

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lynne Huss
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 4:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lynne Huss

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lynne Huss

Email

lynne.huss@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not approve Duke Energy's plan to change the net metering rules for customers who have installed solar energy. We installed a solar panel system on our roof shortly after purchasing our home. It is a long term ROI. Even now, excess power that we generate in some months is clawed back by Duke and not available for our use. The one annual reset to zero is done at the time when we have created the largest surplus. So we already don't get full benefit of our system. Duke is taking part of our generated power and using it instead of letting us use it. The new plan they have put forth is even worse. This disincentive for consumers to invest in and generate solar energy makes no sense to me. We are doing our part to reduce carbon emissions and improve the environment and Duke energy is doing it's best to maintain a monopoly and it's high profits. Please DON'T ALLOW Duke Energy to steal from the consumer.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lynne Huss
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lynne Huss

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lynne Huss

Email

lynne.huss@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Reducing the value of rooftop solar will make it difficult to reach NC's climate goals and reduce jobs in the solar industry. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after I've made it. It was a many year payoff with the current metering plan. But it was important to do. However, to make the metering plan even worse should not be allowed for existing solar customers, and should not be allowed to make new consumers decide against solar. THIS IS MOVING THE STATE IN THE WRONG DIRECTION! PLEASE, PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Edward DiSalvo
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Edward DiSalvo

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Edward DiSalvo

Email

E3927D@charter.net

Docket

specify Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Why bother with Solar energy if we are going to lose our credits on this issue. I don't think Duke is using our spare energy the right way. This is a big money gabbing company and the don't care if they get our energy or not. Edward DiSalvo

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Peter Zwerneman
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Zwerneman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter Zwerneman

Email

peterzwerneman@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear NCUC, It's not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. Also, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jason Higgins
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:17 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Higgins

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jason Higgins

Email

jvhiggins80@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I invested in solar panels with the understanding that I would get kwh for kwh when putting power on the grid . It would be unfair for them to change it now

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Larry G Duffy
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:17 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Larry G Duffy

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Larry G Duffy

Email

shambala52@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

A year or so ago, my wife and I started to investigate whether it would be beneficial to install a solar system on our home in Rutherfordton. Using the net metering policy currently in place, we determined we could recoup the cost of the system in 10 years, so we went ahead with the installation. Now it has come to our attention (but not through Duke) that they have proposed a sweeping change to the way customers are compensated for excess power produced. If the proposed changes are approved, all our careful calculations are out the window and we have been played as fools. After using the available tax rebate, this system cost us in the neighborhood of \$24,000. This was a huge outlay for us since our only source of income is my military pension and social security, but we looked at it as an investment since it will improve the value of our home. This proposal would destroy the benefits we were expecting and should not be allowed to go through. Our government strongly encourages any investment in clean energy, but this will effectively kill any incentive to so invest. It should not be allowed. At the very least, a true cost-benefit study should be conducted before ANY changes are made to the current net metering program, the program under which every solar user made their decision to go solar. Thank you, Larry G Duffy

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Margaret Ellen Watson Lane
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:17 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Margaret Ellen Watson Lane

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Margaret Ellen Watson Lane

Email

watsonewatsone@me.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

My husband and I spent 30K installing solar panels on our roof, about 6 years ago; this has substantially reduced our monthly energy costs. How can you consider at this time, reducing the benefits to us? Just what can you be thinking at a time when we need more people 'going solar', less fossil fuel usage, renewables up? Vote no, please.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Caitlin Ruble
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Caitlin Ruble

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Caitlin Ruble

Email

caitlinortega91@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm contacting the NCUC as a homeowner who has made the investment of solar panels to reduce my electric bills and carbon footprint. I am urging the NCUC to reject Duke Energy's proposal for changes in the net metering rates. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact; existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Additionally, this proposal would mean a detriment to future climate goals through raising the cost of solar power for the consumer and thereby making this type of investment cost-prohibitive for many. A full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar must be conducted to make informed decisions about how best support the wellness of utilities and constituents in North Carolina. The NCUC should take steps to *protect* consumers and the environment by rejecting this proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: b A g
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by b A g

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

b A g

Email

gunner28_@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100sub180

Message

Please reject the proposal from Duke energy. It would be unfair to change the net meter readings. we need to save the money that we get from the grid. It helps us pay the bill and will also help others to go green. I have had solar for many years and it is great and it helps the planet. It also helps payoff the bill of getting solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Max Prendergast
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Max Prendergast

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Max Prendergast

Email

MaxPrendergast@Hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please REJECT this proposal. The solar industry is creating thousands of jobs across the US. Please don't let the greed of utility companies hurt a very positive industry! Positive in terms of nationwide wealth, national security, the environment, etc. Let's not create additional barriers to the growth of the solar industry.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jan Skinner
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jan Skinner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jan Skinner

Email

stonycreekjan@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

Please have Gov Cooper not slow the path for solar power.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Devon Lewis
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Devon Lewis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Devon Lewis

Email

meonecatocab@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

Please reject Duke energy request.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Christopher Hunt
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Christopher Hunt

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Christopher Hunt

Email

huntnc2@twc.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I installed solar panels with the understanding that I would get a certain credit from Duke Energy. As prices of energy increase, I would expect that Duke pay more for energy that they did not have to create vs less. Please do not allow changes to agreements that negatively impact solar panel owners.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Barrie Trinkle
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Barrie Trinkle

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Barrie Trinkle

Email

barrietrinkle@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar-generating resident of North Carolina, I am OPPOSED to Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering regulations. This proposal would have a negative effect on the number of households who choose rooftop solar generation, at a time when our state is ramping up new housing construction. With the soaring price--both economic and environmental--of fossil-fuel energy, we need to increase our alternative power generation, not curb it. I urge you to reject this proposal. Thank you. Barrie Trinkle Chapel Hill, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Teresa Franco
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:43 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Teresa Franco

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Teresa Franco

Email

dreamy9047@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please don't allow Duke Energy to change the way they do net metering on solar We need solar it actually cost me more to hav solar however I felt it was one thing I could do to help my State

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kyle Shirk
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kyle Shirk

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kyle Shirk

Email

Kylemoparshirk@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please don't mess with my credit I get from over producing from my solar panels.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Bill Stowe
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bill Stowe

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bill Stowe

Email

billstowe@strattransformations.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hi, I'm a homeowner that started investing in Solar with 24 solar panels. A year later I added 12 panels. This year, I'm adding an additional 13 solar panels along with two Enphase 10 Kwh Batteries and upgrading all my microinverters to Enphase's latest IQ8+. I've spent or spending over \$100,000. I felt this was a decent investment in improving our climate improvement. When I started with solar, Duke was clear about their net metering program. Now, they want to change things. Well, that reduces my return on the investment. Please deny this request by Duke Energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Janet Beavers
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:18 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Janet Beavers

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Janet Beavers

Email

jebeavers29@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal by duke energy to undermine solar energy in NC . We need to encourage citizens to capture affordable energy in light of global climate change as well as plan for future generations! This complex and unfair proposal needs to be trashed!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Laurie LeMauviel
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Laurie LeMauviel

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Laurie LeMauviel

Email

llemauviel@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I bitterly oppose Duke power decreasing payments for solar energy generated by their solar customers as well as increasing monthly charges. I paid a substantial amount of money for my solar system, with no help and nothing but obstructive behavior from Duke. If Duke is trying to compensate for losses from customers having the belief and commitment to reducing fossil fuels, maybe they should whole heartedly join us.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Nancy Crooks
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:35 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nancy Crooks

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nancy Crooks

Email

handspringbodywork@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have solar panels. Duke Energy's net metering proposal would significantly reduce the value of solar at a time when our state, country, and planet need to be placing more value than ever on solar. The most immediate threat is to customers who install solar after Jan 1, 2023, but depending on how the NCUC rules, existing solar customers might be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Depending on what NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you for your attention.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Keith Lynch
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Keith Lynch

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Keith Lynch

Email

bluepond3000@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please oppose Duke Energy's rooftop solar proposal. If Duke devalues the purchase of rooftop solar, solar customers will use more electricity during the day in order to best absorb their solar generation. This usage will add to peak demand. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ronald O'Bryant
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ronald O'Bryant

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ronald O'Bryant

Email

r_obryan@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lynne Huss
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 4:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lynne Huss

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lynne Huss

Email

lynne.huss@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not approve Duke Energy's plan to change the net metering rules for customers who have installed solar energy. We installed a solar panel system on our roof shortly after purchasing our home. It is a long term ROI. Even now, excess power that we generate in some months is clawed back by Duke and not available for our use. The one annual reset to zero is done at the time when we have created the largest surplus. So we already don't get full benefit of our system. Duke is taking part of our generated power and using it instead of letting us use it. The new plan they have put forth is even worse. This disincentive for consumers to invest in and generate solar energy makes no sense to me. We are doing our part to reduce carbon emissions and improve the environment and Duke energy is doing it's best to maintain a monopoly and it's high profits. Please DON'T ALLOW Duke Energy to steal from the consumer.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lynne Huss
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lynne Huss

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lynne Huss

Email

lynne.huss@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Reducing the value of rooftop solar will make it difficult to reach NC's climate goals and reduce jobs in the solar industry. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after I've made it. It was a many year payoff with the current metering plan. But it was important to do. However, to make the metering plan even worse should not be allowed for existing solar customers, and should not be allowed to make new consumers decide against solar. THIS IS MOVING THE STATE IN THE WRONG DIRECTION! PLEASE, PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Edward DiSalvo
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Edward DiSalvo

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Edward DiSalvo

Email

E3927D@charter.net

Docket

specify Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Why bother with Solar energy if we are going to lose our credits on this issue. I don't think Duke is using our spare energy the right way. This is a big money gabbing company and the don't care if they get our energy or not. Edward DiSalvo

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Peter Zwerneman
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Zwerneman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter Zwerneman

Email

peterzwerneman@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear NCUC, It's not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. Also, the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022