

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Forrest Radcliff  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:19 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Forrest Radcliff

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Forrest Radcliff

### Email

whiskersgizmo@charter.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We just recently had solar put on our primary residence and we are loving the low electric bills!! Both my husband and I are retired and live off of social security plus a little retirement from the Navy, this is the biggest reason that we invested in solar. This is not cheap by no means and it is stretching us by paying for the solar panels and installations then when we filed our income taxes for 2022 we found out that we will probably will not qualify for the tax credit issued for installing solar. So we really need Duke to pay what they are paying now to use our solar and it would not hurt them to pay more!!

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bobb Head  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:20 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bobb Head

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bobb Head

### Email

ncuc@bobbhead.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to OPPOSE Duke Energy's recent net metering proposal. I am a solar rooftop customer. Solar is awesome--it is great to take that load off the grid, have a household that reduces carbon footprint, and contribute to the fight against global warming, but the ROI for consumer solar is already very long. Adding fees or reducing the payment for excess solar will tip the balance farther away from the consumer in favor of big corporate interests. Duke Energy had a gross profit of \$18.137B in 2021, and their CEO has a total compensation package of almost \$16.5 million, so clearly they are already doing quite well.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Mertz  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:28 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Mertz

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Mertz

### Email

medmertz@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a Duke Energy customer and I have solar panels at my residence. I would like to request that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar like I have at my home. Duke Energy should be asked and expected to pay for the energy generated by my panel which help the electric grid and help meet climate goals as well. They should not be allowed to meter and reduce the value of the power generated by the solar panels that I have installed and paid for on my rooftop. I want to do my part for the environment and the NCUC should be maximizing the incentives for customers to take on the expense of putting solar panels on their rooftops like I have. Do not allow Duke Energy to meter and devalue the input of solar panels like mine and of future solar customers.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Duane Scaggs  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:31 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Duane Scaggs

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Duane Scaggs

### Email

duane@scaggsmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

A more thorough analysis of the costs of residential solar is needed. There are benefits to the utility that are being ignored. For example, during peak utilization periods, most of the time, it is during hot sunny weather and residential systems provide power during these periods reducing the overall demand. Excess power pushed to the grid is consumed by homes very close to the production with little to no loss - certainly more efficient than long distance high power lines. Yes, today, solar owners benefit by getting full value, but the utility also receives money from the excess power being sent to nearby homes. Additionally, the utility has the potential to reduce the cost of adding additional power during peak periods which often is at a higher cost than retail. Given the small overall installed kW of residential, even robust additional installations have little negative impact to utility infrastructure and utility revenue. Having residential solar in the mix of production is yet another value add to diversifying production resources. As battery technology matures, programs that encourage storing and excess generation and pushing that energy onto the grid during the evening hours would aid in reducing peak use and reduce costs for the utility. If residential solar is not economically feasible for most homeowners, then the installed base will be much lower and the potential to add battery solutions will also be much lower.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Michael Mandl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Mandl

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Mandl

### Email

michaeljmandl@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100-Sub180

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy proposal to change the way they calculate credits for those homeowners in NC who have invested in solar power to reduce the demand on fossil fuels to generate electricity.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Debbie Serra  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:40 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Debbie Serra

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Debbie Serra

### Email

debbielserra@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

REJECT this proposal (Docket E-100 Sub 180) and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. You must do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Starr Markham  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:43 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Starr Markham

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Starr Markham

### Email

smarkham604@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I put solar roof panels on my house in late 2019. Part of my decision to be a positive impact on rising energy costs was largely in part for the net metering advantages of owning solar generating equipment. I had a terrible time getting Duke Energy to follow through at almost every step of the way. Now it seems Duke wants to go back and retroactively renege on their commitment to customers who make the financial commitment to renewable energy. This egregious change in the works creates a financial burden on those who's return on investment may never come if Duke is allowed to change the financial agreements without the cost benefit analysis as required by HB 589. I strongly urge the Commission to not approve this money grab by Duke Energy, whose gross profits in 2021 were more than \$18B (that's billion), an almost 4.5% increase from 2020.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Greg R.McKnight  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:45 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Greg R. McKnight

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Greg R. McKnight

### Email

f650csnc@hotmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I ask that you please reject this proposal and conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. I have committed close to \$20,000 for my panels, and expect Duke Energy to honor their original commitment to me. 4445 Fireside LN Winston-Salem, NC 27127

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Nancy mandl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:49 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Nancy mandl

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Nancy mandl

### Email

nancymandl1@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please pay attention to the solar credits. We spent a huge amount of money to install solar and provide alternative energy- don't ignore that. We are doing our part for a better tomorrow- please do yours! Thank you very much

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Danna Mattocks  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:51 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Danna Mattocks

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Danna Mattocks

### Email

danna.mattocks@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a North Carolina home owner and solar system owner I am reaching out to comment on the changes to solar power rules requested by Duke. The system I purchased supplies our home and at the same time gives the same amount of excess energy back to the grid. To claim that we as solar power users are not contributing fairly to the grid is untrue. Any decisions should be based on data obtained in an objective study of how residential solar systems impact our states clean energy goals, contribute power to the grid and what the actual peak use hours are in our state. It is unacceptable for Duke to change rules that were in place when we made the investment in solar just to increase their profits. The energy our system gives to Duke is equal in value to the energy their system provides to our home. They are already confiscating our excess production annually what data justifies their proposal to value the power our system contributes at less than a third of the price they charge us.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Joe Bavonese  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:52 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Joe Bavonese

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Joe Bavonese

### Email

drjoebav@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear NCUC, As West Asheville NC homeowners with rooftop solar, we strongly encourage you to reject Duke Energy's Net Metering Proposal from November 2021. This would greatly reduce the value of solar in NC, at a time when Climate Change is having a greater impact on life on Earth than ever before. This bill would make it more difficult for NC to reach its established climate goals, in addition to losing thousands of rooftop solar jobs. We want to see North Carolina be a leader in the area of Green Energy and renewables. This proposal would be a huge step backwards. Joe Bavonese, PhD  
113 Estes Court Asheville NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** John Kreamer  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:56 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John Kreamer

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John Kreamer

### Email

kashakk@yahoo.com

### Docket

N/A

### Message

Im against Duke Energy's plan to the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers\*\* in a way that would reduce the amount you are paid for the excess solar energy you share with the grid.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kathryn Liss  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:56 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kathryn Liss

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kathryn Liss

### Email

kliss@igc.org

### Docket

E100 sub 180

### Message

I do not want to see changes made to my existing relationship with Duke energy. I bought into rooftop solar based on net metering and think it is breach of agreement to change the rules after I have made my investment.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Danny r Yelton  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 9:02 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Danny r Yelton

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Danny r Yelton

### Email

dannyyelton@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I urge the commission to give careful consideration to Duke Energy's proposed changes to solar net metering and reject the proposal. As a current solar customer with a recent installation, I feel misled by Duke Energy. Not only did I choose solar because of the positive effects on the environment, I also considered financial factors. These proposed changes certainly would have affected the financial decision I made, and are deceptive. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. But the effects of these changes go far beyond the impact to one family. It certainly makes solar energy less attractive for future solar customers, which can only lead to fewer solar customers in the future and negative impacts on our environment. It already seems unfair that Duke Energy "resets" (or steals) our solar energy surplus in the month of May - the most productive solar month of the year. I urge the commission to also reconsider this practice - it just does not make logical sense that solar energy we have produced is taken from us without compensation.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Caleb Crosby  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 9:10 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Caleb Crosby

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Caleb Crosby

### Email

cmc103@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hi, I'd urge you to please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering agreement. Thank you!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jonathan Hoveland  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 9:51 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jonathan Hoveland

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jonathan Hoveland

### Email

mesh-tetra0x@icloud.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I strongly encourage that NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. On top of that thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. If those aren't convincing enough, then what about how extremely complex, the proposal is? It could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers like me. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you,  
Jonathan Hoveland



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Cynthia and Joe Thurman  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:09 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Cynthia and Joe Thurman

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Cynthia and Joe Thurman

### Email

fyrdude128@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a thorough review and analysis of solar costs. I have had solar running since April, and my bills have not come out right yet. I thought the company would switch the consumer to solar metering for the bill, after they installed the solar meter,, but they did not. Thank-you, Cynthia Thurman

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Elworth Cheek  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:09 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Elworth Cheek

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Elworth Cheek

### Email

echeek3@triad.rr.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am an existing Solar customer. I am asking you to reject Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering rules. I am asking you to take action to protect the value of solar in North Carolina. I am asking the NCUC to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Michael Elder  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Elder

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Elder

### Email

1mjelder@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I recently installed a roof-top solar system on my home because I strongly believe we all should do as much as we can to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and we need to be doing it NOW. The current NC net-metering program helped me be able to justify this installation. NCUC must conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before changes to net-metering are considered. My understanding is this has not yet been done. I'm asking the Governor to reject a pending Duke Energy proposal to change net-metering rules at this time.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Stella Hawkins  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Stella Hawkins

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Stella Hawkins

### Email

smhawkins@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I reject this proposal to reject Duke from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Mark Bergman  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 10:50 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Bergman

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mark Bergman

### Email

beemermark@hotmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I find it hard to fathom that the NCUC would work to cripple investments in Solar while both the State and Federal Legislatures are working to fight climate change by encouraging (both verbally and financially ) private investments in solar and wind. Reading the submittals, Q&A, and other documents I see no consideration of the invested costs that have been made by individuals like myself to reduce Duke Energy's capital and maintenance costs by foregoing new construction. I invested heavily in Solar even though my payback is over 25 years. Now, even though I've reduced Duke's grid cost (by reducing the load on their system) the NCUC wants me subsidize Duke so they can remain competitive for those users not investing in Solar. If Duke needs a rate increase to maintain the grid and fixed generating capacity then it should be paid for by all Duke's customers, not those that have heavily invested in reducing Duke's generating capacity. If this tariff is approved I for one will be installing batteries, a wood burning furnace, and completely divest myself from the grid. Approval of this tariff will move NC backwards by preventing users from installing alternate sources of energy and will have the effect of more (especially large scale) customers removing themselves from the grid.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Deborah Schillo  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:19 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Deborah Schillo

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Deborah Schillo

### Email

dlschillo@icloud.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Do not allow DukeEnergy to change solar rates at a time when we should be encouraging people to add solar panels.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Shirley Rebecca Welch  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:22 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Shirley Rebecca Welch

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Shirley Rebecca Welch

### Email

srwrn@msn.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on my current net metering plan for the life of my system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Nancy Vaughn  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 11:54 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Nancy Vaughn

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Nancy Vaughn

### Email

obxnancyvaughn@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sun 180

### Message

I request that you reject this proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022