

Date: June 28, 2022

To: North Carolina Utilities Commission

Re: E-100 Sub 180 DEC & DEPs Reply in Opposition to Joint Motion for an Evidentiary

Hearing

Subject: Demand a Legitimate NEM Study

Dear Utilities Commission:

I am alarmed by the motion to avoid having to complete a Real Net Metering Study based on Real NC Customers to determine the actual values to the customers, utility, and state of NEM rules. This should be a standard operating procedure. You cannot deflect and blame those requesting a Real Study for attempting to cause delay, when it is in reality the failure of the utility to perform the required Real NEM Study that is the only cause of this matter.

To show the fallacy of the utility's lawyer's motion, in their Conclusion they say: "there is every indication that if the Commission grants the Motion, it will result in unnecessary delay and potentially lead North Carolina down the same road of acrimony and gridlock that has been experienced in other jurisdictions." The reality of what the utility's lawyers did not say is that similar actions in other jurisdictions have brought out the truth about utility's NEM rule change efforts, and have repeatedly caused those Utility Commission's (UC) to throw out similar Rooftop PV industry-damaging utility requested NEM changes.

We cannot let the tail wag the dog and we should get this resolved truthfully in the Utility Commission in North Carolina to avoid the misfortune that has taken place in California and elsewhere.

A Real NEM Study based on real NC customers and the current and advancing market conditions is what was required and is the **only** thing the UC should accept. Anything less will be a true disservice to the citizens of NC and reflect poorly on the NC UC. The hearing is required.

Respectfully,
Taylor Small
Asheville, NC