

# OFFICIAL COPY

Mount, Gail

From: Ninian Beall [ninianbeall68@gmail.com]  
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2014 11:36 AM  
To: Statements  
Subject: Comment on E 100, SUB 137

FILED

MAR 13 2014

Clerk's Office  
N.C. Utilities Commission

OFFICIAL COPY

Please conduct a review of the Duke Energy natural gas plant proposed for Anderson, SC. As I understand it, most of the users of the electricity produced would be North Carolinians and we would almost certainly be asked to pay for construction afterward as part of a rate increase. Therefore we should proactively evaluate the advisability of having it built. A particular concern is that the plant could increase the pressure for fracking in NC, a practice dangerous to our water supply.

Ninian Beall  
5325 Pelham Road  
Durham, NC 27713

Mar 13 2014

✓  
Mount, Gail

From: Jeannie & Wayne Sykes [jeannie.sykes@conehealth.com]  
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2014 1:06 PM  
To: Statements  
Subject: Comment on E 100, SUB 137

**FILED**

**MAR 13 2014**

Clerk's Office  
N.C. Utilities Commission

OFFICIAL COPY

I am emailing to request that you complete a full evaluation of the proposed Duke Energy fracking-gas plant in Anderson, SC (E 100, SUB 137). Of concern is the potential environmental effects of fracking, especially when the need for the plant is questionable. I am also concerned about what this huge expenditure by Duke Energy will mean to power rates for North Carolinians, many of whom struggle now to make ends meet.

Jeannie & Wayne Sykes  
4 Henderson Court  
Greensboro, NC 27410

Mar 13 2014

✓  
Mount, Gail

From: Molly Moore [mollyfrancesmoore@gmail.com]  
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2014 2:13 PM  
To: Statements  
Subject: Comment on E 100, SUB 137

**FILED**

**MAR 13 2014**

Clerk's Office  
N.C. Utilities Commission

I know that you must be aware of the great potential North Carolina has to decrease the need for new electrical power generation through energy efficiency. Building unnecessary new plants is not a least-cost alternative – investing in energy efficiency is.

Please conduct a full, transparent review of the Anderson, S.C. plant before the project moves ahead, and please weigh the outcomes of that review against the cost and necessity of investing in energy efficiency.

Molly Moore  
215 Incline Dr  
Vilas, NC 28692

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 13 2014

✓  
Mount, Gail

From: Bibby Moore [bibbymore@bellsouth.net]  
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2014 10:50 PM  
To: Statements  
Subject: Comment on E 100, SUB 137

**FILED**

**MAR 14 2014**

Clerk's Office  
N.C. Utilities Commission

OFFICIAL COPY

Type your message here. Use your own words. A short email is fine.  
Please keep in mind that more disruption of the environment will have a greater cost then that of having customers conserve and use the existing plants wisely. More is not better in todays delicate climate situation.  
Thanks for listening

Bibby Moore  
109 Lariat Lane  
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

Mar 13 2014

✓  
Mount, Gail

From: Rachel Galper [rinahrising@gmail.com]  
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 9:46 AM  
To: Statements  
Subject: Comment on E 100, SUB 137

FILED

MAR 14 2014

Clerk's Office  
N.C. Utilities Commission

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 13 2014

Since North Carolinians would pay 70% of the billion-dollar price tag and suffer from fracking expansion, regulators must openly evaluate this project now, not later

Visit Our Website

Become a Member

Volunteer

Events

Take Action

NC WARN

PO Box 61051

Durham, NC 27715-1051

Phone: (919) 416-5077

Email: [ncwarn@ncwarn.org](mailto:ncwarn@ncwarn.org)

Follow NCWARN on Twitter      Visit NC WARN on Facebook

The NC Utilities Commission must allow for a full and transparent review of Duke's latest proposal to keep building power plants that are not needed - and raising rates to do so. The proposed 750-megawatt fracking gas-burning plant near Anderson, SC would likely cost over \$1 billion, so the NC regulators must scrutinize the cost and need now, not later in a rate case after the plant is built.

The NC Utilities Commission should not let Duke boost the highly-polluting fracking industry - especially by building power plants that aren't needed.

In a motion filed with the NCUC on March 10, NC WARN attorney John Runkle says that "it appears Duke simply intends to construct the plant and then offer it as a fait accompli at the next rate case in North Carolina, without any preliminary scrutiny by the Commission ... There has been no showing that the ratepayers in North Carolina need a unit like the Anderson plant."

Instead, the NCUC must exercise its discretionary authority - which it uses widely in important matters - to review the project now. Duke's service area is split only by a state border, and with 70 percent of Duke's customers north of the line, North Carolina would pay that portion of the initial price tag as well as ongoing operational expenses.

Rapid changes are underway across the US electricity sector - particularly competition from solar and other distributed generation technologies, along with falling energy usage. The NC Commission must stop Duke's persistent fiction that it must keep building power plants and raising rates while protected from competition by its increasingly controversial monopoly status.

We have called for the Commission to open a full case on the Anderson plant, or to allow evidence as part of evidentiary hearings in the ongoing docket that reviews Duke's 15-year "integrated resource plans" for handling electricity supply and demand.

In the IRP case, NC WARN will file information showing there are a wide range Dear Mr. Finley,

I am writing to urge you to conduct a thorough review of the proposed Anderson plant, most of which we would end up paying for, to ascertain whether it is even necessary. As a North Carolinian, I am deeply concerned about having to shoulder the cost for a plant we don't need and do not want fracking anywhere near my community and water supply. I would much rather see us invest in renewable energies.

Thanks so much,  
Rinah Rachel Galper

Rachel Galper  
1107 9th Street  
Durham, NC 27705

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 13 2014

✓  
Mount, Gail

---

From: Jill Over [jillover@earthlink.net]  
Sent: Saturday, March 15, 2014 10:09 AM  
To: Statements  
Subject: Comment on E 100, SUB 137

**FILED**

**MAR 17 2014**

Clerk's Office  
N.C. Utilities Commission

To the N.C. Utilities Commission:

As a resident and taxpayer of North Carolina, I request that the NC Utilities Commission conduct a full and transparent review of the Anderson, SC natural gas plant BEFORE it is built. We certainly don't want to pay for power plants that we don't need.

Sincerely, Jill Over

Jill Over  
207 Hickory Hollow St.  
Durham, NC 27705

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 13 2014