

1 PLACE: Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina  
2 DATE: Tuesday, April 19, 2022  
3 TIME: 9:30 p.m. - 1:30 p.m.  
4 DOCKET NO: M-100, Sub 163  
5 BEFORE: Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell, Presiding  
6 Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland  
7 Commissioner Lyons Gray  
8 Commissioner Daniel G. Clodfelter  
9 Commissioner Kimberly W. Duffley  
10 Commissioner Jeffrey A. Hughes  
11 Commissioner Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.  
12  
13

14 IN THE MATTER OF:

15 Investigation Regarding the Ability of North  
16 Carolina's Electricity, Natural Gas, and  
17 Waste/Wastewater Systems to Operate Reliably  
18 During Extreme Cold Weather  
19

20 VOLUME 1  
21  
22  
23  
24

1 A P P E A R A N C E S:

2 FOR DOMINION ENERGY NORTH CAROLINA:

3 Mary Lynne Grigg, Esq.

4 Lauren Wood Biskie, Esq.

5  
6 FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC, AND

7 DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC:

8 Jack Jirak, Esq.

9 Jason Higginbotham, Esq.

10  
11 FOR PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.:

12 Jim Jeffries, Esq.

13  
14 FOR FRONTIER NATURAL GAS COMPANY:

15 Jim Jeffries, Esq.

16  
17 FOR PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC.:

18 Mary Lynne Grigg, Esq.

19  
20 FOR PUBLIC STAFF:

21 Lucy Edmondson, Esq.

22 John Little, Esq.

1 P R E S E N T E R S:

2 FOR DOMINION ENERGY NORTH CAROLINA:

3 Jacqueline Vitello - Director of Power Generation

4 J. Scott Gaskill - General Manager of Regulatory  
5 Affairs

6 Mike Barmer - Manager of Electric Transmission  
7 System Operations Planning

8 Wesley Walker - Senior Assistant General Counsel

9 Chris Dibble - Director of Power Generation  
10 Operations

11  
12 FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC, AND

13 DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC:

14 Sammy Roberts - General Manager, Planning and  
15 Operations Strategy

16 Joe McAllister - Managing Director of System  
17 Optimization

18  
19 FOR FRONTIER NATURAL GAS COMPANY:

20 Fred Steele - President

21 Taylor Younger - Regulatory Compliance Manager  
22  
23  
24

1 P R E S E N T E R S Contd.:

2 FOR PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.:

3 Bruce Barkley - Vice President, Rates and Gas Supply

4 Adam Long - Vice President, Gas Pipeline Operations

5 Neil Moser - Director, Gas Engineering & Asset  
6 Planning

7 Jeff Patton - Manager, Gas Pipeline Services

8 Sarah Stabley - Managing Director, Gas Supply  
9 Optimizations & Pipeline Services

10  
11 FOR PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC.:

12 Bill Raynor - Manager, Engineering Projects

13 Scott Swindler - Director, Gas Operations

14 Rose Jackson - Director, Gas Supply Services

15  
16 FOR PUBLIC STAFF:

17 Jordan Nader - Engineer, Energy Division, Natural  
18 Gas Section

19 Dustin Metz - Engineer, Energy Division, Electric  
20 Section

21 Bob Hinton - Director, Economic Research

1 CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning. Let's come  
2 to order and go on the record, please. I'm Charlotte  
3 Mitchell, Chair of the Utilities Commission. With me  
4 this morning are Commissioners Brown-Bland, Gray,  
5 Clodfelter, Duffley, Hughes, and McKissick.

6 This Technical Conference is being held this  
7 morning in Docket No. M-100, Sub 163 which is titled  
8 In the Matter of Investigation Regarding the Ability  
9 of North Carolina's Electricity, Natural Gas, Water  
10 and Wastewater systems to Operate Reliably During  
11 Extreme Cold Weather.

12 Due to the widespread outages experienced in  
13 Texas and the south-central United States during  
14 February of 2021, as well as the results of a joint  
15 inquiry into the Texas outages undertaken by the  
16 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the North  
17 American Electric Reliability Corporation, the  
18 Commission opened an investigation to consider whether  
19 North Carolina's electricity, natural gas, water and  
20 wastewater systems are prepared to operate reliably  
21 during extreme cold weather events and whether or not  
22 Commission's rules require changes in order to ensure  
23 reliable service.

24 The Commission issued an Order on

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

1 January 26, 2022 initiating the investigation. The  
2 Order made, as parties to the proceeding, the largest  
3 North Carolina's jurisdictional electric, natural gas,  
4 water and wastewater utilities and required these  
5 utilities to file responses to a series of questions  
6 related to their extreme weather preparedness by  
7 February 23rd, 2022.

8 The Order also scheduled technical  
9 conferences to be held on March 15th as well as today,  
10 April 19th -- it's April 19th, for the parties to  
11 present their responses and answer follow-up  
12 questions.

13 On March 15th, the Commission held the first  
14 Technical Conference which focused on the water and  
15 wastewater utilities. We're here today for the second  
16 Technical Conference which will focus on the  
17 Preparedness of our natural gas and electric  
18 utilities.

19 We will hear this morning from Dominion  
20 Energy North Carolina followed by Duke Energy  
21 Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress. Then Piedmont  
22 Natural Gas, then Public Service Company. And, last,  
23 we'll hear from Frontier Natural Gas Company.

24 The Public Staff, which presents the Using

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

1 and Consuming Public in matters before the Commission,  
2 will participate in this Technical Conference as well.

3 This proceeding this morning is being  
4 transcribed. The transcript will be filed in the  
5 Docket as soon as it is available, so let's just  
6 remember to treat the court reporter well.

7 Before we begin, I'd like for the parties to  
8 identify themselves for purposes of the record. We'll  
9 start with utilities.

10 MS. GRIGG: Good morning, Chair Mitchell.  
11 I'm Mary Lynne Grigg with the law firm of  
12 McGuireWoods, here on behalf of Dominion Energy North  
13 Carolina. Also here is Ms. Lauren Wood Biskie who is  
14 Senior Counsel for Dominion Energy, and Kristin  
15 Athens, also here from McGuireWoods.

16 CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning, Ms. Grigg.  
17 Let's see. Is there anyone from Duke that wants to  
18 make an appearance, at this point?

19 MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: Good morning, Chair  
20 Mitchell, Commissioners. My name is Jason  
21 Higginbotham, an attorney on behalf of the Duke Energy  
22 Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress. And also here for  
23 the Company presenting today are Sammy Roberts, a GM  
24 of Transmission Planning and Operations Strategy, and

1 Joe McCallister, Managing Director of System  
2 Optimization.

3 CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning.

4 Mr. Jeffries, I see you approaching.

5 MR. JEFFRIES: Good morning, Madam Chair.

6 I'm Jim Jeffries with the law firm of McGuireWoods.

7 I'm here today on behalf of Piedmont Natural Gas  
8 Company and also Frontier Natural Gas.

9 CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning, Mr. Jeffries.  
10 Looks like somebody got the better of you, somebody or  
11 something.

12 MR. JEFFRIES: I have some new parts.

13 CHAIR MITCHELL: Understood.

14 MS. GRIGGS: Good morning, Chair Mitchell.  
15 Again, Mary Lynne Grigg with McGuireWoods, again.  
16 Also here on behalf of Public Service Company of North  
17 Carolina, doing business as DENC.

18 CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Thank you for  
19 that clarification.

20 MS. EDMONDSON: Lucy Edmondson with the  
21 Public Staff. We appear on behalf of the Using and  
22 Consuming Public. Also appearing with me is John  
23 Little, also a Staff Attorney. And our technical  
24 staff today that will be participating: Jordan Nader,



1 Engineer with the Energy Division Natural Gas section,  
2 Dustin Metz, Engineer with the Energy Division,  
3 electric section, and Bob Hinton, Director of the  
4 Economic Research Division.

5 CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning, Ms. Edmondson  
6 and all members of the Public Staff. We have --  
7 before I turn Dominion loose this morning, there is a  
8 motion that's been filed in the Docket on behalf of  
9 Duke attorney Jason Higginbotham, motion for pro hac  
10 vice. We will allow that motion and the Order will be  
11 issued in the Docket shortly. With that, anything  
12 else before we begin?

13 (No response)

14 CHAIR MITCHELL: You may proceed.

15 MS. GRIGG: Good morning, Chair Mitchell.  
16 I'll just make introductions, if I may. Our primary  
17 presenter, on behalf of Dominion Energy North  
18 Carolina, is Jackie Vitello, Director of Power  
19 Generation. We also have Mike Barmer, who's Manager  
20 of Electric Transmission System Operations Planning,  
21 and Chris Dibble, Director of Power Generation  
22 Operations.

23 We also have here two representatives from  
24 PJM. They will not be presenters, but to the extent

1 that the Commission has questions, they are -- or the  
2 Public Staff has questions, they are available. And  
3 they are Matt LaRoque who is Senior Manager,  
4 Regulatory Affairs, and Donnie Bielak who is Senior  
5 Manager of Dispatch.

6 MS. VITELLO: Thank you, Mary Lynne. Hello.  
7 Thank you for having us here today at the Technical  
8 Conference. My name is Jackie Vitello, and I'm  
9 Director of Power Generation Regulated Operations. My  
10 group is responsible for offering our regulated fleet  
11 into the PJM market.

12 Along with me, I have Chris Dibble who's the  
13 Director of Power Generation Operations, and Mike  
14 Barmer who's the Manager of Electric Transmission  
15 System Operations and Planning.

16 We have prepared slides that summarize the  
17 questions from the January 26th Order. The reason for  
18 this investigation is to ensure that we do not have an  
19 event in North Carolina like ERCOT had in February of  
20 2021 due to the extreme cold weather events.

21 To begin, I'd like to discuss our efforts  
22 around winter preparedness since 2014. We had a major  
23 Polar Vortex in January of 2014 that I'd say was a  
24 turning point for winter prep in our area. PJM was

1 very close to not meeting their reserve requirements  
2 and called a voltage reduction action to maintain  
3 reliability.

4 Across PJM, generating units had a high  
5 overall forced outage rate which led to changes in the  
6 PJM market design as well as Dominion's own winter  
7 preparedness. Dominion Energy has invested  
8 significant resources in winterizing our power  
9 stations. This work includes heat trace maintenance,  
10 designing key equipment and doors, pre-winter  
11 insulation inspection, and building both temporary and  
12 permanent Windwall enclosures.

13 We also winterize our equipment and have  
14 sub-freezing protocols in place to maintain systems  
15 during extreme cold weather. The efforts and  
16 investment into unit winterization have been  
17 successful or by the fact that over the past several  
18 winter peak events, we have only had one incident of a  
19 unit trip due to suspected freezing. Fuel diversity  
20 and flexibility are also important in winter  
21 reliability.

22 On our system serving Virginia and North  
23 Carolina, about half of our gas fleet has dual fuel  
24 capability. For example, our Peaker plants can run on

1 oil, a valuable dual capability to ensure reliability  
2 and affordability. If natural gas is scarce or if the  
3 price is high due to demand, we can switch to oil.

4 ERCOT does not have a capacity market.  
5 Capacity markets are foreign markets which direct  
6 investments a few years ahead of when electricity  
7 needs to be delivered. As a result, there's little  
8 incentive in ERCOT for generators to secure firm fuel  
9 supply or to maintain winterization programs. PJM has  
10 a robust capacity market and Dominion participates in  
11 that market.

12 So one of our follow-up questions from  
13 Public Staff mentioned the significance of FRR, in  
14 switching to FRR. I'd like to reiterate that FRR is  
15 another way to participate in the capacity markets.  
16 Our units are still capacity resources and follow the  
17 same requirements that come with being in the capacity  
18 market. For example, they still have must-offer  
19 requirements in the day ahead and realtime markets,  
20 and we're still subject to capacity performance  
21 penalties.

22 Due to the Polar Vortex in 2014, the main  
23 PJM change to their capacity market was implementing  
24 the capacity performance penalties in 2016. This

1 incentivizes capacity resources to invest in equipment  
2 to ensure reliability during extreme cold weather  
3 events. This was proven during the cold snap of 2018.  
4 Gas generators and PJM had a forced outage rate of  
5 32.7 percent in 2014, and then that dropped to  
6 18 percent in 2018.

7 For the second question, we were asked what  
8 changes we will be making to comply with NERC's new  
9 cold weather prep standards that take effect April 1st  
10 of 2023. We're continuing to evaluate our current  
11 processes to ensure alignment and compliance with this  
12 standard. We're also looking for process improvement  
13 opportunities.

14 Along with the cold weather operations  
15 questions, we were asked how wind and solar played a  
16 role. But with the cold weather, solar generation is  
17 not impacted unless there's snow cover. And we think  
18 about the winter peak, it's going to happen at  
19 05-0600. And the sun's not going to be shining, so we  
20 aren't really relying on that Solar Generation anyway.

21 Our wind turbines are designed for -4  
22 degrees Fahrenheit. And in the last 20 years, we've  
23 recorded temperatures. The lowest was 14.6 degrees  
24 Fahrenheit, so we don't see the cold weather being

1 affected or affecting those wind turbines.

2 Finally, I'll touch on the reserves in PJM.  
3 So primary reserves are megawatts that can be achieved  
4 in 10 minutes or less. It can include both online and  
5 offline generation, so we mostly use our Bath County  
6 pump storage or hydro run-of-river or our quickstart  
7 CTs that are offline, but can be online and producing  
8 megawatts within 10 minutes. There are changes coming  
9 to the reserve market October 1st of this year, and  
10 that can be discussed at a later date.

11 Currently, Dominion Energy is a participant  
12 in the Reserve Share Agreement known as VACAR, the  
13 Virginia-Carolina Reserve Sharing Operating Agreement,  
14 which is separate from PJM and was entered into before  
15 Dominion became a part of PJM.

16 Each member's obligation is based on the  
17 ratio share of the member's peak load obligation. For  
18 the current year, Dominion's VACAR reserve requirement  
19 is 568 megawatts, which Dominion maintains at all  
20 times and is separate from the PJM reserves. You may  
21 not double-count your reserves for both systems. As  
22 the Commission is aware, Dominion Energy North  
23 Carolina provided notice earlier this year to the  
24 other VACAR members of the need to exit the existing

1 agreement. We made this decision as a result of the  
2 upcoming changes in the PJM Operating Reserve Market.

3 Moving on to Questions 3 through 6. These  
4 questions focused on the weather and Load Forecasting.  
5 Dominion Energy has two contracts with two weather  
6 vendors that provide hourly weather forecast for load  
7 modeling and planning. The forecast include  
8 temperature, cloud cover, relative humidity,  
9 precipitation, wind speed, and wind direction.

10 Aside from the weather vendors, Dominion  
11 also uses vendor load forecast models in addition to  
12 an internal model to predict load forecasts. PJM also  
13 creates their own load forecasts. The 7-day forecast  
14 model is also used to predict extreme peaks. We have  
15 an internal forecast to predict cold weather alerts  
16 for the PJM RTO and the DOM Zone.

17 For the past three winter peaks, so 2019 to  
18 2021, Dominion's dead-head load forecast was within  
19 .7 percent to the actual peak load. See the table on  
20 this slide to see how our forecasted temperatures for  
21 one day ahead and three days ahead before the actual  
22 events and how well our forecast performed.

23 All right. For the 7th and 8th question, we  
24 move into power plant performance during the winter

1 peaks. The first question was around forced outages  
2 due to frozen equipment. And like I mentioned  
3 earlier, we had one event in the past three winters  
4 that was forced out and it was a frozen component, and  
5 it lasted less than four hours. When you look at our  
6 forced outage rates compared to PJM during the winter  
7 peaks in both 2014 and 2015, we were at 11 percent,  
8 and the PJM RTO was 22 percent in 2014. And then in  
9 2015, it was 4 percent for Dominion and 12 percent for  
10 the PJM RTO.

11 Our fleet consists of about 9,500 megawatts  
12 of units that are capable of running on natural gas  
13 and about half of our gas fleet is dual fuel capable,  
14 meaning that it can run on oil instead of natural gas.  
15 Like I mentioned in the beginning, this dual fuel  
16 capability is essential to our reliability. If we  
17 were not able to secure gas, for some reason, we would  
18 switch these units to run on oil.

19 Now I'm going to turn it over Mike Barmer to  
20 discuss transmission questions.

21 MR. BARMER: Good morning. Mike Barmer.  
22 I'm the manager of System Transmission Plant --  
23 Operations Planning at Dominion Energy. There were  
24 several questions around load shed and load



1 curtailment activities and how we managed that within  
2 our company, so I thought we would start out and just  
3 kind of go through the process, just so you understand  
4 how we do this.

5 First of all, individual -- we track  
6 everything in our company by Individual Accounts, so  
7 as a -- it could be a residential customer, it could  
8 be all the way up to a gas customer. Within our  
9 customer accounting system, we have a field in there  
10 that is called a Special Condition Field, and you  
11 don't really have to -- you can see the chart.

12 You don't really have to look at all of the  
13 numbers here, but it's a segmentation of codes that we  
14 would use in that field in the customers' account that  
15 basically breaks it down by critical infrastructure,  
16 high profile or public interest-type customer, and  
17 depending on the type of customer it is in terms of  
18 commercial, environmental, public safety or whatnot.

19 So we use this matrix to identify the code  
20 that we use. And once that's attached to the  
21 customers' account, then this was actually developed  
22 more for restoration activities after major events so  
23 that we can help prioritize our restoration activities  
24 around critical customers or critical infrastructure,

1 but that same information translates down to the load  
2 shed process, load shed program.

3 One thing I'll note right now is so in our  
4 company, we've interacted with most of our customers  
5 over many years now to distinguish which ones fall in  
6 which category, so existing accounts are pretty much  
7 set. Most of those don't change a lot over years'  
8 time, but we do regularly update or check those.

9 A specific question was around natural gas  
10 pressure stations. The accounts that we have that are  
11 associated with electrically-powered compressor  
12 stations from natural gas are all served from our  
13 transmission system. Our Load Shed Program does not  
14 shed load on our transmission system. It's designed  
15 to shed load on the distribution level. So any  
16 customers who are fed from -- directly from a  
17 transmission feed aren't part of our load shedding  
18 process.

19 So what we do as a company, we take that  
20 information from those Individual Accounts that are  
21 now associated to individual distribution circuits,  
22 and we review those each summer or prior to each  
23 summer or prior to each winter load period. And what  
24 we're looking at is are there any changes or any

1 additions that have been made from the prior years'  
2 list of special condition customers, critical  
3 infrastructure. Just because they're critical  
4 infrastructure doesn't mean they get excluded from  
5 load shed.

6           We, as a company, then approach those  
7 companies that have either additional accounts that  
8 have been labeled as critical infrastructure ones that  
9 have changed. And when we talk to them, we ask  
10 questions. Do you have backup generation to serve  
11 critical process needs within your facility, because  
12 we don't know, as a company, what their criticality is  
13 and their processes. All we know is when they say  
14 this is a critical location for us, but we dig a  
15 little deeper. So we want to know if they can sustain  
16 a 15-minute outage, which our Load Shed Program  
17 rotates every 15 minutes.

18           So, in theory, it will shed your load.  
19 15 minutes later, it will shed another block of load  
20 and restore the first block. So with that process,  
21 you would only endure a 15-minute interruption. Many  
22 processes, and we've talked to many of them, we've  
23 talked to all the water treatment-type  
24 facilities -- that was another question in there. In

1 talking to them, they all indicated that they can  
2 sustain a 15-minute interruption.

3 The ones that maybe had some questions or  
4 concerns about maybe chemical using in the facility or  
5 whatnot, they have backup generation to keep those  
6 processes and controls in place during a 15-minute  
7 interruption, so we don't exclude water treatment and  
8 water pumping stations for that reason, because  
9 they've indicated that it doesn't create a major issue  
10 for them.

11 Now, we do categorize all of our customers.  
12 You can see this categorization in different groupings  
13 based off of all those factors; the condition codes  
14 that we get from the accounts, plus our discussions.  
15 And there are a group of what we call Z group  
16 customers that are excluded. Those are the ones that  
17 don't have backup generation, or even if they had  
18 backup generation and can't cover the specific needs  
19 and creates a process issue with them internally.

20 Just for reference, so about half of our  
21 customers are in the Load Shed Program. The other  
22 half are excluded, and you can see that in the chart.  
23 That's -- the chart is a company-wide number. I did  
24 put a note at the bottom just for reference here. So

1 in North Carolina, we have 122 circuits. 34 of those  
2 are in the load shed process. That percentage is  
3 lower than it is in the rest of our service territory.  
4 One of the reasons being over the past three to five  
5 years, we've had a lot of influx of distributed  
6 generation on the distribution system, and the intent  
7 of load shed is to shed load, as is sounds, not to  
8 shed generation.

9 So if we had circuits that have a net  
10 positive generation on it, we do not want to shed that  
11 because you're creating a worse problem, so we've  
12 excluded those as well. So in looking at the numbers,  
13 North Carolina has less circuits within the load  
14 rotation than the rest of our system does.

15 One thing to note too is, you know, we  
16 haven't used this in a long time. I mean, the last  
17 time we actually did a system-wide load shed was in  
18 1994, so it's been long time, and we've had a lot of  
19 cold weather since then.

20 In the way that load shed works, just for  
21 information too, is our Company's divided into three  
22 major regions: north, central, and the east region.  
23 North Carolina falls within the east region of  
24 Virginia. So when we go through a load shed process,

1 we drop a circuit in each region one at a time, so  
2 we're not dropping a complete region, we're not  
3 dropping -- unless it's a major need to drop a lot of  
4 load, we shouldn't be dropping a significant number of  
5 circuits within any one region at any one given time.  
6 But it is spread out, so it's not targeted to one  
7 region for the whole block, if you understand what  
8 that means.

9 Another question that came up was about  
10 training on our process system operators on the use of  
11 load shed. We have an annual training. All of our  
12 operators go through annual training for Load Shed  
13 Plan Execution. Part of that is we review all the  
14 components of load shed. I say load shed. It's  
15 really the Load Curtailment Plan, which involves a lot  
16 of parts. One of them is Voluntary Load Shed. One of  
17 them is Voltage Reduction. One of them is actual load  
18 shed where we initiate the load shed. So it's a  
19 fair -- it's various steps to that process from the  
20 beginning to the end.

21 The operators go through that whole process,  
22 review everything that goes on with that process so  
23 they're very familiar with it in the event they have  
24 to use it. It also includes hands-on simulation. We

1 have a simulator that we use in training. We throw  
2 scenarios at the operators, and part of that process  
3 is going through the Load Shed Program. So they get  
4 hands-on, so it actually goes through the process.  
5 They see the actual circuits operating as if it was  
6 real, but it's actually in the simulator, but they see  
7 how it looks when it would actually have to take place  
8 in realtime.

9 And then we also test our internal  
10 communications. We always want to be sure that  
11 everybody within our company is aware of what's going  
12 on because it could be happening very quickly. So  
13 they go through the internal paging e-mails and groups  
14 like that to be sure that everybody is aware of what's  
15 going on. And it's all pretext with drills so that  
16 everybody knows it's a drill, but they do see that the  
17 information coming through as we progress through from  
18 a voltage reduction all the way up to a load shed.

19 We also have bi-annual drills that we  
20 coordinate with PJM, and those are usually one-day  
21 events. So the operator, whoever -- whatever  
22 operators are on shift that specific day that they're  
23 doing the drill gets that opportunity to do that. I  
24 didn't mention, the other training, all the operators

1 go through it. Our training process is that all of  
2 our operators go through training quarterly for a  
3 week. So every quarter, they're in a training.

4 As I mentioned it before, one of those  
5 training sessions throughout the year is around load  
6 shed. And then the last question that was kind of  
7 revolved around the load shed subject was  
8 communications with customers. We have a  
9 predetermining documented process that we use for  
10 communication. It's a coordinated emergency plan that  
11 we have between us and PJM where our corporate  
12 communications groups talk to each other and  
13 coordinate things that will go out to the public.

14 And we send information out through various  
15 means: internet, popular now, social media. We  
16 actually have a group within the Company now, over the  
17 last probably three to five years, that that's kind of  
18 their sole purpose anymore is to monitor social media,  
19 provide feedback to social media, and they would also  
20 provide feedback on these issues. We also do voice  
21 and e-mail notification to -- mainly to governmental  
22 entities, usually like emergency management  
23 organizations within counties and the states, states  
24 just because it's easier to talk to those few people



1 and e-mail those few people.

2 And then, finally, we also put a blast out  
3 to all of the media. We have a media organization  
4 within our company, and they communicate to all the  
5 media outlets: TV, radio throughout our service  
6 territory to get the word out when an event is going  
7 on or when we're going through this process. And it  
8 can be anything from public appeal early on to, say,  
9 can you conserve, all the way up through load shed.

10 And those communications, if you get to that  
11 point, would be to try to conserve as much as you can,  
12 be prepared for short-term outages, because they may  
13 occur, and also have some type of safety information  
14 in there related to whatever season you're dealing  
15 with. So if you're in the wintertime, in the case  
16 we're talking about here, we're talking about winter  
17 safety tips and things to do to protect yourself and  
18 protect your home. And the first instance, the  
19 summer, would be around summer, summer-related issues  
20 that you need to be aware of.

21 So that's kind of our communications  
22 process. It's documented from every level of event.  
23 So if we -- there's three stages: We have alerts, we  
24 have warnings, and we have actions. And every one of

1 those has a documented flow as to what information  
2 gets sent out to customers and what media or what  
3 mechanisms we send that out, and that's all  
4 coordinated between our operations group, our  
5 corporate media group, and the PJM corporate media  
6 group.

7 That's all I have for that, so I'll turn it  
8 back to Jackie.

9 MS. VITELLO: Lastly, we have Questions 14  
10 through 18, and these answers were provided by PJM.  
11 So Question 14 asked if the Energy Transfer Studies  
12 that FERC and NERC recommend have been conducted. The  
13 answer is no, but instead, PJM performs a Capacity  
14 Benefit Margin verification study annually to verify  
15 the CBM value of 3,500 megawatts?

16 The next question asked to describe the  
17 transfer capability of North Carolina's transmission  
18 system. The historical transfers are 2,500 megawatt  
19 exports, and 3,200 megawatt imports. Historical  
20 transfers are an indication of economic transfers and  
21 not emergency transfers. Although not state-to-state,  
22 PJM can calculate the aggregate capability of paths  
23 covering the PJM North Carolina interface, which is  
24 about 4,890 megawatts, both imports and exports.

1           For Question 16, we described the VACAR  
2 Reserve Sharing Agreement again, but we emphasized  
3 that PJM fully covers their own reserves and does not  
4 rely on VACAR?

5           Finally, during the last three winter peaks,  
6 we did not experience any frequency drops below the  
7 allowable range, and this concludes our presentation.  
8 So are there any questions?

9           CHAIR MITCHELL: Let me check in with  
10 Commissioners to see if there are questions for  
11 Dominion. Commissioner Clodfelter.

12           COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Good morning.  
13 Thank you for that. Very efficient, but also very  
14 quick, so my note-taking may not have been as robust  
15 as it should have been, so let me go back to some  
16 things. I'm going to start you off and then my  
17 colleagues will probably have questions, and probably  
18 we'll start in reverse order. Let's go to the end.  
19 On your slide about training and communications, and I  
20 think -- Mr. Farmer, did I get it right?

21           MR. BARMER: Barmer. Barmer with a B.

22           COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Barmer. Okay.  
23 Sometimes, it's hard to hear up here. So, Mr. Barmer,  
24 I take it that in your Load Shed Plan, the sequence of

1 actions is, is as you list it on response to Question  
2 13, you go first with your voluntary reductions, then  
3 you move to voltage reduction second, and then last is  
4 your involuntary load shed. That's the sequence, and  
5 that doesn't vary across the circumstances, an  
6 occasion, the need for some conservation.

7 MR. BARMER: No. That's --

8 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's the same.  
9 That's standard.

10 MR. BARMER: That's our standard process.

11 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yeah. Okay. I'm  
12 interested from a consumer standpoint. So you  
13 indicated, generally, how you provide public  
14 information of that, but let's say I'm a consumer in  
15 an event where you've decided they were coming up on  
16 an involuntary load shed or maybe even sometimes a  
17 voltage reduction, although I doubt you're going to --  
18 going to impact the question I'm about to ask. So how  
19 do I individually learn that my 15 minutes is coming  
20 up?

21 MR. BARMER: That's a good question. We do  
22 not have a process in place that notifies people in  
23 advance of their 15-minute window of time. And  
24 depending on naturally -- depending on how many

1 megawatts you have to shed, will determine how long  
2 between the next 15-minute window of time, but we  
3 don't currently have a process in place that notifies  
4 customers to say your 15 minutes is coming up in an  
5 hour, say. We don't have that capacity.

6 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So if I need to  
7 take particular steps to manage devices, energy-using  
8 devices in my home, let's say I'm a residential  
9 consumer, because those are the folks that we're going  
10 to hear complaints from, okay?

11 MR. BARMER: Sure.

12 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So I need to  
13 manage some devices in my home to make sure they're  
14 operating properly. I don't incur any unexpected  
15 damage from, you know, sudden on and off or a voltage  
16 change. For example, if you're in voltage reduction  
17 mode, how would I know when to institute those?

18 MR. BARMER: I think, though, the key thing  
19 would be when we send out notifications that say we're  
20 getting ready to go into a load shed process --

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

22 MR. BARMER: -- that you would essentially  
23 take that, at that point, and make the assumption that  
24 I can be load shed, at any point, until that is

1 discontinued. Because as I mentioned, it could be,  
2 you know, depending on where you are on the list and  
3 how many megawatts that's required in each load shed,  
4 it can be anywhere from half hour to two or three  
5 hours between times that you may get that 15-minute  
6 interruption.

7 So if I told you the first time, then I'd  
8 have to come back at some point and tell you when the  
9 next time is. And it makes it very difficult,  
10 depending on the actual number that you're talking  
11 about, at any given time of how much you have to shed.

12 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Does the same  
13 notification situation occur for all the class --  
14 priority classifications or do you have different  
15 notifications to customers in, say, Class Y?

16 MR. BARMER: We have -- it's the same  
17 notification across the board. Now one thing I will  
18 mention is many of the customers that are those larger  
19 customers, we have a group called key accounts, and  
20 those customers are assigned key account managers, so  
21 we also communicate internally to those key account  
22 managers, and they may have internal discussions or  
23 external discussions, excuse me, with those individual  
24 customers that they handle to provide them more

1 granular information. But outside of that, all the  
2 customers would get the same information.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I was going to ask  
4 you whether that holds true specifically for gas  
5 infrastructure, but that's all -- as I think -- if I  
6 heard you correctly, all of that is -- takes from  
7 transmission and is not taking off your distribution  
8 circuit.

9 MR. BARMER: That's correct.

10 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So that's not  
11 subject to your Load Shed Program.

12 MR. BARMER: No.

13 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So any  
14 communications that were particular to the operators  
15 of the gas pipe lines or the compressor stations, that  
16 would be handled outside your Load Shed Program.

17 MR. BARMER: And those -- yes. Even though  
18 they wouldn't be in our Load Shed Program, those  
19 customers are in that key account group, so they would  
20 have a manager --

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: They would have a  
22 manager.

23 MR. BARMER: -- assigned to them, and he  
24 would get the information. Even though they aren't

1 part of that actual load shed process, they would  
2 contact them just to let them know the condition we're  
3 in. I don't think the -- the gas pipeline folks  
4 probably wouldn't change what they're doing a whole  
5 lot.

6 There may be other key accounts, other large  
7 customers who may be able to reduce their usage during  
8 that period and help the situation, and that's one of  
9 the appeals that we also use through that key account  
10 process, is to have those key account folks talk to  
11 all their accounts to see if anybody can reduce  
12 some -- anything. Every little bit helps, so they do  
13 that.

14 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: By the way, let me  
15 ask while I'm on it. The priority, circuit priority  
16 codes --

17 MR. BARMER: Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: -- what's the  
19 sequence? Is Z the highest or the lowest?

20 MR. BARMER: Z is the -- Z are the ones that  
21 are excluded, so --

22 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So of W, X, and Y,  
23 which is highest priority?

24 MR. BARMER: Those are -- W, X, Y, Z, are



1 the ones that are actually in the Shed program, and  
2 they start with the X, they go -- I mean the W, the X,  
3 and the Y, in that order.

4 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So W is highest  
5 priority?

6 MR. BARMER: W is lowest priority in terms  
7 of shed.

8 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay.

9 MR. BARMER: In terms of --

10 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's what I was  
11 really getting at. It's a reverse the sequence of  
12 letters in order to get my priority.

13 MR. BARMER: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. Got it.  
15 Back to the communications, you indicated in your  
16 presentation that you do give in your communications  
17 some information, safety information?

18 MR. BARMER: Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So I'm really  
20 curious about that. And, by the way, listen up Duke.  
21 Most of these questions I'm going to ask --  
22 Mr. Roberts, you're probably going to get -- you're  
23 going to get these questions too. So what kinds of  
24 safety information are you communicating? Is it just

1 sort of like risk type information or do you also  
2 communicate to the customer how to manage their use  
3 and consumption as well? Is that part of the safety  
4 communications?

5 MR. BARMER: Part of it is, yes, things you  
6 can do to reduce your usage, naturally, but the safety  
7 component is more about -- so if it's a winter  
8 situation, it may be -- you may have a situation where  
9 an item in there talks about generator safety.

10 If you have your own generator, you know,  
11 how you don't hook it up in the garage, be sure that  
12 you're keeping your house closed up as much as  
13 possible. Don't be opening doors, you know, and  
14 letting cold air come in during the period because  
15 you're going to lose heat. Just a variety of those  
16 kind of things, just so people know.

17 You know, most people know these things,  
18 but, you know, you may have kids and people are just  
19 like roaming in and outside of the house, and they  
20 just don't -- they're not thinking consciously because  
21 you need to have a different focus when you're in a  
22 situation where the power may go out multiple times,  
23 over a period of time.

24 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You and I may know

1 these things. You may know those things, but I don't  
2 assume that everyone does, and that's why I'm asking  
3 the question about them. Duke provided us with their  
4 materials, some examples of their communications to  
5 customers. Do you have examples, templates that you  
6 could share with us? Is that possible?

7 MR. BARMER: We can get some.

8 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I'm particularly  
9 interested in this topic of what you can communicate  
10 to customers about how they should manage things on  
11 their end. And I'll say to Duke, your materials did  
12 not include that topic, so I'm going to ask you if you  
13 got materials on that topic as well. But if you've  
14 got examples of communications that'll be useful to  
15 see.

16 MR. BARMER: Okay.

17 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I'm going to back  
18 up to -- do you have the slides in front of you?

19 MR. BARMER: I do, yes.

20 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You do. I can't  
21 remember which questions they correlate to, but I can  
22 refer you to the right slide for my question. So I'm  
23 looking now at slide 7. This may be an -- I suspect  
24 it's an operational question, so I'm not sure I fully

1 understand what you're telling me at the very last  
2 bullet point there or the arrow there. 34 of 122  
3 circuits are included in the Load Shed Plan. Let me  
4 stop with that. So does that mean the other circuits  
5 are --

6 MR. BARMER: Not -- they're excluded.

7 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Because? Because.

8 MR. BARMER: Either they are critical  
9 customers or in this case, the reason it's much lower  
10 is because there's many of those circuits have  
11 distributed generation on them, so we don't want to  
12 shed distributed -- a net positive generation.

13 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

14 MR. BARMER: So we don't want to shed those  
15 circuits because it defeats the purpose of --

16 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. So the  
17 difference there between the 34 and 122 is composed of  
18 critical accounts or critical infrastructure?

19 MR. BARMER: Right.

20 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: And the net  
21 positive circuits. So let me ask -- yeah. Sorry.

22 MR. BARMER: I wanted to put that on here  
23 because that is a little unique to North Carolina or  
24 North Carolina area. We're starting to see it more in

1 Virginia. But because of the net penetration of Solar  
2 in the State of North Carolina, we see that trend more  
3 here than across our footprint.

4 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: All right. I --  
5 that's the next question. I want to ask about that  
6 item on the slide. And I may not get the question  
7 framed very well, so you and Mr. Dibble -- is it  
8 right?

9 MR. DIBBLE: That's correct, yes.

10 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. Got it.  
11 Again, the pronunciation is quick and sometimes hard  
12 for me to write down. The two of you may need to  
13 figure out how to get my question framed the right way  
14 in order to answer it.

15 So I'm in a situation in January and I get  
16 an ice storm in eastern North Carolina in your  
17 territory. It hits your territory pretty hard, and so  
18 you tell me that a lot of the circuits in that  
19 territory are net positive because of distributed  
20 generation, but it's an overcast day for three days  
21 because for crying out loud, we're having an ice  
22 storm. You're not getting any generation off of those  
23 circuits, so how do you operate - in terms of your  
24 Load Shed Program, how does it work in that

1 environment?

2 MR. BARMER: Well, first off, when we say  
3 our Load Shed Program, when I'm talking about that  
4 program itself, it is a predetermined load shed  
5 software event, so everything is predetermined in  
6 there. And all we do -- all our operators have to do  
7 is once we are in conjunction with PJM, say there's a  
8 directive to shed 200 megawatts -- let's use a  
9 number -- all we have to do at that point in the  
10 program, the operator plugs in 200 megawatts. It goes  
11 down and sheds all the circuits to get that 200  
12 megawatts gone, so that's all pre-programmed,  
13 pre-established in the program itself.

14 Now, if we get to a situation where that  
15 doesn't fix what we need fixed, we always have the  
16 capability to trip circuits manually, if we needed to,  
17 hopefully wouldn't move to that point, but that's  
18 outside of our established load shed program itself,  
19 but we can always -- if we get into a bind in a  
20 specific area that we needed to shed load for some  
21 specific reason, outside of a system need, we have the  
22 capability to do that.

23 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yeah. Thank you.  
24 That's helpful. That is helpful. I may not be asking

1 a good question, and I guess what I'm trying to get  
2 at, does it mean that these circuits, that we have net  
3 positive distributed generation, are essentially  
4 treated the same as critical infrastructure circuits?  
5 They're exempt? They don't ever -- are they not as  
6 subjected to the Load Shed Program?

7 MR. BARMER: Currently. That's correct.

8 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So if I happen to  
9 live -- a residential customer living and connecting  
10 to one of those distribution circuits, that's good for  
11 me.

12 MR. BARMER: Definitely.

13 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Got it.

14 MR. BARMER: It's like I always equate it to  
15 you want to be on a circuit that's very reliable, be  
16 on one close to a hospital.

17 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I am. I'm a Duke  
18 customer, but I'm within a block of a hospital.  
19 You're right. I appreciate the advantage. But in  
20 effect, a lot of the customers in northeastern North  
21 Carolina are similarly situated. That's what you're  
22 telling me. That helps me. Thank you. Thank you.  
23 I'm going to move back -- I'm moving backwards, so  
24 Ms. Vitello --

1 MS. VITELLO: Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: -- I got it right?

3 MS. VITELLO: That's right.

4 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Got it. Okay. So  
5 I'm on slide 5 now. I've got several questions there.  
6 You've got about plus or minus 9,500 megawatts of  
7 natural gas capacity in the Dominion fleet and about a  
8 little more than a half of that is dual fuel  
9 capability. Is there any -- I missed a question, but  
10 let me go ahead and finish this one first. Is there  
11 any sort of movement to sort of expand the dual fuel  
12 capability on the remaining units?

13 MS. VITELLO: Yes. So that's part of our  
14 biggest risk, is the large combined cycle units that  
15 are only natural gas right now, so there are  
16 discussions about how can we make it a dual fuel unit  
17 in some way.

18 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Are all of your  
19 quickstart units that you rely on for quickstart  
20 capability, are those all dual fuel?

21 MS. VITELLO: Yes.

22 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: They all are?

23 MS. VITELLO: They all are.

24 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Do you have a



1 standard technology configuration for a quickstart  
2 unit? Is it an industrial frame CT? Is it an aero  
3 derivative CT? Is it a RICE unit, is it something  
4 else? I mean, do you have a standard quick start  
5 configuration that you prefer?

6 MS. VITELLO: Chris.

7 MR. DIBBLE: No, sir, there's no standard.  
8 It's a broad range of technologies over the years,  
9 so...

10 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Do you have a --  
11 just describing your fleet, when you look at those  
12 units that you would call on first for quickstart  
13 capability, what's the predominant type of unit that  
14 you're using?

15 MR. DIBBLE: The GE 70. It's an oil  
16 operated combustion turbine.

17 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. But it's an  
18 industrial frame type of technology?

19 MR. DIBBLE: It is. That's correct.

20 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. And do you  
21 have any other alternative technologies, aero  
22 derivatives or --

23 MR. DIBBLE: No.

24 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You do not, not

1 currently?

2 MR. DIBBLE: Not currently, no, sir.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. You have a  
4 question that was not in the presentation materials I  
5 just want to ask about. On page 8 of the written  
6 filing, the Company listed four scenarios under which  
7 your gas fire units might be subject to upstream  
8 curtailment because of upstream problems, and I'm not  
9 going to read them there. You have them in your file,  
10 and we all have them.

11 I'm just a little bit curious to find out  
12 have you experienced any of those upstream curtailment  
13 considerations that have affected your performance in  
14 extreme weather events, historically?

15 MS. VITELLO: Not during extreme weather  
16 events. So, like, I'll point out the number 3 on this  
17 page, page 8.

18 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

19 MS. VITELLO: So, for example, if you buy  
20 min load gas and you pass that nomination cycle, and  
21 you plan on sitting at min load the whole time, that's  
22 fine. But if PJM calls you up to full load, you might  
23 not have that gas available.

24 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

1 MS. VITELLO: Now, the gas day is 10 a.m. to  
2 10 a.m., so you are able to burn your -- you know, you  
3 can average your full 24 hours to meet your burn, if  
4 you need to.

5 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You have  
6 experienced that historically?

7 MS. VITELLO: So in winter peak events, we  
8 have not because in a winter peak event, we will most  
9 likely be buying all the gas that we can --

10 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay.

11 MS. VITELLO: -- up to full load of all of  
12 our gas units, so not during a winter peak event we  
13 haven't experience any of these.

14 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay.

15 CHAIR MITCHELL: So I just want to follow up  
16 on what you just said, Commissioner Clodfelter.

17 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Sure.

18 CHAIR MITCHELL: So the Company has never  
19 experienced an event -- an instance where it's never  
20 been able to purchase the maximum amount of gas it  
21 needs?

22 MS. VITELLO: That's right.

23 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

24 MS. VITELLO: So if we haven't, then --

1 like, for example, a Possum Point 6 or a Bear Garden,  
2 maybe it might be more of a cost situation where we  
3 decide to switch those units to oil, but we haven't  
4 had a situation where they said there's no gas.

5 CHAIR MITCHELL: There's no physical  
6 limitation.

7 MS. VITELLO: That's right.

8 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

9 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Good opportunity  
10 for me to backtrack and catch a question I meant to  
11 ask earlier and didn't. Back to you, Mr. Barmer.  
12 Since the events at ERCOT in the winter of last year,  
13 have you made any changes in the Load Shed Program  
14 that you've described to us specifically in response  
15 to that event. And, if so, what changes did you make?

16 MR. BARMER: Not really. We did reach out  
17 to the gas providers because that was an issue in that  
18 event. And just to see if there were any locations  
19 that we did not have identified already, and there was  
20 nothing different that we were able to find.

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay.

22 MR. BARMER: That was probably the biggest  
23 thing we did as a result of that in terms of the Load  
24 Shed Program. No changes.

1           COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you. Thanks  
2 for letting me backtrack a little bit. I'm looking at  
3 your slide number 4. And it's a question, really,  
4 just a curiosity question, is how closely do your  
5 internal forecast and what you get from PJM, how  
6 closely do they track? Do you ever see any  
7 divergence, especially as they may relate to the  
8 Dominion operating zone or territory?

9           MS. VITELLO: Yes. So we have the two  
10 external load forecasts and we have PJM's load  
11 forecast, and we have our own internal load forecast.

12          COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

13          MS. VITELLO: And periodically, we'll go  
14 through reviews to see which forecast is doing better,  
15 and we'll weight them based on which one we see is  
16 lining up closely. So, overall, like, you could  
17 expect 3 to 5 percent if you're going to compare the  
18 PJM load forecast to our internal forecast, but they  
19 all vary just based on the seasons and time.

20          COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's a range of  
21 variation, 3 percent up and 3 percent down or just 3  
22 percent across the average? Which?

23          MS. VITELLO: Across the average.

24          COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It's up and

1 down --

2 MS. VITELLO: Up or down, yeah.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Up or down one and  
4 a half percent each way?

5 MS. VITELLO: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. And so  
7 that's not really -- you haven't seen anything more  
8 significant of that in terms of your forecast?

9 MS. VITELLO: No. But if you're only  
10 looking at the peak events --

11 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

12 MS. VITELLO: -- so, like, these, it's  
13 within .7 percent, so that's much better than the  
14 average.

15 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It's even closer?

16 MS. VITELLO: Yeah. Right. But if you look  
17 at the whole spring, fall, summer, winter, you're  
18 going to be between 3 percent.

19 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I'm interested in  
20 your written materials. And, again, you covered it in  
21 your presentation. There was a significant  
22 improvement in outage performance between 2014 and  
23 2018 events, and you attribute that in the written  
24 materials to PJM's 2016 emergent -- the capacity

1 performance.

2 MS. VITELLO: That's right.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I'm sort of  
4 curious. It is significant when I look at the data.  
5 It's a significant improvement.

6 MS. VITELLO: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: And so I'm curious  
8 of what steps, specifically, did you take and did  
9 other utilities take in response to that initiative by  
10 PJM.

11 MS. VITELLO: So in terms of the --

12 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: What was the thing  
13 that produced the change?

14 MS. VITELLO: Yeah. So in 2014, if you had  
15 a one-day forced outage, it would just affect your  
16 E-40, right? It wasn't going to affect anything else,  
17 so maybe people didn't buy that expensive gas and they  
18 said I'll just take the forced outage instead. So now  
19 looking past 2016, when you get to the cold snap of  
20 2018, if you just want to take your forced outage for  
21 that one day, you are also going to potentially have  
22 this \$3,600 per megawatt hour penalty on top of it,  
23 which nobody wants that penalty. So people bought the  
24 expensive gas and ran those units to avoid the

1 penalties.

2 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So that was enough  
3 of an incentive to simply make you change the --

4 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: And winterize your  
5 equipment and make other changes to your actual --

6 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It wasn't any one  
7 single thing. It was across the board, sort of?

8 MS. VITELLO: Probably. Right.

9 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. Okay. Let  
10 me ask you a question that is not in -- perhaps it  
11 should have been in the written materials. And I  
12 apologize that it wasn't, so it's going to hit you a  
13 little bit cold.

14 MS. VITELLO: Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: But, again, Duke,  
16 listen up. I'm going to ask you the same questions.  
17 So, you know, there are a number of different used  
18 cases that have been talked about for storage  
19 solutions, especially battery storage now.

20 MS. VITELLO: Yeah.

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: But also including  
22 traditional, you know, hydro storage or pump storage,  
23 or for microgrids as potential solutions for a normal  
24 grid operations situation. Have you really explored



1 how you might deploy those solutions in the emergency  
2 context? And so talk about where you are and what  
3 your thinking is, and what your planning might look  
4 like about what you do to make the used case for  
5 battery storage or for microgrids in the extreme  
6 weather event or the extreme weather event of any  
7 kind. Yeah.

8 MS. VITELLO: So, you know, we've had Bath  
9 County pump storage for a long time now.

10 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

11 MS. VITELLO: So we're used to Bath County.  
12 The new technology would be the battery storage, which  
13 we're just now starting to get into our fleet. So,  
14 right now, I mean, we've looked into external models  
15 that'll help us predict when we need to be pumping and  
16 when we need to be generating. Regulation is a big  
17 part of battery storage.

18 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

19 MS. VITELLO: And so particular to emergency  
20 events, I don't think that we've gone that far on the  
21 battery storage yet.

22 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I'm curious about  
23 that because, again, the way you operationally manage  
24 storage, battery storage resource would be very

1 different if you're using it for ancillary services or  
2 to sort of change your profile, your load profile.

3 MS. VITELLO: That's right.

4 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: And the way you  
5 would use it operationally, if you're going to use it  
6 as a backup resource or a quickstart resource or an  
7 emergency resource, in an emergency situation.

8 MS. VITELLO: Right.

9 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So I'm a little  
10 interested in just hearing you talk about how you're  
11 exploring that topic.

12 MS. VITELLO: Right. Yeah. So, I mean,  
13 your battery storage, you can definitely -- like for  
14 Bath County, for example, if we see cold weather  
15 coming up, then you might run less in the days before  
16 that event just to fill up your pond so you're ready  
17 for the cold weather. So it would be things like  
18 making sure everything's full. Do you have anything  
19 to add?

20 MR. DIBBLE: No.

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I didn't expect to  
22 really detail the answer right now because I think  
23 it's an emerging -- it's an emerging topic. But,  
24 again, I wanted to ask about it just to sort of --

1 MS. VITELLO: You're thinking about it.

2 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: We're thinking  
3 about it and we're just interested in learning from  
4 you what you're learning about it, what you're  
5 thinking about it.

6 MS. VITELLO: Right. Right. And if you're  
7 regulating the unit, you're not regulating all 24  
8 hours, you know. You can regulate some hours and be  
9 part of the energy market for some hours. You don't  
10 have to be only regulating.

11 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let me take just a  
12 minute to see if I have anything else, and then I will  
13 turn it over to my other colleagues I think that's  
14 probably it for me.

15 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Brown-Bland.

16 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I'll come back.

17 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner McKissick.

18 COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Just a couple  
19 questions. You spoke of the dual fuel capacity, those  
20 facilities. The thing that you did not identify was  
21 what type of oil reserves you actually have on hand.  
22 So can you --

23 MS. VITELLO: So that's on the slide right  
24 here, so the days at full load, if you can see that.

1 And those are full load 24 our days. So, for example,  
2 Bear Garden has 5.2 days at full load. And,  
3 generally, when you're running on oil, one of the  
4 benefits is that you don't have to run for 24 hours,  
5 48 hours.

6 You can run for a four-hour period and shut  
7 back down. So if you're running on oil, we'll usually  
8 cycle those units more often. So that 5.2 days is  
9 actually -- you know, if you run it four hours each  
10 day, it's more like 20 days, but -- so you can see  
11 that on the slide here.

12 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: And you keep that  
13 oil available on reserve. I mean, it's not like  
14 you're --

15 MS. VITELLO: That's right.

16 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Depending upon --

17 MS. VITELLO: It's on site, yeah. We fill  
18 the tanks to 95 percent just for movement.

19 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Got it. Got it.  
20 And just another question that came to my mind. In  
21 terms of the -- put it the way you've identified the  
22 load shed priorities, once the customer has identified  
23 in a certain category, how often do they fall out of  
24 one category or into another? I mean, how often is

1       that re-evaluated?

2               MR. BARMER: We rely on the customers to  
3 keep us updated on the criticality or the change of  
4 their importance, if you will. So we don't have a  
5 regular set time we go back and update it, but we do  
6 rely on customers to provide that information to us.  
7 Now, we do reach out, and it was one of the questions  
8 in here for the water treatment.

9               We reach out to governmental entities  
10 annually for them to review and update their  
11 information. Really, the reason -- the biggest reason  
12 for that is usually, the governmental entities have  
13 a lot of different accounts; everything from fire and  
14 rescue to water treatment. So there's a lot of  
15 different departments there, so it's easy for some of  
16 those to get dropped or changes to be made. So we  
17 send something out to them on a regular basis just to  
18 provide us feedback on any changes, but we don't do  
19 that for all customers.

20              COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Okay. And is there  
21 a reason why you don't go back to other categories of  
22 customers to try to see if it's appropriate to remain  
23 in the category? I mean, I can see why the  
24 governmental entities would want to check it.

1 MR. BARMER: I would say the biggest reason  
2 is very few changes that take place once an account's  
3 established, if it's a critical account or something  
4 generally doesn't change. It could but, you know,  
5 it's not -- even the existing accounts we look at year  
6 over year, the existing account. Now, when new  
7 accounts come in, we have to evaluate those and see  
8 where they fit into this matrix. But for existing  
9 accounts, we don't see a lot of changes from  
10 year-to-year, even from the governmental ones, because  
11 once they're kind of established, there's not a lot  
12 that really changes on those accounts.

13 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: So with the  
14 governmental entities, you send out a request to ask  
15 them to re-evaluate it, and then they supplement it --

16 MR. BARMER: Exactly.

17 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: -- and see if it  
18 remains the same or --

19 MR. BARMER: Exactly. Can you verify -- you  
20 know, can you go in and verify any changes or updates  
21 that are needed for the accounts. And what they --  
22 I'm not going to say all of them do it, but look at  
23 the existing critical type accounts you have. But  
24 also any other accounts to be sure that there's none

1 that need to be in those categories that you haven't  
2 identified already.

3 We've been doing it for many years now. It  
4 was mainly for the prioritization for big restoration  
5 events so that we got critical infrastructure back on  
6 quicker. So we've been going through this process  
7 pretty heavily and over many years. And so once we  
8 see these accounts that are set up there again, we  
9 don't see a whole lot of changes once they're  
10 initially set up on the type situation.

11 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Got it. And in  
12 terms of those customers that are not subject to  
13 Curtailment, give me an example of what types of  
14 customers would fall into that category.

15 MR. BARMER: It could be a large military  
16 installation or a critical -- call it federal  
17 installation. We serve a lot of those in our  
18 territory. It could be a -- in some cases, we have  
19 large hospitals that the whole hospital is not  
20 necessarily on backup, but the critical care  
21 components of the hospital are on backup. But it's  
22 such a large facility that, you know, you don't want  
23 to -- would not want a several-hundred bed hospital  
24 being taken offline, even though they have backup for

1 the critical and emergency, and surgical wings, and  
2 things like that. So those are kind of the examples,  
3 I would say, that are excluded.

4 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Sure. And I know  
5 you stated earlier what Dominion has done overall, but  
6 are there things outside of the North Carolina  
7 territory in terms of changes that might have been  
8 made in light of the situation in Texas, other than --  
9 anything whatsoever in terms of changes, strategies,  
10 policies, perspectives about dealing with the type of  
11 crisis they experienced, or your comments -- I guess  
12 I'm trying to determine if there are things that are  
13 outside of what we've discussed today or you presented  
14 information about today that might be more generically  
15 applicable that have been things you've undertaken?

16 MR. DIBBLE: No, I don't believe so.

17 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: You don't believe  
18 so? Okay.

19 MR. DIBBLE: However, from an operations  
20 perspective, we have a pretty robust plan in place,  
21 and it's a little different climate in Virginia. We  
22 expect there to be sustained periods of freezing for  
23 longer periods of time, so our infrastructure and our  
24 procedures are built to withstand that, so it's a



1 little different situation. So, generally, not a lot  
2 of lessons learned other than the awareness of -- that  
3 we can't allow that to happen to others.

4 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: And I guess my last  
5 question would simply be if you could sit back today  
6 and think about measures that could be taken that  
7 would improve reliability, decrease the probability  
8 there'd be interruptions of service, what would they  
9 be?

10 MS. VITELLO: Fuel on the ground which we  
11 have at most of our sites.

12 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: That would be it.  
13 So you feel pretty comfortable overall?

14 MS. VITELLO: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Nothing that would  
16 be additional that you'd consider doing?

17 MS. VITELLO: No.

18 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Thank you. I don't  
19 have any further questions.

20 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.

21 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Good morning. This  
22 is for Mr. Barmer. I heard you state that the last  
23 load shed was in 1994. But if you can go to slide 8,  
24 you talk about voluntary reductions and voltage

1 reductions. What's the frequency that you implement  
2 those two activities?

3 MR. BARMER: That's actually a good  
4 question. I don't have the frequency, but we have  
5 implemented voltage reduction several times since that  
6 majority of the time, voltage reductions are very, I  
7 would say, almost invisible to customers. They don't  
8 really see that impact on their operations. The  
9 voluntary, we don't -- probably along the same lines,  
10 we've probably done it maybe -- and this is  
11 estimating. Since '94, we may have done 10 times  
12 total, both of those combined, voluntary and voltage  
13 reductions.

14 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.

15 MR. BARMER: And it's usually -- in some  
16 cases, it's been more localized, so maybe one part of  
17 our area has some issues and we had a voltage  
18 reduction, but not a system-wide type thing.

19 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.  
20 That's all my question.

21 CHAIR MITCHELL: And I want to follow up  
22 with you there before I turn to Commissioner  
23 Brown-Bland. To what extent -- I mean, is PJM calling  
24 it voltage reduction or does Dominion call it the

1 voltage reduction?

2 MR. BARMER: PJM.

3 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

4 MR. BARMER: They provide directives to us  
5 because they're a transmission operator.

6 CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Thank you.  
7 Commissioner Brown-Bland.

8 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Yes. I have a  
9 couple questions. One, I wanted to follow up on the  
10 Curtailment question with regard to the gas plants  
11 that are subject to Curtailment during the winter or  
12 the cold, extreme weather. And so as you discussed  
13 with Commissioner Clodfelter, there were the four  
14 scenarios that you put forth.

15 With regard to those, and I heard that one  
16 when you followed up with Chair Mitchell, that you  
17 hadn't ever even had to -- you hadn't fallen into  
18 either one of those. But beyond that, do you have any  
19 idea of how you write the likelihood of needing -- you  
20 know, of those occurring?

21 MS. VITELLO: I wouldn't know the  
22 likelihood, no.

23 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: It's obviously  
24 pretty low, but I didn't know like if you internally

1 ranked them or had some idea beyond that.

2 MS. VITELLO: So ranking of these four  
3 listed on the page, 1 and 2 would be last, because  
4 that would be a force majeure event on the pipeline.  
5 So 3 and 4 would be a higher rank, if you want to rank  
6 them, just because what if we don't buy that gas or  
7 what if we don't schedule it by twenty-hundred that  
8 night? Then you have until 10:00 a.m. the next day,  
9 and you might burn more or less than what you are  
10 expecting.

11 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay.

12 MS. VITELLO: So this Operational Flow  
13 Order, which we call OFOs, that's a penalty if you  
14 overburn or underburn, depending on what the OFO says.  
15 So it's a financial penalty, so I would say the order  
16 would be 3, 4, and then 1 and 2 to rank them.

17 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you. And  
18 then the last question is with regard to Dominion's  
19 supply sources. Within your supply program, are there  
20 sources that you consider to be more or less risky,  
21 and could you talk us through that?

22 MS. VITELLO: So nuclear, obviously, is the  
23 least risky, base load units. Is that what you're  
24 asking, like, what type of fuel sources and how we're

1       seen there?

2                   COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:   And with regard  
3       to your gas supply.

4                   MS. VITELLO:   With regard to gas supply.  
5       So --

6                   COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:   Sources from  
7       which you procure your gas.

8                   MS. VITELLO:   Right.   So Possum Point 6  
9       would probably be the riskiest of the gas units, but  
10      it's also dual fuel.   So if we didn't get the gas,  
11      we'd switch to oil.   Brunswick, Greenville, Bear  
12      Garden, they're all on Transco.   So if I purchased too  
13      much at Greenville, I could switch it to Brunswick or  
14      Bear or any other unit that runs on Transco.   So like  
15      my four Remington units that are quickstart CT's, say  
16      I had a Brunswick unit trip and I needed to burn the  
17      gas somewhere, I would bring on my four Remington  
18      units.

19                   Warren County, they have multiple sources of  
20      pipelines that they could use, so I would say that's  
21      less risky.   Yorktown 3, for example, it's really hard  
22      to get gas there, but they also can start up on oil,  
23      so...

24                   COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:   And with regard

1 to the suppliers from where you get that gas, I hear  
2 the different pipelines, but beyond what you've told  
3 me, is there any other consideration for having a  
4 more -- a firmer source versus you ranked them at a  
5 risk?

6 MS. VITELLO: No.

7 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank  
8 you.

9 MS. VITELLO: You're welcome.

10 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.

11 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Yeah. I'm just very  
12 curious about the trends of self-supply and backup.  
13 You say you've been following that and tracking that.  
14 Could you just say some comments about the trends? Is  
15 it something that, you know, after every -- not  
16 curtailment because you don't have those, but after  
17 every storm, you know, people flood the generator, you  
18 know, the generator stores and buying. Have you been  
19 tracking it? Is that anything anybody has ever done  
20 on a residential side to kind of know if that's how  
21 fast it's growing through a surveyor or something?  
22 But I'm more interested in your large customers. Is  
23 it getting to be unusual for someone not to have  
24 backup these days or is it --

1 MR. BARMER: Yeah. It varies by type of  
2 customer, I would say, and it kind of follows similar  
3 to what you said. Sometimes, it follows events. I  
4 know, as an example, for us, our big event was  
5 Hurricane Isabel back in 2003.

6 And immediately after that, Food Lions  
7 everywhere were putting in generators, because, you  
8 know, they went long periods of time without power.  
9 So I think it's probably more specific to whatever  
10 that customers' needs are. We do see -- I'm not going  
11 to say the trend is way up, but we do see that trend  
12 going up some in certain categories.

13 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: I'm just curious.  
14 Thank you.

15 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.

16 COMMISSIONER GRAY: So I gave up my landline  
17 a few years ago. I only worked with them with this  
18 one. The power goes out on slide 8, you show  
19 communication opportunities, all of which depend on  
20 public media. Oh, by the way, I only have a Dish. I  
21 don't have local Spectrum or Comcast. So how am I  
22 going to get to know this?

23 MR. BARMER: That's a good question.

24 COMMISSIONER GRAY: I'm getting ready to

1 retire, so I need to know.

2 MR. BARMER: I mean, I think we hit many of  
3 the actual media type outlets and communications  
4 outlets. But I will say as a company, we're always  
5 looking to further our methods of communicating with  
6 customers. And one of the things that we're trying to  
7 do is get more towards where -- the question, kind of,  
8 revolved around the original question that  
9 Commissioner Clodfelter brought up about how do we  
10 communicate when that outage is going to occur,  
11 because that is kind of an event.

12 We would like to get to the point where we  
13 can set something up and say hey, customer, you're  
14 going to go out in 15 minutes, but it's got to be to a  
15 medium that customer necessarily uses. And we are  
16 pushing more of our communications cellular mediums,  
17 either through texting or I would call it advanced,  
18 kind of like a voice response, kind of a pushed  
19 message out to folks, but we're not to that point  
20 quite yet.

21 COMMISSIONER GRAY: Yes, because the cell  
22 tower needs power as well.

23 MR. BARMER: And that's an internal problem  
24 that we are currently dealing with as well because we



1 use a lot of cell communications internally, and  
2 keeping that communications network up is critical to  
3 us being able to do our job as well.

4 COMMISSIONER GRAY: Urgent to take a look at  
5 it.

6 MR. BARMER: Yes.

7 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Brown-Bland.

8 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I had one more.  
9 With regard to the load shed question that you  
10 discussed with Commissioner Clodfelter regarding --  
11 you said circuits were not a part of the Load Shed  
12 Program because they had positive generation.

13 MR. BARMER: Correct.

14 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Do you know, at  
15 any point in time, which circuits is Dominion capable  
16 of knowing and which circuits have that net positive  
17 due to distribute generation?

18 MR. BARMER: Yes, we do. We have digital  
19 communications to all of our substations, so we know  
20 when the flow is positive or negative.

21 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: So, at all times,  
22 you're able to assess?

23 MR. BARMER: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank

1 you.

2 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Well, let me  
3 follow up on that because as I understood it, the  
4 structure of your program is that if you are net  
5 positive on some periodic basis, you're outside the  
6 program.

7 MR. BARMER: That's correct.

8 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Even if on the  
9 given day of that event, there's no positive flow off  
10 of that circuit.

11 MR. BARMER: That's correct.

12 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You're still  
13 exempt.

14 MR. BARMER: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. I want to  
16 be sure I understood it correctly.

17 MR. BARMER: Yeah. We can still see that.  
18 We can still see what it is realtime, but in the  
19 program itself.

20 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

21 CHAIR MITCHELL: Just a few questions for  
22 y'all. Mostly follow-up on comments already made, but  
23 I want to talk for a minute about OFO's during extreme  
24 weather. Just educate me a little bit. Are OFO's

1 common during extremely cold weather?

2 MS. VITELLO: Yes, very common. Yeah. It's  
3 a -- the pipeline will call the OFO and they'll say  
4 don't be short or don't be long.

5 CHAIR MITCHELL: So that's just a common  
6 operational --

7 MS. VITELLO: Yeah. During even non-extreme  
8 weather events, we have OFOs, and they'll say -- and  
9 they'll give a percentage too. So they'll say, don't  
10 be long by 5 percent, or don't be short by 2 percent,  
11 even.

12 CHAIR MITCHELL: So the Company is  
13 accustomed to operating subject to OFOs?

14 MS. VITELLO: Very comfortable.

15 CHAIR MITCHELL: Has it posed a -- a  
16 serious -- I mean help me understand. I can  
17 understand that it would be challenging to operate  
18 under an OFO, but problems?

19 MS. VITELLO: No problems. You know, if we  
20 trip a unit and it says don't be short of gas, then  
21 they have to scramble to figure out how to get rid of  
22 the gas, but they're so common that we're used to  
23 running with the OFOs.

24 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Increasingly common?

1 MS. VITELLO: Yes.

2 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. The fuel oil, again,  
3 this is just education for me. How often do you-all  
4 go into a situation where you have to burn fuel oil?

5 MS. VITELLO: So if PJM dispatches a unit  
6 and we've offered it on oil, we will check to see if  
7 there's gas. And if there's not, then we'll run it on  
8 oil, or check to see if we want to buy the gas. In  
9 the wintertime, it's usually an economic decision  
10 where oil is equivalent to 15 or \$20 gas. So if gas  
11 prices go to 30, we will economically make the choice  
12 to run on oil.

13 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

14 MS. VITELLO: It's usually not a -- we don't  
15 have the gas. It's more of an economic decision.

16 CHAIR MITCHELL: And so do I understand  
17 correctly, then, that the Company will burn oil at  
18 times other than extreme situations when there is a  
19 shortage of gas?

20 MS. VITELLO: That's correct.

21 CHAIR MITCHELL: It's an economic -- it  
22 could be an economic decision.

23 MS. VITELLO: That's correct, yes.

24 CHAIR MITCHELL: And so do I also

1 understand, then, that you're sort of continually  
2 cycling through oil supply so that you never have a  
3 situation where the oil is just sitting there on site?

4 MS. VITELLO: That's right. So we -- yes.  
5 We try to run oil at least once a year, make sure it  
6 can run, and then we're cycling through the oil and  
7 the demon water. Demon water's a big thing when we  
8 run on oil. We'll run out of demon water before we  
9 run out of oil.

10 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. The Company's  
11 response to Question Number 10, critical natural gas  
12 infrastructure cites and load shedding programs, I  
13 understand the Company's response there, but can you  
14 help me understand what type of critical natural gas  
15 infrastructure is tied to your transmission? What  
16 kind of equipment are we talk about?

17 MR. BARMER: Natural gas compressor  
18 stations.

19 CHAIR MITCHELL: Compressors?

20 MR. BARMER: Yes. And one thing that we  
21 have talked to those companies as well, some  
22 companies, some natural gas companies, their  
23 compressors are gas-powered, so it's like, you know,  
24 powered from their own source. But there are some

1 that are electric. The ones that we were referring to  
2 here are the ones that have electric servers that  
3 has a compressor station.

4 CHAIR MITCHELL: And are you aware -- are  
5 those located in North Carolina?

6 MR. BARMER: No, they're not.

7 CHAIR MITCHELL: All of them are in Virginia  
8 or --

9 MR. BARMER: Yes.

10 CHAIR MITCHELL: -- elsewhere. Okay. I  
11 have a follow-up on the VACAR question. So the  
12 Company's response to question number 15 was given  
13 prior to the Commission's becoming aware that Dominion  
14 intends to withdraw from the VACAR reserve sharing  
15 arrangement. Does the Company's response to question  
16 15 change in light of this development?

17 MS. VITELLO: So number 15 -- so question  
18 16.

19 CHAIR MITCHELL: Was it 16?

20 MS. VITELLO: So our --

21 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay, sorry, 16. And then  
22 I'm going to ask you about 15. So yes. Does the  
23 answer to 16 change?

24 MS. VITELLO: I would say the answer would

1 not change, only because Dominion is part of this  
2 VACAR reserve sharing agreement. They haven't used it  
3 in the last 10 years. Nothing with the reliability  
4 would change as we still have reserve requirements in  
5 PJM.

6 CHAIR MITCHELL: So when you say, "they  
7 haven't used it," you mean Dominion hasn't relied on  
8 it because Dominion is part of PJM?

9 MS. VITELLO: PJM has not relied on it.

10 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. PJM hasn't called on  
11 the other VACAR members.

12 MS. VITELLO: That's right.

13 CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Transmission  
14 capacity and the VACAR situation. So does -- let me  
15 get to my questions here. Will Dominion and PJM, to  
16 the extent that you can answer on PJM's behalf, have  
17 to change its transmission capacity available to the  
18 North Carolina -- to the PJM North Carolina interface  
19 as a result of this change, and as a result of  
20 Dominion's pulling out of VACAR?

21 MS. VITELLO: I do not think so. Do we want  
22 PJM to verify that?

23 CHAIR MITCHELL: Yes. And then I want to  
24 ask you -- so, yes, I want PJM to verify that, and

1 PJM, y'all can come on up. What about the emergency  
2 situation, because the Company's response indicates  
3 that additional transmission capacity could be  
4 available under emergency situations.

5 MS. VITELLO: The fourth -- right.

6 CHAIR MITCHELL: Does Dominion's withdrawal  
7 from VACAR implicate what might be available on an  
8 emergency basis? Is that also a PJM?

9 MS. VITELLO: Yeah, that's a PJM.

10 THE COURT: Just for the record, gentlemen,  
11 would y'all introduce yourselves, please.

12 MR. BIELAK: Yes. I'm Donnie Bielak, Senior  
13 Manager of dispatch for PJM Interconnection.

14 MR. LAROQUE: Matt LaRoque, Senior Manager  
15 of Regulatory for PJM. North Carolina's one of the my  
16 states.

17 CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning, gentlemen.  
18 Did you-all hear my question to Dominion?

19 MR. BIELAK: I heard the first question. I  
20 was walking up during the second question.

21 CHAIR MITCHELL: So, in general, help us  
22 understand -- the information that Dominion provided  
23 to us in response to questions number 15 and 16 was  
24 provided prior to the Commission's becoming aware that



1 Dominion intends to withdraw from VACAR. So with  
2 respect to transmission capacity available at the  
3 North Carolina interface, does Dominion's withdrawal  
4 from the arrangement change that transmission capacity  
5 that's available?

6 MR. BIELAK: The withdrawal of Dominion from  
7 the VACAR reserve sharing group does not change any of  
8 the transmission capability between either Virginia,  
9 North Carolina or the greater PJM control area in the  
10 Carolina region, and all of our existing capabilities  
11 to transfer energy, both north to south and south to  
12 north remain status quo.

13 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay, perfect. You  
14 answered that question. And so -- help me, just  
15 confirm for me that it would be all the same for  
16 emergency situations, that there's not going to be a  
17 reduction in transmission capacity available under  
18 emergency situations, as a result of Dominion's  
19 withdrawal from VACAR.

20 MR. BIELAK: Correct. There are no changes  
21 as far as transmission capability under emergency  
22 conditions either.

23 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you. Let me  
24 just look back through my notes. Commissioner

1 Duffley.

2 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: I have a follow-up  
3 about your comment about the economic decision to burn  
4 fuel oil versus natural gas. Can you remind me. Are  
5 there environmental restrictions on how long you can  
6 run that fuel oil?

7 MS. VITELLO: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Can you remind me  
9 what those are?

10 MS. VITELLO: So it depends on the station,  
11 but there are environmental conditions when we do run  
12 oil. So we also take that into consideration when we  
13 dispatch oil.

14 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: But, I mean, can you  
15 just give me a general range of length of time you're  
16 able to burn the fuel oil?

17 MS. VITELLO: Generally --

18 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: It's okay.

19 MR. DIBBLE: It depends on the station.  
20 Y'all have different permits.

21 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay.

22 MR. DIBBLE: So it's typically -- if you're  
23 going to run the oil for more than a few days, you're  
24 kind of hitting your limit on the CTs, on the large,

1 heavy oil units, so it's obvious they can run in a  
2 base load condition, but the small CTs those are  
3 typically -- after a couple of days, you're getting  
4 pretty close if not exhausting your emissions.

5 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And during an  
6 emergency event, how likely is it that EPA would give  
7 exemptions on those permits?

8 MR. DIBBLE: I'd rather not speak for the  
9 EPA, but none of our procedures or processes involve  
10 us wilfully violating the permit to meet a blackout  
11 condition. We'll figure out a way to not do that  
12 and -- to not violate the permit and work through that  
13 situation.

14 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.

15 MR. DIBBLE: You're welcome.

16 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Do your permit  
17 conditions include options for variations in the  
18 emission limits based upon emergency weather  
19 conditions?

20 MR. DIBBLE: For the what?

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Is that built into  
22 your permit?

23 MR. DIBBLE: For some of the black start  
24 units, that's correct. We can -- we have permit

1 limits that increase emissions so it can slowly ramp  
2 up in a blackout or in a black start condition, but  
3 not from a cold weather perspective. You know,  
4 operating in that condition, we still have the same  
5 permit to apply to as we would on a beautiful day in  
6 April.

7 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So if the unit is  
8 starting up from black start, your permit may  
9 accommodate that. But if the Governor declares a  
10 state of emergency, your permit doesn't accommodate  
11 that?

12 MR. DIBBLE: Declaring a state of emergency,  
13 no, it would not accommodate that.

14 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you for the  
15 clarification.

16 CHAIR MITCHELL: Last question for me.  
17 You-all have described the Company's coordination with  
18 its various customer groups, and what I'm hearing is  
19 it mostly -- the communication coordination, at least  
20 with the larger customers, occurs between the account  
21 manager and the customer. Is that correct? Is there  
22 any -- just in terms of communication with other  
23 utilities, such as Natural Gas utilities or a Water  
24 and Wastewater Companies, does the Company have a more

1 formalized protocol for coordinating with other  
2 utilities or do those communications occur, sort of,  
3 in the same way as with other customers?

4 MR. BARMER: They occur pretty much in the  
5 same way as other customers. Now, I will say with  
6 water facilities, we have governmental folks who  
7 interact with folks at county and state levels. And  
8 they're kind of intermediaries in many cases that they  
9 talk about issues that involve either the Company or  
10 their location, so there's probably more  
11 communications between us and the governmental  
12 entities than other general customers.

13 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you for that.  
14 Let me check in to make sure there are no additional  
15 questions for the Dominion Energy group.

16 (No response)

17 CHAIR MITCHELL: You-all may step down.  
18 Thank you very much for your comments here today.

19 MS. VITELLO: Thank you.

20 MR. BARMER: Thank you.

21 CHAIR MITCHELL: Oh. I'm sorry. Public  
22 Staff. Public Staff. I see some panicking over  
23 there. I'm sorry, guys. I'm going to turn the Public  
24 Staff loose. We're going to take a break in just a

1 few minutes for our court reporter. So Public Staff  
2 go ahead and get started, and then we'll take a break  
3 shortly.

4 MR. METZ: Good day. My name is Dustin  
5 Metz. I'm an engineer with the Public Staff. I have  
6 some general questions, and they can go to anyone on  
7 the panel. Also, I have some general questions for  
8 PJM. So from PJM's perspective, if you have anything  
9 to add on, just request that you add on, that is  
10 required.

11 The Public Staff sent some discovery  
12 questions on March 25th. The response to question one  
13 highlights forced outage rates between Dominion's  
14 fleet and PJM. Could you provide some context as to  
15 why the units outage rates differ between PJM and  
16 Dominion?

17 MR. DIBBLE: So I can't speak for PJM and  
18 what makes up the value for them. They may be able to  
19 speak that, but from our perspective, 2014, we had  
20 some large units to run outage that crossed that Polar  
21 Vortex period that we did not have in 2015. In  
22 addition, that in 2015, we had the benefit of having  
23 Warren County online for that event, so a little bit  
24 different operating perspective for us. But I don't

1 have any data on the difference in the PJM rate.

2 MR. METZ: PJM.

3 MR. BIELAK: As far as the 2014 event I  
4 believe we're speaking to?

5 MR. METZ: The 2014 or '15 event, yes.

6 MR. BIELAK: Correct. In 2014, there was a  
7 variety of reasons for the forced outage rate. I  
8 didn't come prepared with those statistics, the vast  
9 majority of which was gas availability.

10 MR. METZ: Okay. Thank you. And follow-up  
11 to that, does PJM provide any direction to PJM members  
12 or requirements for unit inspection processes, frankly  
13 someone like Dominion that needed to verify generators  
14 to be able to operate?

15 MR. BIELAK: Yes, we do a lot of outreach as  
16 far as cold weather reparations. As was previously  
17 stated, the entire PJM fleet is now capacity  
18 performance, so they are responsible for performing  
19 really at all times, specifically during strained  
20 conditions. However, while it is the responsibility  
21 of the generation owner, PJM does facilitate a lot of  
22 the required testing and checking as far as making  
23 sure that those units are fully prepared for winter  
24 operations.

1 MR. METZ: Thank you. And how often does  
2 PJM perform those inspections or verifications? Is it  
3 annually, before the winter season, before the summer  
4 season?

5 MR. BIELAK: Correct, yes. So typically  
6 speaking, it's annually, prior to the cold weather  
7 operations.

8 MR. METZ: Thank you. I have some general  
9 questions on cold weather impacts for the generation  
10 fleet. Have there been any challenges with the coal  
11 generation fleet with potentially the coal pile  
12 freezing or coal resupplies? Again, this is bridging  
13 from 2014, '15, '18, and present day.

14 MR. DIBBLE: No. So, typically, we will  
15 bolster those supplies in the late summer and fall to  
16 ensure we have adequate supplies on site. We can't  
17 control what happens in the western part of the state.  
18 Typically, the weather might be a little bit different  
19 out there, but we ensure those supplies are full going  
20 into the winter, from a cold perspective. We don't  
21 want to have to rely on some type of a weather-related  
22 issue, either at a mine or with the railroad, that are  
23 out of their control as well.

24 MR. METZ: Let's say physically now it's



1 setting on the pile and we had a freezing rain, and  
2 it's soaked in and is unable to move, do y'all make  
3 any preparations prior, start moving coal in advance  
4 or --

5 MR. DIBBLE: Yes. So we will keep coal  
6 silos or bunkers full within the power station. Some  
7 facilities have dry storage on site. But, typically,  
8 in a cold weather situation or falling weather  
9 situation, you take the first couple feet off the coal  
10 pile and that's where you're starting to get to the  
11 dry cold. Maintain, keeping it compacted when it's,  
12 you know, in a steady state. Proper drainage for the  
13 water and snow melt to run off, but, really, it's just  
14 about the proper management of that pile of assets  
15 that you have there.

16 MR. METZ: Thank you. For any of the cold  
17 weather events, have there been thermal generation  
18 plants or even the nuclear plants, has there been any  
19 freezing, let's say, the cooling tower or at the  
20 intake that have caused not necessarily unit outages  
21 but also unit derates?

22 MR. DIBBLE: For intakes, no. Those are on  
23 a -- they're on a pretty deep suction area, so we  
24 don't see a lot of free -- you know, the suction

1 area's maybe 20 or 30 feet below, so you don't see a  
2 lot of freezing there. You will see some issues with  
3 traveling screens, maybe, but those are easily  
4 rectified just through keeping them in service.

5 And cooling towers, not really. Icing of a  
6 tower is a primary concern. It's more of a structural  
7 issue, maintaining icing off the tower, so you don't  
8 have some type of weight loading that could impact the  
9 tower, but not from a generation perspective.

10 Typically, they're designed with fans that  
11 you can reverse and exhaust the heat in different  
12 directions to melt the ice, but it doesn't really  
13 affect generation. I mean, they love the cold  
14 weather, so that typically is not a problem.

15 MR. METZ: All right. Thank you. Turning  
16 back discovery that we sent y'all on March 25th,  
17 follow-up to Question Number 6, would Dominion  
18 generation assets be generally dependent on critical  
19 or critical infrastructure, accounts like Natural Gas,  
20 heat, water cooling to certain generation plants?  
21 Maybe -- restate it, if I may. I guess I'm a little  
22 confused when we're about critical accounts or  
23 critical assets. I think the word critical is  
24 potentially interchanged. If you look at a customer

1 who may be a critical customer, let's just call that a  
2 hospital. Well, generation units won't be dependent  
3 upon that hospital to keep a fuel supplier or a water  
4 supplier, et cetera. But, however, fuel supply or  
5 water supply would be identified as critical.

6 Could you delineate between critical  
7 infrastructure or critical nature?

8 MR. BARMER: I'm not exactly sure what your  
9 question is, but maybe I'm missing something there.  
10 If not --

11 MR. METZ: So in Question Number 6, it  
12 defines it as the contact list for critical  
13 infrastructure?

14 MR. BARMER: Right.

15 MR. METZ: So I guess I'm a little bit  
16 confused with what's the difference between a critical  
17 customer versus a critical infrastructure.

18 MR. BARMER: A critical -- so a critical  
19 customer is one that has been identified as having  
20 some critical function usually by the owner of  
21 whatever that account serves. Critical infrastructure  
22 is what we deem as things that we want to exclude from  
23 the Load Shed Plan. And, as I mentioned before, it  
24 could be other factors, like do they have on-site

1 generation that covers certain components of their  
2 processes that they can withstand a 15-minute  
3 interruption and not be harmed, so to speak.

4 MR. METZ: Okay. And so then the annual  
5 notification, is that applied to both critical  
6 customers and critical infrastructures or is it only  
7 the --

8 MR. BARMER: Critical customers.

9 MR. METZ: It's only the --

10 MR. BARMER: So all the critical customers.  
11 And then if there are any changes made, then we assess  
12 that to determine whether it's critical infrastructure  
13 in terms of a load shed process.

14 MR. METZ: Okay. Thank you. So going back,  
15 do you know, offhand, how many critical owners respond  
16 to the annual reminder?

17 MR. BARMER: No, I don't. I don't have any  
18 response.

19 MR. METZ: And does Dominion audit the  
20 critical owner contact information to ensure that the  
21 reminders are, indeed, making it to the active  
22 participants, whether it's telephone, e-mail?

23 MR. BARMER: Yeah. We do follow up to be  
24 sure that they got the request.

1 MR. METZ: And when you sent out the annual  
2 monitor, by what means is the reminder? Is it, what,  
3 via the key account manager, is it via telephone or is  
4 it via e-mail or --

5 MR. BARMER: It's any combination of those,  
6 depending on the customer. If their key account's  
7 signed, it'll go through them. If it's a direct  
8 contact through a governmental entity, it'll go to a  
9 contact point that we have with them.

10 MR. METZ: And on the annual reminder, when  
11 you send it out, is there just an expectation when you  
12 get the responses back, or is there something saying  
13 Dominion says we want these responses back in 30 days?

14 MR. BARMER: No. It's more or less on the  
15 customer, at that point. We allow them to provide us  
16 the updated information. So if they don't respond and  
17 provide us any updated information, we don't keep  
18 pursuing it, if that's your question.

19 MR. METZ: And so if they don't respond, do  
20 you just assume where you last left off is the status  
21 quo, or you'll say well, since you didn't respond,  
22 you're no longer critical and we're going to move you?

23 MR. BARMER: No. If they don't respond,  
24 everything stays there as it was. It's only for

1 changes to the plan.

2 MR. METZ: Okay.

3 MR. BARMER: So if it's an existing critical  
4 customer, critical infrastructure, we don't make any  
5 changes to that, so it's not that we're taking things  
6 off the list if they don't respond. Everything stays  
7 on the list. We only change things that they won't  
8 change.

9 MR. METZ: Okay. Thank you. So I'm going  
10 back to my electrician days. I used to work on, say,  
11 remote or distribution. I mean, either, say, Natural  
12 Gas or even more water specific. So you go into --  
13 you're driving down the road and you'll drive by a  
14 pit, 16 x 16 pit by 4 feet deep, and you got a bunch  
15 of water pipes that are running through it with  
16 telemetry, and it has a meter setting on it.

17 Those are more distribution-connected  
18 services for potential critical infrastructure?

19 MR. BARMER: Correct.

20 MR. METZ: Or critical customers. Would  
21 those -- walking back a little bit, when you were  
22 talking earlier saying yes, that they're transmission  
23 connected, they're clearly critical. But what about  
24 the distribution-connected circuits or distribution,

1 the components that are able to load into the system?  
2 Are those designated as critical?

3 MR. BARMER: Yeah. That's really what this  
4 chart that we have that's -- those mostly are  
5 distribution-level customers. It's not  
6 transmission-level customers.

7 MR. METZ: Okay.

8 MR. BARMER: So all that classification is  
9 basically for distribution-level customers.

10 MR. METZ: And that's still dependent upon  
11 the owner to inform you-all to say this is the  
12 function of what its serving?

13 MR. BARMER: Right. Because we don't know  
14 what the criticality of any function of our customers  
15 have. They may have something that -- it may be  
16 critical to some other component of their process or  
17 system that we don't have a clue about, so we rely on  
18 them to tell us which accounts or which items in this  
19 that we serve are critical to their processes.

20 MR. METZ: Thank you. And follow-up to  
21 Question Number 7, Dominion stated there's no  
22 reminders sent to Natural Gas providers. I want to  
23 ensure them using the correct vernacular in the context  
24 of Natural Gas providers, do you mean Natural Gas

1 providers is either an LDC or Transco?

2 MR. BARMER: Either. For us, either.

3 MR. METZ: And then the reasoning behind why  
4 no reminder is sent to those Natural Gas providers --  
5 or can you provide context to why no reminder is sent  
6 to them?

7 MR. BARMER: As I indicated earlier, that  
8 the -- once an account is originally set up and that  
9 criticality is set, especially for some type of a  
10 utility type service, usually that criticality doesn't  
11 change. But if it does change, we rely on them to  
12 tell us, hey, this has become a critical -- what was  
13 not critical before has become critical now. But we  
14 don't send a reminder to them specifically to say,  
15 hey, look at all your accounts and determine if any of  
16 these have changed to critical.

17 MR. METZ: As a late-filed exhibit, could  
18 you provide a locational map of the 34 circuits  
19 subject to load curtailment?

20 MR. BARMER: Could we provide those?

21 MR. METZ: Yes.

22 MR. BARMER: Yes.

23 MR. METZ: Thank you. And follow-up, the  
24 Company's response to Public Staff Question Number 9



1 for the 2014 event -- and some of these questions are  
2 also pulled from Duke Energy responses, so I  
3 apologize. For the 2014 event, did DEP receive 200  
4 megawatts from PJM or is that 200 megawatts sent from  
5 Dominion's allocation through the VACAR VRSG.

6 MS. GRIGG: That might be from Duke's  
7 responses.

8 MS. VITELLO: What question was that,  
9 Dustin?

10 MR. METZ: So -- and following up to  
11 Question Number 9 from Dominion's responses and  
12 looking back and correlating some information that we  
13 got from Duke, which I can go find that response, I  
14 interpret the response to be that -- and I thought I  
15 also heard earlier today that -- for the last 10  
16 years, Dominion has not been called upon for their  
17 VACAR or the VRSG a lot.

18 But when I was reviewing Duke's responses --  
19 and this might be a question better off for Duke or  
20 look more to the PJM folks to this, was in 2014, I  
21 believe it was 2014, that 200 megawatts came from PJM.  
22 So I guess I was curious just to verify, that was not  
23 Dominion's VIRSIG allotment, that was PJM's?

24 MR. BIELAK: It may have been emergency

1 power.

2 MR. METZ: It may have been emergency power?

3 MR. BIELAK: Yes.

4 MR. METZ: And not the VACAR VRSG?

5 MR. BIELAK: Correct.

6 MR. METZ: Okay. Can you explain the  
7 differences between the two and how they would  
8 classify in one and not the other, please?

9 MR. BIELAK: Yes. So as far as emergency  
10 power's concerned, that would be actual interchange  
11 observed in realtime, from the PJM balancing authority  
12 to the Duke balancing authority, as opposed to  
13 reserves which are deployed after a disturbance on the  
14 system, namely the loss of a large unit.

15 So the reserves were held and maintained by  
16 Dominion Virginia during that time frame. However, to  
17 further assist the Carolinas, PJM was able to provide  
18 that emergency energy which flowed in realtime across  
19 the ties.

20 MR. METZ: All right. Thank you. And I'll  
21 look to Duke team if I misinterpreted your responses,  
22 could you please clarify when y'all come up.

23 Mr. Hinton.

24 MR. HINTON: If the Commission will indulge

1 me, I don't have any questions. I just have a  
2 comment.

3 Ms. Vitello, when you started the  
4 conversation, you talked about a 2014 vortex event.  
5 And I want to compliment your company and PJM for  
6 doing a very well publicized study research effort,  
7 and you made some significant changes to your capacity  
8 market to make sure the power was there when it was  
9 called upon. This was -- the publicized element was  
10 well-documented on your website. This was not  
11 necessarily the case with not showing signs of Duke or  
12 particularly SCE&G.

13 At that time, I only learned about their  
14 shortage of power, I believe 300 megawatts short on  
15 January 7th, '14 to a FERC publication. Then, they  
16 nailed me to talk to the South Carolina staff and get  
17 an ex parte or -- excuse me, a communication on what  
18 actually transpired on that day and who they depended  
19 on for power and who they did not. As you know, DEP  
20 was very short on capacity and they cannot provide any  
21 emergency power. There was some provided by, I think,  
22 Duke Carolina but not Progress.

23 Anyway, the point was it was  
24 well-publicized. There was a little shedding of that.

1 There was actually 17,000 customers who were shed in  
2 the southern area of the service territory, to the  
3 Charleston area, in particular. It was not  
4 well-publicized in the newspaper. I could find no  
5 report. I guess customers felt like a line was -- had  
6 a tree on it and whatnot. Anyway, but you-all  
7 attacked this issue with -- I think with  
8 sophistication and grace, and intelligence and effort.  
9 So I compliment you, Dominion, and PJM for your  
10 efforts, and I think that served the public well.

11 MS. VITELLO: Thank you.

12 MS. EDMONDSON: I had a couple of questions,  
13 just to follow up. What is an example of a high  
14 profile customer? You mentioned that in one of your  
15 categories.

16 MR. BARMER: Well, you can see the various  
17 categories here. So prisons are high profile, not  
18 necessarily something that's excluded from any load  
19 shed. Say critical traffic signals. So if you look  
20 down through that list, you can see some of them.  
21 That's just a sampling of some of the types of things  
22 that fall within the category. It doesn't mean  
23 they're not important. It just means that they're not  
24 excluded from the load shed, because the thing to

1 remember with load shed is you're trying to shed load  
2 to save the system, so you don't want to make  
3 everything critical or everything so high profile that  
4 you don't have anything left to work with. So...

5 MS. EDMONDSON: Are cell towers in Group Z  
6 you talked with Commissioner Gray about a problem of  
7 needing cell --

8 MR. BARMER: No, they're not.

9 MS. EDMONDSON: Has that been considered as  
10 we become more and more dependent on cellular?

11 MR. BARMER: One of the problems with cell  
12 towers are they're everywhere. So there again, if you  
13 make all of those critical, you most likely would  
14 deplete your ability to shed a lot of circuits. And,  
15 as I mentioned, the intent of a load shed program is  
16 to save the system, to shed load as much as you can to  
17 save the system. So if you exclude too many things --  
18 and cell towers are a good example. Now, we do have  
19 communications with cell tower, cell owners across our  
20 territory, which I would presume happens with many  
21 utilities. And they have key sites as well that they  
22 also put backup generation in, so not every cell tower  
23 goes down. But, you know, when it does go down, if  
24 you're not near one of those that is a critical one

1 for them that has backup generation, you probably  
2 would lose your cell service after some period of  
3 time. And, also, some do have backup batteries  
4 systems in place that'll hold them up for a short  
5 period of time.

6 MS. EDMONDSON: How do you see an increase  
7 in distributed energy resources where you have more  
8 net positive circuits? How do you see that affecting  
9 your load shed program?

10 MR. BARMER: Well, as I noted, it's  
11 definitely something that has drawn our attention in  
12 the North Carolina area over the last three to five  
13 years. It complicates it a bit because now, not only  
14 are you trying to shed load, but as we talked about  
15 before, there's probably times in the day and night,  
16 and evenings, depending on when the situation is  
17 there, that we may need to do more segmentation of  
18 time such that you don't exclude them all the time,  
19 but you may be during certain times of the day.

20 I think that will evolve as time goes on.  
21 But right now, we don't have that technology in place  
22 to know everything we need to know, every instant of  
23 the day to make the changes in the program.

24 MS. EDMONDSON: And my last question was for

1 PJM. In the response to Number 14, PJM said it had  
2 not conducted energy transfer studies that were  
3 recommended in the FERC/NERC report, but you discussed  
4 the capacity benefit margin verification study as well  
5 as you've done some maximum import/export transfer  
6 capability studies. Are those a complete substitute  
7 for the recommended studies that the FERC/NERC report  
8 recommended?

9 MR. BIELAK: Unfortunately, I'm not the one  
10 at PJM to best answer that question, so I cannot say  
11 that it's a definitive, 100 percent replacement or  
12 not.

13 MS. EDMONDSON: Okay. Can you tell me any  
14 differences that you're aware of?

15 MR. BIELAK: I'm unaware of any differences  
16 in their case setup. Unfortunately, those are run by  
17 a different division within PJM.

18 MS. EDMONDSON: Okay. Thank you. I think  
19 that's all for the Public Staff.

20 CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. At this point,  
21 we will take our first break of the day. Let's go off  
22 the record, please, ma'am. We will be back on record  
23 at 11:30.

24 (Whereupon, a break was taken)

1 CHAIR MITCHELL: Let's go on the record,  
2 please. Before we get to Duke, I have two more  
3 questions for the PJM team, just continuing to explore  
4 the changes, if any, that will occur as a result of  
5 Dominion's withdrawal from the VACAR reserve sharing  
6 group. Due to Dominion's leaving VACAR, will the  
7 transmission that had been reserved for those VACAR  
8 obligations be freed up for other uses such as  
9 generator interconnection or other transmission  
10 services request?

11 MR. BIELAK: Unfortunately, I'd have to  
12 explore that and provide an answer at a later date. I  
13 don't have that answer in front of me right now.

14 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Is that -- that  
15 question is clear though?

16 MR. BIELAK: Yes. I do understand the  
17 question, yes.

18 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Sort of a related  
19 question. So we understand that the transmission  
20 system will have the same capability, but will that  
21 same capability still be available for energy  
22 transfers during emergencies? And I believe you may  
23 have already answered this question. I just want to  
24 be entirely clear.



1 MR. BIELAK: Yes. There would be no changes  
2 to the availability of energy transfer on the  
3 transmission system during regular operations or  
4 emergency operations.

5 CHAIR MITCHELL: So Dominion's withdrawal  
6 from VACAR is not going to change the possibility for  
7 emergency transfers, the potential for it?

8 MR. BIELAK: That is correct, yes.

9 CHAIR MITCHELL: Any capacity that would be  
10 available for that purpose?

11 MR. BIELAK: Yes.

12 CHAIR MITCHELL: Any additional follow-up on  
13 those questions?

14 (No response)

15 CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, guys. Y'all may  
16 take your seats. Next up, we have got Duke.  
17 Mr. McCoy, if you could. There it goes. And just for  
18 purposes of the record, gentlemen, would you please  
19 introduce yourselves.

20 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. So my name is Sammy  
21 Roberts, and I'm the General Manager of Transmission  
22 Planning and Operations Strategy.

23 MR. McALLISTER: Good morning. I'm Joe  
24 McAllister. I am the Managing Director of System

1 Optimization.

2 MR. ROBERTS: So good morning, Commissioners  
3 and Chair Mitchell. Thank you for this Technical  
4 Conference opportunity to provide more context around  
5 Duke Energy's responses to the Commission's questions  
6 concerning Docket M-100, Sub 163 concerning cold  
7 weather preparedness. This morning's presentation by  
8 Duke Energy will offer additional context concerning  
9 the five topics in 19 questions put forth to Duke  
10 Energy in the January 26th Commission Order. Duke  
11 Energy will be glad to pause at any time to answer  
12 Commission questions during the presentation so you  
13 don't need to feel like you have to reserve the  
14 question until the end.

15 The first two Commission questions in your  
16 order were related Duke Energy's lessons learned from  
17 the February 11th, 2021 ERCOT event. So I'd like to  
18 start with a brief summary of the highlights from the  
19 event, and it'll be very brief.

20 So, first, ERCOT did -- have reached about  
21 34,000 megawatts of generation unavailable for two  
22 consecutive days.

23 Second, the 23,400 megawatts of firm load  
24 shed within ERCOT, SPP, and MISO represented the

1 largest controlled firm load shed event in U.S.  
2 history.

3 Third, four and a half -- more than four and  
4 a half million people in Texas lost power, with some  
5 losing power up to four days, and some of those people  
6 losing power being subject to sub-freezing  
7 temperatures.

8 Fourth, power was cut to nursing homes  
9 Natural Gas facilities, and water pumping stations,  
10 and people were ordered to boil drinking water.

11 And, lastly, from the NERC/FERC report,  
12 there were two main causal factors. The first being  
13 generating units were severely unprepared for cold  
14 weather and failed in large numbers. And second,  
15 Natural Gas production issues to cold weather  
16 interrupted heating and gas generation.

17 So, how is Duke going to avoid these issues  
18 experienced in North -- ERCOT or how do we avoid these  
19 issues, and how are we prepared for not having the  
20 same cold weather impacts? So Duke Energy  
21 continuously learns where we pursue operational  
22 excellence. We are pursuing continuous improvement  
23 with our procedures and processes. And, as I said,  
24 we've learned from past cold weather events.

1           So the first one I'd like to refer to is the  
2   2011 ERCOT cold weather event. And with that one, we  
3   learned to ensure proper operation of circuit  
4   breakers, to avoid things like, you know, the grease  
5   becoming hard and not operating properly or having low  
6   gas pressure in those gas circuit breakers.

7           Second, we learned about the importance of  
8   established protocols for canceling generation and  
9   transmission outages. And, also, we learned the  
10  importance of fuel switching capabilities. In the  
11  January 7th, 2014 Polar Vortex, we learned about the  
12  importance of starting generators early, and that way  
13  you can make sure that they can run effectively on  
14  that fuel oil, or if there are any issues, you may  
15  have time to fix those prior to the actual cold  
16  weather incurring on the system.

17          Planning for fuel contingencies; such as, if  
18  you plan your gas and you have a large generator,  
19  nuclear cold unit to trip, you need to make sure you  
20  have planned for that contingency with respect to your  
21  gas procurement. We also learned to ensure heat  
22  tracing, its design properly, and electrical circuit  
23  diagrams are accurate for freeze protection circuits.  
24  And we also improved our coordination and

1 communications associated with our load reduction  
2 plans, and I'll have more about that later in the  
3 slide there.

4 With the February 20th, 2015 Polar Vortex  
5 event, probably the coldest winter morning for DEP and  
6 DEC, where DEC realized a 7-degree system average  
7 temperature, and DEP realized a 10-degree system  
8 average temperature, we actually postponed the start  
9 of a nuclear refueling outage, if you remember that  
10 event in 2015 by seven days. And that was a decision  
11 that had to be made, and we had established the right  
12 authority for the groups to make that decision. And  
13 we made that decision, and it paid dividends for  
14 serving our customers reliably that week.

15 We also learned the importance of prompt  
16 corrective action with respect to freeze protection --  
17 implementing the freeze protection deficiencies --  
18 excuse me, freeze protection for deficiencies and  
19 operating our system at these higher winter peak  
20 demands. And just to give you a reference, the  
21 DEC/DEP combined demand was around 36,700 megawatts  
22 for that morning. And only one day had surpassed  
23 that, and that was January 5th, 2018 at 36,900  
24 megawatts.

1           The next was the January 2nd through 8,  
2 2018, bomb cyclone event. And with that event, Duke  
3 learned that our load forecast model performance had  
4 some improvement opportunities, and specifically for  
5 the evening peak and overnight loads. And you say why  
6 not the peak? Why evening? Evening peak loads and  
7 overnight loads has to do with your fuel consumption,  
8 right? I mean, if you don't forecast accurately every  
9 hour, not just the peak, your fuel plan will be off,  
10 and your fuel plan can't be off with the sustained  
11 cold weather for a week like we had in early 2018.

12           So, also, we learned that we need to have  
13 better communications still with a load reduction plan  
14 in certain areas that we didn't address back in 2014  
15 and '15. In the remainder of this presentation, I'd  
16 like to discuss some of the lessons we learned from  
17 the 2001 ERCOT event. So based on Duke Energy's  
18 experience, diversity of resources and diversity and  
19 firmness of fuel sources are key enablers for reliable  
20 power supply during a cold weather event. ERCOT's  
21 portfolio was highly dependent on Natural Gas, and the  
22 capability that ERCOT generators had for operating on  
23 backup fuel had not being tested and wasn't reliable.  
24 And just the numbers to bear that out, 87 percent of

1 the fuel issues involved Natural Gas fuel supply,  
2 13 percent involved issues with other fuel such as  
3 coal or fuel oil, 41 out of 392 generators were  
4 capable of fuel switching, and only 86 percent of  
5 those -- or excuse me. 86 percent of those attempting  
6 to switch fuel to fuel oil failed, so 86 percent  
7 failed to properly switch to fuel oil. And I'll cover  
8 Duke Energy's practices for testing fuel switching  
9 capabilities in later slides.

10 So the next set of Commission questions --

11 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Roberts, can I  
12 interrupt?

13 MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So this 86 percent  
15 that failed in the fuel switching, is that similar to  
16 what happened in the Polar Vortex? I know you  
17 mentioned this as a learning. We didn't hear it  
18 before, but part of the problem with the Polar Vortex  
19 is the companies weren't annually testing or quarterly  
20 testing to make sure their units would actually start  
21 up. Is that a similar type of situation that you're  
22 discussing that this can be fixed, this 86 percent  
23 fail rate can be fixed with quarterly testing or  
24 annual testing to make sure that those units will

1 switch?

2 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Properly testing  
3 fuel-switching capabilities helps. Starting units  
4 early, making sure that they can run on that fuel oil,  
5 even if it's just for a few hours when it's getting  
6 cold, helps. And, then, also remediating any issues  
7 discovered in that testing, such as, you know,  
8 (1:55:56) how I deal with Delta P across the filters  
9 indicating you need to change filters out, or  
10 indications of fuel nozzles clogging. Those sorts of  
11 things can be remediated if detected during that  
12 testing.

13 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And it's my  
14 understanding, I heard you state, that you have -- the  
15 Company has been increasing their testing of all of  
16 these units?

17 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, that's correct. So we  
18 have a guidance document in place now that requires  
19 quarterly testing of simple cycle CTs, and it requires  
20 annual testing of the CTs associated with combined  
21 cycles.

22 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.

23 MR. ROBERTS: You're welcome.

24 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Since we're on



1 this -- I had questions for you later. But since  
2 we're on the topic now, let's just get them out of the  
3 way now and we won't come back to them. So if your  
4 weather forecasts are showing -- your 15-day out  
5 forecasts are predicting a problematic event 15 days  
6 out, do you test at that point?

7 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. System operations will  
8 request -- if we see extreme cold weather coming from  
9 the meteorologist's forecast, we will request testing  
10 of units on fuel oil capability if we know we're going  
11 to need to run those with respect to our fuel plan.

12 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. Thanks.  
13 That saves a question later. Before you go on too, I  
14 also have a question about your pie chart. They're  
15 just simple questions about the chart, and we will  
16 come back to them.

17 MR. ROBERTS: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: The source data --  
19 your 2035 pie chart, the source data is the 2020 IRP.  
20 Is that the base case or is that one of the other  
21 cases?

22 MR. ROBERTS: It is the base case.

23 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It is the base  
24 case?

1 MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: And on that chart,  
3 what does DFO stand for?

4 MR. ROBERTS: Dual Fuel Operations.

5 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Dual Fuel.

6 MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So those are your  
8 dual fuel units?

9 MR. ROBERTS: So those are -- has solely  
10 coal fire generators, but they're being converted to  
11 be able to operate on coal or gas. That's our dual  
12 fuel. You'll also notice a pretty good section of the  
13 pie with gas CTs. Primarily, all of those have fuel  
14 capability.

15 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Well, I'm glad I  
16 asked now because we were going to get to it later in  
17 a series of questions. So the DFO units were  
18 converted coal units?

19 MR. ROBERTS: They can run on gas or coal or  
20 a blend, that's correct.

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So they can run on  
22 coal. In 2035, they're predicted to be either coal.  
23 So, for example -- I don't think this is confidential.  
24 So, for example, that would be Belews Creek?

1 MR.ROBERTS: So -- and this was 2020 IRP  
2 information.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

4 MR. ROBERTS: So just --

5 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I understand. I  
6 understand.

7 MR. ROBERTS: But -- so, yes.

8 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It's all up in the  
9 air.

10 MR. ROBERTS: In the 2020 IRP, you have some  
11 Marshall coal units.

12 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Right.

13 MR. ROBERTS: Belews Creek and Cliffside 5.

14 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Got it. Thank  
15 you.

16 MR. ROBERTS: You're welcome.

17 CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Roberts, I just want to  
18 make sure I heard you correctly. Do all of the  
19 companies, both companies, CT units have dual fuel  
20 capability?

21 MR. ROBERTS: So some of the CTs are oil  
22 only.

23 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

24 MR. ROBERTS: And some of the CT sites that

1 are dual fuel, it's hard to get enough gas during the  
2 wintertime due to the demand, and so they're  
3 proficient. They run on oil several times, and that's  
4 where a lot of the other fleet got their lessons  
5 learned from about running reliably on fuel oil, and  
6 that's by Wayne County or Lee Energy Complex. But all  
7 the other stations, subject to check, all the other CT  
8 stations have backup fuel.

9 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. All right.

10 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: While we're on  
11 this, so again, let's -- since we're on it, let's  
12 stay. So I was looking at that chart you've got on  
13 who's got dual fuel capability, and one of the things  
14 that jumped out at me is that you converted, over  
15 recent years converted three coal-fired units to  
16 combined cycle units, and those were not given dual  
17 fuel capability when the conversion occurred. Why was  
18 that?

19 MR. ROBERTS: Converted coal units to  
20 combined cycle?

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yep. Buck, Dan  
22 River and --

23 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. I got it. Replaced the  
24 generation.

1 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yes.

2 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. So, we were able to get  
3 firm gas supply associated with those generators. And  
4 with that firm gas supply and being close to Transco,  
5 very close to Transco, we felt that the risk was  
6 almost zero associated with losing gas supply to those  
7 units.

8 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So, that's why the  
9 decision was made, not when they were converting coal  
10 to --

11 MR. ROBERTS: Right.

12 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: -- combined cycle  
13 they -- okay.

14 MR. ROBERTS: That's correct.

15 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: And there's no  
16 plan going forward to dual fuel those?

17 MR. ROBERTS: Not that I'm aware of, sir.

18 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you.

19 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Can I ask one quick  
20 question? And that's this, when you actually move to  
21 say one of the fuels that are alternative fuels for  
22 these dual fuel capacity units, how long does it  
23 actually take to do so? I mean, let's say right now  
24 gas was interrupted and you wanted to use oil. I

1 mean, how long does it take to actually put that into  
2 place and get it operating?

3 MR. ROBERTS: Right. So, if it's a sudden  
4 operation, excuse me, a sudden loss of gas, a sudden  
5 loss of gas pressure, then the unit is going to trip.

6 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Okay.

7 MR. ROBERTS: And so you're going to have to  
8 restart on oil. If it's a controlled switch, usually  
9 what we'll do, let's say it's a five CT site, we'll  
10 take one CT at a time, we'll bring it, start it on  
11 gas, bring it down to, you know, very low output level  
12 and switch to oil, and we can quickly do that.

13 Same thing to prevent coking. When we bring  
14 it offline -- if it's controlled, we'll try to bring  
15 it offline using a little bit of gas to fire at the  
16 end to prevent coking at the nozzles that can impede  
17 its operability. But that's how we do fuel switching.

18 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Got it. And in  
19 terms of your fuel supply, let's say if it's oil, I  
20 mean, and I asked Dominion this question about what  
21 supplies they actually keep online. Are you going to  
22 get to that later in your presentation or --

23 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah.

24 MR. McALLISTER: Yeah, I think the -- you

1 know, in our data response we said roughly it was  
2 around 80 hours on average --

3 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Okay.

4 MR. McALLISTER: -- for a typical site.  
5 Now, all sites aren't created equal. Some are, you  
6 know, have more or less capability, but that's a  
7 general rule of thumb. And in that data response,  
8 that was at a point in time, too, when we were coming  
9 out of winter. If you asked us that same question  
10 today, it would probably be closer to 90 hours because  
11 we're replenishing for the summer season. But, yeah,  
12 it's roughly the same as they said, you know, roughly  
13 three, three days on average across the CT fleet.

14 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: And when you have  
15 that type of oil capacity that's available, is there a  
16 certain point in time where the fuel itself may not be  
17 as reliable or stable?

18 MR. McALLISTER: No, I think that the plant  
19 facilities, you know, they handle that. But, yes, it  
20 can set in the tanks for a very long time and it's  
21 still usable.

22 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: And without any  
23 problems in consumption --

24 MR. McALLISTER: Correct.

1 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: -- burning. Okay.  
2 Thank you.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Have you increased  
4 your supply or your reserves since Texas? Oil  
5 reserves --

6 MR. McALLISTER: Oil for burning?

7 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: -- for fuel --

8 MR. McALLISTER: I think we generally try to  
9 go into the seasons at least 80 percent full, I mean  
10 just as a rule of thumb. And one of the things,  
11 remember too, you don't want a site to be completely  
12 full because one of the tricks of managing fuel oil,  
13 when you see something coming is getting the product  
14 moving, right. It's not just the supply, it's the  
15 trucks, so. But generally speaking, we're pretty full  
16 going into winter season at most sites.

17 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Well, the question  
18 though was, have you increased your capacity for --

19 MR. McALLISTER: No, we have not increased  
20 our physical capabilities at any site.

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. And just  
22 for a moment, back again, to confirm on the three CC  
23 units that were converted, those are firm supply  
24 contracts? Those are all -- not interruptible



1 contracts? Those are --

2 MR. ROBERTS: That's correct.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you.

4 MR. ROBERTS: So, based on our experience,  
5 as I stated before, diversity of resources and  
6 diversity in permanence of fuel sources are key  
7 enablers for reliable power supply during cold winter  
8 weather. And as I told you ERCOT's ability to switch  
9 fuel was an Achilles heel. And so, once again, I will  
10 speak more to the practices we have for switching to  
11 fuel in addition to the answers that I provided to  
12 your questions.

13 So, the next set of Commission questions are  
14 related to weather and load forecasting. And the next  
15 couple of slides will provide context around Duke  
16 Energy's capabilities for weather forecasting in the  
17 associated communications and load forecasting methods  
18 that we have associated with the weather forecast.

19 So, Duke Energy does have five full-time  
20 meteorologists and they receive NOAA environmental  
21 data and information in near realtime and that's the  
22 same data that the National Weather Service utilizes.  
23 And using this data and other vendor-supplied data,  
24 the meteorologists develop a 15-day weather forecast

1 for specific areas in our service territory, and those  
2 weather variables that they forecast include dry-bulb  
3 temperature, cloud cover, dew point, and wind  
4 forecasts for key locations.

5 The hourly weather load forecast or, excuse  
6 me, weather forecast are provided as inputs to the  
7 vendor load forecasting models. And so it's critical  
8 that that weather forecast be as accurate as possible  
9 in order for the load forecasting models to forecast  
10 load as accurately as possible.

11 And those, once again, those weather inputs  
12 are utilized in the vendor-load forecasting models to  
13 forecast the Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy  
14 Progress balancing authority loads. And balancing  
15 authority is key because you have history. As long as  
16 you don't change your balancing authority boundaries  
17 you can always have some correlation between the  
18 weather at those centers and the historical balancing  
19 authority load.

20 And so the accuracy of the hourly load  
21 forecast, that's also critical with respect to fuel  
22 planning as we discussed with the revelation and the  
23 bomb cyclone event. You not only need to be accurate  
24 forecasting the peak hour, you need to be accurate

1 forecasting the energy used during the day and the  
2 load at each hour.

3           So, for situational awareness and the  
4 potential for and/or imminent cold winter weather,  
5 which is very key to ensuring preparedness of our  
6 enterprise and our customers and wholesale customers,  
7 et cetera. That preparedness requires a somewhat  
8 accurate weather load forecast. So our  
9 meteorologists, they issue cold air watches that look  
10 15 days ahead and give us projections of any cold air  
11 mass moving in and duration that that could last as  
12 well as winter precipitation that could occur with  
13 that cold weather. And so they issue cold air watches  
14 and weather alert communications to the Company to  
15 provide for that awareness.

16           They also participate in our winter, annual  
17 winter weather webinar groups, winter preparedness  
18 webinar groups, discussions, and they give a winter  
19 season forecast in that webinar and they also  
20 participate in what we call our tailgate meetings  
21 where if there's an impending event. Once again, it's  
22 a part of our readiness practices to have this  
23 tailgate meeting. And in that, if -- we start the  
24 beginning of that meeting with safety, of course, and

1 then we go into a discussion from the meteorologist  
2 about the weather forecast.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Before you move  
4 the PowerPoint performance, a question or two about  
5 the weather forecast. Is that okay?

6 MR. ROBERTS: Okay.

7 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Since you invited  
8 us to ask you along the way, with the Chair's  
9 permission, I'll take you up on it.

10 MR. ROBERTS: That's great.

11 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So, in the written  
12 response to the question here that we're talking about  
13 on weather forecasting, the Company says it blends the  
14 data from the selected locations; for the 15-day  
15 forecasts it blends those into weighted averages for  
16 DEC and DEP. My question really hones in on the real  
17 difference between DEP East and DEP West. Do you  
18 generate a separate forecast, 15-day forecast on an  
19 hourly basis for DEP West and DEP East?

20 MR. ROBERTS: So, we have a forecast for our  
21 DEP West area that's based on weather variables  
22 associated with primarily Asheville since that's the  
23 biggest load center, and then we also have our  
24 eastern, we call it our eastern area load for DEP

1 East. The overall forecast used for fuel planning and  
2 if we're unit commitment is our total DEP balancing  
3 authority area. But we do look at the west in  
4 isolation, both from a resource adequacy perspective,  
5 a fuel adequacy perspective, et cetera, versus the  
6 demand that's forecast.

7 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So, operationally  
8 talk me through your 15-day forecast shows you've got  
9 a horrendous event coming to the mountains but you're  
10 in sunny weather down east. What happens?

11 MR. ROBERTS: Right. So, we will focus on  
12 that western area in the weather forecast, however,  
13 realizing that that weather may decide to go a little  
14 bit more east as well and so we'll plan on that  
15 contingency. But looking at the west, we'll make sure  
16 that the firm gas supply is there for our Asheville  
17 units. We'll make sure that the oil supply is there.  
18 We'll make sure that transportation is ready to  
19 resupply if that's needed with respect to oil. And we  
20 try to be very proactive on that, because you never  
21 know what winter weather may do with road conditions,  
22 for example.

23 And so we will also talk with the plant  
24 about staffing with respect to unloading that fuel

1 oil. So, there's a lot of preparations and discussion  
2 going on with respect to preparing for that system.  
3 And, of course, our DEC area, it blends over into that  
4 western area as well. So there will be a lot of  
5 discussions with that side of their service territory  
6 as well or the DEC side of the service territory as  
7 well. And so we'll plan for the event accordingly  
8 based on the forecast, but we'll also consider a  
9 contingency situation and prepare for those as well.

10 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: The bottom line is  
11 you do prepare a differentiated weather forecast for  
12 the western balancing area?

13 MR. ROBERTS: That's correct.

14 So, the next set of questions deal with  
15 power plant performance and this is where we get into  
16 the fuel switching and those sorts of things, but --  
17 and I'll provide more context associated with our  
18 responses to the Commission's questions on power plant  
19 performance during cold weather events.

20 So, per the request, we did look back at the  
21 last three years and we didn't have any of our  
22 generating units - coal, gas, hydro - that were unable  
23 to operate during the last three winter peaks. And  
24 we -- though they had a little bit in the preparation,

1 you know, they weren't severe cold winters as compared  
2 to like 2014, '15 and '18.

3 But with that said, you can see in the  
4 progression from 2014 through 2018 how we've  
5 institutionalized lessons learned in procedures.  
6 We're executing on those procedures and it definitely  
7 paid dividends in 2018. We saw the performance was  
8 there and we were able to reliably serve our customers  
9 during that week.

10 Also, diversity of generation, as mentioned  
11 earlier, and diversity of fuel sources is important  
12 but not just during extreme weather. We're having to  
13 look at that, you know, more seasons and for different  
14 types of weather.

15 Gas burning plants, basically, once again  
16 for those that, you know, the gas supply could be  
17 curtailed under an extreme event, we do have backup  
18 fuel supplies associated with that.

19 And, once again, back on testing, Duke  
20 Energy does test its combustion turbine fleet on  
21 liquid fuel and we have an internal guidance document  
22 for prescribing that test that requires that testing,  
23 and it does require quarterly testing of the CTs and  
24 annual testing of the CTs associated with the CCs.

1           Also, you asked a question about solar and  
2 wind performance, what we might expect there with  
3 respect to cold winter weather. And the one thing  
4 you'll see from the graph on this slide is that there  
5 are fewer daylight hours in the winter, so you have  
6 less total energy even on a blue sky day with solar  
7 but also the weather is significantly variable during  
8 the winter.

9           You can have consecutive cloudy days. You  
10 know, you can have cloudy days followed by a snowy  
11 day. And that can significantly impact your solar  
12 output. So we have to keep that in mind as we move  
13 forward with respect to carbon production.

14           We'll definitely need to be able to do  
15 things like be able to shift some of that solar energy  
16 to a critical peak time, and we'll also need to  
17 supplement this solar capability with other generation  
18 that is able of achieving high capacity factors.

19           And just for reference, during the 2018 bomb  
20 cyclone event, our coal-fired fleet experienced very  
21 high capacity factors, 90 percent; that's really high.  
22 And so when we think about winter operations going  
23 forward, if we had another bomb cyclone event, we need  
24 something to replace that coal-fired generation that



1 can demonstrate that same performance and provide that  
2 same performance.

3 So, with respect to fuel planning and fuel  
4 coordination, that's really important during the  
5 preparing for winter peaks. This diagram does depict  
6 some of the aspects of the fuel planning process, but  
7 it also depicts the integrated nature of all the  
8 groups that are needed to be involved in this fuel  
9 planning. And so you need system operations. You  
10 need generation. You need Joe's fuels and system  
11 optimization group. You need load forecasting. You  
12 need to commit meteorology. All those groups need to  
13 be involved in that fuel planning in order to have  
14 a -- make sure we have adequate fuel to get through  
15 the cold weather event, you know, some duration.

16 Also, you know, the more proactive you can  
17 conduct this fuel planning, the more successful you're  
18 going to be, and Duke does conduct proactive fuel  
19 planning. For example, we started having fuel  
20 planning meetings around Christmas time to get ready  
21 for that bomb cyclone event. And so that, once again,  
22 that paid dividends with respect to having an adequate  
23 fuel plan and ensuring a reliable power supply.

24 With respect to looking into the future a

1 little bit, with respect to the cold weather  
2 operations with high renewable penetration, for cold  
3 weather operations with a high renewable portfolio, we  
4 will keep on institutionalizing in the procedures any  
5 learnings. And, also, it'll be -- it will be  
6 important for us to be able to shape demand more with  
7 DSM and DR tools and, as I stated before, be able to  
8 shift solar energy into the peak hours through the use  
9 of storage.

10 Diversity will continue to be important to  
11 avoid common mode failures such as occurred in Texas  
12 with the gas generators. Fuel planning will continue  
13 to be paramount. And, also, I'd like to reiterate  
14 with the variable nature of renewable output, we'll  
15 need to make sure we have supplemental generation to  
16 be able to provide that high capacity factor  
17 capability similar to what we saw with the coal  
18 generation during the 2018 event.

19 The other thing is historical correlations.  
20 A lot of people don't think about this. But  
21 historical correlations between that temperature and  
22 historical load are going to start breaking down. And  
23 the reason is because some of that load you were  
24 considering in that correlation in the past is going

1 to be netted out with behind-the-meter resources and  
2 so that has to be considered going forward, and we'll  
3 have to adapt our tools and methods going forward to  
4 provide for an accurate load forecast.

5 CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Roberts, I'm going to  
6 follow up there. So, help me understand how you do  
7 that? I mean, is the Company -- are the Companies  
8 already having to adapt to shifting and shaping that's  
9 going on as a result of behind-the-meter tools?

10 MR. ROBERTS: So, currently we telemeter,  
11 subject to check, 500 kW and above solar output. So,  
12 a lot of the stuff that's connected distribution we  
13 telemeter. So, we consider that as a resource,  
14 because we have a PPA, we consider that as a resource  
15 serving that demand. And so we still have a pretty  
16 good temperature-to-load correlation. That can be  
17 past history. It will be correlated to future load  
18 associated with those predictor temperatures and  
19 weather data.

20 Going forward, if you -- and I'll just throw  
21 this out -- if you have a lot of Tesla battery vols,  
22 rooftop solar, EVs, et cetera, masking that load,  
23 commercial, I mean, commercial can be really big,  
24 right, if you have a lot of that, those type resources

1 masking that load, you're going to have to look at  
2 those trends and factor those trends into your load  
3 forecast.

4 CHAIR MITCHELL: So that makes sense to me.  
5 So how much of that are you already having to do?

6 MR. ROBERTS: So, because there is not a --  
7 because we telemeter the 500 kW, we're not having to  
8 do that currently.

9 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. And so looking down  
10 the road, you anticipate that the Company may have to  
11 begin?

12 MR. ROBERTS: That's correct.

13 CHAIR MITCHELL: And so how do you plan for  
14 that?

15 MR. ROBERTS: Right. Once again, we'll have  
16 to use data analytics and trend adoption of different  
17 types of technologies behind the meter that are  
18 masking that load. And based on that trend, we'll  
19 have to have new weights or adjustments associated  
20 with our load forecasting tools. And there's some  
21 really smart load forecasting vendors out there, and  
22 I'm sure that they'll have to adapt their tools to  
23 these considerations.

24 CHAIR MITCHELL: So, what I'm hearing you

1 say is there will be -- you will have some ability  
2 to -- let me rephrase. It's not going to be a  
3 situation where you're reacting in realtime as more  
4 and more of this technology is deployed behind the  
5 meter, but rather there will be some analysis that the  
6 Company can do so that you're not reacting in  
7 realtime?

8 MR. ROBERTS: Correct. We'll definitely try  
9 to be proactive on this.

10 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

11 MR. McALLISTER: And I'll add one more  
12 thing, too. We do have a separate solar forecasting  
13 process as well, right. So, we talked about it off  
14 load, but there's an internal team within the  
15 meteorology team that actually, today, there is a  
16 model that forecasts solar. You know, they're working  
17 with the energy control center, the project developers  
18 to get better realtime information, particularly for  
19 distributed solar. So, there is -- you know, today we  
20 do have a good process but it needs to continue to  
21 evolve and convert --

22 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You say that's  
23 integrated into your meteorology team?

24 MR. McALLISTER: Yeah, it's a separate

1 model. It's actually a -- it's an open-source  
2 platform that -- you know, government, it's an  
3 open-source solar forecasting tool that would -- built  
4 by a bunch of community developers. We use that. It  
5 sits on our weather server and we are forecasting  
6 solar every day, every hour, so there is some lady  
7 that that is their job. And in terms of this  
8 technological advancements and just information, a lot  
9 of it is data, getting better realtime data. That is  
10 in process but it will continue to evolve.

11 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. The next set of  
12 Commission questions deals with load shedding and  
13 curtailment planning. And the following slides will  
14 supplement Duke Energy's responses.

15 So, first, DEC and DEP do not have any  
16 critical gas facilities on the interruptible plans.  
17 And also, critical natural gas and water  
18 infrastructure has been validated as critical load and  
19 is the last to be shed in our current load shed  
20 scripts. And that's done through the distribution  
21 planners in each region communicating with account  
22 managers, and knowing what loads are on -- customers  
23 are on those circuits, and basically incorporating  
24 that data into the criticality of that circuit.

1           We are looking at the potential for removing  
2       some of these critical loads from the load shed  
3       program. So, there is critical gas infrastructure,  
4       wastewater processing, et cetera. There is a  
5       potential for being able to remove those totally from  
6       the load shed program, so we're looking at potentially  
7       doing that.

8           Also, with respect to load shedding, we do  
9       conduct annual training with our system operators on  
10      our system restoration plan as well as our load  
11      shedding process, our load reduction plans, including  
12      firm load shedding. And that's done through a variety  
13      of simulation exercises, as well as tabletop, as well  
14      as reviewing the components of our load reduction  
15      plans, as required by the NERC standards.

16          Also, I've learned in the recent GridEx  
17      drill with a load shed focus, communications need to  
18      be ready and dispersed through multiple channels and  
19      so communications have been refined and are more  
20      precise about the communication to customers. Also,  
21      in that GridEx drill, we learned that we need to  
22      refresh employees on the timing and urgency associated  
23      with load shedding. I will explain that a little bit  
24      more on one of the next slides.

1           So, on this slide you can see our  
2 prioritization associated with our firm load shed  
3 programs. And so generally residential customers,  
4 small and medium commercial customers and industrial  
5 customers, they are shed first. And based on, once  
6 again, the feedback from the regional planner that we  
7 get and the incorporation into the load shed scripts  
8 determines the prioritization if they fall in that  
9 lowest priority group. And then second, large  
10 industrial and wholesale customers served from  
11 distribution.

12           And then lastly, and representing a small  
13 amount, a smaller amount of the load for that region  
14 is hospitals, nursing homes, critical agencies; media  
15 centers that we're utilizing to communicate those  
16 critical messages, et cetera, that all emergency  
17 entities, not just Duke Energy, are using to  
18 communicate those critical messages. And then also  
19 included in the group would be the county and  
20 municipal water systems, the wastewater treatment,  
21 sewage treatment, et cetera, and gas compressor  
22 stations as well if they are fed off distribution.

23           So, looking at ERCOT's firm load shed graph,  
24 which is on the right of this slide, one of the things



1 that's important to note, and I think this is one of  
2 the reasons in the GridEx exercise this came out, is  
3 how fast this load shed event occurred, within 30  
4 minutes they were -- and this is just this first line.  
5 It's not even one of the red circles, getting to one  
6 of the red circles but in this first ramp up, which  
7 was extremely quick. In the first 30 minutes, they  
8 had shed 5,000 MW of load. Within 40 minutes, excuse  
9 me, 35 minutes, they had shed 8,500 MW of load.

10 And then in 40 minutes, they shed 10,500 MW  
11 of load. So, in 40 minutes, 10,500 MW of firm load  
12 was shed, and that's looking at a forecast at that  
13 time of the morning, if I remember correctly, around  
14 49 GW of load. Now, they would have gone up over  
15 70 -- 70,000 MW, 70 GW that morning if they would have  
16 been able to serve offload, but they had to implement  
17 this firm load shed.

18 So, once again, the GridEx drill revealed  
19 that we need to more broadly educate our employees on  
20 the load shed process and how fast it can occur.

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Roberts, on  
22 that slide, what does UFLS stand for?

23 MR. ROBERTS: Sorry.

24 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: An abbreviation

1 for what?

2 MR. ROBERTS: UFLS is Underfrequency Load  
3 Shedding. So, with underfrequency load shedding, what  
4 you're doing is at the substation, you're detecting,  
5 there's an under-frequency relay. You're detecting  
6 under frequency. Our first level is 59.3 Hz. It's  
7 really low. If you get down to 59.3 Hz, these under  
8 frequency -- I think it's .28 seconds, these  
9 under-frequency relays are going to activate and  
10 they're going to automatically shed that circuit. And  
11 so we have to make sure that we have a certain  
12 amount -- per NERC standard, we have to make sure we  
13 have a certain amount of load for each underfrequency  
14 load shedding load.

15 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: While we're on  
16 this slide let me go ahead and ask the question, do  
17 you have any circuits that are exempt from load shed  
18 for the same reason Dominion does, because they're  
19 determined to be net positive generation?

20 MR. ROBERTS: So, we do it a little bit  
21 differently. Our script, our DM -- our distribution  
22 management system will actually look at the circuit  
23 and see at the point in time we're implementing the  
24 load shed if it's net positive. And if it is, it's

1 foolish to shed it because it's helping, it's not  
2 hurting.

3 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So, it's realtime?

4 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, that's correct.

5 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thanks.

6 MR. ROBERTS: So this slide represents our  
7 Grid Status Report. And this grid goes out to very  
8 broad communication to the Company with respect to  
9 providing an awareness, and that awareness is the  
10 expected level we're going to be in, grid status level  
11 we're going to be in for the next seven days. And in  
12 this case, this represents the January 17th through  
13 January 24th period of this year. And these grid  
14 status levels - green, yellow, orange, red, purple,  
15 black - they correlate directly with our load  
16 reduction plans. And so the operators and others that  
17 are involved in the general load reduction plan,  
18 reviews in training, they understand this correlation  
19 so they understand what the awareness is pointing to.

20 So, if they see a yellow, they know the  
21 situation is heightened a little bit. Maybe reserves  
22 are not going to be meeting the full need for daily  
23 day-ahead reserves; for example, the projections based  
24 on load and resources available. And so things that

1 can happen under yellow is it can be communicated to  
2 the generators, you know, don't perform any  
3 discretionary maintenance, something that could risk  
4 availability of the generator.

5 And so that would help us preserve our  
6 capabilities to not get deeper into these, this color  
7 chart, or into orange, red or even worse purple where  
8 we have rotating outages. And this status report was  
9 implemented after the 2014 and 2015 cold weather  
10 events. And its sole purpose, once again, was to  
11 provide a heightened situational awareness and to be  
12 able to communicate effectively grid status. And once  
13 again, the purple status is where firm load shed would  
14 occur.

15 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: DEF, is that  
16 Florida?

17 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, that's correct. Yeah, so  
18 it covers all of our jurisdictions in one report.

19 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Roberts, can I  
21 ask a quick question about how you implement your firm  
22 load shed versus how Dominion implements it, going  
23 back to Commissioner Clodfelter's question. It sounds  
24 like with Dominion, they have prescriptive procedures

1 and the operators follow. If you're in this bucket,  
2 you're going to be shed. That can be done very  
3 quickly, it sounds like.

4           You mentioned that ERCOT, things  
5 deteriorated very quickly and you educate your  
6 operators on that issue. But it sounds like Duke's  
7 procedure requires more discretion, right? So a  
8 circuit is not just within this certain bucket and  
9 it's going to be shed. You look, and is it just for  
10 that one category? I'm just wondering about when  
11 things start to deteriorate quickly, is there a more  
12 automatic, less discretionary procedure that you  
13 implement?

14           MR. ROBERTS: So, I'll try to describe it,  
15 and hopefully this will answer your question,  
16 Commissioner Duffley. So, within distribution, each  
17 of the circuits is categorized into one of these  
18 priorities. And there is a script that our  
19 distribution management system will run. And it will  
20 go through and it will do things like pop open  
21 reclosures on the circuit or breakers, and that will  
22 shed that circuit, and it does so automatically.

23           So, the way things would unfold is the ECC,  
24 the system operator, will realize something could be

1 imminent or, you know, maybe we look at possibly  
2 needing it tomorrow morning. For example, based on  
3 forecast and resource availability, we will be  
4 communicating with the DCC.

5 If it's morning of, for example, similar to  
6 an ERCOT event, we will have communicated with the DCC  
7 already, but we will make another communication and  
8 say, "be ready to shed up to 1,000 MW of load", and so  
9 they'll be ready to shed up 1,000 MW of load with  
10 their DMS. All they have to do is plug in that  
11 number, hit a button, and boom, you implement that  
12 script to do those rotating outages in the DMS, and  
13 it's based on that prioritization that's  
14 preestablished with those circuits.

15 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.

16 MR. ROBERTS: You're welcome.

17 So, the last set of questions are related to  
18 energy transfers and reserve sharing. So, I'll  
19 address the frequency drops first and go in kind of a  
20 reverse order. But with respect to frequency during  
21 cold weather events, it's really that having too much  
22 load for the generation. If you have a lot of  
23 entities that have that imbalance and that correction,  
24 you're going to take energy from the rotating masses

1 and you're going to slow down the system it reflects  
2 in lower frequency. What you don't want to do is get  
3 anywhere close to this underfrequency load shedding  
4 level. The first level which is, like I said, for us  
5 59.3 Hz.

6 And so we looked at the data that was  
7 available to us which was the January 7th, 2014 day  
8 and the lowest frequency that we saw during that day  
9 was 59.94 Hz. Well, that's well above the 59.3 Hz,  
10 which is your first level of underfrequency load  
11 shedding. The bottom line is --

12 CHAIR MITCHELL: Is that level the same for  
13 both DEC and DEP? It's not a system --

14 MR. ROBERTS: The 59.3 Hz?

15 CHAIR MITCHELL: Yes.

16 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, that's correct. Most of  
17 the eastern interconnection with the exception of  
18 something like Peninsula or Florida which has a little  
19 bit different stability concern has that same first  
20 level, 59.3 Hz.

21 With respect to transfer studies, Duke does  
22 participate in regional transfer studies and, also, we  
23 ran the study as recommended by the report -- transfer  
24 study by the report. It wasn't associated with any of

1 these groups, but we did get a look at those transfers  
2 for high winter peak demand.

3 But with respect to regional transfer  
4 studies, the SERC Near-Term Working Group, we  
5 participate in that group that does transfer studies.  
6 The Long-Term Working Group, the Southeastern  
7 Reliability Transmission Planning Group, and the North  
8 Carolina Transmission Planning Collaborative all  
9 conduct transfer studies. And I'll have more on the  
10 transfers and transfer capability in response to your  
11 questions in the next couple of spots.

12 So this just looks at Texas, and the reason  
13 that they weren't able to leverage transfers to be  
14 able to avoid some of the rotating outages they have.  
15 They have 1,220 MW of DC tie capability, so they can  
16 import a little over 1,200 MW. About 400 of that,  
17 that ties with the Mexico area, were out and so they  
18 could only import 820 MW during that morning. So,  
19 that was their total import capability associated with  
20 the morning in which they started rotating outages.

21 Whereas, DEC and DEP, we have a little over  
22 1,800 MW of import capability we use for capacity  
23 purchase, capacity purchases, for resource adequacy.  
24 And, in addition, we maintained around 1,000 MW, a



1 little bit less in DEC, a little bit more in DEP, of  
2 transmission capacity to enable importing emergency  
3 energy from neighboring entities, and that's primarily  
4 if we lose a large resource on our system.

5 Also, when we purchase power, we make sure  
6 that we procure firm transmission service associated  
7 with that, importing that capacity. So, we make sure  
8 it's reliable. The only thing about purchase power,  
9 though, that I would like to highlight is it is  
10 usually non-dispatchable. And so looking forward,  
11 once again, to, you know, a world with a lot of  
12 renewables, a lot of variability, dispatchability is  
13 going to be very important with respect to managing  
14 that variability.

15 Also, I'd like to highlight that as we  
16 stated in the 2021 NCUC IRP Technical Conference, we  
17 do count on a little over 2,000 MW of non-firm  
18 assistance from neighbors in our resource adequacy  
19 studies for the winter peak, and that compares to the  
20 highest I've seen in -- you know, I have about 28  
21 years in system operations experience -- and the  
22 highest I've seen is around 1,057 for DEC/DEP. And,  
23 of course, we haven't been merged for I guess about 10  
24 years now. So, it's longer than I thought. But in

1 that time I haven't seen anything over that 1,057 that  
2 we imported with non-firm assistance in February of  
3 2015.

4 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: How does that  
5 2,000 MW break down between the two Companies?

6 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, so DEC is around, if I  
7 remember correctly, around 800 and then DEC (sic)  
8 would be the remainder. So, it's 1,000 -- it averages  
9 about 1,000 each.

10 And that concludes my presentation and so  
11 we'll answer any further questions that the Commission  
12 may have.

13 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Clodfelter?

14 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Excuse me,  
15 gentlemen, it's going to be a little more disjointed  
16 because we've covered an awful lot of the questions,  
17 so it's going to take me awhile to hunt and peck  
18 through here to make sure I see which ones we haven't  
19 covered.

20 In the written responses that the Company  
21 filed, the Company stated it conducted a review of its  
22 gas supply systems and concluded there were no gaps.  
23 While it's comforting to hear that, but it's a fairly,  
24 shall we say, high level and generic thing. What

1 would a gap look like? What were you looking for that  
2 you didn't find?

3 MR. ROBERTS: Do you want to -- you speak to  
4 that.

5 MR. McALLISTER: Yeah, I mean, I think we  
6 were just looking at the fuel supply, you know, and  
7 our suppliers number one. You know, we have ongoing  
8 conversations with Transco, Piedmont and the other LDC  
9 on preparedness.

10 One of the things we did after 2014, we  
11 increased the amount of firm supply that we buy to  
12 cover the combined cycles at 100 percent capacity.  
13 But I think we just did a review of our suppliers. We  
14 did a review of different scenarios with the pipelines  
15 and potential risk, you know, compressors going down,  
16 those sort of things, and I think that was kind of the  
17 high-level review we did.

18 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let me ask you a  
19 more specific question. And thank you for that. I  
20 just -- again, when you get an answer that's that  
21 general and it doesn't -- sometimes, as I say, it's  
22 comforting but it doesn't tell you a lot, so I just  
23 had to ask.

24 A more specific question, though, is -- and

1 this relates to some information that was in a  
2 confidential exhibit you filed, so I'm going to try to  
3 ask it in a way that doesn't get into the confidential  
4 piece of the material. So, in the filing there was a  
5 statement that some of your gas-fired units that are  
6 on interruptible supply contracts have in the past  
7 experienced curtailment due to demand or cold weather  
8 of supply. And what jumped out at me was that one of  
9 those units was at one of your designated black start  
10 units. Do I understand that correctly that you have  
11 at least one of your black start, designated black  
12 start units is on an interruptible supply contract?

13 MR. McALLISTER: What response?

14 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It was in response  
15 to Question 9. And again, I'm trying to ask it in such  
16 a way that I'm not getting into anything that's  
17 confidential.

18 MR. McALLISTER: You know, I would --

19 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: If you have any  
20 such units. Let's try to stay as far away as we can  
21 from confidentiality. If you have any such black  
22 start units that are on interruptible gas supply  
23 contracts, why is that? Is it because they're  
24 primarily oil burning in the first instance?

1 MR. McALLISTER: Well, we do have some black  
2 starts using oil only. And, like I said, there's no  
3 known specific unit. But the other thing is we  
4 typically don't buy interruptible supply, right. All  
5 of the gas we buy on a day-to-day basis or a season  
6 ahead is firm. I don't have a specific answer  
7 because, like I said, I'm not aware of any --

8 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yeah. It may --

9 MR. McALLISTER: It wouldn't have the  
10 capability to start a black start unit either on gas  
11 or on oil.

12 MR. ROBERTS: I'll just add in the DEP  
13 system we do have a black start unit that is dual fuel  
14 and it's primary fuel for black start is oil.

15 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: This may be the  
16 unit in question. I wonder if we could submit a  
17 question for a confidential late-filed answer to be  
18 sure that I'm understanding that the unit I'm looking  
19 at is the one you're thinking of.

20 MR. McALLISTER: Yeah. I think we're  
21 thinking of the same --

22 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: We can do that so  
23 we don't get into that here today. But that -- it  
24 struck me that you seemed to have one that might be on

1 an interruptible supply contract. Thank you for that.

2 MR. McALLISTER: Well, one thing I will add,  
3 Commissioner, maybe they were talking about the  
4 redelivery contract that technically it's  
5 interruptible but it --

6 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay.

7 MR. McALLISTER: It may be something  
8 different. So we'll give you a specific answer.

9 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. We'll frame  
10 the question and get it to your counsel in such a way  
11 that you can make a confidential filing in response so  
12 we can get clarification of that.

13 With respect to your dual fuel units, again,  
14 let me just confirm that, if I understood you in a  
15 response to an earlier question, that you test those  
16 units if you predict 15 days out an adverse, a weather  
17 unit, you can test the units then. Is that automatic  
18 or does that require some discretionary decision?

19 MR. ROBERTS: No, that's discretionary. The  
20 system operators would usually request such tests or  
21 the plant may decide to test in order to make sure  
22 there are no issues with running on fuel.

23 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You do -- that  
24 prompts another question about the weather forecasting

1 topic we were on. You do -- you had the ability to  
2 request plant-specific forecasts. As I read the  
3 written filings, though, it seemed like those were for  
4 purposes of managing the immediate weather conditions  
5 that might affect the plant operations themselves. Do  
6 you use those for purposes of system operations in an  
7 adverse weather event or they just like to make sure  
8 you know what the wind velocity is going to be at the  
9 Sutton plant when a hurricane comes? Is that the only  
10 purpose of those forecasts?

11 MR. ROBERTS: Right. If there is a  
12 significant cold weather event, especially one  
13 involving winter precip, we, the meteorology group can  
14 provide plant specific forecasts in that instance, and  
15 things like wind speed, wind direction will be --

16 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Is that -- icing  
17 conditions, is that routinely done for all the plants  
18 or is that just on a -- who requests that?

19 MR. ROBERTS: It's usually done on an  
20 as-needed basis. And that may come out of something  
21 like our tailgate team meeting in that discussion with  
22 the -- since generation is represented, meteorology is  
23 there, system operations, fuels, all parties involved  
24 are in that discussion.

1 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It would be  
2 case-by-case?

3 MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

4 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You might be  
5 getting ice in Asheville but you're only getting rain  
6 in Greensboro, so you would ask it for the Asheville  
7 plant but not for the Belews Creek plant?

8 MR. ROBERTS: Similar to hurricanes.

9 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. I got it.  
10 Thank you. Back on Question number 9 that I referred  
11 to in a minute, there was in the written response to  
12 that question, the Company provided a scenario that  
13 showed high renewables, high storage on the system and  
14 no coal units on the system against, meshed against  
15 the actual January events of -- January of 2018, and  
16 that filing showed an awful lot of unserved energy.  
17 And I'm just, I'm really curious about why you showed  
18 us that scenario? What were the drivers of showing  
19 that particular scenario?

20 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, I mean, they're -- it  
21 does point to a couple of things. One is it  
22 highlights that Duke missed incorporating the  
23 potential for converting a dual fuel operating unit to  
24 forecast that could be a part of a plan in going



1 forward. And if you add that one in it  
2 significantly -- and if you consider carrying forward  
3 the current capacity purchases we have, preserving  
4 those, you end up having practically no unserved  
5 energy in that scenario.

6 So those things can change, but the one  
7 thing I think it does highlight, Commissioner  
8 Clodfelter, is that we are going to need some  
9 high-capacity factor-type resources to replace our  
10 coal. I mean, it's just for reliability and  
11 maneuvering around renewables, and you can see in this  
12 case in the graph on Figure 4, it was decent solar  
13 performance. There were a couple of days where it was  
14 low output, but for the most part it was decent solar  
15 performance.

16 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I thank you for  
17 that. It was a question of curiosity. I think you've  
18 satisfied my curiosity about why you showed us that  
19 scenario. I understand.

20 Back to the black start question. It really  
21 is -- let me just generalize the question. Can you  
22 provide a late-filed exhibit that shows, the question  
23 I was asking Dominion is, what's the technology  
24 configuration of each of those units? What type of

1 unit is it? Is it an industrial frame CT and, if so,  
2 what class? Is it some other alternative to an  
3 industrial frame CT, and so forth?

4 Can you -- you've got an exhibit with all  
5 those units in it. Can we get a version of that that  
6 just shows us the type of technology platform that's  
7 being used on each unit?

8 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, as far as identifying  
9 black start units, we'll probably have to provide  
10 something under seal.

11 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's fine. I  
12 would, if it needs to be under -- if it needs to be  
13 confidential, confidentiality is fine with me if it's  
14 fine, if my counsel says it's fine. So, we're good.

15 Again, we're jumping around a little bit.  
16 Again, because you've covered a lot of what I would  
17 have asked you about in your presentation. I asked  
18 Dominion a question, and I think you heard about what  
19 you're beginning to do or what you may be are in an  
20 advanced state of doing, about trying to figure out  
21 how to take battery storage especially and/or  
22 microgrid, and integrate those in your planning for  
23 those as responsive resources for an extreme weather  
24 event. Not in terms of normal grid operations but as

1 responses to an extreme event. So, tell me where you  
2 are on that?

3 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. So, there has been an  
4 area where -- and it's been associated with hurricane  
5 and shelter not a cold weather event, but being able  
6 to establish power from a local perspective. If its  
7 distribution fee were cut to that shelter to have  
8 power for people staying there with respect to being  
9 displaced from their homes during a hurricane, we have  
10 looked at that scenario and think we've implemented  
11 something in that line. So, in a broad scale, and  
12 this is subject to check, I don't think we've looked  
13 at an extensive use of microgrids on the system. With  
14 respect to batteries, this was the case by the way  
15 with this shelter, was the case of battery  
16 application.

17 With respect to the application of  
18 batteries, I mean, you're looking at peaking capacity;  
19 you're looking at regulation; you're looking at  
20 potentially supplying contingency reserve. There's  
21 multiple uses associated with battery storage.

22 The one thing that we are looking at closely  
23 going forward is you have multiple types of resources  
24 shaving/flattening that peak with respect to

1 demand-side management, DRE, and then two-hour storage  
2 flattens it a little bit more. Then you get to  
3 two-hour storage doesn't work anymore and you have to  
4 have four-hour storage or you have to have six-hour  
5 storage in order to keep flattening that peak,  
6 lowering that peak value. So that is one of the  
7 things we're looking at with respect to application of  
8 battery storage. We can't just have a bunch of  
9 two-hour batteries and significantly lower the winter  
10 peak.

11 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Well, the things  
12 you described though seem to me to be related to what  
13 I call "a normal grid operating environment". And I  
14 was really curious about whether you have been  
15 thinking any about special uses in special operational  
16 situations as you might run into a few and try to use  
17 battery storage to respond to an extreme weather  
18 event?

19 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. I mean, it's part of  
20 like an uninterruptible power supply type of approach.  
21 I don't recall any conversations that I've been  
22 involved in. And very probably other people in Duke  
23 associated with business development with batteries  
24 and so forth are looking at those applications.

1           COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It strikes me that  
2     operationally, though, you would have to look at a  
3     battery very differently, because you wouldn't want to  
4     be you've got a cold January morning, that's the day  
5     before an ice storm, and you don't want to be  
6     discharging that battery on that normal cold morning  
7     because you're going to need it to be charging that  
8     day so you can maintain it for the next day's ice  
9     storm. So, I was thinking that there might be  
10    operational differences in how you looked at battery  
11    as a resource when you're using it to manage extreme  
12    weather and how that would affect your planning and  
13    your operational decisions.

14           MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, I mean, as far as  
15    utilizing the capability of the battery to preserve  
16    reliability, we will definitely look at preserving  
17    that charge state for things like fuel management,  
18    things like making sure we can serve really high peak  
19    demand over a certain period of days or hours. I  
20    mean, from an operations perspective, that's the best  
21    answer I can provide.

22           COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's fine. It  
23    was kind of a blue-sky question. As I told the  
24    Dominion folks, I just wanted to see where you were in

1 thinking about that kind of blue-sky question.

2 On communications with customers, you heard  
3 some of the questions I was asking Dominion and the  
4 question that Commissioner Gray asked Dominion, and  
5 thank you for the materials that were in the written  
6 filings about the communications with customers.

7 One of the things I was interested in in my  
8 questioning, and I didn't see it in the Duke  
9 materials, is what kinds of communications you're  
10 having with customers about the things they need to be  
11 doing on their side of the event. Things to protect  
12 themselves - safety issues, operational issues about  
13 equipment or devices. What types of communications  
14 are you doing with customers on those subjects?

15 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, it's similar to what  
16 Dominion stated with respect to things you can do to  
17 keep heat in home, turn down your thermostat, you  
18 know, so that you don't have it on 75 degrees, you  
19 know, during the cold winter weather. But also, I  
20 mean if we were to see a potential for rotating  
21 outages, we'll definitely communicate that potential  
22 so that customers are aware of that and plan  
23 accordingly.

24 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Do you have the

1 ability to tell the customer you're up 15 minutes from  
2 now?

3 MR. ROBERTS: Not that I'm aware of, sir.

4 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Same as Dominion.  
5 Your situation is exactly the same as Dominion? No  
6 different?

7 MR. ROBERTS: Right. And really that slide  
8 on the urgency or the rapid pace at which firm load  
9 shed can occur, that sort of inhibits the ability to  
10 communicate with that customer on that frequency.

11 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: With respect to  
12 the other communications, you were referring to what  
13 you tell customers to do to protect themselves and to  
14 protect their devices and equipment. Those were not  
15 in your written materials, that's why I ask about  
16 them. Are they in written form and can we just get  
17 those so we fill out the record and have everything?

18 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. I think one of the  
19 communications, the diagrams, if I remember correctly  
20 showed how do you prepare.

21 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yeah.

22 MR. ROBERTS: And so there's some underlying  
23 messages associated with that, how do you prepare. We  
24 can provide that.

1 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's it for the  
2 start.

3 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Brown-Bland?

4 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: So, I have just  
5 one, well, just one question. In the questions to the  
6 gas utilities -- just a second. One of the questions  
7 was how do your utility's gas curtailment emergency  
8 plans account for electric generators that rely on gas  
9 and do you communicate with them as to whether they  
10 are able to switch to an alternate fuel or whether  
11 they have alternative sources of generation available?  
12 And Piedmont responded that each generator follows  
13 their own internal protocols for using alternate fuel  
14 and enroll in reserve dispatch or other means to  
15 maintain grid resiliency.

16 Could you walk us through the Duke protocols  
17 and give us an explanation?

18 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. I think you're referring  
19 to gas management facilities, like compressor  
20 stations; is that correct?

21 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: In terms of their  
22 curtailment plans, we were asking the gas companies --

23 MR. ROBERTS: Oh, okay.

24 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: -- how they do it



1 when they curtail. And so we were asking do they  
2 communicate and having interactions over, you know,  
3 what alternate fuels are available to the electric  
4 generator.

5 MR. ROBERTS: So, I'll let my colleague Joe  
6 answer.

7 MR. McALLISTER: Yeah, I think, if I'm  
8 understanding your question right, what we do every  
9 day and during cold weather, we send -- and I'll just  
10 use Piedmont as an example, we send them an hourly by  
11 plant gas plant profile. So they know every day what,  
12 by hour, which plants we think we're going to bring  
13 gas. Now, what they may not always know in detail is  
14 which ones have backup fuel. For how -- you know,  
15 Dominion was talking about how you may have to, based  
16 on economics, you may actually run a dual fuel CT  
17 on oil and gas. But the information that Piedmont  
18 gets from us would show our actual gas profile by hour  
19 for today and the next seven days.

20 In terms of how we dispatch certain units,  
21 whether it's economic or we're trying to manage gas  
22 supply and how we switch that, they probably wouldn't  
23 have the ins and outs of that. And I think that's  
24 what their question is saying, is that we may switch.

1 You know, we may have a certain plant on a system like  
2 Wayne County that in the winter primarily runs on an  
3 alt so they're familiar with that, but they may not --  
4 they may not know precisely the reasons why we switch  
5 but they do know, they do have a profile of which  
6 units are going to burn gas and how much we think  
7 they're going to burn by hour.

8 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: So, how do you go  
9 about doing -- you know, what's your protocol for the  
10 decisions you make regarding use of the alternate  
11 fuels?

12 MR. McALLISTER: Yes, so we'll take our unit  
13 commitment plan for any given day and we'll look at  
14 our fuel supply contract from the gas side, right.  
15 So, when Sammy was -- the one slide when we were  
16 talking about coordinating, you know, we do set up  
17 going into the winter with very broad burn ranges,  
18 right, we don't wait for an event to happen. So the  
19 decisions we make sometimes are economic, you know,  
20 like today gas is \$8.00, oil was effectively \$29.00,  
21 so there's no gas constraints. It's not cold. We'll  
22 run gas ahead of oil.

23 Now, if you get to certain load levels,  
24 there may be certain units just because you reach a

1 certain threshold, because we do have dual flexibility  
2 at CTs we'll run those units on oil. Because, one,  
3 we've used all of our gas contracts and now what we  
4 have left is oil. So, those decisions are both  
5 economic and, kind a, our fuel supply portfolio, but  
6 they're typically economic. But, for reliability,  
7 there are certain units we will run on oil that are  
8 dual fuel capable based on the contract portfolio we  
9 have on the gas side.

10 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: And some of those  
11 decisions, you know, by some sort of set standard or  
12 is it a lot of realtime discretion --

13 MR. McALLISTER: No, it's planned ahead. I  
14 mean, like I say, we don't typically do things like  
15 switch on a fly. I think, once again, we produce a  
16 unit commitment plan that includes a load forecast.  
17 It includes our resource plan for the next seven days.  
18 So we run the model, we stack our generation  
19 availability, our power purchases to our load and we  
20 try to do it in the most economical way and sometimes  
21 that means we run oil.

22 You know, you've seen really high prices in  
23 the Carolinas and sometimes we do it because we're  
24 running every unit that can run and some of them can

1 only run oil. So, those are stages that's very  
2 structured. It's very production cost model-driven,  
3 and it includes all the inputs for the generation, it  
4 includes the load and it includes the economics, and  
5 in some cases we're just running -- we've hit that  
6 point where we're just running oil in our units.

7 So it's a very structured, robust process.  
8 Just like the load forecast, we're running production  
9 cost models. On a normal day, a couple times a day,  
10 and then during extreme peaks, we're rerunning these  
11 7-day resource plans, you know, three and four times.  
12 So it's very -- a robust process about how we do that.  
13 And then the fuel team's involved, you know, the power  
14 trading team's involved, so it's -- I think that was  
15 kind of the idea, that slide. In those robust peak  
16 days, you're making choices, all right, on which units  
17 may run on gas or oil, when you're on the top of the  
18 stack.

19 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank  
20 you.

21 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.

22 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Thank you. Could you  
23 just talk a little bit about some of the traditional  
24 reliability metrics you use and how this whole

1 conversation today kind of fits into those? So by  
2 that, I mean, you know, it's all about mitigation of  
3 risks, so the idea is everything you're doing is still  
4 going to lead to some risk. And, you know, how do  
5 you -- should we -- how do you think about/how should  
6 we think about that risk?

7 Is it some number in some other number of  
8 years to have a curtailment? You know, what's the  
9 metrics you talk about behind the, kind of, screens,  
10 and then has that number changed since you've been  
11 doing everything that we've been talking about for the  
12 last, you know, two hours? So was it at one time more  
13 frequent? And now that you've managed to do all of  
14 this, we're looking at a better number? Does that  
15 make sense, that question?

16 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Thank you. So for  
17 resource adequacy, the traditional metric has been  
18 for, you know, studying. Do you have an adequate  
19 portfolio to meet your resource adequacy.

20 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Sure.

21 MR. ROBERTS: It's been a one day and  
22 10-year loss-to-load expectation. There are a lot of  
23 groups now precipitated by this 2021 ERCOT event that  
24 are looking beyond one day and 10-year loss-to-load

1 expectation, and so they're looking at energy  
2 sufficiency. And, you know, that energy sufficiency,  
3 not all is electrons but it's also molecules. And so  
4 there's a lot of, you know, subject matter experts  
5 that are discussing coming up with new, reliability  
6 metrics going forward that will be needed when you  
7 start looking at power renewable portfolios and all of  
8 the carbon reduction plans that are occurring. And  
9 some of the groups involved, just giving you an idea  
10 of the think tanks involved for every NERC, and then a  
11 lot of the industry representatives.

12 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: So I'm still -- I'm  
13 still trying to wrap my head around. So that -- right  
14 now, we have this existing metric that lots of people  
15 have, kind of, concerns about. With your modeling and  
16 everything you're doing, are you -- you've just done  
17 so much. You've spent so much money. Is there  
18 modeling behind the scenes that it's gotten us  
19 somewhere else or is there not that -- is there not  
20 that quanti -- you know, is there that quantitative,  
21 sort of, risk analysis behind the scene?

22 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. Maybe as far as from a  
23 risk perspective, I mean, there are metrics we track,  
24 like starting reliability and EFOR, traditional, CADs,

1 metrics and unit performance metrics that we consider  
2 with respect to ensuring reliability of our portfolio  
3 resources.

4 MR. McALLISTER: You know, one thing I'll  
5 ask, Commissioner Hughes, is you talk about the  
6 modeling into the future. Yes, there is -- you know,  
7 I wouldn't say it's complete, but, you know, things  
8 like, you know, system ramper rig, (sic) right, things  
9 that are traditional when you think about reliability  
10 metrics as reserve margin. How fast does the system  
11 need to move up and down. So, you know, ramp rates of  
12 the system aligned with the new, you know, portfolio  
13 that's setting it out there that it might have a lot  
14 of renewals, right, so there are other metrics.

15 I don't they're fully based, like Sammy  
16 said, but there's definitely things from a day-to-day  
17 management that in the future, as you add more  
18 renewables, as you remove, you know, large  
19 supercritical co-plants, as you transition, there are  
20 other metrics that I think are in the thought process.  
21 Now precisely what all those would be, I think it's  
22 still a work in progress, but I think that is somewhat  
23 what Sammy's talked about. It's just not reserve  
24 margin anymore. It's the ability for their system to

1 ramp. You know, how do you plan for that. What kind  
2 of resources do you need, etc.

3 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Okay. I appreciate  
4 this, and I realize a lot of this is discussion. It's  
5 probably more suited to a reserve discussion, but just  
6 the other side of this question is, there's a cost  
7 associated with all this risk and mitigation. And  
8 you've talked about things that you've done, going  
9 from interruptible to firm. A lot of these measures  
10 have cost, and I'm just curious where's the kind of  
11 risk versus cost modeling going on. You know, is  
12 there -- because the public really wants no risk, I  
13 think, but we all are operating under this risk.

14 So I'm curious, you know, what kind of level  
15 of cost or -- and how are you modeling it. So if you  
16 can tell us that -- you know, back to your chart where  
17 you're showing curtailments or using the 1 in 10  
18 years, how are you modeling how you could get that  
19 lower, you know, and how the cost is. Is that kind of  
20 sophisticated economic modeling going on or are we --  
21 does that make sense?

22 MR. ROBERTS: Right. I mean, the resource  
23 adequacy studies that come up with her planning  
24 reserved margin, and then under a least cost manner,



1 there may be other requirements. But under a least  
2 cost manner, providing that resource portfolio that  
3 meets that planning or reserve margin, that's her  
4 resource planning direction right now. But like I  
5 said, I think you will see some changes over the next  
6 few years with respect to the results some of these  
7 groups are doing.

8 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Okay. So it's just  
9 really all she did in that existing model. There's  
10 not something else related to Texas that showed, okay,  
11 maybe that model's not going to work.

12 MR. ROBERTS: There's data analytics that  
13 are being done that look at the Stochastic models so  
14 you get a -- you know, you're looking at a lot of  
15 scenarios and, you know, what gives you certain a  
16 confidence interval associated with all the scenarios  
17 from a portfolio. And like Joe said, there's ramping,  
18 net ramping, net-to-van (sic) ramping, excess energy.

19 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Okay.

20 MR. ROBERTS: You know, those sorts of  
21 things you have to look at as well with respect to  
22 reliability of your portfolios.

23 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: And I realize from the  
24 staff, in looking back, that they're going to come

1 back to me afterwards and explain a lot more of the  
2 details of how this is done. So we don't have to go  
3 any further, and I understand it's not so simple.  
4 It's just we talk about reserve is the only metric and  
5 when we have those discussions that you've been laying  
6 out all these other things that you've been doing that  
7 costs money, that are reducing risk, and I just didn't  
8 know what kind of, you know, risk analysis, if there  
9 was some new form of risk analysis.

10           There's another question, and hopefully it's  
11 a much easier, quicker question, is with the  
12 integration of your control of meters, both at the  
13 residential and non-residential that's occurred over  
14 the last four or five years, I don't think we've heard  
15 anything about how that might have changed. I mean,  
16 you didn't have that, I think, in the Polar Vortex.  
17 Now, you know, you can cut my meter off at home, I  
18 think, fairly easily. Is there any thought or any use  
19 of that kind of capacity in tandem with the more  
20 traditional cutting the circuits off, you know, or is  
21 it -- what is that? Has that done anything for us in  
22 this whole area that we're discussing?

23           MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. I think we continue to  
24 look at ways to shake customer demand to provide us an

1 advantage or give us some leverage associated with  
2 meeting winter peaks, and I think you'll see that  
3 continue to go forward with respect to leveraging  
4 Demandside Management and demand response tools, E,  
5 with respect to helping with shaking that demand. And  
6 that should support -- be supported with cost  
7 justification.

8 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: So you're not going to  
9 get to where there's a block and there's one person on  
10 some medical device and everybody else gets cut, but  
11 they still have electricity. So it's like that kind  
12 of pinpointing using -- it just is not typically  
13 feasible?

14 MR. ROBERTS: I mean, right now, I would say  
15 that that process is more manual. I remember in  
16 discussions with these significant winter peaks. You  
17 do have customers that can sign up for this special  
18 medical need. And, you know, those receive a higher  
19 priority with respect to ensuring their welfare.

20 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Okay. So I should  
21 move next to either a hospital -- an active solar  
22 facility or someone with a dialysis machine in their  
23 house, and I'll --

24 MR. ROBERTS: One way or another --

1 COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Okay.

2 MR. ROBERTS: -- we will balance resources  
3 and demand.

4 CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Commissioner  
5 Duffley.

6 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Thank you for letting  
7 me jump ahead, Commissioner Brown-Bland. My question  
8 relates a little bit on that discussion. You probably  
9 heard earlier this morning the discussion with  
10 Dominion about their last load shed event in 1994.  
11 When was the last load shed event in the Carolinas?

12 MR. ROBERTS: To my knowledge, Commissioner  
13 Duffley, we've never had a load shed event.

14 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. And have you  
15 ever called for voluntary reductions or use the  
16 voltage reduction mechanism --

17 MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- in times of  
19 systems stress?

20 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, so both. We've actually  
21 had customer repeals to reduce load to conserve, and  
22 we've also implemented our 5 percent emergency voltage  
23 reduction over three of those 2014 through 2018 winter  
24 peaks.

1 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And that --

2 MR. ROBERTS: Subject to check.

3 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And that ability is  
4 in both DEC and the voltage reduction?

5 MR. ROBERTS: So with the implementation of  
6 the DMS and the implementation going forward of IVVC,  
7 we will have a more automative means of doing that.  
8 In DEC, currently, it's more manual means to 5 percent  
9 voltage. But yes, we can implement 5 percent voltage  
10 reduction in DEC and DEP.

11 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And a subjective  
12 question. Have both of those mechanisms -- like how  
13 effective are both of those mechanisms?

14 MR. ROBERTS: So I can just speak to DEP  
15 experience since I was managing that control room for  
16 a while with respect to these cold winter events. And  
17 the 5 percent voltage reduction, if I remember  
18 correctly with the 2018 peaks, we reduced by around  
19 250 megawatts, if I remember correctly, subject to  
20 check.

21 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Thank you.

22 MR. McALLISTER: You're welcome.

23 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I have one more  
24 for you. Am I right that Duke has said that all of

1 its gas contracts were for firm delivery of gas?

2 MR. McALLISTER: That's correct.

3 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: And do you mean  
4 that with respect -- does that only apply with respect  
5 to those facilities that are 100 percent gas burning  
6 or does that still apply where there's dual fuel, like  
7 coal-fire and of coal?

8 MR. McALLISTER: Yes. So let me give you a  
9 little bit of context. So when we say firm delivery  
10 of gas, we have a portfolio of contracts. We might  
11 have firm transportation that we acquire from the  
12 pipeline. We may go out and acquire additional  
13 transportation from other providers, and we may buy  
14 delivered firm gas. So a lot of the gas we're buying  
15 is actually purchased -- all of it is purchased on  
16 Transco, somewhere on Transco.

17 What we do then is we deliver that gas, and  
18 I'll use Piedmont as an example. We have that gas  
19 delivered to certain points into Piedmont system, and  
20 then they redeliver it under the firm transportation  
21 contracts that we have to get there. So when we talk  
22 about, you know, firm supply, we're really talking  
23 about gas that ultimately -- whether we transport it  
24 or buying it from other people who have firm

1 transportation into the points, into the -- you know,  
2 whether it's Piedmont's system or into PSNC's system,  
3 and then they redeliver that gas to the actual plant  
4 facility. So the gas is brought upstream and then  
5 moved by Transco and then moved on the local  
6 distribution companies to the specific plants. But  
7 when we say -- you know, we expect and we buy firm  
8 delivered gas under our agreements for this supply.

9 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: So in the case  
10 where it's dual use or coal-firing, you don't use --

11 MR. McALLISTER: Yeah, but looking at it --

12 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You still don't  
13 use --

14 MR. McALLISTER: On a system --

15 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You still don't  
16 use an interruptible mechanism or --

17 MR. McALLISTER: No. I think we managed the  
18 total -- for example, we look at tomorrow. We already  
19 have contracts in place, right? So, you know,  
20 Commissioner Hughes was talking about some of the  
21 models we use. You know, we don't set up just for,  
22 kind of, an average firm rate. We have contractual  
23 portfolios in blocks. So blocks for combined cycles,  
24 blocks that we can call on daily. But I guess what

1 we're saying is we buy to that amount for the system,  
2 right. And then behind that number, we talked earlier  
3 about the production cost models. It's producing a  
4 fuel forecast that were managing what we need for  
5 tomorrow based on the contracts we have to kind of  
6 align with that fuel burn forecast.

7 And then as Dominion was talking about,  
8 there might be some operational considerations. There  
9 might be operational considerations. All those things  
10 were taken into account, but yet we're buying to a  
11 forecast that is produced from a production cost  
12 model, and we have a contract portfolio that some of  
13 them are buying for the month, some of them are buying  
14 for day-to-day under firm contracts. But I think the  
15 point is we buy everything under firm contracts,  
16 regardless of the duration of the supply that we're  
17 buying, if that makes sense.

18 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I'm not sure, but  
19 we'll think about it. Thank you.

20 CHAIR MITCHELL: Just following up there, so  
21 there's never been a situation where either of the  
22 companies hasn't been able to get gas to a power  
23 generating unit because delivery to that unit has been  
24 interrupted?



1           MR. McALLISTER: Not to my knowledge, right.  
2 If we have secured the gas and got it on the Piedmont  
3 system, I'm not aware. For example, using Piedmont or  
4 PSNC, I'm not aware of any specific things. Now, from  
5 time to time, you have little adjustments that you  
6 make when you buy a lot of gas. You might have little  
7 minor adjustments on the supply side where you have  
8 little cuts here and there, but they're highly  
9 immaterial, and we usually correct those through the  
10 nomination cycle that we go through. But yeah, and  
11 not to my knowledge. Once we've gotten the gas on  
12 Piedmont system, that we couldn't redeliver it to an  
13 actual power plant.

14           CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. A couple of  
15 questions for y'all on the VACAR arrangement. Does --  
16 first, Mr. Roberts, what does Dominion's intent to  
17 withdraw from the VACAR reserve sharing arrangement  
18 mean to you?

19           MR. ROBERTS: Yes. So like Mr. Bielak was  
20 speaking to earlier, the transmission capability will  
21 still be there on an interface and we'll still have  
22 the capability to call PJM for emergency energy. I  
23 mean, it won't be part of the VACAR RSG, but we'll  
24 still be able to call PJM and request emergency

1 energy.

2 CHAIR MITCHELL: So do you have the  
3 confidence that when you make that call, there will be  
4 a transmission capacity available to get the emergency  
5 energy down here?

6 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. So based on looking at  
7 the TRM IDs and the OASIS and also per --

8 CHAIR MITCHELL: Transition Reserve Margin.

9 MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

10 CHAIR MITCHELL: Is that what TRM -- okay.

11 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. And based on the  
12 comments that Mr. Bielak made, yes.

13 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Does Duke plan to  
14 make any changes to its TRM as a result of Dominion's  
15 withdrawal?

16 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. We will still plan for  
17 the TRM in accordance with our TRM ID as posted on our  
18 Oasis, and there's certain requirements around what  
19 has to be in that TRM. You have to be able to handle the  
20 in-rush when you lose a large unit, and so if the  
21 space is there for that in-rush, the space should be  
22 there for continuing to bring in emergency energy.

23 CHAIR MITCHELL: So just to make sure I  
24 understand this correctly, so the TRM really is

1 predicated on the units on your system, and one of  
2 those going down?

3 MR. ROBERTS: It's -- it's tough to explain  
4 without getting in too deep into the wheat, but --  
5 yeah. It's predicated on loss of units, and it can be  
6 different units that affect interfaces differently.  
7 And the same thing with other entities. They look at  
8 loss of large units on their system and see how that  
9 affects the interfaces, if they're looking at, you  
10 know, the power close resulting across that interface  
11 from that loss of unit.

12 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. So does Dominion's  
13 future exit from the VACAR arrangement affect Duke's  
14 preparations for cold weather events in any way?

15 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. I mean, well -- so  
16 currently for cold weather events, and this was  
17 something adopted after the 2014 Polar Vortex, we  
18 would have VACAR call with the members. We moved it  
19 to 6:00 in the morning, because if you wait until  
20 8:30, it's too late. And so we had a call with those  
21 members. So I would foresee us continuing to invite  
22 PJM to that call just to see what their state is with  
23 respect to generation and, you know, what they're  
24 expecting with respect to power close capability,

1 rider emergency assistance. And so I would see us  
2 continuing to invite PJM to that call.

3 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley.

4 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: I have a follow-up.  
5 I heard that there was no concern regarding available  
6 transmission, but is there any concern about PJM's  
7 ability to actually have the energy necessary to  
8 transfer during these times of system stress?

9 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. I mean, there's that  
10 concern with the VACAR RSG. I mean, a VACAR member  
11 can call the other VACAR members and notify them that  
12 they are not maintaining their reserves because  
13 they're out. And I know of a similar situation that  
14 occurred in 2014 with a couple other VACAR members.

15 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.

16 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: One quick question.  
17 In follow-up to what Commissioner Brown-Bland was  
18 asking earlier about the firm contracts for gas, now  
19 when I think of a firm contract, I'm thinking of one  
20 that's for a fixed quantity at a fixed price. Are  
21 there times when it's not at a fixed price considering  
22 the volatility of the price of gas, it's fixed for a  
23 fixed quantity, it's guaranteed to be delivered? How  
24 does that work?

1 MR. McALLISTER: Yes, you're correct.

2 Sometimes, a firm contract can be -- you know,  
3 typically, it can be at a fixed price --

4 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Yeah.

5 MR. ROBERTS: -- or if we're buying under a  
6 firm contract day-to-day, it will be at the spot  
7 price. So yeah, it can -- you know, you can buy a  
8 monthly gas, and I'm just going to make up a number.  
9 That's \$6.00.

10 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Sure.

11 MR. McALLISTER: And you set up your  
12 contract for it, and then day-to-day based on changes  
13 in load, changes in forecasts as CT runs. We also  
14 have firm contracts to buy, deliver daily gas. Now,  
15 that gas, the gas price is \$10.00 that day, that's  
16 what you're going to pay. So yeah, there is a -- some  
17 of it is priced day-to-day based on the type of firm  
18 contract you have.

19 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Got it. And in  
20 terms of the oil, I know you spoke earlier about the  
21 volatility -- well, basically the oil being available  
22 when you needed it. But I'm just curious in terms of  
23 what the average ratio might be, and it may be plant  
24 specific or site specific between running on say oil

1 or gas, as the case may be. How much more are you  
2 spending? Yeah.

3 MR. McALLISTER: Yeah. So I would say, you  
4 know, typically we're going to run economically, so  
5 whichever --

6 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Yeah.

7 MR. McALLISTER: Like, you know, we have to  
8 run CTs today. And let's just say the gas price is  
9 \$7.50. The equivalent oil price is 28 or \$29, so  
10 we're always going to try to run economically in  
11 normal kind of operations. But when you do get to  
12 these higher loads, the only unit you may have left  
13 may be oil units or you're running oil, or because you  
14 have a gas portfolio where, you know, maybe your load  
15 is so high for a couple of days, you decide -- you  
16 know, you don't want to have the ability to get more  
17 gas --

18 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Right.

19 MR. McALLISTER: -- from what you've already  
20 got. You may run other dual units on oil because  
21 that's all you have.

22 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Exactly.

23 MR. McALLISTER: So I think of a --  
24 generally, we try to do it economically but certainly

1 in extreme days, you know, based on, you know, can you  
2 buy a little more spot gas even though if it's cheaper  
3 than oil. Maybe you can, maybe you can't, but you'll  
4 run oil on those days, which is -- like Sammy was  
5 saying earlier, I mean, we have a lot of units that  
6 are dual fuel, so it gives us a lot of flexibility --

7 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Exactly.

8 MR. McALLISTER: -- during those colder  
9 periods to manage economically, and I believe from a  
10 fuel supplier perspective.

11 COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Sure. I appreciate  
12 your presentation. It was insightful. Being most of  
13 the questions that were in the back of my mind, they  
14 got asked at some point by one of my fellow  
15 Commissioners.

16 CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Public Staff,  
17 you're up.

18 MR. METZ: Good afternoon. My name is  
19 Dustin Metz with the Public Staff. How are you  
20 gentlemen doing today?

21 MR. ROBERTS: Doing well.

22 MR. METZ: The Public Staff sent some  
23 discovery questions on March 25th. I'd like to turn  
24 to your responses to question 3B. I believe it's on

1 page 5. And in that response, you have a general  
2 discussion on actions 1 through 3. Could you provide  
3 a general update by each action item where Duke is at  
4 the implementation process?

5 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Let me read through  
6 these. And you just to clarify, you said 3B as in  
7 Bravo?

8 MR. METZ: That is correct, B as in Bravo.

9 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Just to make sure I'm  
10 clear, Mr. Metz, so the action item that you're  
11 referring to are for the Companies' mission, critical  
12 nuclear, non-nuclear generating units, the Companies  
13 ensure the planned outages occur in over several  
14 months.

15 MR. METZ: That is correct.

16 MR. ROBERTS: So the only -- no, I'm not  
17 familiar with the current status. But the only thing  
18 I can state with respect to action two is that we do  
19 have the guidance document in place per quarterly  
20 testing of CTs and annual testing of CTs associated  
21 with CCs with the running on fuel.

22 MR. METZ: Would the Company be willing to  
23 file a late-filed exhibit with a general update or an  
24 implementation plan of each one of these action items?



1 Just for context, these three additional cold weather  
2 actions are the result of filing the 2021 Texas event,  
3 and that we've had some discussions where some of  
4 these are in process. I'm just curious of where we're  
5 at in the overall planning or implementation.

6 MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. We would be willing to  
7 file a general status, general statement of status.

8 MR. METZ: Thank you. And in response to  
9 Question Number 8, the Company discussed the  
10 meteorologist from 2015 and 2018 winter events. And  
11 this question's not meant to imply one utility did bad  
12 or worse better than the other, but it appears that  
13 there were not events for the DEC plants but there  
14 were about a half a dozen events for the DEP plants.  
15 Do you have any insight into why the -- why no events  
16 occurred with the DEC and why events occurred at the  
17 DEP plants?

18 MR. ROBERTS: I do not have any insights on  
19 why was outages occurred at the DEP plants, because at  
20 the time, I believe in 2015, and I'm pretty confident  
21 in 2018, we had one VP over the gas fleet and one VP  
22 over the coal fleet.

23 MR. METZ: So would the Company be willing  
24 to file a late-filed exhibit to the extent you can

1 look into or maybe explain why? And it could be  
2 nothing the reason why DEC plants had none and DEP did  
3 have some. Again, thinking out loud was oil -- DEC  
4 plants are closer to the mountains and some of the  
5 plants that were impacted were further down the  
6 southeast. It could have just been as simple as a  
7 temperature rating and we weren't expecting these  
8 temperatures down in this part of the area, but now  
9 it's been corrected.

10 MR. ROBERTS: Right. It -- I could have  
11 been associated with the day and the temperatures,  
12 because I know in 2018, for example, on the 7th, which  
13 was a Sunday, we almost hit our all-time peak on a  
14 Sunday. You still had snow on the ground from Raleigh  
15 eastward, and so you had a lot of heat that wasn't  
16 absorbed by the earth, so a lot of that was reflected  
17 and back up into the atmosphere. So your actual  
18 coldest temperatures were in eastern North Carolina  
19 that morning, and so that may have been a cause. I'm  
20 not sure. I would have to look into that.

21 MR. METZ: Okay. Thank you. Following on  
22 the Company's response to question number 8C as in  
23 Charlie regarding the load forecasts, you lowered the  
24 temperatures. When you lowered the temperatures, you

1 reported a peak load of 43 gigawatts occurring across  
2 the Carolinas. Do you know offhand or would you be  
3 able to file as a late-filed exhibit the potential  
4 reserve margin or/and list the assumptions of any unit  
5 outages that did occur in that analysis?

6 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Once again for  
7 clarification, is that 9C, Charlie? 9 Charlie?

8 MR. METZ: I believe it was 8 Charlie, but  
9 one second. Oh, you're correct. That is 9 Charlie.

10 MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Right. So based on  
11 looking at prior actual loads versus temperatures for  
12 cold winter peaks, this peak load that was forecasted,  
13 looking at these extreme cold temperatures, looks  
14 reasonable with respect to -- if you kind of  
15 extrapolated that line on out from past actual  
16 temperatures versus cold weather. So the 43 gigawatts  
17 protected for BA load, that looks extremely reasonable  
18 with respect to the temperatures.

19 MR. METZ: And the highest peak that we've  
20 had combined, I believe what you stated earlier was in  
21 2018?

22 MR. ROBERTS: That's correct, at 36865 I  
23 believe was the number.

24 MR. METZ: And just in follow-up, the 43

1 gigawatts that you're reporting here, you noted no  
2 unit outages and you're able to meet demand?

3 MR. ROBERTS: So this is looking at the  
4 stability of the load forecast model. We interpret  
5 it, the question that way, is does your load forecast  
6 look like it erratically predicts something that could  
7 cause a significant load forecast area that could  
8 jeopardize reliability.

9 MR. METZ: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Hinton.

10 MR. HINTON: Bob Hinton, Public Staff, and I  
11 just have a couple questions. Again, going to weather  
12 load forecasting and particular items -- questions 4  
13 and 5 from the Commission and followed up with  
14 Commission's questions 6 and 7 from the Public Staff  
15 data request, so going to question 4 of the  
16 Commission, you note that weather forecasting load  
17 during extreme weather can be challenging and it's  
18 difficult enough just to forecast weather, but you  
19 appear to be doing a very good job at forecasting  
20 weather, and I expect that's the case.

21 So you talked about the difficulty of taking  
22 a weather forecast and translating that into a load  
23 forecast, which is ultimately what forecasting  
24 generates. And you've got tools which you've gotten

1 and they look like they're reasonable tools for  
2 reasonable forecasts here. And kind of following  
3 that, I wanted to dig a little deeper in my follow-up  
4 question, follow-up with question number 6 in  
5 particular about the load response model.

6           Given this data from January, 2019, and I  
7 looked at the weather data for that, in particular for  
8 DEP's system and you've got data here for DEC, but I  
9 think a weather response was probably comparable, that  
10 was a mild month, I believe. And you can look at the  
11 chart and see that the most extreme temperature was 20  
12 degrees. So that's not what I believe you define as  
13 extreme weather in that. Correct, right?

14           MR. McALLISTER: Yeah, I would agree with  
15 that.

16           MR. HINTON: So again, filing this  
17 late-filed exhibit thinking, could you maybe provide  
18 more of this temperature load graphs and maybe  
19 following an analysis that may kind of focus on how we  
20 translate a temperature that's like 10 degrees or an  
21 extreme temperature into an actual load? Because, I  
22 mean, back in the day when I actually loaded forecast  
23 myself for your company years ago, we thought there  
24 was a co-linear relationship. And if you look at a

1 plot, you just kind of assume it's a linear  
2 relationship, but there's often a kink in there. And,  
3 you know, having discussions with Glen Snider with  
4 your load forecasting, you know, he's always talking  
5 about, you know, heat pumps have a certain point where  
6 they top out and then people rush out and buy portable  
7 ceramic heaters, and all of a sudden, the temperature  
8 load response grows. So if you can supplement that, I  
9 appreciate it.

10 MR. McALLISTER: Would you -- I mean, do you  
11 want a different -- do you want a month that looks --  
12 I mean, is there some -- I mean, I guess we can talk  
13 about -- maybe this wasn't -- I think we had a little  
14 -- when we answered this, we were just trying to -- I  
15 think the point was we were trying to show that --  
16 just what you said. Temperature and load aren't  
17 always -- you know, it's not necessarily linear,  
18 right, which there's other factors. But if there's a  
19 different month or a different period, you want to see  
20 a higher load?

21 MR. HINTON: Yeah, I want 2018 and 2014 and  
22 2015, because, I mean, I've got a temperature or your  
23 system average temperature in '14 was a little less  
24 than 11 degrees. And on that day, your load was

1 extremely high. You activated all the DSM you had,  
2 including large load curtailment. Yeah. You came  
3 very close to having a brownout, but you -- because  
4 the temperature was so extreme.

5 MR. McALLISTER: You want it for both, for  
6 January of '18?

7 MR. HINTON: To be honest with you, I'd like  
8 it for both because there's an early offset I made in  
9 the years past. The DEC has a lot of natural gas  
10 heating customers, where DEP has limited gas  
11 saturation, a little less gas saturation of natural  
12 gas furnaces. So there's more of a heat pump,  
13 furnacing heating needs in DEP's territory, so you  
14 have a different reaction to temperatures. And those  
15 heat pumps top out and people are so cold, and they  
16 rush to Walmart or whatever.

17 MR. McALLISTER: Yeah.

18 MR. HINTON: So I appreciate that.

19 MR. McALLISTER: Well, let me be clear. So  
20 you wanted January of '18. And was there another one  
21 you wanted?

22 MR. HINTON: Just January of '14 and '15.

23 MR. McALLISTER: January, '14, February of  
24 '15 --

1 MR. HINTON: Yeah.

2 MR. McALLISTER: -- and January of '18.

3 MR. HINTON: Months when you had extreme  
4 loads and extreme temperatures.

5 MR. McALLISTER: Okay.

6 MR. HINTON: Now, I think those three come  
7 to mind. And like you said, you have a lot of serious  
8 people that studied this particular area for the load  
9 forecasting. The other question I've got is -- let's  
10 see. You save the load models -- and I'm really  
11 responding again, going back to Commission question --  
12 let me get my Commission question correct. Your data  
13 response, I think, to Public Staff data request -- no,  
14 no. Forgive me. One second. No. It was the  
15 Commission's -- response to Commission 4. You said  
16 the load models below recorded temperature of frost 30  
17 years would not jeopardize reliability, and you've  
18 already touched on that with the last question by  
19 Mr. Metz.

20 The question I would ask is if you would  
21 look at your load models for an extreme temperature,  
22 like below 10 degrees, but use your simulation models  
23 and actually give more of an example of how a load --  
24 how you'd be able to supply that if you had a Texas



1 event, with those temperatures, both with a simulated  
2 scenario where you have forced outages.

3 Now, you have lots of forced outages during  
4 the 2014 and 2015 vortex, and you've done some  
5 hardening since then. And your system's much more, I  
6 think, resistant to cold extremes, and that's good, of  
7 course, but if you could do a scenario where you  
8 tested the reliability under extreme temperatures  
9 under a scenario or simulation or a reasonable  
10 simulation where you had forced outages that were  
11 reasonable to expect during a cold event.

12 Because, again, you answered the question  
13 that we're not -- your current studies and your  
14 current models would not jeopardize or would not --  
15 your words are would not compromise the reliability of  
16 the system. And -- but that's not assuming the forced  
17 outages as you were -- you earlier addressed, but I  
18 think it's a core Commission question whether an  
19 extreme temperature which would result in a high load,  
20 the danger of reliability that's maybe more limited to  
21 the simple reserve margins, say, which of course goes  
22 to those issues.

23 MR. McALLISTER: Right.

24 MR. HINTON: If you'd consider that, if

1 that's possible. The Public Staff would appreciate  
2 that.

3 MR. ROBERTS: Okay.

4 MR. HINTON: That's all I have to say.

5 MS. EDMONDSON: You have one more question?

6 MR. METZ: Yes. There's been some  
7 conversations of sort of spending reserves. So for  
8 context, because your memory is outstanding,  
9 Mr. Roberts, for like the 2014 or 2015 event, do you  
10 know or are you able to provide a general graph of  
11 showing the amount of spending reserves not synced to  
12 the grid on each hour for that given day?

13 MR. ROBERTS: That would be difficult,  
14 because for 2014 and 2015, because I would use energy  
15 accounting data for that, and I think as we stated  
16 with respect to looking at the frequency drops, we  
17 were lucky to find an Excel spread sheet where we had  
18 captured frequency data for the January 7th, 2014  
19 date, but the data resides on magnetic disks and we  
20 don't have anyone with the expertise that can download  
21 that data.

22 MR. METZ: All right. So maybe I'll ask it  
23 a little bit differently. Generally speaking, from a  
24 system operations standpoint, how much spending

1 reserves non-synced to the grid would you have running  
2 to have, is in my words, sort of in your back pocket  
3 to respond in a system emergency or a system event?

4 MR. ROBERTS: Right. So with the standards  
5 as they apply today with Bal, if you're in an EEA --  
6 it's my understanding if you're in an EEA, you can  
7 utilize all your contingency reserves prior to  
8 shedding firm load. Now, as far as Bal 1 goes, you  
9 have to shed load prior to getting to that 30 minute  
10 -- 30 consecutive minute mark for your -- below your  
11 balancing authority ace limit. And so we would have  
12 to have the regulating reserve -- and this is how our  
13 resource adequacy study works. You would have to have  
14 the regulating reserve to make sure you could comply  
15 with Bal 1.

16 MR. METZ: And can you define contingency  
17 reserves?

18 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. So contingency reserves  
19 are reserves either offline or online that you can  
20 utilize. And we say within 10 minutes because you got  
21 to have sometime to deploy, but the standard is to  
22 comply with recovery from the loss of a large unit  
23 within 15 minutes. So what you would do is -- for  
24 example, if we lost Harris, 1000 megawatts, we would

1 have to deploy that offline faststart, CTs, voltage  
2 reduction, and then online and call for emergency  
3 assistance needed to recover that 1000 megawatts  
4 within 15 minutes.

5 So that's how contingency reserves would be  
6 utilized. Versus regulating reserves, that's  
7 basically to handle that variability of the load and  
8 that can cause an imbalance between your resources and  
9 your demand in a short period of time.

10 MR. METZ: Thank you, gentlemen. That's all  
11 the questions I have.

12 MS. EDMONDSON: That's all the Public Staff  
13 has.

14 CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

15 MS. EDMONDSON: Thank you.

16 CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Brown-Bland.

17 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I'm coming back  
18 once more. So I'm thinking that I'm recalling a  
19 contract. I hate to beat the dead horse but I do  
20 think I'm recalling contract with Duke and a gas  
21 company where it was stated that the gas company would  
22 provide daily and hourly interruptible redelivery  
23 service? Does that ring a bell with you?

24 MR. McALLISTER: Um, no. No, it doesn't.

1 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank  
2 you.

3 CHAIR MITCHELL: Let me make sure there's no  
4 additional questions for Duke.

5 (No response)

6 CHAIR MITCHELL: At this point, gentlemen,  
7 you may step down. Thank you very much for your  
8 participation today. It is just about 1:30. We will  
9 break for lunch. Let's go off the record, please.

10  
11 -----  
12 (A recess was taken from from 1:30 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.)  
13 -----  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I, TONJA VINES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.



---

Tonja Vines