## "Quarterly Review"

## Selected Financial and Operational Data:

#### Re:

### Electric Companies

- Carolina Power & Light Company,
   d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.
- Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
- Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power

### Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies

- Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
- Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.

### Telecommunications Companies

- BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina
- Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC
- Central Telephone Company
- Citizens Telephone Company
- LEXCOM Telephone Company
- MEBTEL Communications
- North State Communications
- Verizon South Inc.
- Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.
- Windstream North Carolina, LLC
  - Quarter Ending March 31, 2008 ■

### Table of Contents

Part				Page
I	Intro	oductio	n	1
II	Revi	iew of K	Key Financial Ratios:	7
		Com Retu Equ	nmary Statement of Key Financial Ratios For Seven Selected opanies For The Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2008 — urns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return, Common ity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios — And Certain Rate e Data	8
		Rate Ager	ement of Authorized Returns on Common Equity and Overall es of Return Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory ncies As Reported By <u>Public Utilities Reports</u> , Volume 258-266, from July 2007 Through August 2008	10
III	Over	rviews a	of Selected Financial and Operational Data By Utility:	12
	•	Elec	tric Companies:	
		•	Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.	13
		•	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	14
		•	Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power	15
		Nati	ural Gas Local Distribution Companies:	
		•	Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.	16
-		•	Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.	17
		Tele	communications Companies:	
		•	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T North Carolina	18
		•	Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC	19

## Table of Contents — continued

Part				Page
Ш		rviews ( contini	of Selected Financial and Operational Data ued:	
	В	Tele	ecommunications Companies — continued:	
			Central Telephone Company	20
			Citizens Telephone Company	21
		•	LEXCOM Telephone Company	22
		•	MEBTEL Communications	23
		•	North State Communications	24
			Verizon South Inc.	25
			Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.	26
			Windstream North Carolina, LLC	27
IV	4nn	andir A	1 _ Distribution List	

## Part I

## Introduction

The purpose, structure, focus, and an abbreviated synopsis of the nature of the contents of this report is presented here.

The Quarterly Review has been designed and is structured so as to provide, in a clear and concise format, relevant and useful financial and operational information pertaining to 15 major investor-owned public utilities regulated by the North Carolina Utilities Commission (Commission): three electric companies, two natural gas local distribution companies, and ten telecommunications companies. The primary focus of this report is one of a jurisdictional financial nature. However, albeit limited, certain jurisdictional operational information is also included.

To a vast extent the information presented herein is organized into individual company overviews. The data presented covers a period of five years, except for one natural gas company for which only three years of data is available as a result of a consolidation in 2005 of three regulated entities into one. From a general viewpoint, the individual company overviews, excluding to a certain extent those of the price regulated telephone companies, for which information is strictly limited, provide information that users of this report will find helpful from the standpoint of gaining insight into each company's jurisdictional financial standing and in acquiring a sense of the magnitude of each company's overall jurisdictional economic dimension.

Significant changes have taken place with regard to reporting requirements for the price regulated telephone companies, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003, as a result of further relaxed regulation of the telecommunications industry. Due to these changes, the financial and operational data submitted to the Commission by such companies are significantly less comprehensive than that previously provided.

The aforementioned reporting requirement changes for the price regulated telephone companies were implemented by Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814 (An Act to Clarify the Law Regarding Competitive and Deregulated Offerings of Telecommunications Services), and as previously indicated, were effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. Specifically, in the present regard, the April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the TS-1 Report previously submitted annually by the price Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 regulated telephone companies. Quarterly Review, which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided. Further, the information provided by the eight price regulated telephone companies is presented on a total North Carolina combined basis, including both their regulated and nonregulated operations, as that is what is now being provided to the Commission.

This report has been prepared solely for the use of the Commission. The responsibility for developing and preparing the report is that of the Commission's Operations Division (Division). The preponderance of the information and data included in and/or on which the report is based has been provided by the companies. Such data has not been audited or otherwise verified. Therefore, the Division, although it believes the aforesaid data to be true and correct in each and every respect, cannot and does not offer any attestation in that regard.

#### A Specific Objective

A specific objective of this reporting process is to present to the Commission, on an ongoing basis, meaningful information regarding the financial viability of the subject companies, including the reasonableness of the overall levels of rates and charges currently being charged by jurisdictional utilities, whose rates are cost based, for their sales of services. Cost based regulation is synonymous with rate base, rate of return regulation.

Under rate base, rate of return regulation, the cost of service of a public utility is defined as the sum total of reasonable operating expenses, depreciation, taxes, and a reasonable return on the net valuation of property used and useful in providing public utility services. Therefore, the reasonableness of a public utility's rates is a function of the reasonableness of the level of each individual component of its cost of service.

The reasonable return component of the cost of service equation refers to the overall rate of return related to investment funded by all investors, including debt investors as well as preferred and common equity investors. The costs of debt capital and preferred stock, which are essentially fixed by contract, must be deducted from revenue, like all other components of the cost of service, in determining income available for distribution to common stockholders. Therefore, generally speaking, a very meaningful measure of the profitability of any utility, and consequently the reasonableness of its overall rates and charges, is the return earned on its common shareholders' investment, i.e., its return on common equity, over some specified period of time. Typically, such returns are measured over a period of one year. Thus, annual returns on common equity and certain other key financial ratios, which among other things give significant perspective to the common equity returns, are the focal points of this report.

#### The Key Financial Ratios

Specifically, the key financial ratios presented herein for use in reviewing the companies' financial viabilities, including their profitability and consequently the reasonableness of their rates and charges are (1) the return on common equity, (2) the common equity capitalization ratio, (3) the pretax interest coverage ratio, and (4) the overall rate of return.

#### The Return on Common Equity

As indicated, the return on common equity is a key financial indicator which measures the profitability of an enterprise from the standpoint of its common stockholders over some specified period of time. That return or earnings rate reflects the ratio of earnings available for common equity to the common-equity investors' capital investment. As previously stated, the ratio is significant because it traditionally represents profitability after all revenues and costs, other than the cost of common equity capital, have been considered. From the standpoint of measuring profitability, return on common equity is indeed "the bottom line".

#### The Common Equity Capitalization Ratio

The common equity capitalization ratio is the ratio of common equity capital to total investor-supplied capital of the firm. That ratio is significant because it is a major indicator of the financial riskiness of the firm, particularly from the standpoint of the common stockholders. The issuance of debt capital, assuming no offsetting decrease in preferred stock, decreases the common equity capitalization ratio, and its existence creates what has come to be known as financial leverage. The risk borne by shareholders that accompanies that leverage is known as financial risk. As the proportion of debt in the capital structure increases, so does the degree of financial leverage and thus shareholders' risk and consequently the shareholders' requirements regarding expected return, i.e., the expected return on common equity or, in regulatory jargon, the cost of common equity capital.

Alternatively, the financial riskiness of the firm, some might argue, is more appropriately revealed when expressed in terms of debt leverage, particularly when preferred stock is present in the capital structure. Such leverage is the ratio of long-term debt capital to total investor-supplied capital. Both approaches are clearly insightful and useful. In evaluating the superiority of one approach in comparison to the other, one should consider the context within which the information is to be used. Since a major objective of this report is to review the reasonableness of the levels of earnings of the companies' common stockholders, and in consideration of the other key financial benchmarks which are also presented herein, the common equity capitalization ratio appears to be the most appropriate and meaningful measure of the financial riskiness of the companies for use in this regard.

#### The Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio

The pretax interest coverage ratio is the number of times earnings, determined before consideration of income taxes and interest charges, cover annual interest charges. That financial indicator is particularly important to debt investors because holders of the company's outstanding debt, including long-term bonds, receive interest payments from the company before any earnings are determined to be available for distribution to preferred or common equity investors. Pretax interest coverage is measured before income taxes because interest expense is deductible in arriving at taxable income. Therefore, generally speaking, debt

holders can expect to be paid before the company incurs any liability for the payment of income taxes. From the debt holder's perspective, all other things remaining equal, the higher the pretax interest coverage the better.

#### The Overall Rate of Return

The overall rate of return measures the profitability of a firm from the standpoint of earnings on total investment, including investment funded by both debt and equity investors. Specifically, in the public utility regulatory environment, it is the ratio of operating income to total investment.

#### The Propriety of the Methodology

The foregoing financial benchmarks, as presented in this report, have been determined on the basis of the companies' actual operating experience. Under rate base, rate of return regulation, North Carolina statutes require that the companies' rates be determined on a normalized, pro forma, end-of-period basis based upon an historical test year. Stated alternatively, the Commission, in setting prospective rates, essentially, must take into account the company's current level of operations adjusted for known and material changes in the levels of revenues and costs that the company can reasonably be expected to experience over a reasonable period of time into the future. Thus, rates, which are established for use prospectively, are set, to a certain extent and within certain constraints, on the basis of revenue and cost expectations, including investor expectations regarding their return requirements, as opposed to simply setting prospective rates solely on the basis of actual operating experience.

The process of setting prospective rates is inherently and exceedingly time consuming, difficult, and otherwise costly to both companies and regulators. It involves the assimilation, investigation, and evaluation of enormous amounts of complex information and data which invariably leads to multifarious issues; many, if not most, of which must be resolved through adjudication.

It is far less difficult and costly to perform an intellectual, financial analysis of the need to undertake the aforesaid process. Such preliminary analysis avoids the unnecessary incursion of the immense costs of setting prospective rates. Those are precisely the reasons why this report is focused on a review of the returns on common equity and other key financial ratios which the companies are currently earning or achieving under their existing rates and charges. Those ratios, when considered in conjunction with statutory ratemaking requirements, prevailing economic conditions, and certain other financial indicators, including returns on common equity and overall rates of return currently being authorized by other public utility regulatory agencies, are meaningful indicators of the need, if any, for further, more extensive regulatory review.

From the standpoint of giving an added measure of meaning to the aforesaid ratios of the individual companies and in the interest of providing a sense of current financial market conditions, certain financial information has been included herein as notes to the first statement included in Part II of this report. Such notes are an integral part of this report.

Additionally, also from the standpoint of providing perspective, returns on common equity and overall rates of return currently being authorized by a number of other public utility regulatory agencies are provided in the second statement presented in Part II.

#### A Final Note

It is emphasized that the information contained in this report is not intended and should not be construed to be all inclusive from the standpoint of the criteria to be used in assessing the reasonableness of the companies existing rates. But rather, it is submitted that such information is clearly relevant to such a determination and as such should be considered in conjunction with all other pertinent information and data.

The Operations Division will be pleased to receive and respond to any questions or comments.

## Part II

## A Review of Key Financial Ratios

- Summary Statement of Key Financial Ratios For Seven Selected Companies For The Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2008 Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return, Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios And Certain Rate Case Data
- Statement of Authorized Returns on Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported By Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 258-266 from July 2007 Through August 2008

#### **Summary Statement**

#### Of Key Financial Ratios Achieved By And Authorized For Selected Companies

"Estimated Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return,
Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios are for Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2008,
Except for Certain Telecommunications Companies - See Note [1]"

"Rate Case Data are from Orders with Various Issue Dates as Indicated in Column (i)"

		Estimat	ed for 12 Mo	nths Ended (	3/31/08	Au	thorized - L	ast Rate Ca	se_
Line <u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u> (a)	Return On <u>Equity</u> (b)	Overall Rate of <u>Return</u> (c)	Equity <u>Ratio</u> (d)	Debt <u>Ratio</u> (e)	Return On <u>Equity</u> (f)	Overall Rate of <u>Return</u> (g)	Equity <u>Ratio</u> (h)	Date of Last <u>Order</u> (i)
	Electric Companies								
1.	Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.	10,14%	8.07%	50.36%	48.83%	12.75%	10.45%	44.00%	08/05/1988
2.	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	10.87%	8.56%	54.81%	45.19%	11.00%	8.57%	53.00%	12/20/2007
3.	Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power	5.03%	5.35%	52.28%	41.78%	N/A	N/A	N/A	03/18/2005
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies								
4.	Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.	10.44%	8.39%	48.16%	51.84%	10.60%	8.55%	51.00%	10/24/2008
5.	Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.	9.27%	7.92%	49.15%	50.85%	10.60%	8.54%	54.00%	10/24/2008
	Telecommunications Companies								
	Rate of Return Regulated Companies								
6.	Citizens Telephone Company	3.70%	3.70%	100.00%	0.00%	12.70%	10.11%	44.95%	02/26/1991
7.	LEXCOM Telephone Company	15.74%	15,74%	100.00%	0.00%	16.25%	12.77%	37.22%	06/14/1982
	Price Plan Regulated Companies	Data is	not available.	See Note [1]	}				
8.	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina								
9.	Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC								
10.	Central Telephone Company								
11.	MEBTEL Communications								
12.	North State Communications								
13.	Verizon South Inc.								
14.	Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.								
15.	Windstream North Carolina, LLC								

#### NOTES:

- [1] Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.
- [2] N/A denotes that the data is not available.
- [3] In its March 18, 2005 Order Approving Stipulation, the Commission did not make certain determinations with respect to Dominion North Carolina Power's (Dominion's) capital structure and rates of return. The Commission concluded that the stipulation presented an appropriate resolution of the contested matters in the rate case proceeding and resulted in just and reasonable rates for Dominion, without making specific findings regarding rate base, operating revenues and expenses, the overall rate of return, and the return on common equity.
- [4] Pursuant to the Commission's 12/20/2007 Order in Docket No. E-7, Sub 828, all North Carolina jurisdictional reporting and accounting for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC - Nantahala Area is consolidated with Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.

## Summary Statement Of Key Financial Ratios Achieved by And Authorized for Selected Companies

"Estimated Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return,
Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios are for Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2008,
Except for Certain Telecommunications Companies - See Note [1]\*

**NOTES** - continued

NOTES: [5] Selected financial market indicators from "Moody's Credit Trends" updated on October 7, 2008 follow:

Part I

		U.S	. Treasury Secur	ities	Dealer- Placed	Moody's Long-Term
Line No.	<u>Date</u> (a)	3-Month Bill <u>%</u> (b)	10-Year Note <u>%</u> (c)	30-Year Bond <u>%</u> (d)	3-Month CP <u>%</u> (e)	Corporate Bond Yield <u>%</u> (f)
1.	October 6, 2008	0.54	3.48	3.99	_	6.87
2.	October 3, 2008	0.50	3.63	4.11	_	6.95
3.	October 2, 2008	0.62	3.66	4.16	-	6.97
4.	October 1, 2008	0.84	3.77	4.22	-	6.92
5.	September 30, 2008	0.90	3.85	4.31	-	6.94
6.	Month of September 2008	1.07	3.68	4.25	_	_
7.	Month of August 2008	1.75	3.86	4.48	3.14	6.42

Part II

Moody's public utility long-term bond yield averages (%):

			Past 12	<u>Months</u>	Monthly Average		
Line No.	<u>Rating</u> (a)	10/06/2008 [8] (b)	<u>High</u> (c)	Low (d)	Oct. 2008 (e)	Sep. 2008 (f)	
1.	Aa	6.36	6.18	5.66	6.45	6.13	
2.	Α	6.86	6.30	5.85	6.93	6.49	
3.	Baa	7.65	6.60	6.10	7.69	7.15	

[6] Most recent data available when this edition of the Quarterly Review was prepared. According to "Moody's Credit Trends", updated on October 7, 2008, such long-term bond yield averages are derived from pricing data on a regularly-replenished population of nearly 90 seasoned corporate bonds in the United States market, each with current outstandings over \$100 million. Further, the bonds have maturities as close as possible to 30 years; bonds are dropped from the list if their remaining life falls below 20 years or if their ratings change.

<sup>[7]</sup> In previous Quarterly Review reports, the Commission has reported certain limited information pertaining to new bond offerings by public utilities; however, such information is no longer published in "Moody's Credit Trends". Consequently, such information is not being reported at this time.

#### Statement of Authorized Returns

#### On Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return

## Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported In Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 258-266, from July 2007 through August 2008 (Statement Is All Inclusive With Respect To Returns Published)

		Authorize	d Return	s		Volume No.	
Line <u>No.</u>	Company (Jurisdiction) (a)	Common <u>Equity</u> (b)	<u>O1</u>	verall (c)	Date Of <u>Order</u> (d)	Public Utilities <u>Reports</u> (e)	
	Electric Companies						
1.	Entergy Arkansas, Inc. (AR)	9.90%		N/A	. 06/15/2007	Volume 258	
2.	Arizona Public Service Company (AZ)	10.75%	8.	.32%	06/28/2007	Volume 258	
3.	Potomac Electric Power Company (MD)	10.00%	7.	68%	07/19/2007	Volume 258	
4.	Georgia Power Company (GA)	11.25%	I	N/A	12/18/2007	Volume 262	
5.	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (NC)	11.00%	8.	.57%	12/20/2007	Volume 262	
6.	Wisconsin Electric Power Company, d/b/a We Energies (WI)	10.75%	8.	33%	01/17/2008	Volume 262	
7.	Potomac Electric Power Company (DC)	10.00%	7.	96%	01/30/2008	Volume 263	
8.	Fitchburg Gas & Electric Company, d/b/a Unitil (MA)	10.25%	8.	.38%	02/29/2008	Volume 263	
9.	Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, Inc. (WI)	10.75%	8.	60%	01/08/2008	Volume 264	
10.	Central Vermont Public Service Corporation (VT)	10.71%	1	N/A	01/31/2008	Volume 264	
11.	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (NY)	9.10%	7.	.30%	03/25/2008	Volume 264	
12.	Montana-Dakota Utilities Company (MT)	10.25%	8.	.58%	04/23/2008	Volume 264	
13,	Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (HI)	10.70%	8.	66%	05/01/2008	Volume 265	
14.	Consumers Energy Company (MI)	10.70%	6.	93%	06/10/2008	Volume 265	
15.	Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (NY)	9.40%	[1]	N/A	07/23/2008	Volume 266	
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies						
16.	Public Service Company of Colorado (CO)	10.25%	8.	67%	06/18/2007	Volume 258	
17.	Yankee Gas Services Company (CT)	10.10%	8.	03%	06/29/2007	Volume 259	
18.	Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. (KY)	10.50%	1	N/A	08/29/2007	Volume 259	
19.	Arkansas Western Gas Company (AR)	9.50%	]	N/A	07/13/2007	Volume 260	
20.	Consumers Energy Company (MI)	10.75%	I	N/A	08/21/2007	Volume 260	
21.	Washington Gas Light Company (VA)	10.00%	I	N/A	09/17/2007	Volume 261	

#### Statement of Authorized Returns

#### On Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return

#### Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported In Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 258-266, from July 2007 through August 2008

(Statement Is All Inclusive With Respect To Returns Published)

		Authori	zed R	eturns		Volume No.
Line <u>No.</u>	Company (Jurisdiction) (a)	Common <u>Equity</u> (b)		Overall (c)	Date Of <u>Order</u> (d)	Public Utilities <u>Reports</u> (e)
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies (continued)					
22.	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (NY)	9.70%	[2]	7.63%	09/25/2007	Volume 261
23.	CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp., d/b/a CenterPoint Energy Arkansas Gas (AR)	9.65%		7.84%	10/25/2007	Volume 261
24.	National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation (NY)	9.10%		7.61%	12/21/2007	Volume 262
25.	Washington Gas Light Company (DC)	N/A		N/A	12/28/2007	Volume 262
26.	Wisconsin Electric Power Company, d/b/a We Energies (WI)	10.75%		8.33%	01/17/2008	Volume 262
27.	Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, Inc. (WI)	10.75%		8.60%	01/08/2008	Volume 264
28.	Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (OH)	N/A		8.45%	05/28/2008	Volume 265
	Water Companies	•				
29.	San Gabriel Valley Water Company (CA)	9.90%		[3]	04/12/2007	Volume 258
30.	Municipality of Anchorage, d/b/a Anchorage Water & Wastewater Utility (AK)	10.10%	[4]	7.31%	07/16/2007	Volume 259
31.	Kentucky-American Water Company (KY)	N/A		N/A	11/29/2007	Volume 261
32.	Aquarion Water Company of Connecticut (CT)	10.00%		8.26%	12/12/2007	Volume 262
33.	Long Island Water Corporation (NY)	9.50%	[5]	N/A	03/05/2008	Volume 263
34.	Fall Water Company (ID)	12.00%		7.20%	01/11/2008	Volume 264

- [1] If the level of equity earnings over the life of the three-year rate plan is greater than 10.2% but less than or equal to 11.2%, the utility will share the earnings within that range equally with ratepayers. Equity earnings above 11.2% would be shared 75% with ratepayers and 25% with the utility.
- [2] The approved rate plan requires the local distribution company (LDC) to annually submit its computation of return on equity for the preceding year. If the level of earnings exceeds 10.70%, the excess will be shared by deferring 50% for the benefit of customers and allowing the LDC to retain the balance.
- [3] The California Public Utilities Commission adopted stipulated overall rates of return of 9.33% for test year 2006-2007 and 9.35% for test year 2007-2008.
- [4] The Alaska Regulatory Commission declined to deviate from its policy of establishing cost of equity for municipally-owned utilities using the same methods used for privately-owned companies.
- [5] Over the three years of the rate plan considered in the aggregrate, all earnings attributable to an earned return on equity at or below 10.50% would be retained by shareholders. Earnings in the range exceeding 10.50% but not exceeding 11.00% would be shared equally between customers and shareholders. Earnings exceeding 11.00% would be shared 75% by customers and 25% by shareholders. However, if the bond rating of the corporate parent of the utility were to fall below investment grade, the earnings sharing threshold would be reduced to 10.00%.
- [6] N/A denotes that information is not available.

### Part III

# Overviews of Selected Financial and Operational Data by Utility:

- Electric Companies
  - Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.
  - Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
  - Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power
- Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies
  - Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
  - Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.
- Telecommunications Companies
  - BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina
  - Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC
  - Central Telephone Company
  - Citizens Telephone Company
  - LEXCOM Telephone Company
  - MEBTEL Communications
  - North State Communications
  - Verizon South Inc.
  - Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.
  - Windstream North Carolina, LLC

#### CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Retail Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

				12 Months En	ded		Ann <u>Growth</u>	
Line		March	March	March	March	March	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	2008	2007	2006	<u>2005</u>	2004	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<b>(f)</b>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue	\$3,172,126	\$2,955,146	\$2,839,990	\$2,628,411	\$2,569,505	5.41%	7.34%
2.	Operating Expenses:							
3.	Fuel	938,726	820,359	710,345	637,665	616,105	11.10%	14.43%
4.	Purchased Power	195,769	222,698	237,903	222,905	206,422	-1,32%	-12.09%
5.	Maintenance	226,423	195,807	176,026	172,922	145,877	11.62%	15.64%
6.	Other Operating Expenses	<u>545,684</u>	489,768	546,419	465,891	444,630	5.25%	11.42%
7.	Total Operating Expenses	1,906,602	1,728,632	1,670,693	1,499,383	1,413,034	7.78%	10.30%
8.	Depreciation & Amortization	<u>409,513</u>	443,601	445,201	460,700	<u>420,914</u>	<u>-0.68%</u>	<u>-7.68%</u>
9.	Total Expenses & Depreciation	2,316,115	2,172,233	2,115,894	1,960,083	1,833,948	6.01%	6.62%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	385,319	362,817	337,434	334,949	344,378	2.85%	6.20%
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>2,701,434</u>	<u>2,535,050</u>	<u>2,453,328</u>	2,295,032	2,178,326	<u>5.53%</u>	6.56%
12.	Operating Income	\$470.692	<u>\$420.096</u>	<u>\$386.662</u>	<u>\$333.379</u>	<u>\$391.179</u>	<u>4.73%</u>	<u>12.04%</u>
13.	Net Plant investment	<u>\$4.763.758</u>	<u>\$4.704.656</u>	<u>\$4.702.157</u>	\$4,622,666	<u>\$4.617.040</u>	<u>0.79%</u>	<u>1.26%</u>
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	60.10%	58.50%	58.83%	57,05%	54.99%	2.25%	2.74%
15.	Net Pit. Investment per \$ of Revenue	\$1,50	\$1.59	\$1.66	\$1.76	\$1.80	-4.46%	-5.66%
	,							
16.	Number of Customers Served (000s inclu	ided).						
17.	Residential	1,073,792	1,051,685	1,028,351	1,003,875	983,325	2.22%	2.10%
18.	Commercial	189,156	186,657	183,467	178,690	173,081	2.25%	1.34%
19.	Industrial			•	3,611	3,694	-1.93%	-2.20%
20.	Other	3,417	3,494	3,541	2,132	2,263		-2.20% -4.20%
21.	Total Number of Customers	<u>1,895</u> 1.268.260	<u>1,978</u> <u>1,243,814</u>	<u>2,064</u> 1.217.423	<u>2, 192</u> 1,188,308	1.162.363	<u>-4.34%</u> 2.20%	1.97%
22.	Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh)							
23.	Residential	14,951	14,435	14,259	13,7 <b>93</b>	13,341	2.89%	3.57%
24.	Commercial	12,253	11,787	11,542	11,290	10,927	2.90%	3.95%
25.	Industrial	9,152	9,227	9,433	9,684	9,500	-0.93%	-0.81%
26.	Other	<u>2,501</u>	<u>2,044</u>	2,798	2,430	<u>3,846</u>	-10.20%	22.36%
27.	Total Sales	<u>38.857</u>	<u>37.493</u>	<u>38.032</u>	<u>37.197</u>	<u>37.614</u>	0.82%	3.64%
28.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	8.07%	7.58%	7.56%	7.00%	8.55%	-1.43%	6.46%
29.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	10.14%	9.42%	9.49%	8.13%	11,05%	-2.13%	7.64%
30.	Common Equity Ratio	50.36%	46.91%	47.60%	50.82%	50.53%	-0.08%	7.35%
	, ,							
31.	Debt Ratio	48.83%	52.24%	51.51%	48.26%	48,55%	0.14%	-6.53%
32.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	4.06	3.58	3.74	3.86	4.49	-2.49%	13.41%
33.	LAST RATE CASE Autl (Docket No. E-2, Sub 537)	norized Returns: (	Common Equity	/ 12.75%, Over	rall 10.45%; Eq	juity Ratio: 44.00%;	Date of Order:	8-5-88

<sup>[1]</sup> North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 72% of total company electric utility revenue.

 <sup>[2]</sup> Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.
 [3] Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.

<sup>[4]</sup> The one-time, lump-sum write-off of the development costs associated with GridSouth Transco, LLC, pursuant to the Commission's Order in Docket No. E-2, Sub 913, decreased the estimated return on common equity on Line 29, Column (b), by 0.24%.

#### **DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC** SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Retail Jurisdiction (Amounts in Thousands)

				12 Months En	ded		Ann Growth	
Line	!	March	March	March	March	March	Four	Current
No.	Item	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	Year	Year
1121	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue	\$4,075,878	\$3,759,615	\$3,621,177	\$3,484,969	\$3,412,406	4.54%	8.41%
2.	Operating Expenses:							
3,	Fuel	1,000,273	887,442	698,728	640,212	586,381	14.28%	12.71%
4.	Purchased Power	136,857	105,508	52,807	131,758	278,490	-16.27%	29.71%
5.	Maintenance	362,997	330,223	332,588	325,733	315,396	3.58%	9.92%
6.	Other Operating Expenses	672,924	686,608	686,522	600,427	676,604	-0.14%	-1.99%
7.	Total Operating Expenses	2,173,051	2,009,779	1,770,645	1,698,130	1,856,871	4.01%	8.12%
8.	Depreciation & Amortization	<u>681,080</u>	687,012	<u>737,452</u>	729,862	<u>551,698</u>	<u>5.41%</u>	<u>-0.86%</u>
9,	Total Expenses & Depreciation	2,854,131	2,696,791	2,508,097	2,427,992	2,408,569	4.33%	5.83%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	505,992	442,690	486,479	<u>465,381</u>	447,323	<u>3.13%</u>	14.30%
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	3,360,123	3,139,481	2,994,576	<u>2.893,373</u>	2,855,892	<u>4.15%</u>	<u>7.03%</u>
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$715.755</u>	\$620.134	\$626,601	\$591.596	\$556.514	6.49%	15,42%
13.	Net Plant Investment	\$9.044.715	\$8.650.350	\$8.221.001	\$8.022.004	<u>\$7.449.916</u>	4.97%	4.56%
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	53.31%	53.46%	48.90%	48.73%	54.42%	-0.51%	-0.28%
15.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue	\$2.22	\$2.30	\$2.27	\$2.30	\$2.18	0.46%	-3.48%
				. ,				
16.	Number of Customers Served (000s inclu							
17.	Residential	1,559,571	1,467,777	1,435,614	1,407,972	1,378,334	3.14%	6.25%
18,	Commercial	247,533	237,690	233,878	228,958	224,243	2.50%	4.14%
19.	Industrial	5,425	5,469	5,591	5,651	5,716	-1.30%	-0.80%
20.	Other	<u>10,337</u>	<u>10,307</u>	<u>9,867</u>	<u>10,475</u>	<u>9,261</u>	<u>2.79%</u>	0.29%
21.	Total Number of Customers	<u>1.822.866</u>	<u>1.721.243</u>	<u>1.684.950</u>	<u>1.653.056</u>	<u>1.617.554</u>	<u>3.03%</u>	5.90%
22.	Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh)							
23.	Residential	21,086	19,425	19,102	18,517	17,865	4.23%	8.55%
24.	Commercial	21,689	20,409	19,771	19,532	18,960	3.42%	6.27%
25.	Industrial	14,193	14,232	14,884	15,229	14,639	-0.77%	-0.27%
26.	Other	2,292	1,133	485	994	2,762	<u>-4.56%</u>	102.29%
27.	Total Sales	<u>59.260</u>	55.199	54.242	54.272	54.226	2.24%	7.36%
28.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	8.56%	8,39%	9.10%	9.15%	9.13%	-1.60%	2.03%
29.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	10.87%	10.92%	12.55%	12.73%	12.20%	-2.84%	-0,46%
30.	Common Equity Ratio	54.81%	52.00%	52.80%	53.88%	54.96%	-0.07%	5.40%
31.	Debt Ratio	45.19%	48.00%	45.70%	44.62%	43.54%	0.93%	-5.85%
32.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage							
JZ.	Ratio (Times)	4.51	4.16	5.44	5.98	5.6 <b>8</b>	-5.60%	8.41%

33. LAST RATE CASE (Docket No. E-7, Sub 828) Authorized Returns: Common Equity 11.00%, Overall 8.57%; Equity Ratio: 53.00%; Date of Order: 12-20-07

- [1] North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 70% of total company electric utility revenue.
- [2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.
- [3] Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.
- [4] The estimated ROE impacts of the BPM net revenues under the sharing arrangement approved in Docket No. E-7, Sub 751 are as follows for the 12-month periods ending March 31st: 2008 0.15%; 2007 0.33%; 2006 1.06%; 2005 0.38%; and 2004 0.30%. Such impacts are not included in the estimated ROEs presented on Line 29 above. The March 31, 2008 estimated ROE impact relates to the last nine months that the sharing arrangement approved in Docket No. E-7, Sub 751 was in effect. Pursuant to the Commission's final Order in Docket No. E-7, Sub 828, effective January 1, 2008, 90% of the North Carolina retail BPM Net Revenues earned after December 31, 2007, are now included in the North Carolina retail cost of service for ratemaking and reporting purposes.

  [5] Column (b) reflects that, pursuant to the Commission's December 20, 2007 Order in Docket No. E-7, Sub 828, all North Carolina
- jurisdictional reporting and accounting for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Nantahala Area is consolidated with Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.

## VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY, d/b/a DOMINION NORTH CAROLINA POWER

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Retall Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

			1	2 Months End	ied		Ann Growth	
Line	•	March	March	March	March	March	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	Year	Year
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u>(f)</u>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue	\$316,749	\$295,046	\$284,691	\$286,270	\$260,159	5.04%	7.36%
2.	Operating Expenses:							
3.	Fuel	79,303	74,807	62,236	62,908	46,790	14.10%	6.01%
4.	Purchased Power	87,479	76,852	80,618	53,590	55,066	12.27%	13.83%
5.	Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
6.	Other Operating Expenses	68,088	<u>60,671</u>	<u>53,014</u>	<u>56,126</u>	<u>51,898</u>	7.02%	12.22%
7.	Total Operating Expenses	234,870	212,330	195,868	172,624	153,754	11.17%	10.62%
8.	Depreciation & Amortization	<u>28,682</u>	<u>25,712</u>	<u>25,711</u>	<u>27,923</u>	<u>24,851</u>	<u>3.65%</u>	<u>11.55%</u>
9.	Total Expenses & Depreciation	263,552	238,042	221,579	200,547	178,605	10.22%	10.72%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>27,555</u>	<u>30,705</u>	<u>27,150</u>	<u>38,180</u>	<u>40.002</u>	<u>-8.90%</u>	<u>-10,26%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	291,107	<u>268,747</u>	248,729	<u>238,727</u>	218,607	<u>7.42%</u>	8.32%
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$25.642</u>	\$26.299	\$35,962	<u>\$47.543</u>	<u>\$41.552</u>	<u>-11.37%</u>	<u>-2.50%</u>
13.	Net Plant Investment	<u>\$583.437</u>	<u>\$599.200</u>	<u>\$597.360</u>	<u>\$589.516</u>	<u>\$551,159</u>	<u>1.43%</u>	<u>-2.63%</u>
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	74.15%	71.97%	68.80%	60.30%	59.10%	5.84%	3.03%
15.	Net Pit. Investment per \$ of Revenue	\$1.84	\$2.03	\$2.10	\$2.06	\$2.12	-3.48%	-9.36%
								<u>-</u>
16.	Number of Customers Served (000s included)	•	00 500	00.444	07.005	00.400	0.000/	0.000/
17.	Residential	100,330	99,538	99,114	97,825 15,314	96,468	0.99%	0.80%
18.	Commercial	15,465	15,399	15,388 69	15,314	15,161 79	0.50%	0.43% -10.45%
19. 20.	Industrial Other	60 <u>2,262</u>	67 <u>2,238</u>	2,247	72 <u>2,250</u>	2,254	-6.65% 0.09%	1.07%
20. 21.	Total Number of Customers	<u>2,202</u> 118.117	<u>2,238</u> 117,242	<u>2,247</u> 116.818	<u>2,250</u> 11 <u>5,461</u>	<u>2,254</u> 113,962	0.09%	0.75%
22.	Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh)							
23.	Residential	1,547	1,512	1,525	1,502	1,430	1.99%	2.31%
24.	Commercial	807	785	771	773	743	2.09%	2.80%
25.	Industrial	1,755	1,745	1,747	1,786	1,613	2.13%	0.57%
26.	Other	148	145	148	152	149	-0.17%	2.07%
27.	Total Sales	4.257	4.187	4.191	4.213	3.935	1,99%	1.67%
28.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	5.35%	5.37%	7.22%	9.81%	9.31%	-12.93%	-0.37%
29.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	5.03%	5.00%	8.85%	14.53%	12.95%	-21.06%	0.60%
30.	Common Equity Ratio	52.28%	52.19%	48.55%	47.78%	48.63%	1.83%	0.17%
31.	Debt Ratio	41.78%	41.76%	45.25%	45.75%	46.08%	-2.42%	0.05%
32.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	3.13	3.49	3.69	5.78	5.51	-13.18%	-10.32%

33. LAST RATE CASE (Docket No. E-22, Sub 412) Authorized Returns: Common Equity - N/A, Overall - N/A; Equity Ratio: N/A; Date of Order: 03-18-05

<sup>[1]</sup> North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 5% of total company electric utility revenue.

<sup>[2]</sup> Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

<sup>[3]</sup> Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.

<sup>[4]</sup> N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

## PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Jurisdiction (Amounts in Thousands)

			12 Months Ended			nnual vth Rate
_ine		March	March	March	Two	Current
No.	Item	2008	2007	2006	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1.	Operating Revenue:					
2.	Residential	\$515,597	\$518,767	\$575, <del>6</del> 75	-5.36%	
3.	Commercial	295,089	289,144	338,632	-6.65%	
4.	Industrial	110,598	96,764	130,815	-8.05%	
5.	Public Authorities	398	391	435	-4.35%	
6.	Resale	5	9	7,557	-97.43%	
7.	Other	<u>88,528</u>	<u>81,807</u>	<u>82,268</u>	<u>3.73%</u>	
8.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>1,010,215</u>	<u>986,882</u>	<u>1,135,382</u>	<u>-5.67%</u>	2.36
9.	Cost of Gas	647,810	<u>620,306</u>	<u>783.828</u>	-9.09%	4.43
10.	Margin	362,405	366,576	351,554	1.53%	-1.14
11.	O & M Expenses	151,426	149,394	147,236	1.41%	1.36
2.	Other Deductions	112,283	114,739	<u>111,126</u>	0.52%	<u>-2.14</u>
3.	Operating Income	<u>\$98.696</u>	\$102.443	<u>\$93.192</u>	2.91%	<u>-3.66</u>
14.	Net Plant Investment	<u>\$1,455.604</u>	\$1,396,253	\$1.306.456	5.55%	4.25
15.	Operating Exp. as a % of Margin	41.78%	40.75%	41.88%	-0.12%	2.53
16.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Margin	\$4.02	\$3.81	\$3.72	3.95%	5.51
			•			
17.	Gas Delivered in DTs (000s omitted):					
8.	Residential	33,429	33,746	33,538	-0.16%	
9.	Commercial	23,325	23,683	23,068	0.56%	
20.	Industrial	11,115	9,700	11,142	-0.12%	14.5
21.	Public Authorities	30	30	30	0.00%	0.0
22.	Resale	0	0	712	N/A	
23.	Other	<u>91,117</u>	<u>83,416</u>	<u>74,477</u>	<u>10.61%</u>	9.2
24.	Total DTs	<u>159,016</u>	<u>150.575</u>	<u>142.967</u>	<u>5.46%</u>	5.6
25.	Number of Customers (000s included);					
6.	Residential	600,257	587,477	571,321	2.50%	2.1
27.	Commercial	64,541	64,169	63,637	0.71%	0.58
8.	Industrial	1,757	1,759	1,793	-1.01%	-0.1
9,	Public Authorities	571	571	571	0.00%	0.0
10.	Resale	0	1	2	N/A	
31.	Other	<u>545</u>	<u>555</u>	<u>576</u>	<u>-2.73%</u>	<u>-1.80</u>
2.	Total Number of Customers	667.671	<u>654.532</u>	<u>637.900</u>	<u>2.31%</u>	2.0
3.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	8.39%	9.33%	8.89%	-2.85%	-10.08
4.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	10.44%	12.15%	11.00%	-2.58%	-14.07
5.	Common Equity Ratio	48.16%	48.97%	53.28%	-4.93%	-1,65
6.	Debt Ratio	51.84%	51.03%	46.72%	5.34%	1.59
7.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	3.49	3.90	4.20	-8.84%	-10.51

Notes: [1] North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 61% of total company gas utility revenue.

[3] Source of Data: Shareholders' reports and the NCUC GS-1 Reports.

<sup>[2]</sup> Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

<sup>[4]</sup> In its November 3, 2005 Order Approving Partial Rate Increase and Requiring Conservation Initiative, in Docket No. G-9, Sub 499, the Commission authorized the consolidation of the operations, revenues, rate bases, and expenses of North Carolina Natural Gas, Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., and Eastern North Carolina Natural Gas into a single regulated entity. Consequently, effective with the December 2005 NCUC GS-1 Report, financial and operational information for Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., North Carolina Natural Gas, and Eastern North Carolina Gas are reported as one consolidated entity under the name, Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. and consequently, comparisons to prior years are not meaningful.

<sup>[5]</sup> Piedmont informed the Commission on September 9, 2008, that certain revisions to the purchased gas costs contained in Column (c). Line 9 are necessary to reflect modifications to its methodology for extracting revenues and costs associated with secondary market transactions and to reflect corrections to its jurisdictional allocations between North Carolina and South Carolina. Such revised information will be published once it is available.

<sup>[6]</sup> N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC.

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

item (a)  revenue: Itial rotal al authorities  ting Revenue  reses ctions acome vestment  xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	March 2008 (b) \$374,338 161,591 44,265 0 3 30,567 610,764 408,012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691 41,92% \$3,58	March 2007 (c) \$344,397 156,551 26,026 0 11 37.306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	Months Ended March 2006 (d)  \$380,466 193,177 39,055 0 7 54,215 666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	March 2005 (e) \$320,720 154,334 12,706 0 10 48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892	March 2004 (f)  \$310,329 149,559 8,885 0 11 62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	Growtl Four Year (9)  4.80% 1.95% 49.40% N/A -27.73% -16.54% 3.52%  3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 4.74% 6.19%	Current Year (h)  8.69% 3.22% 70.08% N/A -72.73% -18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31%
(a) Revenue: Itial Revenue	(b) \$374,338 161,591 44,265 0 3 30.567 610.764 408,012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55.149 \$725.691	\$344,397 156,551 26,026 0 11 37,306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	\$380,466 193,177 39,055 0 7 54,215 666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	\$320,720 154,334 12,706 0 10 48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	(f) \$310,329 149,559 8,885 0 11 62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	(9)  4.80% 1.95% 49.40% N/A -27.73% -16.54% 3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 4.74%	8.69% 3.22% 70.08% N/A -72.73% -18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64%
revenue: tital rcial al authorities  ting Revenue  enses ctions acome vestment  xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	\$374,338 161,591 44,265 0 3 30,567 610,764 408,012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691	\$344,397 156,551 26,026 0 11 37,306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	\$380,466 193,177 39,055 0 7 54,215 666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	\$320,720 154,334 12,706 0 10 48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	\$310,329 149,559 8,885 0 11 62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	4.80% 1.95% 49.40% N/A -27.73% -16.54% 3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 4.74%	8.69% 3.22% 70.08% N/A -72.73% -18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31%
estment per \$ of Margin	161,591 44,265 0 3 30,567 610,764 408,012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691	156,551 26,026 0 11 37,306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	193,177 39,055 0 7 54,215 666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604	154,334 12,706 0 10 48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	149,559 8,885 0 11 62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	1.95% 49.40% N/A -27.73% -16.54% 3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 4.74%	8.69% 3.22% 70.08% N/A -72.73% -18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31% 8.93%
rcial al authorities ting Revenue enses ections accome evestment xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	161,591 44,265 0 3 30,567 610,764 408,012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691	156,551 26,026 0 11 37,306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	193,177 39,055 0 7 54,215 666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604	154,334 12,706 0 10 48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	149,559 8,885 0 11 62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	1.95% 49.40% N/A -27.73% -16.54% 3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 4.74%	3.22% 70.08% N/A -72.73% -18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31%
al suthorities ting Revenue enses etions accome evestment  xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	44,265 0 3 30.567 610.764 408.012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55.149 \$725.691	26,026 0 11 37,306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	39,055 0 7 54,215 666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	12,706 0 10 48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	8,885 0 11 62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	49.40% N/A -27.73% -16.54% 3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 4.74%	70.08% N/A -72.73% -18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64%
ting Revenue  enses ctions ncome vestment  xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	0 3 30,567 610,764 408,012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691	0 11 37,306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	0 7 54.215 666.920 488.099 178.821 79.338 57.879 \$41.604 \$617.320	0 10 48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	0 11 62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	N/A -27.73% -16.54% 3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 4.74%	N/A -72.73% -18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31%
ting Revenue enses ctions ncome vestment xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	3 30.567 610.764 408.012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55.149 \$725.691	11 37,306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	7 54,215 666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	10 48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	11 62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	-27.73% -16.54% 3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 2.37% 4.74%	-72.73% -18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31%
enses ctions ncome vestment xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	30.567 610.764 408.012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55.149 \$725.691	37,306 564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	54,215 666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	48,599 536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	62,992 531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	-16.54% 3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 2.37% 4.74%	-18.06% 8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31%
enses ctions ncome vestment xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	610.764 408.012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55.149 \$725.691	564,291 373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	666,920 488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	536,369 360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	531,776 352,577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	3.52% 3.72% 3.14% 2.72% 2.37% 4.74%	8.24% 9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31%
enses ctions ncome vestment xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	408,012 202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691	373,506 190,785 81,400 59,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	488,099 178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	360,304 176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	352.577 179,199 76,343 57,026 \$45.830 \$570.733	3.72% 3.14% 2.72% <u>2.37%</u> 4.74%	9.24% 6.27% 4.41% 4.64% 11.31%
enses ections accome evestment  xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	202,752 84,986 62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691	190,785 81,400 <u>59,839</u> <u>\$49,546</u> \$666,207	178,821 79,338 57,879 \$41,604 \$617,320	176,065 79,521 54,652 \$41,892 \$591,446	179,199 76,343 <u>57,026</u> \$45,830 \$570,733	3.14% 2.72% 2.37% 4.74%	6.27% 4.41% <u>4.64%</u> 11.31%
ections ncome vestment xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	84,986 62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691 41,92%	\$1,400 <u>59,839</u> <u>\$49,546</u> <u>\$666,207</u> 42,67%	79,338 <u>57,879</u> <u>\$41,604</u> <u>\$617,320</u>	79,521 54,652 \$41.892 \$591,446	76,343 <u>57,026</u> <u>\$45.830</u> <u>\$570.733</u>	2.72% 2.37% 4.74%	4.41% <u>4.64%</u> 11.31%
ections ncome vestment xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	62,617 \$55,149 \$725,691 41,92%	\$9,839 \$49,546 \$666,207	57.879 \$41.604 \$617.320	54.652 \$41.892 \$591.446	57,026 \$45,830 \$570,733	2.37% 4.74%	4.64% 11.31%
vestment  xp. as a % of Margin  estment per \$ of Margin	\$55.149 \$725.691 41.92%	\$49.546 \$666.207 42.67%	\$41.604 \$617.320	\$41.892 \$591.446	\$45.830 \$570.733	4.74%	11.31%
xp. as a % of Margin	\$725.691 41.92%	\$666.20 <u>7</u>	\$617.320	<u>\$591.446</u>	<u>\$570.733</u>		
xp. as a % of Margin estment per \$ of Margin	41.92%	42.67%				<u>6.19%</u>	8.93%
estment per \$ of Margin			44.37%		42 60%		
	\$3.58	£3 40		45.17%	72.0070	-0.40%	-1.76%
ad in DTs (000s amiliad)		\$3.49	\$3.45	\$3.36	\$3.18	3.01%	2.58%
ed in DTs (000s omitted):					***************************************		
tial	23,864	23,543	23,062	23,864	25,045	-1.20%	1.36%
rcial	12,632	13,047	14,169	14,242	14,555	-3.48%	-3.18%
al e	4,467	2,829	2,889	1,446	1,145	40.54%	57,90%
uthorities	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
	0	1	1	1	1	N/A	N/A
	<u> 28,959</u>	<u>29,595</u>	<u>27.465</u>	<u> 29,908</u>	<u>29,279</u>	<u>-0.27%</u>	<u>-2.15%</u>
	69.922	<u>69.015</u>	<u>67.586</u>	<u>69.461</u>	<u>70.025</u>	<u>-0.04%</u>	1.31%
Customers (000s included):							
tial	420,388	405,910	389,055	375,214	359,972	3.96%	3.57%
rcial	39,753	39,319	38,871	38,471	37,411	1.53%	1.10%
al	214	226	61	49	48	45.31%	-5.31%
uthorities	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
							0.00%
							2.10%
er of Customers	<u>460.794</u>	<u>445.885</u>	428.368	414.133	<u>397.841</u>	3.74%	3.34%
overall Rate of Return	7.92%	7.66%	7.39%	7.59%	8.63%	-2.12%	3.39%
eturn on Common Equity	9.27%	8.62%	8.08%	8.61%	11.13%	-4.47%	7.54%
uity Ratio	49.15%	49.27%	51.10%	50.48%	48.60%	0.28%	-0.24%
	50.85%	50.73%	48.90%	49.52%	51.40%	-0.27%	0.24%
	0.40	2.99	3.07	3.18	3.75	-4.19%	5.69%
,	eturn on Common Equity uity Ratio retax Interest Coverage	verall Rate of Return 7.92% eturn on Common Equity 9.27% uity Ratio 49.15% 50.85%	437 dependence         437 dependence         428 dependence           er of Customers         460.794         445.885           everal! Rate of Return         7.92%         7.66%           eturn on Common Equity         9.27%         8.62%           uity Ratio         49.15%         49.27%           50.85%         50.73%	437 428 445.885         378 428 445.885           er of Customers         460.794         445.885         428.368           everal! Rate of Return         7.92%         7.66%         7.39%           eturn on Common Equity         9.27%         8.62%         8.08%           uity Ratio         49.15%         49.27%         51.10%           50.85%         50.73%         48.90%	437 428 ar of Customers         437 460.794         445.885         428.368         378 414.133           everal! Rate of Return         7.92%         7.66%         7.39%         7.59%           eturn on Common Equity         9.27%         8.62%         8.08%         8.61%           uity Ratio         49.15%         49.27%         51.10%         50.48%           50.85%         50.73%         48.90%         49.52%	437 der of Customers         437 def of Customers         428 def of Customers         378 def of Customers         396 def of Customers         407 def of Customers           verall: Rate of Return         7.92%         7.66%         7.39%         7.59%         8.63%           eturn on Common Equity         9.27%         8.62%         8.08%         8.61%         11.13%           uity Ratio         49.15%         49.27%         51.10%         50.48%         48.60%           50.85%         50.73%         48.90%         49.52%         51.40%	437 de or of Customers         437 de de de de customers         428 de de de de de de de customers         378 de

Notes: [1] Rates are set on a total company basis.
[2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.
[3] Source of Data: Shareholders' Reports and the NCUC GS-1 Reports.
[4] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningfut.

### BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., d/b/a AT&T NORTH CAROLINA

## SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated) (Amounts In Thousands)

							Anı	nual
			12	Months Ended	t		Growt	h Rate
Line	•	December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	<u> 2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	2004	2003	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1,	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$708,589	\$750,891	\$789,411	\$846,870	\$929,148	-6.55%	-5.63%
3.	Network Access	418,990	427,154	510,471	512,073	521,277	-5.31%	-1.91%
4.	Long Distance Message	26,993	24,017	26,283	22,747	23,603	3.41%	12.39%
5.	Miscellaneous	329,795	333,385	245,987	221,007	197,878	13.62%	-1.08%
6.	Uncollectibles	(20,085)	(23,209)	<u>(17,871)</u>	(26,672)	(30,816)	<u>-10.15%</u>	<u>-13.46%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	1,464,282	1.512,238	<u>1,554,281</u>	<u>1,576,025</u>	1,641,090	<u>-2.81%</u>	<u>-3.17%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	592,277	777,295	785,634	763,606	777,313	-6.57%	-23.80%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	453,593	415,783	421,824	436,997	457,424	-0.21%	9.09%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>159,885</u>	<u>108,514</u>	<u>130,897</u>	<u>140,534</u>	<u>154,937</u>	<u>0.79%</u>	<u>47.34%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>1,205,755</u>	<u>1,301,592</u>	<u>1,338,355</u>	1.341.137	<u>1,389,674</u>	<u>-3.49%</u>	<u>-7.36%</u>
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$258.527</u>	<u>\$210.646</u>	\$215,926	\$234.888	<u>\$251.416</u>	0.70%	22.73%
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	\$1.886.528	\$2,110,865	\$2,218,785	\$2.312.145	\$2,452,004	<u>-6.34%</u>	<u>-10.63%</u>
							····	
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	40.45%	51.40%	50.55%	48.45%	47.37%	-3.87%	-21.30%
15.	Net Telecomm. Plt. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.29	\$1.40	\$1.43	\$1.47	\$1.49	-3.54%	-7.86%

Notes: [1] BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. elected price regulation in June 1996. On December 29, 2006, the merger between AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation was completed; consequently, at the holding company level, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. adopted the AT&T brand name for its products and services. While BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. will remain a corporate entity certificated in North Carolina, BellSouth will be doing business as AT&T North Carolina.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[3]</sup> Source of Data: Annual Report.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2007 - \$1,556,000; 2006 - \$5,280,000; 2005 - \$8,374,000; 2004 - \$7,630,000; and 2003 - \$5,347,000.

#### CAROLINA TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY LLC

## SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated) (Amounts in Thousands)

			Growth Rate				
December	<u>ltem</u>	December	December	December	December	Four	Current <u>Year</u>
2007		<u>2006</u>	2005	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>Year</u>	
(b)	(a)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1)	(g)	(h)
	Operating Revenue:						
\$344,580	Basic Local Service	\$358,259	\$376,281	\$397,964	\$409,309	-4.21%	-3.82%
246,659	Network Access	278,006	284,709	298,888	279,714	-3.10%	-11.28%
3,842	Long Distance Message	4,655	5,459	6,146	6,959	-13.80%	-17.47%
225,434	Miscellaneous	179,227	169,894	163,799	176,686	6.28%	25.78%
(12,025)	Uncollectibles	<u>(8,599)</u>	(11,B13)	(12,598)	(6,647)	<u>15.98%</u>	<u>39.84%</u>
808,490	Total Operating Revenue	<u>811,548</u>	<u>824,530</u>	854,199	866,021	<u>-1.70%</u>	-0.38%
431,514	Operating Expenses	444,969	445,657	459,569	489,586	-3.11%	-3.02%
119,120	Depreciation & Amortization	117,925	116,747	110,084	154,692	-6.32%	1.01%
102,982	Total Operating Taxes	<u>97,514</u>	105,954	<u>113,408</u>	<u>78,335</u>	<u>7.08%</u>	<u>5.61%</u>
653,616	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	660,408	668,358	<u>683,061</u>	722,613	<u>-2.48%</u>	<u>-1.03%</u>
<u>\$154.874</u>	Operating Income	<u>\$151,140</u>	\$156,172	<u>\$171.138</u>	<u>\$143.408</u>	<u>1.94%</u>	<u>2.47%</u>
\$855.670	Net Telecommunications Plant	\$856.00 <u>4</u>	\$846.627	<u>\$846.614</u>	\$798.533	<u>1.74%</u>	<u>-0.04%</u>
E2 270/	One For an all of Total Paragram	E4 P2n/	E 4 0 E 9/	E2 009/	50 F20/	4.420/	2 000
53.37%	Oper, Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	54.83%	54.05%	53.80%	56.53%	-1.43%	-2.66%
\$1.06	Net Telecomm. Pit. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.05	\$1,03	\$0.99	\$0.92	3.60%	0.95%
	Net Telecomm. Plt. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.06	\$1.06 \$1.05	\$1.06    \$1.05    \$1.03	\$1.06    \$1.05    \$1.03    \$0.99	\$1.06    \$1.05    \$1.03    \$0.99    \$0.92	\$1.06   \$1.05   \$1.03   \$0.99   \$0.92     3.60%

Notes: [1] Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company elected price regulation in June 1996. Effective November 15, 2007, Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company was converted into a North Carolina limited liability company named Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC.

[3] Source of Data: Annual Report.

Annual

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2007 - \$28,543,000; 2006 - \$29,368,000; 2005 - \$33,444,000; 2004 - \$35,942,000; and 2003 - \$49,620,000.

#### CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY

### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated)

(Amounts in Thousands)

							Ann	
	_		12	Months Ended	<u>i                                      </u>		Growt	h Rate
Line	_	December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(p)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<b>(f)</b>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$79,008	\$83,081	\$88,473	\$94,075	\$99,464	-5.59%	-4.90%
3.	Network Access	45,829	52,643	54,503	56,879	55,305	-4.59%	-12. <del>9</del> 4%
4.	Long Distance Message	1,302	1,892	2,020	3,099	3,425	-21.48%	-31.18%
5.	Miscellaneous	41,075	32,741	31,132	30,091	28,744	9.33%	25.45%
6.	Uncollectibles	(2,722)	<u>(1,6<b>17</b>)</u>	(2,186)	(2,218)	<u>(1,790)</u>	<u>11.05%</u>	<u>68.34%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>164,492</u>	168,740	<u>173,942</u>	<u>181,926</u>	<u>185,148</u>	<u>-2.91%</u>	<u>-2.52%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	92,476	97,759	98,066	99,372	101,946	-2.41%	-5.40%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	36,036	36,868	44,695	44,237	39,776	-2.44%	-2.26%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>13,919</u>	12,200	<u>11,887</u>	<u>12,587</u>	<u>19,889</u>	<u>-8.54%</u>	<u>14.09%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>142,431</u>	<u>146,827</u>	<u>154,648</u>	<u>156,196</u>	<u>161,611</u>	<u>-3.11%</u>	<u>-2.99%</u>
12.	Operating Income	\$22,061	\$21,913	<u>\$19.294</u>	<u>\$25.730</u>	<u>\$23.537</u>	<u>-1.61%</u>	0.68%
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	\$213 <u>.679</u>	\$226,163	\$239.649	\$264.452	\$281.727	<u>-6.68%</u>	<u>-5.52%</u>
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	56.22%	57.93%	56.38%	54.62%	55.06%	0.52%	-2.95%
14.	Oper. Exp. as a 76 or rotal Revenue	50.22%	37.9376	JO, JO 76	54.02%	33.06%	0.52%	-2.93%
15.	Net Telecomm. Plt. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.30	\$1.34	\$1.38	\$1.45	\$1.52	-3.83%	-2.99%

Notes: [1] Central Telephone Company elected price regulation in June 1996.

[3] Source of Data: Annual Report.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2007 - \$8,016,000; 2006 - \$5,240,000; 2005 - \$5,060,000; 2004 - \$6,910,000; and 2003 - \$11,493,000.

#### **CITIZENS TELEPHONE COMPANY** SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Intrastate Operations (Amounts in Thousands)

			Annual Growth Rate					
_ine		March	12 Months Ended		March	March	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	2008	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	2004	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<b>(f)</b>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$5,209	\$5,261	\$5,335	\$5,868	\$5,692	-2.19%	-0.99%
3.	Network Access	4,548	4,315	4,162	3,772	3,764	4.84%	5.40%
4. -	Long Distance Message	482	1,244	1,232	1,089	1,130	-19.18%	-61.25%
5.	Miscellaneous	1,121	1,100	1,146	1,115	1,185	-1.38%	1.91%
6. 7.	Uncollectibles Total Operating Revenue	<u>(14)</u> 11,346	( <u>11)</u> 11,909	<u>(10)</u> 11,865	<u>(14)</u> 11,830	<u>(22)</u> 11,749	<u>-10.68%</u> -0.87%	<u>27,27%</u> <u>-4,</u> 73%
٠.	Total Operating Revenue	<del></del>						
8.	Operating Expenses	6.736	6,453	5,932	5,323	5,050	7.47%	4.39%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	2,779	2,765	2,717	2,592	2,481	2.88%	0.51%
D.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>905</u>	<u>1,032</u>	<u>1,237</u>	<u>1,274</u>	<u>1,591</u>	<u>-13.16%</u>	<u>-12.31%</u>
1.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>10,420</u>	<u>10,250</u>	<u>9,886</u>	<u>9,189</u>	<u>9,122</u>	<u>3.38%</u>	<u>1.66%</u>
2.	Operating Income	<u>\$926</u>	<u>\$1.659</u>	<b>\$</b> 1.97 <u>9</u>	<u>\$2.641</u>	\$2.627	<u>-22.95%</u>	<u>-44.18%</u>
3.	Net Plant Investment	<u>\$28.403</u>	\$28.391	\$28.206	\$27.260	<u>\$26.495</u>	<u>1.75%</u>	0.04%
4.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	59.37%	54.19%	50.00%	45.00%	42.98%	8.41%	9.56%
5.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue	\$2.50	\$2.38	\$2.38	\$2.30	\$2.26	2.56%	5.04%
		<del> </del>						
16.	Total Access Lines (000s included):							
17.	Residential	16,026	16,488	16,646	16,820	16,927	-1.36%	-2.80%
18.	Business	<u>4,508</u>	4,472	<u>4,482</u>	4,393	<u>4,313</u>	<u>1.11%</u>	0.81%
19.	Total Access Lines	20.534	20.960	<u>21.128</u>	21.213	21.240	<u>-0.84%</u>	-2.03%
20.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	3.70%	6.39%	7.68%	10.57%	10.62%	-23.17%	-42.10%
21.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	3.70%	5.87%	7.43%	11.31%	11.45%	-24.60%	-36.97%
22.	Common Equity Ratio	100.00%	87.65%	76.17%	74.31%	72.35%	8.43%	14.09%
23.	Debt Ratio	0.00%	12.35%	23.83%	25.69%	27.65%	N/A	N/A
24.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	N/A	8.02	5.96	7.07	7.15	N/A	N/A

Notes: [1] North Carolina intrastate revenue, i.e., jurisdictional revenue equates to approximately 69% of total North Carolina revenue, i.e., intrastate and interstate revenue.

<sup>[2]</sup> Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.[3] Source of Data: NCUC TS-1 Reports.

<sup>[4]</sup> N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

#### **LEXCOM TELEPHONE COMPANY** SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA **Total Company Utility Operations**

(Amounts In Thousands)

			Annual Growth Rate					
Line	ı	March	March	Months Ende March	March	March	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	2008	2007	<u> 2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	2004	Year	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$10,781	\$11,735	\$12,641	\$12,570	\$12,616	-3.85%	-8.13%
3.	Network Access	5,554	5,325	5, <b>587</b>	5,374	5,153	1.89%	4.30%
4.	Long Distance Message	127	145	170	158	196	-10.28%	-12.419
5.	Miscellaneous	2,572	2,426	2,481	2,324	2,197	4.02%	6.02%
6.	Uncollectibles	<u>(430)</u>	<u>(228)</u>	<u>(237)</u>	<u>(296)</u>	<u>(209)</u>	<u>19.77%</u>	88.60%
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>18,604</u>	<u>19,403</u>	<u>20,642</u>	<u>20,130</u>	<u>19,953</u>	<u>-1.73%</u>	<u>-4.12%</u>
3.	Operating Expenses	8,996	7,497	6,661	6,913	7,448	4.83%	19.99%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	2,865	3,761	4,287	3,464	3,381	-4.06%	-23.82%
).	Total Operating Taxes	<u>2,850</u>	<u>4.529</u>	<u>5,134</u>	<u>5,870</u>	<u>5,409</u>	<u>-14.80%</u>	<u>-37.07%</u>
۱.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>14,711</u>	<u>15,787</u>	<u>16,082</u>	<u>16,247</u>	<u>16,238</u>	<u>-2.44%</u>	<u>-6.82%</u>
2.	Operating Income	<u>\$3.893</u>	\$3.616	<u>\$4.560</u>	\$3.883	<b>\$</b> 3.715	<u>1.18%</u>	<u>7.66%</u>
3.	Net Plant Investment	\$30,253	\$31.318	<u>\$34.017</u>	<u>\$35.414</u>	<u>\$36.911</u>	<u>-4.85%</u>	<u>-3.40%</u>
4.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	48.36%	38.64%	32.27%	34.34%	37.33%	6.69%	25.16%
5.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue	\$1.63	\$1.61	\$1.65	\$1.76	\$1.85	-3.12%	1.24%
17. 18.	Total Access Lines (000s included): Residential Business Total Access Lines	18,087 <u>7,687</u> 25,774	19,885 <u>8,193</u> 28,078	21,206 8,435 29,641	22,422 <u>8,653</u> 31.075	23,234 8,817 32.051	-6.07% - <u>3.37%</u> - <u>5.30%</u>	-9.04% -6.18% -8.21%
20.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	15.74%	12.77%	15.13%	12.37%	11.57%	8.00%	23.26%
21.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	15.74%	12.99%	15.28%	12.48%	11.64%	7.84%	21.17%
22.	Common Equity Ratio	100.00%	97.88%	97.93%	97.60%	97.12%	0.73%	2.17%
23.	Debt Ratio	0.00%	2.12%	2.07%	2.40%	2.88%	N/A	N/A
24.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	N/A	461.33	188.28	156.13	95.96	N/A	N/A

Notes: [1] Rates are set on a total company basis.
[2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

 <sup>[3]</sup> Source of Data: NCUC TS-1 Reports.
 [4] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

#### MEBTEL COMMUNICATIONS

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated)
(Amounts In Thousands)

							Anr	nual
			12	Months Ended	ı		Growt	h Rate
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	2007	2006	2005	<u>2004</u>	2003	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
_	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u>(f)</u>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$4,790	\$4,863	\$4,918	\$4,753	<b>\$</b> 4, <b>922</b>	-0.68%	-1.50%
3.	Network Access	6,933	6,480	5,014	3,931	3,652	17.38%	6.99%
4.	Long Distance Message	76	73	7 <b>1</b>	107	133	-13.06%	4.11%
5.	Miscellaneous	1,676	1,663	1,611	1,578	1,502	2.78%	0.78%
6.	Uncollectibles	7	<u>(4)</u>	(176)	<u>(24)</u>	<u>(69)</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>13,482</u>	<u>13,075</u>	<u>11,438</u>	<u>10,345</u>	<u>10,140</u>	7.38%	3.11%
8.	Operating Expenses	7,986	5,841	5,860	5,120	4,704	14.15%	36.72%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	3,650	3,031	2,436	1,142	1,554	23.80%	20.42%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>845</u>	<u>2,354</u>	<u>1,314</u>	<u>2,010</u>	<u>1,555</u>	<u>-14.14%</u>	<u>-64.10%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>12,481</u>	<u>11,226</u>	<u>9,610</u>	<u>8,272</u>	<u>7,813</u>	<u>12.42%</u>	<u>11.18%</u>
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$1.001</u>	\$1.849	<u>\$1.828</u>	\$2.073	<u>\$2.327</u>	<u>-19.01%</u>	<u>-45.86%</u>
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	<u>\$19.380</u>	<u>\$21.341</u>	\$22,393	\$10.646	\$10.931	<u>15.39%</u>	<u>-9.19%</u>
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	59.23%	44.67%	51.23%	49.49%	46.39%	6.30%	32.59%
15.	Net Telecomm. Pit. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.44	\$1.63	\$1.96	\$1,03	\$1.08	7.46%	-11.66%

Notes: [1] MEBTEL Communications elected price regulation in September 1999.

[3] Source of Data: Annual Report.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for North Carolina regulated operations only, including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2007 - \$821,831; 2006 - \$536,834; 2005 - \$1,052,185; 2004 - \$295,794; and 2003 - \$523,765.

<sup>[5]</sup> N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

#### NORTH STATE COMMUNICATIONS

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated)
(Amounts In Thousands)

					Annual Growth Rate			
			<u></u>	12 Months				
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current <u>Year</u>
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	<u> 2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>Year</u>	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<b>(f)</b>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$32,946	\$34,147	\$35,625	\$36,873	\$36,793	-2.72%	-3.52%
3.	Network Access	33,575	35,000	37,882	37,232	36,373	-1.98%	-4.07%
4.	Long Distance Message	904	1,021	1,354	1,444	1,828	-16.14%	-11.46%
5.	Miscellaneous	40,744	37,217	34,236	31,270	28,415	9.43%	9.48%
6.	Uncollectibles	<u>(1,555)</u>	<u>(1,110)</u>	(876)	<u>(798)</u>	<u>(592)</u>	<u>27.31%</u>	<u>40.09%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>106,614</u>	<u>106,275</u>	108,221	<u>106,021</u>	<u>102.817</u>	0.91%	<u>0.32%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	64,555	61,672	62,113	58,444	56,776	3.26%	4.67%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	17,533	15,822	21,482	20,376	19,641	-2.80%	10.81%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>10,304</u>	<u>12,762</u>	<u>10,250</u>	<u>11,260</u>	10.942	<u>-1.49%</u>	<u>-19.26%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>92,392</u>	90,256	93,845	90,080	<u>87,359</u>	<u>1.41%</u>	2.37%
12.	Operating Income	\$14.222	\$16.019	<u>\$14.376</u>	\$15.941	<u>\$15.458</u>	-2.06%	-11.22%
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	<u>\$133.116</u>	\$124.413	<u>\$114.675</u>	\$116.270	<u>\$118.507</u>	<u>2.95%</u>	7.00%
<u>_</u>								
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	60.55%	58.03%	57.39%	55.12%	55.22%	2.33%	4.34%
15.	Net Telecomm. Pit. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.25	\$1.17	\$1.06	\$1.10	\$1.15	2.11%	6.84%

Notes: [1] North State Communications elected price regulation in December 2002.

[3] Source of Data: Annual Reports.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations, including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2007 - \$6,983,609; 2006 - \$6,493,809; 2005 - \$2,851,674; 2004 - \$1,916,334; and 2003 - \$146,211.

## VERIZON SOUTH INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA th Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated

North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated)
(Amounts in Thousands)

							Anr	nuai
			12	<b>Months Ended</b>	i		Growt	h Rate
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	2005	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$107,049	\$111,919	\$118,145	\$124,187	\$127,385	-4.26%	-4.35%
3.	Network Access	104,293	105,858	112,867	119,511	123,594	-4.16%	-1.48%
4.	Long Distance Message	4,915	2,502	2,089	2,193	3,355	10.02%	96.44%
5.	Miscellaneous	33,216	33,100	29,234	37,205	41,743	-5.55%	0.35%
6.	Uncollectibles	<u>1,636</u>	<u>(3,600)</u>	<u>(4,405)</u>	<u>(2,391)</u>	<u>(5,181)</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>251,109</u>	<u>249,779</u>	<u>257,930</u>	<u>280,705</u>	<u>290,896</u>	<u>-3.61%</u>	<u>0.53%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	136,861	128,848	149,029	169,316	202,202	-9.30%	6.22%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	63,662	73,454	78,390	74,505	73,813	-3.63%	-13.33%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>17,719</u>	<u>14,799</u>	8,689	<u>(2,632)</u>	<u>(414)</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>19.73%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>218,242</u>	<u>217,101</u>	<u>236,108</u>	<u>241,189</u>	<u>275,601</u>	<u>-5.67%</u>	<u>0.53%</u>
12.	Operating Income	\$32.867	<u>\$32.678</u>	\$21.822	\$39,516	<b>\$15.295</b>	<u>21.07%</u>	<u>0.58%</u>
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	\$324.977	\$335.07 <u>5</u>	\$365.007	\$396,523	\$428.739	<u>-6.69%</u>	<u>-3.01%</u>
		····						
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	54.50%	51.58%	57.78%	60.32%	69.51%	-5.90%	5.66%
15.	Net Telecomm. Pit. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.29	\$1.34	\$1.42	\$1.41	\$1.47	-3.21%	-3.73%

Notes: [1] Verizon South, Inc. elected price regulation in June 1996.

- [3] Source of Data: Annual Report.
- [4] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.
- [5] Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2007 \$2,307,401; 2006 \$2,068,101; 2005 \$1,426,199; 2004 \$780,789; and 2003 \$1,726,474.
- [6] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

## WINDSTREAM CONCORD TELEPHONE, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated) (Amounts in Thousands)

		12 Months Ended						Annual Growth Rate	
Line <u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	December 2007	December 2006	December 2005	December 2004	December 2003	Four Year	Current <u>Year</u>	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<b>(f)</b>	(g)	(h)	
1.	Operating Revenue:								
2.	Basic Local Service	\$36,222	\$51,502	\$50,128	\$48,296	\$51,581	-8.46%	-29.67%	
3.	Network Access	42,458	30,852	31,924	29,766	30,866	8.30%	37.62%	
4.	Long Distance Message	728	2,110	2,255	2,839	3,928	-34.39%	-65.50%	
5.	Miscellaneous	11,392	12,781	11,246	12,313	9,891	3.60%	-10.87%	
6.	Uncollectibles	<u>(252)</u>	(313)	<u>(285)</u>	(222)	<u>(395)</u>	<u>-10.63%</u>	<u>-19.49%</u>	
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>90,548</u>	96,932	<u>95,268</u>	92,992	<u>95,871</u>	<u>-1.42%</u>	<u>-6.59%</u>	
8.	Operating Expenses	67,250	52,103	50,025	46,291	43,956	11.22%	29.07%	
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	19,444	22,853	19,836	22,077	22,928	-4.04%	-14.92%	
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>198</u>	<u>7,894</u>	<u>7,933</u>	<u>10,193</u>	<u>10,096</u>	<u>-62.58%</u>	<u>-97.<b>4</b>9%</u>	
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>86,892</u>	<u>82,850</u>	<u>77,794</u>	<u>78,561</u>	<u>76,980</u>	<u>3.07%</u>	<u>4.88%</u>	
12.	Operating Income	\$3.656	\$14.082	<u>\$17.474</u>	<u>\$14.431</u>	<u>\$18.891</u>	<u>-33.67%</u>	<u>-74.04%</u>	
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	<u>\$69.459</u>	\$82.404	\$80,438	\$83.70 <u>4</u>	<u>\$83.897</u>	<u>-4.61%</u>	<u>-15.71%</u>	
<u></u>		<del></del>	, <u></u>		<b></b>				
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	74.27%	53.75%	52.51%	49.78%	45.85%	12.82%	38.18%	
15.	Net Telecomm. Pit, per \$ of Revenue	\$0.77	\$0.85	\$0.84	<b>\$</b> 0.9 <b>0</b>	\$0.88	-3.28%	-9.41%	

Notes: [1] Concord Telephone Company elected price regulation in June 1997. Due to the August 31, 2007 acquisition of CT Communications, Inc., the parent company of The Concord Telephone Company, Inc., by Windstream Corporation, effective on that same date, The Concord Telephone Company, Inc. changed its name to Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[3]</sup> Source of Data: Annual Report.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant in service for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2007 - \$6,751,518; 2006 - \$7,563,825; 2005 - \$3,926,131; 2004 - \$3,557,940; and 2003 - \$1,819,772.

#### WINDSTREAM NORTH CAROLINA, LLC SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated)
(Amounts In Thousands)

							Ann	ıual
			12	<b>Months Ended</b>	1		Growt	h Rate
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	2005	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(ħ)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$66,926	\$70,624	\$73,646	\$72,927	\$73,988	-2.48%	-5.24%
3.	Network Access	62,849	65,326	64,125	60,130	58,024	2.02%	-3.79%
4.	Long Distance Message	2,555	3,971	4,024	3,894	4,036	-10,80%	-35.66%
5.	Miscellaneous	18,013	18,373	15,993	16,469	17,028	1.42%	-1.96%
6.	Uncollectibles	(1,029)	<u>(547)</u>	(1,135)	(1,242)	(1,364)	<u>-6.80%</u>	<u>88.12%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	149,314	<u>157,747</u>	<u>156,653</u>	<u>152,178</u>	<u>151,712</u>	<u>-0,40%</u>	<u>-5.35%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	63,330	68,347	59,350	60,856	61,130	0.89%	-7.34%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	36,602	23,978	32,696	31,743	30,209	4.92%	52.65%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u> 18.058</u>	<u>23,431</u>	<u>19,283</u>	<u>17,514</u>	<u>16,905</u>	<u>1.66%</u>	<u>-22.93%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>117,990</u>	<u>115,756</u>	111,329	<u>110,113</u>	<u>108,244</u>	<u>2.18%</u>	<u>1.93%</u>
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$31.324</u>	<u>\$41.991</u>	\$45.324	\$42.065	<u>\$43.468</u>	<u>-7,86%</u>	<u>-25,40%</u>
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	<u>\$244.381</u>	<u>\$253.564</u>	\$251.877	\$255.558	\$260.066	<u>-1.54%</u>	<u>-3.62%</u>
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	42.41%	43.33%	37.89%	39.99%	40.29%	1.29%	-2.12%
15.	Net Telecomm. Plt. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.64	\$1.61	\$1.61	\$1.68	\$1.71	-1.04%	1.86%

Notes: [1] ALLTEL Carolina, Inc. elected price regulation in June 1998. Due to the separation of its wireline business from its wireless business, effective July 12, 2006, ALLTEL Carolina, Inc. changed its name to Windstream North Carolina, Inc. Effective November 29, 2007, Windstream North Carolina, Inc. was converted into a North Carolina limited liability company named Windstream North Carolina, LLC.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[3]</sup> Source of Data: Annual Report.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2007 - \$14,362,281; 2006 - \$18,512,749; 2005 - \$13,189,584; 2004 - \$9,882,424; and 2003 - \$12,273,102.

#### Quarterly Review Distribution List

Chief Clerk
Renne Vanc

Renne Vance (1 copy)

Commission Staff

Bob Bennink (6 copies)
Don Hoover (12 copies)
Mac Ellis (1 copy)

Public Staff

Robert Gruber (1 copy) John Garrison (1 copy) PS Accounting (9 copies) Jeff Davis (1 copy) Tom Farmer (3 copies) Ken Rudder (1 copy) Carl Goolsby (I copy) James McLawhorn (1 copy) Antoinette Wike (2 copies)

Attorney General's Office Margaret Force

Others

Len S. Anthony Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. P.O. Box 1551, PEB 17A4 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Tom K. Austin N.C. Electric Membership Corporation P.O. Box 27306 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

David Baker North Carolina Department of Revenue Property Tax Division P.O. Box 871 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Janice Carney
ElectriCities of North Carolina
P.O. Box 29513
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

David Carpenter, Managing Director Regulatory Affairs Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. P.O. Box 33068 Charlotte, North Carolina 28233

Linda Cheatham BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina 150 Fayetteville Street Suite 800 Raleigh, North Carolina 27601

Jayne Eve, Director State Governmental Affairs Windstream Communications, Inc. P.O. Box 689 Mooresville, North Carolina 28115 Mary Lynne Grigg Womble, Carlyle, Sandridge & Rice, PLLC P.O. Box 831 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Cynthia Marshall BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina P.O. Box 30188 Charlotte, North Carolina 28230

William McAulay Public Service Company of NC, Inc. 1312 Annapolis Dr., Ste. 200 Raleigh, North Carolina 27608

Ralph McDonald Bailey & Dixon, LLP P.O. Box 1351 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-1351

Sharon Miller Carolina Utility Customers Association, Inc Trawick Professional Center, Ste. 210 1708 Trawick Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

Kevin O'Donnell Nova Energy Consultants 1350-101 SE Maynard Road Cary, North Carolina 27511

Stan Pace
Public Policy & External Affairs
Verizon South Inc.
P.O. Box 1412
Durham, North Carolina 27702

Robert F. Page Crisp, Page & Currin, L.L.P. 4010 Barrett Drive, Suite 205 Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-6622

Steve Parrott
Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC
14111 Capital Boulevard
Wake Forest, North Carolina 27587

Candace A. Paton, Lead Analyst Rates & Regulatory Affairs Public Service Company of NC, Inc. P.O. Box 1398 Gastonia, North Carolina 28053-1398

Pia K. Powers, Manager Regulatory Affairs Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. P.O. Box 33068 Charlotte, North Carolina 28233 Richard Reese, President LEXCOM Telephone Company P.O. Box 808 Lexington, North Carolina 27293-0808

Jo Anne Sanford Sanford Law Office, PLLC P.O. Box 28085 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-8085

Carol Shrum, Vice President Rates Duke Energy Corporation EC06O / PO Box 1006 Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Charles A. Stadelmeier Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power P.O. Box 26666 Richmond, Virginia 23261

Ken Stonebraker Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC P.O. Box 260 Franklin, North Carolina 28734

Kodwo Ghartey-Tagoe Lead Regulatory Counsel Duke Energy Corporation EC03T/ PO Box 1006 Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

Royster M. Tucker, Jr. North State Communications P.O. Box 2326 High Point, North Carolina 27261

Philip Vanderwoude President – North Carolina MEBTEL Communications P.O. Box 9 Mebane, North Carolina 27302

Bob Wells, Executive Director North Carolina Telephone Alliance 3737 Glenwood Avenue, Ste. 100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27612

Veronica Williams Senior Regulatory Consultant Duke Energy EC06O 526 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Todd Yaeger, Controller Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. P.O. Box 1551 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602