Taylor, Jeremy

From: Howard Justin Pickett
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Howard Justin Pickett

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Howard Justin Pickett

Email

JUSTIN.PICKETT240@GMAIL.COM

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to the changes in the plan. I installed solar panels on our home in 2019. The plan is fair and provides equal value to the kilowatts generated regardless of time of day. We should be allowed to continue under the plan that existed when we installed the panels. I can understand a slight increase in a connection fee as part of the increasing costs of maintaining the power grid. If the proposed changes are put in place, I will be researching switching our system to onsite battery storage and eliminate our connection from the power grid.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Veeta SCOTT
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Veeta SCOTT

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Veeta SCOTT

Email

tscott4@centurylink.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I ask that you reject Duke Energy proposal to make any changes to the rules of net metering.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Randy Wetzel
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:20 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Randy Wetzel

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Randy Wetzel

Email

rwetzel613@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to the recent proposal by Duke Energy to change the net metering rules. These changes would make solar energy less appealing for many residence and out of reach for others. This is a time when we should be incentivizing a resident to pursue solar as an alternative to fossil fuels. I encourage you to please perform a thorough investigation into of the effects of Duke Energy's proposed changes in net metering would have for the residence of North Carolina. Thank you for your consideration, Randy

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Stephen Bienhoff
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:20 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stephen Bienhoff

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stephen Bienhoff

Email

s722@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear NCUC, We are current owners of rooftop solar panels and see our panels as one of the best investments we have ever made, for the environment and for us. Our panels generate enough electricity to power our house and an electric car that completely powers our personal local transportation using renewable and clean solar energy. We advise all our family and friends to investigate solar energy as an investment in their future and the future of our planet. Much of what makes our solar investment work is net metering. I understand Duke Energy has petitioned the Commission to change their administration of net metering. I am very concerned that changing the rules of net metering will have a significant and long lasting negative effect on North Carolina. Please keep net metering as it is currently administered so our North Carolina citizens, families, and friends can continue to make this investment in there futures, the future of renewable energy, and the future of our beautiful planet. We respectfully request that the Commission challenge Duke to justify their petition, and seriously consider rejecting Duke's petition to change net metering from how it is currently administered. With sincere regards, Stephen E. Bienhoff

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Nick kindweiler
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 7:36 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nick kindweiler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nick kindweiler

Email

nickkindweiler@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not make any changes to the current net metering program. It is unfair and inconsistent to the initiative to move toward net zero carbon emissions. It is a greed move by the Utility companies and it will have a huge negative effect on renewable clean energy production via rooftop solar in North Carolina. Existing customers will be unfairly charged as they were told one thing at the time of their project install and now Duke wants to change it to benefit themselves. Future customers and the industry will also be negatively impacted as it poses less incentive for customers to make the switch. The payoff term will be largely expanded, and the cost/benefit ratio will be hindered immensely. The current net metering program is fair and integral! Let's leave it that way and show the rest of the states that PEOPLE MATTER and they should be treated fairly and their investment should not be threatened. It's not all about money and greed!

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Adam Fernandez
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 7:22 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Adam Fernandez

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Adam Fernandez

Email

adamnlaura@mac.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NCUC please stop Duke Energy from encroaching upon our benefits as solar owners. By reducing buy back rates and assigning arbitrary peak periods in an effort to reduce incentives for proper activities in the effort to reduce homeowners cost of energy and be responsible climate stewards Duke Energy is harming North Carolina. If they want a study have them pay for it and make adjustments based on hard data from an impartial body not on supposition or monetary motivations. In the meantime also encourage them to review existing studies that show that a power grid based nearly 100% on renewables (solar, wind, battery storage) is cost neutral within our lifetimes. They should be more focused on power grid conversion than taking away the benefits to those of us taking these same actions within our individual homes at significant personal cost.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Thomas Wayne Connor
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 3:58 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Wayne Connor

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thomas Wayne Connor

Email

lynngall811@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the proposal by Duke Energy to change the net metering costs for customers. The NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: William House
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:54 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William House

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William House

Email

dhouse810@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

North Carolina needs clean, renewable energy now more than ever. Please do not allow Duke Energy to change the rules for solar net metering.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Carol C Bosholm
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Carol C Bosholm

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Carol C Bosholm

Email

crlbosh@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Solar power needs to be encouraged at a time when the world is in jeopardy from global warming. I took on the expense of installing solar panels because I did not expect Duke power to do so. It will take years for me to break even on the cost. I did not get the full promised federal tax credit or the rebate from Duke power. Changes to net metering should be considered carefully.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Sharon House
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:46 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sharon House

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sharon House

Email

sphouse@email.unc.edu

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

PLEASE reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina! North Carolina can greatly benefit from solar energy and must be supported! Please do not allow Duke Energy (monopoly) to renege or lessen the established rules for net metering. My family has lived here many generations and hopefully can survive for generations to come. Clean, renewable energy is essential for that to happen.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: JONATHAN WANG
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by JONATHAN WANG

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

JONATHAN WANG

Email

jonathanwangdds@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

This is a blatant attempt to squeeze more money out of the people of North Carolina. Do right by the people of North Carolina and vote this down.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Daryl D.Hailey
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Daryl D. Hailey

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Daryl D. Hailey

Email

DarylHailey@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar customer, please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. A true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC needs to be conducted. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. Please consider this long laundry list and conduct a fair investigation before Duke Energy changes the solar world completely.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: joey lennon
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by joey lennon

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

joey lennon

Email

jlennon1@triad.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject this approval. You need to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC

Taylor, Jeremy

From: DARLENE SINGLETON
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by DARLENE SINGLETON

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

DARLENE SINGLETON

Email

dsingleton3@triad.rr.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this. Single income household, doing my best to plan for MY future. Please don't let Duke energy derail it.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Anna Marcel de Hermanas
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:15 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Anna Marcel de Hermanas

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Anna Marcel de Hermanas

Email

annamdeh@gmail.com

Docket

E 100 SUB 180

Message

Net metering proposed changes will not only hurt those of us who in good faith invested in solar energy years ago, it will also discourage new installations in the state, harming our state, our country, and our planet. STOP the proposed changes/ stand up for NC home owners and a cleaner more sustainable future for all. Anna Marcel de Hermanas, Black Mountain NC

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Sandra L Perez
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:11 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sandra L Perez

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sandra L Perez

Email

slp05081962@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub180

Message

I am offically opposed to this docket

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ethan McConnell
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ethan McConnell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ethan McConnell

Email

vwethan@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: 1. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: 1. higher fixed monthly fees 2. time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand 3. compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, we would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: CHrirstine Dowd
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by CHrirstine Dowd

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

CHrirstine Dowd

Email

dowd@mac.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Under the above mentioned proposal, I, as a owner of a rooftop solar system will be subject to additional fees not charged to other residents. In addition, the proposed incentive (Duke Energy's Smart Saver) proposal that would provide a rebate to solar customers who also install a smart thermostat) but would be available only to all-electric households. Anyone with a gas stove or fireplace would not be able to receive a rebate. What solar customers are doing in good for the environment and helps with the North Carolina plan for net-zero Duke Energy's plan wold reduce value of solar production by 25-35% for the average consumer. How is that helpful to someone who would like to install solar because it's "the right thing to do"? I strongly object to Duke Energy's proposal

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Suhong Xlong
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Suhong Xlong

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Suhong Xlong

Email

zixinxiong@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

1.NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. 2.Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. 3.Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Victor Lewis
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Victor Lewis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Victor Lewis

Email

vlewis@aviatorlabs.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I write to ask you to reject the proposed changes to net metering. Citizens of NC are investing their own money to provide NC with clean renewable energy. We need to encourage these investments.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Marianne Hedgpeth
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Marianne Hedgpeth

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Marianne Hedgpeth

Email

gbogolfnut@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please investigate thoroughly the value of solar before approving the change in Duke Power's credit to Solar Power users. If they reduce the credit for solar production for low demand time periods, they should also reduce the charges to consumers during those those periods.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Deborah Johnson
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Deborah Johnson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Deborah Johnson

Email

dej34@hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a solar customer who strongly opposes Duke Energy's proposed changes to net metering rules. It already feels like theft that my solar surplus generation does not roll over after May 31st of each year. Duke Energy is already stealing that surplus from me. Additionally, the fact that they passed on the cost of their coal ash fiasco to their customers further demonstrates that Duke Energy will always prioritize profits over their customers. Alternative energy is more important than ever and needs to be incentivized, not discouraged.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Matt Lee
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:21 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Matt Lee

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Matt Lee

Email

mtlee20@hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am against the proposed NEM changes Duke recommended to the NCUC. Allowing the rules and paybacks to change whenever it seems advisable by Duke does not benefit NC solar customers/residents and will slow down the growth of solar at a time when it is needed more than ever. Thanks for your time and kind attention to this important matter. Sincerely, Matt Lee - Asheville, NC

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mark Hill
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Hill

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Hill

Email

mhillphd@brnaweb.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to protest Duke Energy's request to change the way that they credit solar energy. We started up a 6.9kw system last December, and have so far have contributed 5.72MWh to the electrical grid, saving approximately 9660lbs of CO2 from going into the atmosphere (equal to actions of 73 trees). Duke has been selling our power at a profit, which they hope to increase by cheating us of our rights in the changes that they propose. At a time when we should be increasing the use of solar energy, they are seeking to throttle the financial benefits and secure their monopoly. Please vote against any plans to change the existing net metering credits, unless it is to provide MORE benefits to solar energy producers.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Josh Barton
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Josh Barton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Josh Barton

Email

jnbnole1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I wanted to reach out to request that you do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. As a current solar user I hope that the proposed changes are looked into from all sides. The decision my family made to install solar panels was one made based on the opportunity to offset not only my power consumption but also that of other customers who potentially can not have solar for one reason or another. Please do not allow Duke to change the systems that are currently in place as that would likely reduce the appeal to new customers. Now more than ever we need to be encouraging more home owners into considering alternative energy options and the proposed changes that Duke wants to make would not do that. Thanks for your time Josh Barton

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Douglas Beck
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Douglas Beck

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Douglas Beck

Email

dougbeck1@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

If Duke Energy doesn't want to pay their fare share for solar energy then they shouldn't be able to use my energy from my roof. It is a horrible time to pull this stunt when America needs all the resources we can get. I'm fully prepared to disconnect from the grid and store my energy in batteries in my home. Thank you for listening to my concerns.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mark C Paxton
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mark C Paxton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark C Paxton

Email

mark@markcpaxton.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I implemented solar on my home within the last month. I was pleased to make what I had considered being an environmentally conscious decision. I was also delighted to become not only a consumer of electric power but a producer in what I had envisioned to be a mutually beneficial relationship by selling excess electricity generated by my system to the power company. Further, I am a few years away from retirement and made the investment to protect myself against ever-rising energy (and other) costs. In the current relationship, I was not happy to learn that the power my system generates is not purchased at the same rate I have to pay (or even close) for acquiring the same amount of power from the power company. In fact, to learn that Duke wants to further erode the value of the electricity my system produces by paying even less is infuriating. Duke does not have the best reputation, and requests/actions like these will continue to put the state's continued growth at risk if honored. Duke should look for other means to address their income streams rather than to attack their so-called partners in the electrical grid serving our area and state.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Melissa Cole Essig
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:18 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Melissa Cole Essig

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Melissa Cole Essig

Email

mcoleessig@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a residential customer of Duke Energy who has recently expended significant resources installing solar panels in an effort to do my part to slow climate change, I stand STRONGLY AGAINST Duke Energy's Application for Approval of Net Energy Metering Tariffs. The changes Duke Energy proposes will discourage consumers from investing in solar power and discriminate against consumers who invest in greater solar capacity, all in service of Duke Energy's bottom line. The Application proposes four ways in with consumers with solar panels will be subjected to additional costs levied by and going to Duke Energy. All four of these are thinly veiled efforts to preserve Duke Energy's profit margins and discourage robust efforts to offset carbon emissions by installing solar panels. 1) Proposed monthly minimum bill. The Application proposed that customers with solar panels be subjected to a monthly minimum bill that has no connection to the customer's electricity usage. Duke Power contends that without requiring customers generating their own solar power to a mandatory payment that exceeds their grid usage is necessary to avoid "upward pressure" on rates. This is untrue and irrelevant on two counts. First. Duke Energy presents no evidence that it would be required to raise rates if customers generating their own solar power weren't forced to pay for power from the grid that they aren't using. From a consumer's standpoint, it looks to me like Duke Energy is simply seeking to hold onto its profit margin in the face of more and more consumers making the choice to install solar panels. Second, even if there were upward pressure on rates, this would be an incentive to find more ways for individuals and communities to switch to solar power, a far better result for the environment and future generations. Duke Power's scenario has it backwards; it encourages and promotes dependence on energy sources that are polluting our environment and contributing to climate change when we are in a crisis that requires every effort to reduce that dependence. 2) Monthly grid access cost for some solar facilities. As with the monthly minimum billing, this part of Duke Energy's proposal will discriminate against solar users and promote dependence on energy sources that are contributing to climate change. Even worse, the proposed monthly grid access cost is greater for consumers with larger systems—evidencing, yet again, that Duke has no interest in reducing dependence on harmful energy sources and instead punishes those who do the most to reduce such dependence. 3) Non-bypassable charge based on Duke's estimate of system capacity. Duke Power tips its hand here when it argues that this third monthly charge is "necessary" because some of the costs that Duke Power passes on to consumers could be avoided by consumers who choose to install solar panels. There is nothing "necessary" in this scenario. Consumers who do contribute to Duke Power's costs should not have to pay for those costs. Once again, Duke

Power proposes a charge that would discourage responsible use of solar energy as an alternative to energy sources that are contributing to climate change. 4) No clear offset for power generated by solar panels. This is perhaps the most punitive part of Duke Energy's proposal. Duke Power proposes that when a consumer's generation of power by solar panels exceeds that consumer's use of power from the grid in a given month, the excess not be rolled over to the following month. In other words, Duke Power seeks to steal a consumer's generation of power without compensating the consumer for it. This is venal and intolerable. To make it worse, Duke Energy proposes a confusing tiered-system whereby power generated during certain times could be offset only against grid power used during those times—a patent ploy to further depress consumers' savings through their investment in solar panels. In short, Duke Energy is seeking to profit from consumers' investment in solar energy. In doing so, it shows scant regard for the imperatives of climate change or for the consumers who have taken up the mantle of doing what they can to help our planet. Duke Energy's proposal would discourage the use of alternative energy sources in order to support the continuation of its business interests and its own bottom line. There is a reason utilities are regulated. Duke should not be allowed to promote its own interests at the expense of public good. For all of these reasons, I ask that its Application be denied.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Scott Royle
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:10 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Scott Royle

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Scott Royle

Email

scottlroyle@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I bought a 10 kw solar panel array 2 years ago, I recently heard about this bill that is under consideration, it's not good for our state or our environment. Please reject it and give our children a better future. Thanks

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Shimoga Prakash
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 6:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Shimoga Prakash

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Shimoga Prakash

Email

srrprakash@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

Taylor, Jeremy

From: J.g.Baker
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 6:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by J.g. Baker

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

J.g. Baker

Email

bakers4christ@embarqmail.com

Docket

E100 sub 180

Message

Duke energy is stealing my solar and giving me nothing in return monthly and over charge of me monthly every month i over produce I get nothing back and months. I live alone and have 38 panels and pay every month and get nothing for the extra and during the winter it goes over 300. And all my extra is gone pleas e help me I owe them over 700. Now and have extra every month thanks j.g baker 919-650-9010

Taylor, Jeremy

From: john D Summers
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 6:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by john D Summers

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

john D Summers

Email

jsummersjr@reagan.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke solar program ..... it's ashamed that they "roll of extra solar credits" at the end of May, If you have gas heat, most of the solar credits from Nov - May will never be used unless you get creative. I ran electric space heaters to use some of the credits from Dec - April .... still lost 650 kilowatts at the end of May. Solar panels went active in Aug 2020 So if they are trying to devalue the solar power produced OR adding fees, push back on Duke.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: James Dunn
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 5:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James Dunn

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James Dunn

Email

jimdunn4@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a stakeholder, I was unaware of E-100 Sub 180 and not informed by Duke Energy Progress or NCUC. I am opposed to the changes proposed by DEP. As RES Net Metering customer, they already impose an additional $14/month basic customer charge not charged to regular customers, $1.41 Renewable Energy Rider (whatever that is) $0.003 Storm Recovery Cost. In the docket, DEP talks about 'socialized energy cost' being spread among non Net Metering customers. If that is true I would attribute it DEP's incompentance of providing accurate metering reading and billing. In December of 2021 I sent a letter to DEP via USPS informing that they had eroniously credited my account One Million Net Metering kWh's. A copy was also to the Publc Staff at NCUC. Public Staff acknowledged receipt and sent copy to DEP requesting investigation. To date I still haven't heard from DEP. DEP sent a response to Public Staff stating it was a meter reading error. I requested a reconciliation of my account and alerted them that other Net Metering customers may also had 'reading error' falsely crediting Net Metering KWh's. In the past 52 months I had 4 electric meters. First meter failed to display and was replaced with duplicate meter. Next meter was installed 2 months later when I went Net Metering with an AMI meter that DEP manually extracted data via contractor (Electric One, I think). Then next and current AMI meter was installed in May of 2021. I have 2 other electric accounts with Electric Membership Corporations that I can montier electric consumption in 15 intervals, real time. I can't do that with the DEP AMI meter. It would seem to me that if DEP having to 'socialize' cost among served consumers, it is because of bad business decisions made by DEP, not Net Metering customers. It is requested that NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar and ensure DEP is using best business practices prior to implementing DEP requested changes. Respectfully submitted for consideration, James Dunn

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Marilyn Richardson
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:42 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Marilyn Richardson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Marilyn Richardson

Email

gmom6120@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please reject the Duke solar energy proposal to revisit solar metering.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Donald J Stiver
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:47 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Donald J Stiver

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Donald J Stiver

Email

inhiswind@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have watched through the years the actions and attitudes of Duke Energy and am always appalled at the lack of truthfulness and greed with which they operate. (please contact me if you desire my testimonial account). I have made two major investments upon my residence with the intent (and agreement) of my being an energy source producing usable energy via solar power. Now it is my understanding Duke Energy wishes the NC Utilities Commission to allow them to once again vote in their favor for their profit changing the terms of agreement! Not for the good of anything else; not the environment, ease of usage on the grid, solar industry jobs, or for us, the residents/energy consumers. No. It is clear they operate for their own benefit and no one else's. Oh, I can go on and on about Dukes mode of operation and the (god like) attitude they display, but I will hold that for an other time. What they are asking you to okay is one sided and in no way fair or equal and they need to be requires to look within their own bloated organization for cost savings and not feed their greed at the expense of us honest investors. I say NO, and ask you to please do the same. (Ph. 828-245-5784)

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Monica Rowe
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 8:48 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Monica Rowe

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Monica Rowe

Email

mwrowe05@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please oppose this and prevent Duke Energy from encouraging solar power in our state/area. Ironically, the solar company that came to sell to us, mentioned Duke as if they were a part of the product. Although, I do not agree or am completely satisfied with purchasing the solar due to cost! But I am happy with my bills and saving the environment.