

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA  
UTILITIES COMMISSION  
RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1304

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

---

In the Matter of )  
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, )  
Pursuant to G.S. 62-133.2 and NCUC Rule )  
R8-55 Relating to Fuel and Fuel-Related )  
Charge Adjustments for Electric Utilities )

---

**DIRECT TESTIMONY  
AND EXHIBITS OF  
BRIAN C. COLLINS  
FOR CIGFUR III**

OFFICIAL COPY

May 23 2024

1 Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

2 A Brian C. Collins. My business address is 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140,  
3 Chesterfield, MO 63017.

4 Q WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?

5 A I am a consultant in the field of public utility regulation and a Managing Principal of  
6 Brubaker & Associates, Inc., energy, economic, and regulatory consultants.

7 Q ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS PROCEEDING?

8 A I am testifying on behalf of a group of intervenors designated as the Carolina Industrial  
9 Group for Fair Utility Rates III (“CIGFUR III”), a group of large industrial customers  
10 that purchase power from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (“DEC” or “Company”).

11 Q HAVE YOU FILED TESTIMONY IN PRIOR PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE  
12 NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION (“COMMISSION”)?

13 A Yes.

1    **Q     WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

2    A     I am filing testimony on behalf of CIGFUR III's member companies to recommend that  
3           certain changes be made by the Commission to the Company's proposal in this fuel  
4           factor proceeding.

5    **Q     WHAT ARE YOUR SPECIFIC FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS?**

6    A     My findings and recommendations are as follows:

- 7           1. The Company's proposed total fuel factors for the billing period in both its  
8           direct and supplemental testimonies result in inappropriate and unnecessary  
9           rate instability and volatility for customers. This rate instability increases  
10          budget planning and operational challenges for industrial customers. My  
11          proposal for the industrial fuel factors described below results in a more  
12          levelized industrial fuel factor over the billing period and lessens the rate  
13          instability and volatility for industrial customers as compared to the  
14          Company's proposals.
- 15          2. The Company's proposal in its direct testimony increases the total fuel factor  
16          for the Industrial class from the current rate of 3.2422 cents per kWh to  
17          3.9937 cents per kWh beginning September 1, 2024, through December 31,  
18          2024, followed by a decrease to 2.6843 cents per kWh for the period January  
19          1, 2025 through August 31, 2025.
- 20          3. The Company's direct testimony proposal results in a significant increase in  
21          the Industrial total fuel factor of 0.7515 cents per kWh or 23.2% on a per  
22          unit basis beginning September 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024;  
23          followed by a significant decrease of 1.3094 cents per kWh or 32.8% on a  
24          per unit basis four months later, beginning January 1, 2025, through August  
25          31, 2025. This causes rate instability and results in budgeting challenges for  
26          large industrial customers, for whom electricity costs are a significant  
27          percentage of their overall operational expenses.
- 28          4. In its Supplemental Testimony filing, the Company has updated its total fuel  
29          factors to reflect an extended test period of 15 months ending March 31,  
30          2024 for fuel cost recovery. The Company now recommends a total fuel  
31          factor of 3.6045 cents per kWh beginning September 1, 2024, through  
32          December 31, 2024, followed by a decrease to 2.9735 cents per kWh for the  
33          Industrial class for the period January 1, 2025 through August 31, 2025.
- 34          5. While the Company's Supplemental Testimony provides some moderation  
35          to the change in total fuel factors over the billing period September 1, 2024

1 through August 31, 2025 for the Industrial class, I recommend a more  
2 appropriate alternative that creates more rate certainty for Industrial  
3 customers. Specifically, I recommend that a more levelized total fuel factor  
4 be developed for the billing period for the Industrial class.

5 6. The total fuel factors under my proposal for the Industrial class would be as  
6 follows:

7 a. September 1, 2024 to December 31, 2024: 3.2422 cents per kWh

8 b. January 1, 2025 to August 31, 2025: 3.1422 cents per kWh

9 7. My proposal would result in no increase as of September 1, 2024 for  
10 Industrial customers, followed by a decrease of 0.1000 cents per kWh or  
11 approximately 3.1% on a per unit basis on January 1, 2025.

12 8. I also recommend that the Company update its total fuel factors for all  
13 classes to reflect its most recent fuel forecast as of **\*\*\*BEGIN**  
14 **CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]  
15 [REDACTED]  
16 [REDACTED]  
17 **\*\*\*END CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*** for the period January 1, 2025 to  
18 August 31 2025.

19 **Q DOES YOUR TESTIMONY ADDRESS DEC'S NEED FOR AN INCREASE IN**  
20 **FUEL RATES?**

21 A No. In order to make my presentation consistent with the revenue levels requested by  
22 DEC, I have used the Company's proposed figures for fuel cost. Use of these numbers  
23 should not be interpreted as an endorsement of them for purposes of determining the  
24 total dollar amount of fuel increase to which DEC may be entitled. For the sole purpose  
25 of providing an apples-to-apples comparison, my testimony relies upon DEC's updated  
26 total fuel factors, as reflected in the Company's supplemental testimony filing.

27 **Q CAN YOU PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE LAST DEC**  
28 **FUEL PROCEEDING?**

1 A In the last fuel factor proceeding, Docket No. E-7, Sub 1282, the total fuel factor  
2 approved by the Commission for the Industrial class was 3.2422 cents per kWh. This  
3 resulted in an increase in the total Industrial fuel factor of 0.83 cents per kWh on a per  
4 unit basis. This equated to an approximate 34.4% increase in the total fuel factor for the  
5 Industrial class previously approved by the Commission in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1263.

6 **Q WHAT WAS THE DRIVER OF THE INCREASE IN THE TOTAL FUEL**  
7 **FACTOR IN THE LAST FUEL FACTOR PROCEEDING, DOCKET NO. E-7,**  
8 **SUB 1282, FOR THE INDUSTRIAL CLASS?**

9 A The increase in the Industrial total fuel factor was primarily related to elevated  
10 commodity prices which resulted in an under-recovery of approximately \$998 million  
11 on a total Company basis for the 2022 test year.

12 **Q WAS THERE A PARTIAL STIPULATION IN THE LAST FUEL FACTOR**  
13 **PROCEEDING, DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1282?**

14 A Yes. In order to mitigate the increase to all customer classes, DEC and the Public Staff  
15 entered into a partial stipulation. The \$998 million under recovery for the 2022 test year  
16 was agreed to be recovered over 16 months, from September 1, 2023, through  
17 December 31, 2024.

18 **Q WHAT DID THE COMPANY RECOMMEND IN ITS DIRECT TESTIMONY**  
19 **FILING IN THE INSTANT PROCEEDING?**

20 A In its direct filing, DEC uses a test period of calendar year 2023 with a billing period of  
21 September 1, 2024 through August 31, 2025.

1           The following numbers reflect the Company’s direct testimony position. For  
2 the billing period, DEC proposes new base fuel factors of 2.3061 cents per kWh for  
3 Residential customers, 2.3045 cents per kWh for General Service customers, and 2.2951  
4 cents/kWh for Industrial customers. DEC is also proposing adjustments to the base fuel  
5 factors to account for the experience modification factor (“EMF”) and EMF interest.  
6 This results in proposed total fuel factors of 2.7880 cents per kWh for Residential  
7 customers, 2.5505 cents per kWh for General Service customers, and 2.6843 cents per  
8 kWh for Industrial customers.

9           Because of the partial stipulation in the Sub 1282 fuel factor proceeding to  
10 recover the 2022 under-recovery over 16 months, the total rate for the Industrial class  
11 for the period September 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024 is 3.9937 cents per kWh.  
12 This reflects the addition of the EMF under-recovery for 2022 of 1.3094 cents per kWh.  
13 The Industrial class rate beginning January 1, 2025 is 2.6843 cent per kWh as indicated  
14 above, which reflects the expiration of the 2022 EMF recovery factor.

15 **Q   WHAT CONCERNS DO YOU HAVE WITH THE COMPANY’S**  
16 **RECOMMENDATION IN ITS DIRECT TESTIMONY FILING?**

17 **A**   I have concerns that the Company’s proposal results in rate instability for customers.  
18 For the Industrial class, the current total fuel factor is 3.2422 cents per kWh. Based on  
19 the Company’s proposal, the per unit increase is 0.7515 cents per kWh, or a 23.2%  
20 increase, for the period September 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024, resulting in a  
21 total fuel factor of 3.9937 cents per kWh. This is followed by a per unit decrease of

1 1.3094 cents per kWh, or a 32.8% decrease for the period January 1, 2025, to August  
2 31, 2025.

3 This rate instability presents a challenge for large industrial customers for budget  
4 planning and operational purposes. This is especially challenging because many  
5 industrial customers' electric costs comprise a large portion of their overall operational  
6 expenses.

7 **Q HAS THE COMPANY SUPPLEMENTED ITS DIRECT TESTIMONY FILING?**

8 A Yes. The Company submitted Supplemental Testimony on May 8, 2024 and now  
9 proposes to extend the test period in this proceeding to the 15 months ending March 31,  
10 2024 for fuel cost recovery from customers.

11 **Q WHAT DOES THE COMPANY NOW RECOMMEND FOR THE INDUSTRIAL  
12 TOTAL FUEL FACTOR IN ITS SUPPLEMENTAL FILING?**

13 A The Company proposes a total fuel factor for the Industrial class of 3.6045 cents per  
14 kWh for the period September 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024. This is an increase  
15 of approximately 0.3623 cents per kWh, or 11.2% as compared to the current total fuel  
16 factor. This is followed by a proposed total fuel factor for January 1, 2025 through  
17 August 31, 2025 of 2.9735 cents per kWh, which is a decrease of approximately 0.6310  
18 cents per kWh or 17.5% on a per unit basis.

19 The Company's proposal for the Industrial class defers the 2023 EMF factor to  
20 January 1, 2025 and recovers the 2023 under recovery amount over 8 months, from

1 January 1, 2025 through August 31, 2025. The Company opines that its proposal results  
2 in some mitigation in fuel cost recovery for the Industrial class.

3 The table below summarizes the current total fuel factors, the Company's  
4 proposed total factors in its Direct Testimony filing, and its proposed total factors in its  
5 Supplemental Testimony filing.

<b>TABLE 1</b>					
<b><u>Summary of DEC Total Fuel Factors</u></b>					
<b>(cents per kWh)</b>					
	<b><u>Residential</u></b>	<b><u>General</u></b>	<b><u>Industrial</u></b>	<b><u>Industrial Factor Change</u></b>	
<b>Current Total Fuel Factors</b>					
Docket No. E-7, Sub 1282	3.8950	3.5020	3.2422		
<b>Company Proposed Total Fuel Factors</b>					
<b>Direct Testimony</b>					
September 1, 2024 - December 31, 2024	4.0543	3.7829	3.9937	0.7515	23.2%
January 1, 2025 - August 31, 2025	2.7780	2.5505	2.6843	-1.3094	-32.8%
<b>Company Proposed Total Fuel Factors</b>					
<b>Supplemental Testimony</b>					
September 1, 2024 - December 31, 2024	4.0760	3.8687	3.6045	0.3623	11.2%
January 1, 2025 - August 31, 2025	2.8097	2.6263	2.9735	-0.6310	-17.5%

6 **Q HOW DO YOU RESPOND?**

7 A While CIGFUR III appreciates the Company's proposal, the Supplemental Testimony  
8 filing factors still result in rate instability over the course of the billing period for  
9 Industrial customers. This is compounded by the fact that this is the first fuel proceeding  
10 in which the equal percentage allocation has been eliminated. These factors necessitate  
11 rate mitigation beyond that which the Company has proposed in its Supplemental  
12 Testimony filing.

1    **Q     DO YOU HAVE AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE COMPANY’S SUPPLEMENTAL**  
2    **FILING?**

3    A     Yes. I have a proposal that would go further to levelize the Industrial total fuel factors  
4         over the billing period and lessen the rate instability for the Industrial class. This  
5         proposal is shown in Exhibit BCC-1 and is compared to the Company’s proposal in its  
6         Supplemental Testimony filing. My proposed factors are 3.2422 cents per kWh for the  
7         period September 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024, and 3.1422 cents per kWh for  
8         the period January 1, 2025 through August 31, 2025. My proposal results in the same  
9         fuel cost recovery to the Company, less the amount of interest proposed by the  
10        Company.

11                 As a result of my proposed factors for the billing period, Industrial customers  
12         would see only one small rate change beginning January 1, 2025, a 3.1% decrease on a  
13         per unit basis.

14   **Q     WHY IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION MORE APPROPRIATE FOR THE**  
15   **INDUSTRIAL CLASS?**

16   A     My proposal allows for the Industrial total fuel factor to be more stable over the course  
17         of the billing period September 1, 2024 to August 31, 2025. My proposal better helps  
18         industrials with their budgets and planning while avoiding rate whipsaw. Large  
19         industrial customers need to accurately forecast and manage their expenses to ensure  
20         the smooth functioning of their operations. Electricity costs are a significant part of their  
21         overall operational expenses, and any fluctuations in these costs can have a significant  
22         impact on their budgeting and planning processes. Rate certainty and stability allows



1 industrial customers to have a clear understanding of their electricity costs and to more  
2 accurately incorporate them into financial projections and help to control costs and rate  
3 increases.

4 Electricity costs can be a significant differentiating factor for industrial  
5 customers, particularly in energy-intensive industries. Having rate certainty and stability  
6 allows large industrial customers to evaluate their energy costs accurately and helps  
7 them make informed decisions about their operations, such as optimizing energy usage.  
8 This, in turn, can provide them with a competitive advantage by reducing their overall  
9 operational expenses and improving their cost competitiveness in the market.

10 By providing stability and predictability in electricity pricing, rate certainty  
11 allows these customers to manage their operational expenses more efficiently and  
12 optimize their overall business performance.

13 **Q DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS?**

14 **A** Yes. The Company's fuel factors are based on fuel forecasts as of December 2023. Per  
15 the Company's response to CIGFUR Data Request 2-6, if the fuel factors were based  
16 on the most recent fuel forecast as of **\*\*\*BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*** [REDACTED]

17 [REDACTED]

18 [REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED] **\*\*\*END CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*** As a result, I recommend that  
20 the Company update the calculation of its total fuel factors by using its most recent fuel  
21 forecast.

1    **Q     WHY IS THIS APPROPRIATE?**

2    A     It is important that customers, including CIGFUR III members, begin seeing the benefits  
3         of declining fuel costs sooner rather than later without unnecessary regulatory lag. This  
4         will provide some relief to customers that have been paying cost recovery factors on  
5         their electric bills reflecting elevated commodity fuel costs which resulted in a \$998  
6         million under recovery in 2022. A reduction in the fuel cost recovery factor will help  
7         mitigate potential adverse impacts resulting from increased electricity costs on  
8         employment and production for large customers such as CIGFUR III members that  
9         could significantly impact local communities, and will help them to continue to be  
10        competitive and maintain employment levels at their facilities.

11           The Company has updated its fuel factors to reflect most current data, including  
12         extending the test year by 3 months. The Company's fuel forecast should be updated as  
13         well. If updates are allowed, they should not only benefit the Company, but should also  
14         benefit customers as well.

15   **Q     HOW HAS THE INCREASE IN THE LAST FUEL RIDER CASE IMPACTED**  
16   **DEC'S INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS?**

17   A     The Company serves major industrial facilities including CIGFUR III's members.  
18         These large industrial customers use power for around-the-clock manufacturing  
19         operations and operate at high load factors. A high load factor means a customer is using  
20         relatively more energy in relation to the demand for power. Energy usage is a much  
21         larger portion of the total bill for a large high load factor customer as compared to a  
22         smaller, lower load factor customer. The increase in the fuel rate applies to energy usage

1 which translates into a higher-than-average increase to high load factor industrial  
2 customers.

3 The approved fuel increase in the last fuel rider case significantly increased the  
4 cost of energy for DEC's industrial base, including CIGFUR III members. Energy costs  
5 are essential to the manufacturing processes of these customers. In addition, energy  
6 costs are one of the most important factors considered when manufacturers are making  
7 business decisions such as where to locate new facilities, expand existing facilities, or  
8 where it may no longer be competitive to operate, or the difficult decision to potentially  
9 reduce operations or even close facilities. Along these lines, DEC customers in North  
10 Carolina have to compete not just regionally, but nationally and globally, for the siting  
11 or expansion of facilities that in turn employ North Carolinians, inject large revenues  
12 into the local tax base, and stimulate the local economy directly and indirectly through  
13 the economic multiplier effect. In my opinion, the proposed increase resulting from the  
14 last fuel factor proceeding has been a burden on DEC's industrial customers and has  
15 placed significant added pressure on industrial customers in North Carolina to remain  
16 competitive when doing business in this State.

17 **Q WHY ARE INCREASES IN UTILITY COSTS LIKE THE INCREASE**  
18 **RESULTING FROM THE LAST FUEL FACTOR PROCEEDING**  
19 **BURDENSOME FOR CUSTOMERS LIKE CIGFUR III MEMBERS?**

20 **A** Especially in light of global competitive concerns—both externally for customers and  
21 internally for capital—market forces increasingly dictate production decisions for large  
22 manufacturers. It is no surprise, then, that electricity-intensive industrial customers

1 show dramatic responses to changes in electricity prices. A material change in the cost  
2 of electricity such as that experienced by customers from the last fuel factor proceeding  
3 has the potential to impact employment, production, and investment levels for large  
4 customers such as CIGFUR III members, significantly impacting the local communities  
5 where they are located.

6 **Q DO CIGFUR III'S MEMBER COMPANIES CONSTITUTE A SIGNIFICANT**  
7 **PORTION OF THE INDUSTRIAL BASE OF DEC'S SERVICE AREA?**

8 A Yes. CIGFUR III members are major employers in the counties where they have  
9 manufacturing plants, and the jobs they provide are vital to the local economies.  
10 Together, CIGFUR III members provide thousands of direct jobs in the DEC service  
11 area. Remaining competitive and maintaining payrolls for CIGFUR III members are  
12 vital to the local economies where they are located.

13 **Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

14 A Yes, it does.

**Qualifications of Brian C. Collins**

1 **Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A Brian C. Collins. My business address is 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140,  
3 Chesterfield, MO 63017.

4 **Q WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION AND BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?**

5 A I am a consultant in the field of public utility regulation and a Managing Principal with  
6 the firm of Brubaker & Associates, Inc. (“BAI”), energy, economic and regulatory  
7 consultants.

8 **Q PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK  
9 EXPERIENCE.**

10 A I graduated from Southern Illinois University Carbondale with a Bachelor of Science  
11 degree in Electrical Engineering. I also graduated from the University of Illinois at  
12 Springfield with a Master of Business Administration degree. Prior to joining BAI, I  
13 was employed by the Illinois Commerce Commission and City Water Light & Power  
14 (“CWLP”) in Springfield, Illinois.

15 My responsibilities at the Illinois Commerce Commission included the review  
16 of the prudence of utilities’ fuel costs in fuel adjustment reconciliation cases before the  
17 Commission as well as the review of utilities’ requests for certificates of public  
18 convenience and necessity for new electric transmission lines. My responsibilities at  
19 CWLP included generation and transmission system planning. While at CWLP, I

1 completed several thermal and voltage studies in support of CWLP's operating and  
2 planning decisions. I also performed duties for CWLP's Operations Department,  
3 including calculating CWLP's monthly cost of production. I also determined CWLP's  
4 allocation of wholesale purchased power costs to retail and wholesale customers for use  
5 in the monthly fuel adjustment.

6 In June 2001, I joined BAI as a Consultant. Since that time, I have participated  
7 in the analysis of various utility rate and other matters in several states and before the  
8 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). I have filed or presented testimony  
9 before the Arkansas Public Service Commission, the California Public Utilities  
10 Commission, the Colorado Public Utilities Commission, the Delaware Public Service  
11 Commission, the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia, the Florida  
12 Public Service Commission, the Georgia Public Service Commission, the Guam Public  
13 Utilities Commission, the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, the Illinois Commerce  
14 Commission, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, the Kansas Corporation  
15 Commission, the Kentucky Public Service Commission, the Public Utilities Board of  
16 Manitoba, the Maryland Public Service Commission, the Michigan Public Service  
17 Commission, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, the Mississippi Public  
18 Service Commission, the Missouri Public Service Commission, the Montana Public  
19 Service Commission, the North Carolina Utilities Commission, the North Dakota Public  
20 Service Commission, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Oklahoma  
21 Corporation Commission, the Oregon Public Utility Commission, the Rhode Island  
22 Public Utilities Commission, the Public Service Commission of Utah, the Virginia State

1 Corporation Commission, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, the  
2 Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, and the Wyoming Public Service  
3 Commission. I have also assisted in the analysis of transmission line routes proposed  
4 in certificate of convenience and necessity proceedings before the Public Utility  
5 Commission of Texas.

6 In 2009, I completed the University of Wisconsin – Madison High Voltage  
7 Direct Current (“HVDC”) Transmission Course for Planners that was sponsored by the  
8 Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (“MISO”).

9 BAI was formed in April 1995. BAI and its predecessor firm have participated  
10 in more than 1,000 regulatory proceedings in forty states and Canada.

11 BAI provides consulting services in the economic, technical, accounting, and  
12 financial aspects of public utility rates and in the acquisition of utility and energy  
13 services through RFPs and negotiations, in both regulated and unregulated markets. Our  
14 clients include large industrial and institutional customers, some utilities and, on  
15 occasion, state regulatory agencies. We also prepare special studies and reports,  
16 forecasts, surveys and siting studies, and present seminars on utility-related issues.

17 In general, we are engaged in energy and regulatory consulting, economic  
18 analysis and contract negotiation. In addition to our main office in St. Louis, the firm  
19 also has branch offices in Corpus Christi, Texas; Louisville, Kentucky and Phoenix,  
20 Arizona.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned attorney for CIGFUR III hereby certifies that she caused the foregoing *Direct Testimony and Exhibit of Brian C. Collins for CIGFUR III* to be served upon all parties of record to Docket No. E-7, Sub 1304, as set forth in the Service List for such docket maintained by the NCUC Chief Clerk's Office, by electronic mail.

This the 23rd day of May, 2024.

/s/ Christina D. Cress  
Christina D. Cress