

NORTH CAROLINA PUBLIC STAFF UTILITIES COMMISSION

July 29, 2020

Ms. Kimberley A. Campbell, Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300

> Docket No. EMP-109, Sub 0 – Application for a Certificate of Public Re:

Convenience and Necessity to Construct a 20-MW Solar Facility in

Camden County, North Carolina

Dear Ms. Campbell:

In connection with the above-referenced docket, we transmit herewith for filing on behalf of the Public Staff the supplemental testimony of Jay B. Lucas, Utilities Engineer, Electric Division. By copy of this letter, we are forwarding a copy to all parties of record by electronic delivery.

Sincerely,

/s/ Megan Jost Staff Attorney megan.jost@psncuc.nc.gov

MJ/cla

Attachment(s)

Executive Director (919) 733-2435

> Accounting (919) 733-4279

Communications (919) 733-5610

Economic Research (919) 733-2267

Legal (919) 733-6110 Transportation (919) 733-7766

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

)

DOCKET NO. EMP-109, SUB 0

In the Matter of
Application of Camden Solar LLC for a)
Certificate of Public Convenience and)
Necessity to Construct a 20-MW Solar)
Facility in Camden County, North)
Carolina)

SUPPLEMENTAL
TESTIMONY OF
JAY B. LUCAS
PUBLIC STAFF – NORTH
CAROLINA UTILITIES
COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. EMP-109, SUB 0

Supplemental Testimony of Jay B. Lucas

On Behalf of the Public Staff

North Carolina Utilities Commission

July 29, 2020

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE
2		RECORD.
3	A.	My name is Jay B. Lucas. My business address is 430 North

5 Q. WHAT IS YOUR POSITION WITH THE PUBLIC STAFF?

Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina.

- A. I am an engineer in the Electric Division of the Public Staff
 representing the using and consuming public.
- 8 Q. WOULD YOU BRIEFLY DISCUSS YOUR EDUCATION AND
- 9 **EXPERIENCE?**

4

- 10 A. Yes. My education and experience are outlined in Appendix A of my11 supplemental testimony.
- 12 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL
- 13 **TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

- A. The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to make further recommendations to the Commission on the request for a certificate of public convenience and necessity (CPCN) filed by Camden Solar LLC (Applicant), to construct a 20-megawatt AC (MW_{AC}) solar photovoltaic (PV) merchant electric generating facility near Camden,
- 6 in Camden County, North Carolina (the Facility).

11

Specifically, my supplemental testimony responds to the Commission's Order Cancelling Expert Witness Hearing and Requiring Additional Testimony issued on June 22, 2020 (June 22, 2020 Order), and the supplemental testimony of the Applicant's

12 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF HISTORY THE APPLICATION.

witness, Whitney Rubin, filed on July 15, 2020.

13 A. On August 1, 2017, the Commission granted the Applicant a CPCN 14 for the Facility in Docket No. SP-8831, Sub 0, under Commission 15 Rule R8-64. On April 1, 2020, the Applicant applied for a CPCN to 16 operate the Facility as a merchant electric plant in Docket No. EMP-17 109, Sub 0, and included the direct testimony of witness Rubin. The Facility will connect to the existing Elizabeth City distribution line 18 19 owned by Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion 20 Energy North Carolina (DENC), which must upgrade this line from 21 13.2 kilovolts (kV) to 34.5 kV to allow interconnection of the Facility.

1	PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), also must study interconnection of				
2	the Facility to the grid since DENC is one of its members.				
3	On May 15, 2020, I filed direct testimony recommending that the				
4	Commission approve the application subject to certain conditions.				
5	Because of the increase in the number of merchant plant				
6	applications, the Commission issued its June 22, 2020 Order				
7	requiring that the Applicant and the Public Staff file additional				
8	testimony on the following items:				
9	Provide the Levelized Cost of Transmission (LCOT)				
10	information for any required transmission system upgrades or				
11	modifications.				
12	2. Provide any interconnection study received for the proposed				
13	facility. If you have not received a study, provide a date by when the				
14	study is expected to be completed.				
15	3. Are you aware of any system other than the studied system				
16	that is or will be affected by the interconnection? If yes, explain the				
17	impact and basis.				
18	4. If the Applicant proposes to sell energy and capacity from the				
19	facility to a distribution utility regulated by the Commission, provide a				
20	discussion of how the facility's output conforms to or varies from the				
21	regulated utility's most recent IRP.				

1		5. If the Applicant proposes to sell energy and capacity from the
2		facility to a distribution utility not regulated by the Commission but
3		serving retail customers in North Carolina (e.g., a co-op or muni)
4		provide a discussion of how the facility's output conforms to or varies
5		from the purchasing distribution utility's long-range resource plan.
6		6. If the Applicant proposes to sell energy and capacity from the
7		facility to a purchaser who is subject to a statutory or regulatory
8		mandate with respect to its energy sourcing (e.g., a REPS
9		requirement or Virginia's new statutory mandate for renewables)
10		explain how, if at all, the facility will assist or enable compliance with
11		that mandate. Provide any contracts that support that compliance.
12		7. Provide any PPA agreements, REC sale contracts, or
13		contracts for compensation for environmental attributes for the
14		output of the facility.
15		On July 15, 2020, the Applicant filed the supplemental testimony of
16		its witness, Whitney Rubin, addressing the items requested by the
17		June 22, 2020 Order.
18	Q.	HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY AND
19		EXHIBITS FILED BY WITNESS RUBIN?

Yes. I have reviewed the testimony and exhibits of witness Rubin.

The exhibits are identified as her Attachments A through G.

20

21

A.

PLEASE SUMMARIZE WITNESS RUBIN'S SUPPLEMENTAL 1 Q. 2 TESTIMONY. 3 Α. Witness Rubin provided additional testimony and exhibits regarding 4 interconnection of the Facility and a response to the requirements of 5 the June 22, 2020 Order. Her Attachments A through D are PJM and 6 DENC interconnection studies. The DENC queue number for the 7 Facility is NC16035, and the PJM queue number for the Facility is 8 AB2-022. The prefix for the PJM queue number, "AB2", indicates 9 which cluster study the project is a part of. Cluster studies group 10 multiple projects together so they can be studied collectively by the 11 interconnecting utility. A cluster study has the potential for projects 12 to be studied more quickly and to be interconnected with lower total 13 costs than if they had been studied separately. Projects that jointly 14 trigger Network Upgrades can be assigned a portion of the cost 15 based upon their contribution to the need for the upgrades. 16 Witness Rubin's Attachment E is the North Carolina Interconnection 17 Agreement for the Facility, and Attachment F contains minor

On page 3, of her supplemental testimony, witness Rubin describes the interconnection costs for the Facility. She states, "the Project is not eligible to receive reimbursement of any of these costs. The

modifications to this agreement. Attachment G is the Wholesale

Market Participation Agreement between PJM, DENC, and the

Applicant's representative.

18

19

20

21

22

23

1		Project does not require any Network Upgrades." Network Upgrades					
2		are defined in the North Carolina Interconnection Procedures as					
3		"Additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Utility's Transmission					
4		System required to accommodate the interconnection of the					
5		Generating Facility to the Utility's System. Network Upgrades do not					
6		include Distribution Upgrades."					
7	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR OPINION ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL					
8		TESTIMONY OF WITNESS RUBIN?					
9	A.	I believe witness Rubin has adequately answered the seven items in					
10		the Commission's Order. She has also allayed Public Staff concerns					
11		that the using and consuming public would have to pay for any					
12		Network Upgrades to address issues related to the interconnection					
13		and operation of the Facility.					
14		On page 4, lines 11 through 14, of her supplemental testimony,					
15		witness Rubin responded as follows to the Commission's question					
16		regarding the effect of the Facility on any system other than the					
17		studied system:					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24		No. Neither the System Impact Study Report, the Facilities Study Report, nor the Interconnection Agreement identify any impacts that the Project might have on an Affected System (<i>i.e.</i> , one other than Dominion). In fact, the interconnection studies do not identify any impact that the Project may have even on Dominion's transmission system.					

1		In a different docket, witness Rubin provided a copy of an affected			
2		system study report completed in December 2016 (2016 Report) by			
3		Duke Energy Progress, LLC (DEP). The 2016 Report is Attachment			
4		J to witness Rubin's supplemental testimony filed on July 9, 2020, in			
5		Docket No. EMP-108, Sub 0. The 2016 Report found that generator			
6		projects in PJM's AB2 cluster could affect DEP's portion of the Rocky			
7		Mount – Battleboro 115 kV line. DEP's estimated cost for Network			
8		Upgrades caused by the AB2 cluster is \$15,000,000.			
9		The 2016 Report lists 39 generator projects totaling approximately			
10		3,700 MW and includes three large natural gas fired plants. It is the			
11		Public Staff's understanding based on information from DEP that the			
12		projects included in the original AB2 cluster have changed, and the			
13		Network Upgrades originally identified in the 2016 Report are no			
14		longer needed to accommodate the AB2 cluster. DEP re-evaluated			
15		those Network Upgrades and made them part of its May 2020			
16		affected system study of the AC1 cluster.			
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PUBLIC STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON THE			
18		APPLICATION FOR A CPCN?			
19	A.	The Public Staff recommends that the Commission approve the			
20		application and grant the certificate, subject to the following			
21		conditions:			

1		1.	The Applicant shall construct and operate the Facility in strict
2			accordance with applicable laws and regulations, including
3			any local zoning and environmental permitting requirements;
4		3.	The CPCN shall be subject to Commission Rule
5			R8-63(e) and all orders, rules and regulations as are now or
6			may hereafter be lawfully made by the Commission;
7		4.	The Applicant shall file with the Commission in this docket a
8			progress report on the construction of the Facility on an
9			annual basis; and
10		5.	The Applicant shall file with the Commission in this docket any
11			revisions in the cost estimates for the construction of the
12			Facility or any Network Upgrades within 30 days of becoming
13			aware of such revisions.
14	Q.	DOES	S THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
15	A.	Yes, i	t does.

Jay B. Lucas

I graduated from the Virginia Military Institute in 1985, earning a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering. Afterwards, I served for four years as an engineer in the Air Force performing many civil and environmental engineering tasks. I left the Air Force in 1989 and attended the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Virginia Tech), earning a Master of Science degree in Environmental Engineering. After completing my graduate degree, I worked for an engineering consulting firm and worked for the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality in its water quality programs. Since joining the Public Staff in January 2000, I have worked on utility cost recovery, renewable energy program management, customer complaints, and other aspects of utility regulation. I am a licensed Professional Engineer in North Carolina.