, we would probably lean towards a 12-month ramp up for both utilities at the next rate case to give customers the fairest advantage as possible.

We think that shorting it to four months would be a little bit much for Duke Energy Progress non-residential customers, but we wanted to be transparent with what is occurring in the billing system where we felt what we have now doesn't reflect what is really happening in our billing system, and we

```
think 12 months is reasonable given the difference
 1
 2
    between MGS and LGS and rates.
 3
               COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Ms. Reed, thank you
 4
    very much for that information. I think it provides
 5
    excellent historical context for the flow period (sic)
 6
    differential and why the recommendation is what it is
 7
    at this time, so Chair Mitchell, I have no further
    questions.
 9
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Reed.
                                                      Any
10
    additional questions from Commissioners?
11
                          (No response)
12
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, I'll call the
13
    roll for a vote. Indicate your support for the motion
14
    with an aye and your opposition with a no.
15
    Commissioner Brown-Bland.
16
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
17
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.
18
               COMMISSIONER GRAY:
                                   Aye.
19
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Clodfelter.
20
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                         Aye.
21
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.
22
               COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
                                      Aye.
23
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.
24
               COMMISSIONER HUGHES:
                                     Aye.
```

CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner McKissick. 1 2 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Aye. 3 CHAIR MITCHELL: And, for the record, I 4 support the motion as well, and the motion carries. 5 We'll proceed now to Public Staff, Electric Item P5. 6 MR. FLOYD: P5 is a request by Duke Energy 7 Carolinas to modify certain residential rate schedules to remove the eligibility requirement that those 9 residential dwellings be constructed on a permanent 10 foundation, and also to eliminate the minimum bill provision related to contract demands in its rate 11 12 schedules. 13 The first modification would modify service 14 regulations to allow certain residential dwellings to 15 be eligible for service under residential rate 16 schedules. The modification would extend eligibility 17 to those schedules for what has been non-qualifying 18 dwellings like Tiny Homes that are not built on 19 permanent foundations. This modification aligns Duke 20 Carolinas' requirements with those similar 21 requirements of Duke Progress. 22 The second request is similar to Item 3 that 23 we were just discussing. It would modify their rate 24 schedules to allow new customers and customers

modifying their contract demands to not be billed for the contract demand in the first four months of service following that change. Not to reiterate what I've already said, but as explained by Ms. Reed, Duke Carolinas is requesting a four-month grace period rather than a 12 in this proceeding.

As I said earlier, we had looked in the Sub 1214 rate case at minimum bill issues, and there are a lot fewer customers impacted by minimum bills under the Duke Carolinas' existing structure.

everything else, not to reiterate the Agenda item, the Public Staff believes both requests by Duke Carolinas are reasonable and recommends that you issue our revised order we had submitted -- corrected order, but to issue our revised or corrected order approving Duke Carolinas' request to its service regulations and rate schedules as filed.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of the recommendation for Electric Item P5.

COMMISSIONER GRAY: Second.

CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and seconded that the item be approved as recommended by the Public Staff. Are there questions or discussion

on that motion?

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Chair Mitchell, I did have 1 question.

4 CHAIR MITCHELL: You may proceed,

5 Commissioner McKissick.

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: And I don't know if it would be to Mr. Floyd or Ms. Reed. When I was reading the information there about, you know, having the permanent foundations and how it would treat tiny homes, that made a lot of sense, but it raised the question in my mind as how to how we treat mobile homes today that are not on permanent foundations.

I mean, some people will place them on permanent foundations. They become affixed, but then some people just use the tie down structures, so how is that being treated today? Do they qualify or do they not, as a tangential issue, but I was curious of both of the explanations, yeah.

MR. FLOYD: This has been an issue for a number years dealing with electric utilities, but my understanding is that even though a mobile home is not on what we might consider a permanent foundation or a fixed structure, the tie downs and the way they are tied down, that is to be considered part of that by

```
local building codes, so that has not been an issue.
 1
 2
    Normally, we're looking at things like residential
    or -- not residential, recreational vehicles and
 3
 4
    things like that that are moveable. This tiny home
 5
    phenomena seems to be 1 that is more similar to
 6
    recreational vehicles than we would equate to a mobile
 7
    home that's tied down rather than be on a -- a pier
    and structure as a foundation, so that has not been an
 9
    issue, thus far, that time aware of.
10
              COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Thank you very
11
    much, Mr. Floyd. That fills in that void in my mind.
12
    I knew it was a tangential issue, but it was something
13
    that came to me, so I greatly appreciate that
14
    explanation.
                  Thank you.
15
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Any additional
16
    questions or discussion?
17
                         (No response)
18
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, I'll call the
19
    roll for a vote. Indicate your support with an aye
20
    and your opposition with a no. Commissioner
21
    Brown-Bland.
22
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
23
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.
```

Aye.

COMMISSIONER GRAY:

24

1	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Clodfelter.
2	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Aye.
3	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.
4	COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Aye.
5	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.
6	COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Aye.
7	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner McKissick.
8	COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Aye.
9	CHAIR MITCHELL: And, for the record, I
10	support the motion as well, and the motion carries.
11	Thank you, Mr. Floyd. We'll proceed now to Public
12	Staff, Item P6.
13	MR. WILLIAMSON: Good morning,
13 14	MR. WILLIAMSON: Good morning,  Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy
14	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy
14 15	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy Division. Item P6 is an application from Duke Energy
14 15 16	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy Division. Item P6 is an application from Duke Energy Progress for a Certificate of Environmental
14 15 16 17	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy Division. Item P6 is an application from Duke Energy Progress for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity,
14 15 16 17	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy Division. Item P6 is an application from Duke Energy Progress for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity, and a motion for waiver of notice and hearing to
14 15 16 17 18	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy Division. Item P6 is an application from Duke Energy Progress for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity, and a motion for waiver of notice and hearing to construct a new 230 kV transmission Tap Line,
14 15 16 17 18 19	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy Division. Item P6 is an application from Duke Energy Progress for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity, and a motion for waiver of notice and hearing to construct a new 230 kV transmission Tap Line, approximately 1300 feet in length, to support the load
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy Division. Item P6 is an application from Duke Energy Progress for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity, and a motion for waiver of notice and hearing to construct a new 230 kV transmission Tap Line, approximately 1300 feet in length, to support the load needs of a new aircraft manufacturing customer in

```
and waiver of the notice and hearing. The Public
 1
 2
    Staff recommends that the Commission grant the
 3
    Certificate and waive the notice and hearing
    requirement. Thank you.
 5
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of
 6
    the recommendation.
 7
               COMMISSIONER GRAY: Second.
               CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and
 9
    seconded that the item be approved as recommended by
10
    the Public Staff. Are there any questions or
11
    discussion on the motion?
12
                         (No response)
13
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, I'll call the
14
    roll for a vote. Indicate your support with an aye
15
    and your opposition with a no. Commissioner
16
    Brown-Bland.
17
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Aye.
18
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.
19
               COMMISSIONER GRAY:
                                   Aye.
20
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Clodfelter.
21
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Aye.
22
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.
23
               COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
                                      Aye.
24
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.
```

1	COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Aye.
2	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner McKissick.
3	COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Aye.
4	CHAIR MITCHELL: And, for the record, I
5	support the motion as well, and the motion carries.
6	Thank you, Mr. Williamson. We'll proceed now to
7	Public Staff, Electric Item P7.
8	MR. LAWRENCE: Good morning. I am Kevin
9	Lawrence with the Public Staff's Energy Division.
10	Electric Item P7 consists of an application for a
11	renewal of a Certificate of Public Convenience and
12	Necessity. Public Staff recommends that the
13	Commission issue an order approving the application
14	and issuing the requested Certificate.
15	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of
16	the recommendation.
17	COMMISSIONER GRAY: Second.
18	CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and
19	seconded that the item be approved as recommended by
20	the Public Staff. Are there questions or discussion
21	on the motion?
22	(No response)
23	CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, I'll call the
24	roll for a vote. Indicate your support with an aye

1	and your opposition with a no. Commissioner
2	Brown-Bland.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Aye.
4	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.
5	COMMISSIONER GRAY: Aye.
6	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Clodfelter.
7	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Aye.
8	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.
9	COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Aye.
10	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.
11	COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Aye.
12	CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner McKissick.
13	COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Aye.
14	CHAIR MITCHELL: And, for the record, I
15	support the motion as well, and the motion carries.
16	Thank you, Mr. Lawrence. The Commission has before us
17	our minutes of the January 24, 2022, Staff Conference
18	for approval. I'll take a motion.
19	COMMISSIONER GRAY: Move approval.
20	COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Second.
21	CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and
22	seconded that the minutes be approved. Any questions
23	or discussion?
24	(No response)

1	CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, all in favor
2	of approving those minutes, indicate with an aye.
3	(All Commissioners say aye)
4	CHAIR MITCHELL: Is there anyone opposed?
5	CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Our minutes
6	of January 24 are approved. Before we adjourn, any
7	updates for the Commission, any additional business?
8	(No response)
9	CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, we'll be
10	adjourned. Let's go off the record. Thank you very
11	much, everybody.
12	
13	WHEREUPON, this conference is adjourned.
14	
15	CERTIFICATE
16	I, TONJA VINES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the
17	proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken
18	before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand
19	the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing
20	pages are a true and correct transcription to the best
21	of my ability.
22	J
23	Tonja Vines
24	