DEFICIAL COPY

FILED

IAN 2 9 2015

From: Sent: Michael Teal <michaelteal@outlook.com> Thursday, January 29, 2015 10:12 AM Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

To:

Statements

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: Protect Our Solar Future, Duke should give North Carolina a Fair Deal

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Last month, Duke Energy guaranteed that rooftop solar customers in South Carolina would not face solar-specific charges for at least the next six years. Let's ensure that North Carolinians are given that same policy stability to ensure energy choice and clean energy development.

Utilities can threaten our state's progress by proposing changes to North Carolina's net metering program. In order to grow and protect our state's admirable progress in solar energy, Duke customers in North Carolina should get the same deal that Duke customers in South Carolina are getting.

I urge you to support a strong solar net metering policy by taking the same leadership as South Carolina and protecting solar customers from solar-specific discriminatory fees.

Sincerely,

Michael Teal

OFFICIAL COPY

FILED

From:

Betsy trent <betsybtrent@mac.com>

JAN 2 0 2015

Sent: To: Tuesday, January 27, 2015 5:06 PM Statements

N.C. Utilities Commission

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: Protect Our Solar Future, Duke should give North Carolina a Fair Deal

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Last month, Duke Energy guaranteed that rooftop solar customers in South Carolina would not face solar-specific charges for at least the next six years. Let's ensure that North Carolinians are given that same policy stability to ensure energy choice and clean energy development.

Utilities can threaten our state's progress by proposing changes to North Carolina's net metering program. In order to grow and protect our state's admirable progress in solar energy, Duke customers in North Carolina should get the same deal that Duke customers in South Carolina are getting.

I urge you to support a strong solar net metering policy by taking the same leadership as South Carolina and protecting solar customers from solar-specific discriminatory fees.

Sincerely,

Betsy trent

OFFICIAL COPY

From: Sent: samuel smith <sam28112@yahoo.com> Wednesday, January 28, 2015 7:20 AM

To:

Subject:

Wednesday, January 28, 2015 7:20 AM Statements

E-100 Sub 83: I want what Duke gave South Carolina

FILED

JAN 2 8 2015

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Clark's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Last month, South Carolina came to an agreement on retail net metering for rooftop solar. In that agreement, Duke Energy promised not to impose solar-specific fees on their customers for at least the next six years.

As Duke customers, this is a pivotal moment in securing North Carolina's energy future. Our state has taken significant steps to establish a clean, renewable energy market, but policy uncertainty means fewer projects, fewer jobs, and less investment.

North Carolina deserves the same agreement Duke has provided in South Carolina. I urge you to strengthen our net metering program and ensure consumer choice for rooftop solar.

Sincerely,

samuel smith

OFFICIAL COPY

From:

Heather vazquez <heathervazquez@live.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, January 27, 2015 3:47 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: I want what Duke gave South Carolina

FILED

JAN 27 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Please do this for the sake of my children and the future of the planet. Planet earth needs you on her side especially after the environmental disaster caused by the orreries pontine dumping of coal ash all over our beautiful state! Set you greed and money mongering aside and do the right thing! Last month, South Carolina came to an agreement on retail net metering for rooftop solar. In that agreement, Duke Energy promised not to impose solar-specific fees on their customers for at least the next six years.

As Duke customers, this is a pivotal moment in securing North Carolina's energy future. Our state has taken significant steps to establish a clean, renewable energy market, but policy uncertainty means fewer projects, fewer jobs, and less investment.

North Carolina deserves the same agreement Duke has provided in South Carolina. I urge you to strengthen our net metering program and ensure consumer choice for rooftop solar.

Sincerely,

Heather vazquez

OFFICIAL COPY

From:

Casey Shaw < Caseyshaw2@me.com> Monday, January 26, 2015 7:52 PM

Sent: To:

Statements

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: I want what Duke gave South Carolina

FILED

JAN 27 2015

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Clerk's Office N.C. Ushiise Commission

Last month, South Carolina came to an agreement on retail net metering for rooftop solar. In that agreement, Duke Energy promised not to impose solar-specific fees on their customers for at least the next six years.

As Duke customers, this is a pivotal moment in securing North Carolina's energy future. Our state has taken significant steps to establish a clean, renewable energy market, but policy uncertainty means fewer projects, fewer jobs, and less investment.

North Carolina deserves the same agreement Duke has provided in South Carolina. I urge you to strengthen our net metering program and ensure consumer choice for rooftop solar.

Sincerely,

Casey Shaw

From: Sent:

Eric Lewis <ewlewis_bg@yahoo.com>

To:

Subject:

Monday, January 26, 2015 9:58 PM

Statements E-100 Sub 83: I Support A Strong Net Metering Policy JAN 2 7 2015

Clark's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

North Carolina is a leader in the solar energy industry. But utilities can threaten our progress by proposing changes to North Carolina's net metering program. In South Carolina, Duke Energy just agreed not to impose discriminatory charges on their rooftop solar customers for at least the next six years. Let's ensure that North Carolinians are given that same policy stability to ensure energy choice and clean energy development.

I urge you to support a strong solar net metering policy by taking the same leadership as South Carolina and protecting solar customers from discriminatory fees.

I do not believe that public utilities should have the power to penalize households with solar power capability. Such a "tax" would be a clear subsidy to the fossil fuel industry. That is not capitalism, it represents instead corporate socialism.

Sincerely,

Eric Lewis

OFFICIAL COPY

From:

Gary G. Gerson < Gary G. Gerson @gmail.com > Wednesday, January 21, 2015 10:15 PM

Sent: To:

Statements

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: I want what Duke gave South Carolina

FILED

JAN 22 2015

Clerk's Office M.C. Utilities Commission

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Last month, South Carolina came to an agreement on retail net metering for rooftop solar. In that agreement, Duke Energy promised not to impose solar-specific fees on their customers for at least the next six years.

As Duke customers, this is a pivotal moment in securing North Carolina's energy future. Our state has taken significant steps to establish a clean, renewable energy market, but policy uncertainty means fewer projects, fewer jobs, and less investment.

North Carolina deserves the same agreement Duke has provided in South Carolina. I urge you to strengthen our net metering program and ensure consumer choice for rooftop solar.

Sincerely,

Gary G. Gerson

OFFICIAL COPY

N.C. Unities Commission

From:

John Jacobs <johnjacobs2011@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, January 20, 2015 2:18 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: Protect Our Solar Future, Duke should give North Carolina a Fair Deal

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Last month, Duke Energy guaranteed that rooftop solar customers in South Carolina would not face solar-specific charges for at least the next six years. Let's ensure that North Carolinians are given that same policy stability to ensure energy choice and clean energy development.

Utilities can threaten our state's progress by proposing changes to North Carolina's net metering program. In order to grow and protect our state's admirable progress in solar energy, Duke customers in North Carolina should get the same deal that Duke customers in South Carolina are getting.

I urge you to support a strong solar net metering policy by taking the same leadership as South Carolina and protecting solar customers from solar-specific discriminatory fees.

Sincerely,

John Jacobs

OFFICIAL COPY FILED

Clerk's Office

From:

david kindred <kindreddrums@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, January 20, 2015 2:33 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

N.C. Unities Commission E-100 Sub 83: Protect Our Solar Future, Duke should give North Carolina a Fair Deal

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission,

Last month, Duke Energy guaranteed that rooftop solar customers in South Carolina would not face solar-specific charges for at least the next six years. Let's ensure that North Carolinians are given that same policy stability to ensure energy choice and clean energy development.

Utilities can threaten our state's progress by proposing changes to North Carolina's net metering program. In order to grow and protect our state's admirable progress in solar energy, Duke customers in North Carolina should get the same deal that Duke customers in South Carolina are getting.

I urge you to support a strong solar net metering policy by taking the same leadership as South Carolina and protecting solar customers from solar-specific discriminatory fees.

Sincerely,

david kindred

OFFICIAL COP

From:

Elizabeth Evans <emevans500@aol.com>

Sent: To:

Statements

Subject:

Tuesday, January 20, 2015 9:19 AM

E-100 Sub 83: I want what Duke gave South Carolina

JAN 2 0 2015

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission,

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Last month, South Carolina came to an agreement on retail net metering for rooftop solar. In that agreement, Duke Energy promised not to impose solar-specific fees on their customers for at least the next six years.

As Duke customers, this is a pivotal moment in securing North Carolina's energy future. Our state has taken significant steps to establish a clean, renewable energy market, but policy uncertainty means fewer projects, fewer jobs, and less investment.

North Carolina deserves the same agreement Duke has provided in South Carolina. I urge you to strengthen our net metering program and ensure consumer choice for rooftop solar.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Evans



From: Sent: Kelly Trombley <trombleyk@gmail.com>
Tuesday, January 20, 2015 2:31 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: I want what Duke gave South Carolina

FILED

JAN 2 0 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission,

Last month, South Carolina came to an agreement on retail net metering for rooftop solar. In that agreement, Duke Energy promised not to impose solar-specific fees on their customers for at least the next six years.

As Duke customers, this is a pivotal moment in securing North Carolina's energy future. Our state has taken significant steps to establish a clean, renewable energy market, but policy uncertainty means fewer projects, fewer jobs, and less investment.

North Carolina deserves the same agreement Duke has provided in South Carolina. I urge you to strengthen our net metering program and ensure consumer choice for rooftop solar.

Sincerely,

Kelly Trombley



OFFICIAL COPY

From: Sent: Dwight Morris <ravnstar@hotmail.com> Sunday, January 25, 2015 12:48 PM

Statements

To: Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: I Support A Strong Net Metering Policy

FILED

JAN 2 6 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

North Carolina is a leader in the solar energy industry. But utilities can threaten our progress by proposing changes to North Carolina's net metering program. In South Carolina, Duke Energy just agreed not to impose discriminatory charges on their rooftop solar customers for at least the next six years. Let's ensure that North Carolinians are given that same policy stability to ensure energy choice and clean energy development.

I urge you to support a strong solar net metering policy by taking the same leadership as South Carolina and protecting solar customers from discriminatory fees.

Sincerely,

Dwight Morris

From:

To:

Tucker Bailey <tuckerbailey@triad.rr.com>

Sent:

Sunday, January 25, 2015 12:08 PM

Statements

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: I want what Duke gave South Carolina

FILED

JAN 2 6 2015

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Last month, South Carolina came to an agreement on retail net metering for rooftop solar. In that agreement, Duke Energy promised not to impose solar-specific fees on their customers for at least the next six years.

As Duke customers, this is a pivotal moment in securing North Carolina's energy future. Our state has taken significant steps to establish a clean, renewable energy market, but policy uncertainty means fewer projects, fewer jobs, and less investment.

North Carolina deserves the same agreement Duke has provided in South Carolina. I urge you to strengthen our net metering program and ensure consumer choice for rooftop solar.

Sincerely,

Tucker Bailey

OFFICIAL CO

From:

Greg Gonzalez <ggonzalez@sqlsentry.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 24, 2015 10:54 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

E-100 Sub 83: I want what Duke gave South Carolina

JAN 2 6 2015

Clark's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission NCUC,

Last month, South Carolina came to an agreement on retail net metering for rooftop solar. In that agreement, Duke Energy promised not to impose solar-specific fees on their customers for at least the next six years.

As Duke customers, this is a pivotal moment in securing North Carolina's energy future. Our state has taken significant steps to establish a clean, renewable energy market, but policy uncertainty means fewer projects, fewer jobs, and less investment.

North Carolina deserves the same agreement Duke has provided in South Carolina. I urge you to strengthen our net metering program and ensure consumer choice for rooftop solar.

Sincerely,

Greg Gonzalez