From: Nayan Patel
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nayan Patel

Date: Monday, December 12, 2022 6:19:02 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nayan Patel

Email

nayanpatel1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

You need to reject any plans from Duke increases monthly or any costs for residential solar. The mandatory 14 month and paying for excess energy sent to them is already stealing. They need to reduce costs for solar and payback people for free energy they are receiving. Nayan

From: Richard Paar
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Paar Date: Monday, December 12, 2022 5:47:52 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Paar

Email

rwpaar@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke would have you believe that they are losing money and would like to reduce the amount that they pay solar customers for power delivered to the grid. At the end of each accounting year, Duke zeroes out each solar account dropping any accumulated balance. They cleverly end the accounting year just before the A/C season starts and after the generally mild Spring thus maximizing their claw back. This past year, that claw back cost my account 1674 kWh. That's enough profit.

From: Artie Miller
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Artie Miller **Date:** Monday, December 12, 2022 4:30:18 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Artie Miller

Email

aeeamiller@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not change the existing net metering plan. When we installed our solar panels we relied on the existing net metering plan to make the numbers work. Even with the existing plan, we produce more energy for Duke than we consume....which is a gain for Duke Energy and for everyone else in North Carolina as less fossil fuel is needed to supply electrical needs for the state.

From: Steven Stay
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steven Stay **Date:** Monday, December 12, 2022 4:13:21 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven Stay

Email

steven.thomas.stay@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please hold an expert hearing on net metering. Do not rush this important decision! Net metering is under attack in California, too. But at least that proposal would let existing customers like me stay on my current net metering plan, because "no one wants to be told the price of your meal is going up when you are part way through eating it." The NCUC should protect existing customers for the life of their system. House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. The proposed net metering changes would make slightly better sense if approved together with a smart thermostat incentive that would be offered to new solar customers. But that incentive is being considered in a separate proceeding and is likely to be rejected. Please decide on the smart thermostat before deciding on net metering.

From: Joshua Broder
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joshua Broder

Date: Monday, December 12, 2022 3:11:21 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joshua Broder

Email

joshua.broder@duke.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a homeowner in Wake County and installed solar panels on my home in 2019. A clean energy future is essential to North Carolina and to our planet. Encouraging solar installation through incentives to citizens is good public policy. As a physician at Duke University Hospital, I also know the value to human health of curbing carbon emissions and limiting climate change.

From: Christopher J Nack
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Christopher J Nack

Date: Monday, December 12, 2022 12:44:33 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Christopher J Nack

Email

chris.nack@gmail.com

Docket

E-100, Sub 180CS

Message

As a solar rooftop homeowner, I am against Duke's plan to raise my monthly connection fee, as well as lowering their reimbursement rates for clean energy my system feeds back into their grid. We need to be incentivizing clean energy. Duke's plan does the opposite.

From: <u>karen skarda</u>
To: <u>Statements</u>

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by karen skarda

Date: Monday, December 12, 2022 10:30:41 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

karen skarda

Email

kskardamd@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Net metering issues need expert studies to be done. Duke says solar customers do not pay the grid costs...however, as a solar customer I send one third of my consumption-approx 3 MWh back to the grid FOR FREE to Duke each year!!! This is in addition to my base charge monthly. Duke currently has costless generation of energy for its grid from MANY solar customers! Subsidizing Duke is not my goal, but a better energy system for us North Carolinians is! Especially with the new Inflation Reduction Act monies--now is the time to enhance local solar with local battery power, so one damaged center does not crash energy for millions. We need more local assets for true power independence, as well as the current grid for back up

From: <u>Kathleen Kuschel</u>
To: <u>Statements</u>

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kathleen Kuschel

Date: Monday, December 12, 2022 7:48:29 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kathleen Kuschel

Email

kjkuschel@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I implore you to stop building fossil gas plants. Furthermore, more research needs to be done with a decision on the smart thermostat being made before deciding on net metering.

From: Peter Brezny
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Brezny
Date: Sunday, December 11, 2022 11:51:53 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter Brezny

Email

peter.brezny@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Net metering must remain in place in NC for us to transition to a renewable energy future. Incentives for installing roof top solar by home homeowners must be increased, and fees from Duke Progress eliminated. There was a time when North Carolina was THE NATIONAL LEADER in solar deployment, that prestigious position was squandered by politicians lobbied by utility company interests. NCUC Must, by law, conduct a full cost benefit analysis of rooftop solar before making rule changes. With generous incentives and compensation for the excess power small scale solar produces, NC can once again become the leader in solar adoption in the US. This will also create thousands more skilled jobs that pay well for the people of NC to enjoy, all while cleaning up our energy supply for generations to come. Without incentives for rooftop solar, it's unlikely we'll meet our needed emissions reduction targets. Many are so frustrated with Duke power's dirty tricks, that without legislation to clean this up, we will likely see those who can afford it, simply putting battery storage in their homes disconnecting from the grid, leaving it weaker, instead of adding to the strength, redundancy and reliability of the grid, all of which would occur with proper consumer incentives and fair payment schedules for power produced. What do you want? Rich corporations, dirty power, a less secure grid and fewer jobs, or the exact opposite. Do the right thing. Incentivize rooftop solar. Make utilities pay at least a market price for solar energy they buy from us, and eliminate the BS fees they tack onto our bill to penalize solar adoption.

From: Peter Brezny
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Brezny
Date: Sunday, December 11, 2022 11:51:48 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter Brezny

Email

peter.brezny@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Net metering must remain in place in NC for us to transition to a renewable energy future. Incentives for installing roof top solar by home homeowners must be increased, and fees from Duke Progress eliminated. There was a time when North Carolina was THE NATIONAL LEADER in solar deployment, that prestigious position was squandered by politicians lobbied by utility company interests. NCUC Must, by law, conduct a full cost benefit analysis of rooftop solar before making rule changes. With generous incentives and compensation for the excess power small scale solar produces, NC can once again become the leader in solar adoption in the US. This will also create thousands more skilled jobs that pay well for the people of NC to enjoy, all while cleaning up our energy supply for generations to come. Without incentives for rooftop solar, it's unlikely we'll meet our needed emissions reduction targets. Many are so frustrated with Duke power's dirty tricks, that without legislation to clean this up, we will likely see those who can afford it, simply putting battery storage in their homes disconnecting from the grid, leaving it weaker, instead of adding to the strength, redundancy and reliability of the grid, all of which would occur with proper consumer incentives and fair payment schedules for power produced. What do you want? Rich corporations, dirty power, a less secure grid and fewer jobs, or the exact opposite. Do the right thing. Incentivize rooftop solar. Make utilities pay at least a market price for solar energy they buy from us, and eliminate the BS fees they tack onto our bill to penalize solar adoption.

From: Kirk Charles
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kirk Charles

Date: Sunday, December 11, 2022 8:18:06 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kirk Charles

Email

kcharles197@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Net metering is an important part of working with the electric company. Excess energy is sent to the energy company to be used. Credits are provided to the homeowner. this is a reasonable tradeoff. Duke does not write any checks to the homeowner. The credits simply offset the next month's cost of energy use. Every May they reset the excess to 0. This prevents the homeowner from paying less than their fair share. Isn't it reasonable that we do not have to pay increased prices if we are providing the energy that the energy company is then providing to others and charging for? A cost benefit analysis can be conducted to see if solar users are really using more than they are paying for. Evaluate smart thermostats as well. Thanks

From: William Goley
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William Goley **Date:** Sunday, December 11, 2022 5:59:16 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William Goley

Email

willgoley@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Net metering pricing should not be allowed to change. I made a long term investment based on equal pricing to buy and sell electricity. My investment benefits Duke Power as it helps them manage peak electric needs which is what drives their need to build new generating capacity.

From: Josh Dorion
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Josh Dorion **Date:** Sunday, December 11, 2022 1:32:03 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Josh Dorion

Email

josh@joshdorion.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

To whom it may concern, I am writing today to encourage the NCUC to maintain or only improve our existing net metering roles, and decline the ones Duke Energy is trying to push through. It is critical to NC families like mine that we do not rush such an important decision, but I worry that may be occurring, given the NCUC's recent decision to reject a petition for an expert hearing on November 8th. House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Please conduct this full-cost benefit study of rooftop solar before making a final decision. Energy claims that solar owners do not pay their fair share, but this has yet to be proven. If anything, the NCUC should let existing customers stay in their current net metering plan, and protect existing customers for the life of their installed PV systems. Otherwise, it's like being told the price of your meal is going up halfway through consuming it. This is a decision California recently made in their NEM 3.0 plan revision as well.

From: Ariel McCabe
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ariel McCabe

Date: Sunday, December 11, 2022 10:51:06 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ariel McCabe

Email

mcmays22@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Keep or improve our current net metering rules. As homeowner's with existing solar array installation, we shouldn't have the rules changed on us before we realize our Return on Investment (ROI) and in the lifetime of our systems. Rather we should be grandfathered under our existing agreements and new changes take affect on new/future agreements. House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. A full investigation should be made before changing the rules on net metering. Making decisions on limited information and before conducting required investigations makes for poor decisions indeed, particularly when it affects the pocketbooks of the people in the great state of North Carolina.

From: Paul Fox
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Fox **Date:** Sunday, December 11, 2022 8:12:51 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paul Fox

Email

paufox@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke energy for the past 5 years provided a financial incentive (up to \$4000 dollar rebate & 1:1 net metering) to customers who installed solar. Now they want to change the net metering rules. This would be like a bank changing my 2021 mortgage interest rate of 2.3% to today's current rate of 7% just because the bank is not 'making enough money' from me. I view this as I an agreement I entered with Duke Energy in 2022 to provide excess solar energy at a 1:1 net metering rate. I believe this agreement should be honored until I sell my home or discontinue service. Also, the proposed additional fee to be applied to home owners with solar seems discriminatory. Gas stations do not apply an additional fee at the gas pump if I drive a hybrid car.

From: <u>David Finlow</u>
To: <u>Statements</u>

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Finlow Date: Saturday, December 10, 2022 4:44:36 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Finlow

Email

david.finlow@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke, as a minimum, should have to pay the homeowner for excess solar energy generation; they should, in fact, pay the homeowner a premium for solar energy generation, as is the case in Tennessee.

From: Mark Nelson
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Nelson

Date: Saturday, December 10, 2022 12:56:13 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Nelson

Email

mark@nelson.us

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a Duke residential customer with a fairly large solar installation, and I would like to comment on their contention that other rate payers are having to subsidize my use of the grid. Because of the way net metering currently works, I get a reset of my stored kWh on 6/1 each year. For the three years I have had the plan, I have always had a surplus that went back into the pockets of Duke. That means I'm actually subsidizing other rate payers, not penalizing them. In addition, even if I use no power at all, my connection fee each month certainly counts for something. Most importantly, by me having a 10 kW generation capacity on my roof, Duke gets a free pass on adding capacity, which of course is the most expensive part of their business. To pretend that doesn't have a huge effect on the benefits of net metered solar is just disingenuous.

From: <u>Amanda Miles-Graeter</u>

To: <u>Statements</u>

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Amanda Miles-Graeter

Date: Saturday, December 10, 2022 12:07:25 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Amanda Miles-Graeter

Email

cheesegraeter@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please keep or improve current net metering rules in NC. We need to continue to move towards solar and other renewable energy. We need to continue to make this make sense for homeowners who have already put solar on their homes under the net metering system.

From: <u>karl Bertrand fields</u>
To: <u>Statements</u>

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by karl Bertrand fields

Date: Saturday, December 10, 2022 10:36:29 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

karl Bertrand fields

Email

kbfields49@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

When I check my home solar energy production, I consistently produce 80% of the power that I use. To me it seems that I subsidize Duke Power as my bill is only reduced by $\sim 30\%$. Shouldn't net metering more fairly reward those of us using home solar?

From: Shirley Mewborn
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Shirley Mewborn

Date: Saturday, December 10, 2022 9:50:39 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Shirley Mewborn

Email

swmewborn@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I bought my solar system in good faith. I feel I should not be punished because Power companies now want to change how the net metering is done. I should be protected as long as I have my system.

From: Patrick Reynolds
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Patrick Reynolds

Date: Saturday, December 10, 2022 9:26:39 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Patrick Reynolds

Email

learnpv@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge the committee to enforce North Carolina's Duke Energy current net metering rules for existing solar owners. Allowing Duke Energy to modify the terms of the their net metering rates for existing solar equipment owners will impact the investments many solar homeowners like myself have made in improving the air quality of our state and surrounding areas. Please ensure existing net metered customers are protected by grandfathering the existing net metering policy.

From: Robert Sisk Robertson

To: <u>Statements</u>

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Sisk Robertson

Date: Saturday, December 10, 2022 9:00:04 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Sisk Robertson

Email

jakerobertson308@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please carefully consider the consequences of changing the Net Metering Rules for small solar projects. The privately funded solar arrays benefit everyone that uses electricity, and require almost no infrastructure cost to the utility. NCUC needs to have an expert hearing on net metering before making changes! NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. Be suspicious of claims that solar customers pay less than their fair share for access to the grid. Studies of that are inconclusive. Everyone who uses electricity benefits from the privately funded solar arrays, and the potential benefits of increasing customer owned solar generation are huge. New Federal funding for solar generation will soon be in the pipeline. Thank you for your consideration

From: Matthew Neill
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Matthew Neill

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 10:33:07 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Matthew Neill

Email

lmneill@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

On November 8, the NCUC rejected a petition to hold an expert hearing on net metering. Net metering is under attack in California, too. But at least that proposal would let existing customers like you stay on their current net metering plan, because "no one wants to be told the price of your meal is going up when you are part way through eating it." The NCUC should protect existing customers for the life of their system. House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. The NCUC needs to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. The proposed net metering changes would make slightly better sense if approved together with a smart thermostat incentive that would be offered to new solar customers. But that incentive is being considered in a separate proceeding and is likely to be rejected. The NCUC needs to decide on the smart thermostat before deciding on net metering.

From: George Keith
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by George Keith

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 5:53:45 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

George Keith

Email

george.keith@verizon.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

In less than a full year of operation the solar panels on my single-family house gave back to Duke Energy nearly 1,700 KWHs of electricity more than I used in my home and fueling my electric car. So why in the world would Duke Energy put obstacles in the way of my neighbors also wanting to install solar? 1.7 megawatts may be a pittance to Duke Energy, but if enough people did that the benefits would be enormous.

From: Stacy W Caudell
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stacy W Caudell

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 3:47:30 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stacy W Caudell

Email

CAUDELL191@ATT.NET

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please keep the current net metering rules. We made a significant investment in solar panels with the understanding that the net metering rules would remain in place. There had been no indication that the rules would be changed. With Climate Change we are doing the responsible action with solar panels.

From: Pamela Carver
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Pamela Carver

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 2:48:57 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Pamela Carver

Email

hale.carver@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Just under three years ago I had a choice to either buy a new SUV or invest in green technology. I wanted to set an example and support the advancement of the technology hoping others would follow! I chose a full set of solar panels without battery backup and to participate in Duke Energy's net metering program. This and federal tax incentives are what made paying for the system affordable. I purchased my system from Power Home Solar, also known as Pink. My system is working well currently, but as you may know, my warranty is now bankrupt. To add to the frustration, AT&T has pulled my internet service because they do not want to provide DSL service to my road anymore, we have yet to find a new internet provider. Without internet I can't see if my system is working and can only gage it once a month when my power bill arrives. And now they want to end net metering? It's no wonder we are getting nowhere on the environmental front. Household consumption contributes to 72% of global greenhouse gas emissions, WE NEED TO HAVE INCENTIVE FOR THE PEOPLE to invest in solar technology in their homes! I pay more every month now for power, not less. One program alone will not solve the problem, but every little bit helps. Trying to do the right thing

From: <u>Teri Stahara</u>
To: <u>Statements</u>

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Teri Stahara **Date:** Friday, December 9, 2022 12:39:15 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Teri Stahara

Email

tstahara@att.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I don't understand why NC residents are considered LESS than FL or SC residents. As I have been told, those state residents, with duke power, are reimbursed for their excess PV power, but in NC, duke pockets our excess solar power, allowed by NCUC. I'm not asking for reimbursement for the energy I produce with my 7.68 PV system, but I did not spend \$23,000.00 for this system to "gift" duke with my excess. At the end of May, each year, duke steals my excess, and then, if I don't export more energy to duke than they import to me, I am charged for the difference, even though they TOOK almost a mega watt of electricity from me. I'm retired, have received no tax benefit since I had no income, other than social security, so duke's occasional bills of, sometimes over \$100.00, can be a hardship. So, back to the original question??? Why are we considered less then other state citizens?????

From: Siera Ciocci
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Siera Ciocci **Date:** Friday, December 9, 2022 12:00:13 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Siera Ciocci

Email

sciocci@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It's incredibly disappointing that we financing solar panels for a variety of good reasons (supporting local businesses and benefiting the environment) and now Duke Energy wants to change the meetering plan since they aren't benefitting financially. It isn't surprising, given their lack of concern over environmental issues, marriage to fossil fuels, and overall ontology of greed; we had hoped -- perhaps naively -- that our state officials would stand up for us, not rush to a decision, and at the very least conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. We still request those steps be taken. We would love to not be financially punished for doing the right thing by Duke Energy and the Utilities Commission.

From: Robert Wagner
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Wagner

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 11:08:04 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Wagner

Email

rwagnerimagine@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Utilities Commission, I am a NC Citizen, NC Voter, NC business Owner and NC electric provider (ie roof top solar system installed and connected to the grid). I urge you to consider the changes to Net Metering from two perspectives: 1) from those of us who have invested in solar power systems and 2) those that would like to now and in the future. Duke's proposal is not clear as to the economics of how existing solar providers connected through the net metering benefits either party. Let's have some clear, transparent and independent evidence before making any changes. If the results indicate one way or the other, only then should the net metering be changed. Roof top solar is one of the best ways (among others) to move NC to a sustainable future meeting both the 2030 and 2050 targets. Ultimately sustainability is what is best for all parties (energy providers, customers and the environment) now and into the future. Thank you, Robert Wagner

 From:
 Patricia Maley

 To:
 Statements

 Subject:
 E-100 SUB 180CS

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 11:04:35 AM

Reject the proposal being made by Duke Energy for fossil fuel generation of energy for NC grids. It is dirty energy that can be provided cleanly by wind and solar. It is more cost effective to promote individual rooftop solar collectors that require less maintenance than gas powered structures. As a solar owner I feel as though I am one small part of a solution to the destruction of our earth. Duke is still making a profit off of my system and I am addi ng useful power to the grid, while suppling all my own power. That's a WIN WIN. Tell Duke to do the right thing! Forget fossil fuel and build up solar and wind - use renewable energy.

From: Michael Berg
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Berg **Date:** Friday, December 9, 2022 10:57:15 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Berg

Email

mbberg@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Ensure an expert hearing is held about Net-Metering. Do not rely on Duke Energy to present all the facts. Ensure House Bill 589 which requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, is conducted as required. Ensure NCUC conducts a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. DO NOT rely on Duke Energy for unbiased studies.

From: George Phillips
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by George Phillips

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 10:28:49 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

George Phillips

Email

geophillips27@gmail.com

Docket

E100 sub 180

Message

Commissioners: please work for the consumer/residential solar owners to protect their investment . 1. Please do not approve Duke's plan to change net metering before thorough investigation into the cost/benefit of rooftop solar. 2. Protect the investment of existing customers of net metering for the life of their units as these investments were made based on Dukes agreements at the time of installation. 3. At least provide thermostat incentives before changes to future net metering agreements. 4. Please reject Duke's attack on residential solar as well as hold Duke to a much better carbon emissions reduction plan. Consumers are trying to protect our environment by installing rooftop solar to charge their electric vehicles. Please do not let Duke reverse our efforts.

From: Kristen Deskevich
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kristen Deskevich

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 10:01:10 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kristen Deskevich

Email

kdeskevich@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a two-year homeowner of a solar array on my rooftop, I feel like I am doing my part but Duke Energy is NOT doing their part and are actually trying to punish my efforts. Net metering benefits are a right, not a privilege. I expect my good intentions to be supported by my state. House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made ... and that has NOT been done. Please respect the individual homeowners who are doing their part, and support US.

From: Henry Hartleb
To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Henry Hartleb

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 9:49:19 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Henry Hartleb

Email

hhartleb@gmail.com

Docket

"E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do the right thing by the people. House bill 589. Do your due diligence. If Peaker plants are needed and they charge 20 times more to per Mwh then it only makes sense to use and encourage residential solar with batteries to act as mini peaker plants, and pay a rate negotiated between then. Encourage solar and battery adoption.

From: <u>James DiGuiseppi</u>
To: <u>Statements</u>

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James DiGuiseppi

Date: Friday, December 9, 2022 9:45:57 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James DiGuiseppi

Email

jldiguiseppi@outlook.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to urge you to follow the requirements of HB 589 and thoroughly and impartially study the costs and benefits of residential solar for our state and community before making changes to our net metering process. Please do not just accept Duke Energy's assertion that rooftop solar unfairly burdens those without solar. As a current owner of a rooftop solar system, it is unfair to change the rules in the middle of the game, and arbitrarily devalue my investment in order to ensure Duke's profits. Remember that your interests should be those of all the people of North Carolina, and not just Duke Energy's shareholders. Vote NO to changes to change net metering and to diminishing the value of solar energy, essential to our future energy security.

From: Jennifer Kallista

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jennifer Kallista

Date: Monday, December 12, 2022 7:10:32 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jennifer Kallista

Email

jckallista@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I'd like to respectfully submit my commentary about keeping the benefits I have enjoyed thus far by having my solar panel system installed this past year. I do not use much electricity in my home, but what I do use I would like to be mostly covered by the solar system. When I generate excess beyond what I use, I would like to be fairly credited for contributing back to the grid as a low-pollution source. My implementation of a solar system is so that I can help reduce my carbon footprint, and secure a better future for the next generations and for the planet. I should not be punished for doing so with unfair net metering practices, as proposed by Duke Energy. Thank you, Jennifer Kallista Leicester NC