1	PLACE:	Via Videoconference
2	DATE:	Wednesday, July 14, 2021
3	TIME:	6:30 p.m 6:48 p.m.
4	BEFORE:	Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell, Presiding
5		Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland
6		Commissioner Lyons Gray
7		Commissioner Jeffrey A. Hughes
8		Commissioner Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.
9		
LO		IN THE MATTER OF:
L1		G-9, Sub 722
L2	Consolidated Natural Gas Construction and Redelivery	
L 3	Services Agreement Between Piedmont Natural Gas	
L 4	Company, Inc., and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC;	
L 5		G-9, Sub 781
L 6	Applica	tion of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.,
L 7	for an	Adjustment of Rates, Charges, and Tariffs
L 8	Ap	plicable to Service in North Carolina;
L 9		and
20		G-9, Sub 786
21	Application	on of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., for
22	Modificat	ion to Existing Energy Efficiency Program and
23	App	roval of New Energy Efficiency Programs
24		VOLUME: 2

```
A P P E A R A N C E S:
 1
 2
    FOR PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.:
 3
     James H. Jeffries, IV, Esq.
 4
    McGuireWoods LLP
 5
     201 North Tryon Street, Suite 3000
 6
    Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
 7
 8
    FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
 9
    Elizabeth Culpepper, Esq.
10
    Megan Jost, Esq.
    Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
11
12
    4326 Mail Service Center
13
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	EXAMINATIONS
3	PAGE
4	KRIS KLENKE
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost 10
6	
7	ANNE SCHRADER
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	EXHIBITS
14	IDENTIFIED/ADMITTED
15	Klenke Exhibit 1 10/10
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening. Let's come to order and go on the record, please. I'm Charlotte Mitchell, Chair of the North Carolina Utilities Commission, and joining me tonight by way of Webex are the following Commissioners. When I announce your name, please announce your presence. Commissioner Brown-Bland.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good evening.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Gray.

COMMISSIONER GRAY: Good evening.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes.

COMMISSIONER HUGHES: (Inaudible).

CHAIR MITCHELL: And Commissioner McKissick.

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Present. Good

16 evening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIR MITCHELL: I now call for hearing Docket Nos. G-9, Sub 722, Sub 781 and Sub 786, In The Matter of the Application of Piedmont Natural Gas Company for an Adjustment of Rates, Charges, and Tariffs Applicable to Service provided in North Carolina. By various Orders entered in this docket, the Commission has consolidated Piedmont's Petition for Consolidated Construction and Redelivery Agreement as well as Duke Energy Carolinas' and Piedmont's

Application for Modification and Approval of Energy

Efficiency Programs with this general rate case

proceeding.

Before we proceed further and as is required by the State Government Ethics Act, I remind all members of the Commission of our duty to avoid conflicts of interest, and inquire at this time as to whether any member of the Commission has a known conflict of interest with respect to the matters coming before us in this docket?

(No response)

The record will reflect that no conflicts have been identified, so we will proceed.

On March 22nd, 2021, Piedmont filed an Application with the Commission seeking authority to increase its rates, charges and tariffs applicable to service in North Carolina. Overall, Piedmont seeks a 10.4 percent increase in annual total revenues to recover its costs. Piedmont states that the increase is necessary primarily due to its investment of capital to expand its gas distribution system to better serve current and future customers and to comply with federal pipeline safety and integrity

requirements.

Along with its Application, Piedmont filed the testimony of expert witnesses Bowman, Couzens, D'Ascendis, Menhorn, Newlin, Powers, Weintraub, and Weisker.

The Fayetteville Public Works Commission; the Carolina Utility Customers Association, Inc.; the Carolina Industrial Group for Fair Utility Rates IV; and Nucor Steel-Hertford filed Petitions to Intervene in this docket, which have been granted by the Commission.

The intervention and participation of the Public Staff is recognized pursuant to North Carolina General Statute § 62-15 and Commission Rule R1-19.

The Public Staff is the consumer advocate and represents the Using and Consuming Public. During the course of this general rate case, the Public Staff will conduct an audit of the data underlying the Company's request and will make independent recommendations to the Commission regarding the Company's request to increase its rates.

On May 17th, the Commission issued an Order Scheduling Investigation and Hearings, Establishing Intervention and Testimony Due Dates and Discovery

Guidelines, and Requiring Public Notice. The Order scheduled two remote public witness hearings to be held on this date by way of Webex and an expert witness hearing to begin on September 7th, 2021.

The purpose of today's public hearing is to hear from Piedmont's customers regarding their concerns with Piedmont's pending requests or with the quality of the service that Piedmont provides.

Before we move on, I'd like to discuss the procedure we're going to follow tonight for this hearing, and I urge each of the witnesses who plans to testify to listen carefully and abide by the procedures I'm about to explain.

Public witnesses will be appearing by audio connection only. Commissioners and counsel will be appearing by video and audio connection. Any public witnesses that wish to view a live video of the proceeding may access it on their computers on YouTube for which a link is provided on the Commission's home page. However, be sure to mute your computers when you're called to testify to avoid feedback. This hearing is being transcribed by our court reporter, and it's critical that we all do what we can to limit interference with her ability to hear those who are

testifying and to hear me.

Public witnesses will be called on to testify in the order that they have called in. When it's your turn to speak, you'll be unmuted by the Webex administrator. You'll hear two beeps on your phone line indicating that you have been unmuted. At that time, I'll ask the witness to state his or her name and I will deliver an oath such that you are giving your testimony under oath. Please pay close attention during the course of the hearing and be ready to respond as soon as you hear the beeps unmuting your phone line. And then again, please state your name at which point I will administer the oath to you.

To ensure that all witnesses have an opportunity to speak, we're going to limit testimony tonight to three minutes as we have done in the previous public hearing.

With that, I will call upon counsel for the parties to announce their appearances for the record, beginning with the Applicant.

MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you, Chair Mitchell.

Good evening. My name is Jim Jeffries. I'm with the

Law Firm of McGuireWoods and I'm here on behalf of the

```
Applicant, Piedmont Natural Gas Company.
 1
 2
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening, Mr. Jeffries.
 3
               Public Staff?
 4
              MS. JOST: Good evening. Megan Jost with
 5
    the Public Staff appearing on behalf of the Using and
 6
    Consuming Public. Appearing with me is Elizabeth
 7
    Culpepper.
 8
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening, Ms. Jost and
 9
    Ms. Culpepper.
10
              Before we begin, any preliminary matters
11
    from counsel?
12
              MR. JEFFRIES: None from Piedmont, Chair
13
    Mitchell.
14
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, Mr. McCoy
15
    would you please unmute our first witness?
16
              MR. KLENKE: Hello. Hey, that works.
17
    it on mute, too.
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Sir, would you please state
18
19
    your name for us?
20
               MR. KLENKE: My name is Kris Klenke.
21
                         KRIS KLENKE;
22
                  having been duly affirmed,
23
                     testified as follows:
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Jost, the witness is
24
```

1	yours.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
3	Q Please state your name and address for the
4	record.
5	A My name is Kris Klenke. My address is 12530
6	Panthersville Drive, Charlotte 28269.
7	Q And are you a customer of Piedmont?
8	A Affirmative.
9	Q Did you submit an exhibit that you would like to
10	be made part of the record?
11	A I did, yes. I submitted it to I believe Megan.
12	MS. JOST: Chair Mitchell, we would request
13	that that exhibit be identified as Klenke Exhibit 1
14	and admitted into evidence.
15	CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Jost, the exhibit will
16	be marked for identification purposes as Klenke
17	Exhibit 1. And hearing no objection from Mr. Jeffries
18	to your motion, that exhibit will be admitted into the
19	record.
20	MS. JOST: Thank you.
21	(WHEREUPON, Klenke Exhibit 1 was
22	marked for identification and
23	received into evidence.)
24	

BY MS. JOST:

- Q Mr. Klenke, do you have a statement that you would like to present to the Commission?
- A Yeah, I do. I mean, as a paying customer of Piedmont Gas which is a subsidiary of Duke Energy, I'm kind of baffled by the fact that I am locked into this monopoly with -- I have zero choice to go anywhere else. I either pay for natural gas, Duke, or I pay for electricity, Duke, so no matter what it's all going up to Duke.

They're asking for 10 percent more. If you Google cost of living increases for Charlotte, let alone the nation, we're talking a 1 to 2 percent for the everyday person. The everyday salary for an everyday Joe is \$58,000 per year that they have to balance to try to pay these bills, and now Duke Energy wants 10 percent more. Which, if you look at the exhibit I posted, it was an early financial view of what the cost of natural gas is going to be for 2020 through 2021, which if you look at that it's projected to have a static gains for this year and a moderate-to-low gains for 2021. So, gas

prices are historically down and projected not going to go up any or very minimal over the next two years. So, I wonder why are they looking for 10 percent more.

Then I hear -- I didn't -- I looked at this docket as much as possible for the little material that I got and I just thought they were just asking for a general increase, not knowing if they were trying to recoup their cost for providing more pipeline which I say two things to that.

One, why don't you charge the people that are actually building those new areas? Come on! My dad was a general contractor. It costs let's say \$1000 to run the line from the road to the house, and \$1000 in the course of a now \$300,000 average cost per house, that's a drop in the bucket. They can make people that are buying those new -- I pay every month I think it's \$10 or more as a facilities fee for no reason. This house was built in '96. If you look at my statement I said exactly how much that comes out to be over the course of whatever many years this house has been built. I

don't know that exact number off the top of my head. But that's pure revenue for them. Why didn't they save that money for when they need to build elsewhere.

I also bring up the idea that they're asking for 10 percent more. If you go look at Duke's board, just six people make three -- \$33.2 million a year. Six people make that amount of money. And the average Charlotte citizen is \$58,000. Last year in 2020, Duke's net income was \$302 million. That's in the black. So you're telling me that the -- sorry -- the \$65 million that they're asking as proposed change, they couldn't find a way to pull that out of the \$3.2 million that they made in revenue last year. I'm just like I'm boggled. I mean, who runs this company that they can't budget correctly?

So, this is part of

my frustration. I mean, the everyday person -you're talking a 10 percent raise over a year,
that's thousands and thousands of dollars that
they have to now spend extra for gas on their
electric bill -- I'm sorry, the gas bill. That's

months of extra daycare that they could have for their kids or whatever. Not everyone is going to be like, yes, I can totally afford this raise, especially when you're paying \$33 million for six people or you're putting in the bank \$302 million over last year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, as a Commission, you've got to start roping these guys in and being like, no, you just can't decide you want a 10 percent raise to pay for building some new stuff. That's the cost of doing business. You either put that on the people that are looking to build out there. If you're trying to build a house way in the sticks, guess what, you get to pay for that line to go out there. There has to be a cause and So, that's all I'm asking you guys effect here. Like, tell these monopolies like Duke Energy they can't just sit there and decide oh, we're going to raise everyone's rate by 10 percent, but pay our six people that are our top C staff \$33 million a year on there.

I am lucky enough that I was able to raise the capital to buy solar panels and attache the power wall we put in my garage, so I

never have to pay Duke Energy ever again, but not everyone can do that. Someone has to stand up to these large companies that throw around money like crazy, pay their executives \$33 million, and who knows how much they are paying -- I mean, I sure -- I do -- I can look up the finance results and see how much they are paying their shareholders. So, really at the end of the day this 10 percent, where is it going to? Is it going to the people? Or is it going to the shareholders and Duke Energy's pockets, their nice, big, giant \$302 million pocket? That's where I'm at. I'll leave it at that, but those are the numbers.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Klenke. Let me -- before we let you go, let me check in with counsel to see if there are any questions for you.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ JEFFRIES: No questions for Mr. Klenke from Piedmont. Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Jeffries.

Ms. Jost?

MS. JOST: No questions. Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Any questions from

Commissioners?

1	(No response)
2	Mr. Klenke, thank you for your time tonight
3	and for your remarks. We appreciate your being here
4	with us.
5	THE WITNESS: Yep, thank you.
6	(The witness is excused)
7	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, would you
8	call unmute the next witness, please, sir?
9	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Audio feedback).
10	Do we have someone on the line with us?
11	(Pause).
12	Do we have someone on the line with us?
13	MS. SCHRADER: My name is Anne Schrader. I
14	understood that I was going to be fifth in line.
15	CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Schrader, yes, we can
16	hear you.
17	ANNE SCHRADER;
18	having been duly affirmed,
19	testified as follows:
20	CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Jost, the witness is
21	yours.
22	MS. JOST: (Inaudible).
23	CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Jost, make sure
24	you're

```
MS. JOST: Ms. Schrader -- excuse me.
 1
 2
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
 3
         Ms. Schrader, can you please state your name and
 4
         address for the record?
 5
               My name is Anne Schrader. I live 223
 6
          Stedman Street in Fayetteville, North Carolina.
 7
         And are you a customer of Piedmont?
 8
         Yes, I am.
    Α
 9
          Do you have a statement that you'd like to
10
         present to the Commission?
11
         Yes, I do.
    Α
12
         Please proceed.
    Q
13
         Okay.
                Thank you. As a Piedmont Natural Gas
14
          customer and a United States citizen, I am
15
         opposed to customer rate increases for any
16
          continued natural gas related services and I
17
         ask that the Utilities Commission deny this rate
18
          increase request for the following reasons:
19
                         Globally, we are living at a time
20
         of existential environmental and climate change
21
                  Not only are fossil fuels and their
          crises.
22
         greenhouse gas emissions the leading contributors
23
          to global warming, but Duke Energy is a leading
24
         United States generator, emitter and polluter of
```

these very emissions. The reality, scale and acceleration of these destructive pollutants are irreparably impacting the world around us, not just in natural resources, ecosystems, arctic ice sheets, weather patterns, coast lines and cities across the planet, but also the health, safety and security of every person and the generations to come.

So dire is this outlook that in 2015 nearly every nation on earth agreed to a global climate accord to reduce and divest from fossil fuels and their harmful greenhouse gas emissions before 2050. Scientists and current climate change impacts are warning us of the urgency of making sustainable changes now.

Therefore, now is not the time to increase rates on citizens and customers already financially devastated by a global health pandemic that scores billions into unemployment. And now is not the time to force these same customers into continued natural gas dependency through greenwashing new fossil fuel investments as a bridge to renewable energy when they would instead operate for decades and pollute for

centuries.

Now is not the time to expect customers to continue to pay for environmental remediation for Duke Energy, one of the largest environmental polluters in North Carolina and the United States history.

Now is not the time to expect exorbitant rates of return for Duke Energy shareholders at the expense of human and environmental health and wellbeing.

Now is the time, however, for full corporate transparency of clear sustainable goals and plans that honor versus contradict environmental protection laws and stewardship, and that place human wellbeing above corporate monetary gain.

Now is also the time to transition straight to renewable energy as we in North

Carolina are already leaders in the nation in renewable energy. A state rich in solar, wind and title resources. Solar energy is now the cleanest and cheapest form of energy on the planet, unlike the harmful and volatile aspects of dirty fuels. Solar, wind and title are clean

1	and abundant natural resources that are	
2	sustainable and offer healthier, more abundant	
3	employment opportunities. That's all that I have	
4	to say. Thank you.	
5	CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Schrader.	
6	We appreciate your comments. Let me check in with	
7	counsel to see if they have any questions for you.	
8	MR. JEFFRIES: No questions for	
9	Ms. Schrader, Chair Mitchell. Thank you.	
10	MS. JOST: No questions.	
11	CHAIR MITCHELL: Any questions for the	
12	witness from Commissioners?	
13	(No response)	
14	Ms. Schrader, thank you very much for your	
15	time tonight and for being here with us. We	
16	appreciate it.	
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
18	(The witness is excused)	
19	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, next witness	
20	please.	
21	MR. McCOY: That is it for this evening.	
22	CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Let me just	
23	confirm that there are no additional attendees	

```
our witness list. So, unless there are any additional
 1
    matters from counsel, I'm not seeing or hearing any,
 2
 3
    we will be adjourned for the evening. Thank you very
    much everybody.
 4
 5
                 (The proceedings were adjourned)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I, KIM T. MITCHELL, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Kim T. Mitchell

Kim T. Mitchell
Court Reporter