

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. G-5, SUB 642

In the Matter of
Application of Public Service Company) TESTIMONY OF
of North Carolina, Inc. for Annual) SHAWN L. DORGAN
Review of Gas Costs Pursuant to) PUBLIC STAFF –
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.4(c) and) NORTH CAROLINA
Commission Rule R1-17(k)(6)) UTILITIES COMMISSION

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION
DOCKET NO. G-5, SUB 642

TESTIMONY OF SHAWN L. DORGAN
ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC STAFF
NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

JULY 25, 2022

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND PRESENT
2 POSITION.

3 A. My name is Shawn L. Dorgan. My business address is 430 North Salisbury
4 Street, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am a Financial Analyst III with the Public
5 Staff's Accounting Division. My qualifications and experience are provided
6 in Appendix A.

7 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
8 PROCEEDING?

9 A. The purpose of my testimony is: (1) to provide recommendations regarding
10 whether the gas costs incurred by Public Service Company of North
11 Carolina, Inc. (PSNC or Company) during the twelve-month review period
12 ended March 31, 2022, were properly accounted for; (2) to present the
13 results of my review of gas costs as filed by the Company in accordance
14 with N. C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.4(c), and Commission Rule R1-17(k)(6); and

1 (3) discuss the Company's deferred account reporting during the review
2 period.

3 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE PUBLIC STAFF CONDUCTED ITS**
4 **REVIEW.**

5 A. I reviewed the testimony and exhibits of the Company's witnesses, the
6 Company's monthly deferred account reports, monthly financial and
7 operating reports, gas supply, pipeline transportation and storage contracts,
8 and the Company's responses to Public Staff data requests. Each month,
9 the Public Staff reviews all deferred account reports filed by the Company
10 for accuracy and reasonableness and performs various analytical
11 procedures on the underlying calculations.

12 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY PROPERLY ACCOUNTED FOR ITS GAS COSTS**
13 **DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?**

14 A. Yes. In my opinion PSNC properly accounted for its gas costs during the
15 review period April 1, 2021, through March 31, 2022.

ACCOUNTING FOR AND ANALYSIS OF GAS COSTS

16 **Q. HOW DOES THE PUBLIC STAFF ACCOUNTING DIVISION CONDUCT**
17 **ITS REVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S FILED GAS COSTS?**

1 A. Each month the Accounting Division reviews all Deferred Account reports
2 filed by the Company for accuracy and reasonableness, and performs
3 various analytical procedures, including the following:

4 (1) **Commodity Gas Cost True-Up** - The actual commodity gas costs
5 incurred are verified, the calculations and data supporting the commodity
6 gas costs collected from customers are checked, and the overall calculation
7 is reviewed for mathematical accuracy.

8 (2) **Fixed Gas Cost True-Up** - The actual fixed gas costs incurred are
9 compared with pipeline tariffs and gas contracts, the rates and volumes
10 underpinning the Company's reported collections from customers are
11 verified, and the overall calculation is reviewed for mathematical accuracy.

12 (3) **Negotiated Losses** - Negotiated prices for each customer are
13 reviewed to ensure that the Company does not sell gas to any customer
14 below cost, or the price of the customer's alternative fuel.

15 (4) **Temporary Increments and/or Decrements** – Regarding all
16 collections and/or refunds from customers that impact deferred account
17 balances, supporting data and calculations are verified.

18 (5) **Interest Accrual** – All calculations of accrued interest are verified, in
19 conformity with N.C.G.S. § 62-130(e), and the Commission's Orders in
20 Docket No. G-5, Subs 565, 595, 607, and 608.

1 (6) **Secondary Market Transactions** - The secondary market
2 transactions conducted by the utility are reviewed and verified to the
3 financial books and records, asset manager agreements, and the monthly
4 Deferred Gas Cost Accounts.

5 (7) **Uncollectibles** – In Docket No. G-5, Sub 473, the Commission
6 approved a mechanism to recover the gas cost portion of the difference
7 between the Company's cost of gas incurred and the amount collected from
8 customers, effective for service rendered on and after December 1, 2005.
9 The Company records a journal entry each month in the Sales Customers'
10 Only Deferred Account for the gas cost portion of its uncollectibles write-
11 offs. The Public Staff reviews the calculations supporting those journal
12 entries to ensure that the proper amounts are recorded.

13 (8) **Supplier Refunds** – In Docket No. G-100, Sub 57, the Commission
14 held that, unless it orders refunds to be handled differently, supplier refunds
15 shall be flowed through to ratepayers in the All Customers Deferred Account
16 or applied to the NCUC Legal Fund Reserve Account. As such, the Public
17 Staff reviews supplier refund documentation to verify that all amounts
18 received by the Company are flowed through to ratepayers.

19 **Q. HOW DO THE COMPANY'S FILED GAS COSTS FOR THE CURRENT**
20 **REVIEW PERIOD COMPARE WITH THOSE FOR THE PRIOR REVIEW**
21 **PERIOD?**

- 1 A. Per Creel Exhibit 1, Schedule 1, the Company has filed total gas costs of
 2 \$302,423,025 for the current review period, as compared with
 3 \$220,684,628 for the prior period. The components of filed gas costs for the
 4 two periods are shown in the table below:

	12 Months Ended		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	March 31, 2022	March 31, 2021		
Demand & Storage Charges	\$116,099,905	\$105,081,205	\$11,018,700	10.49%
Commodity Costs	225,333,870	128,838,351	96,495,519	74.90%
Other Costs	<u>(39,010,750)</u>	<u>(13,234,928)</u>	<u>(25,775,822)</u>	194.76%
5 Totals	<u>\$302,423,025</u>	<u>\$220,684,629</u>	<u>\$81,738,396</u>	<u>37.04%</u>

- 6 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN ANY SIGNIFICANT INCREASES OR DECREASES IN**
 7 **DEMAND AND STORAGE CHARGES.**

- 8 A. The Demand and Storage Charges for the current review period and the
 9 prior twelve-month review period are as follows:

	12 Months Ended		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	March 31, 2022	March 31, 2021		
Transco:				
FT Reservation	\$50,378,892	\$52,234,211	(\$1,855,319)	(3.55%)
FT Momentum	1,986,733	2,073,564	(86,831)	(4.19%)
Southern Expansion	2,173,317	2,278,195	(104,878)	(4.60%)
Southeast Expansion	20,340,536	11,075,585	9,264,951	83.65%
GSS	1,700,706	1,800,118	(99,412)	(5.52%)
WSS	669,655	680,128	(10,473)	(1.54%)
LGA	382,611	351,483	31,128	8.86%
ESS	1,101,625	1,137,570	(35,945)	(3.16%)
Total Transco Charges	<u>\$78,734,075</u>	<u>\$71,630,854</u>	<u>\$7,103,221</u>	9.92%
Other Charges:				
Pine Needle LNG	\$2,904,884	\$2,986,316	(\$81,432)	(2.73%)
Cardinal	5,579,002	5,577,982	1,020	0.02%
Dominion Demand and Capacity (DTI-GSS)	2,076,910	5,089,110	(3,757)	(0.07%)
Eastern Gas Transmission	3,008,443	-	-	-
Texas Gas Transmission	546,880	546,880	-	0.00%
Texas Eastern	563,328	563,328	-	0.00%
Columbia FSS/SST	7,496,070	4,352,913	3,143,157	72.21%
Eminence Demand and Capacity	1,119,937	1,156,471	(36,534)	(3.16%)
East Tennessee Patriot Expansion (Enbridge)	5,648,250	5,735,300	(87,050)	(1.52%)
Saltville Gas Storage	3,440,304	3,440,304	-	0.00%
Peaking Contracts	3,631,375	1,873,250	1,758,125	93.85%
Cove Point LNG	1,157,460	1,165,508	(8,048)	(0.69%)
Piedmont Redelivery Agreement	9,120	9,120	-	0.00%
Firm Backhaul Capacity on Transco	148,800	910,800	(762,000)	(83.66%)
City of Monroe	35,067	43,072	(8,005)	(18.59%)
Total Other Charges	<u>\$37,365,830</u>	<u>\$33,450,354</u>	<u>\$3,915,476</u>	11.71%
1 Total Demand & Storage Charges	<u>\$116,099,905</u>	<u>\$105,081,205</u>	<u>\$11,018,700</u>	10.49%

2 The primary reason for the overall increase in Transcontinental Gas
3 Pipeline Company, LLC (Transco) Firm Transportation (FT)
4 Reservation, Southern Expansion, Southeast Expansion, Transco
5 General Storage Service (GSS), Washington Storage Service (WSS),
6 LGA, and Eminence Storage Service (ESS) of 9.92% is the result of an
7 increase in firm transportation capacity due to the Transco Southeast
8 Expansion Project.

9 The Company began receiving partial service of the firm transportation
10 capacity on November 1, 2020, and began receiving the total contract
11 amount on January 1, 2021. The current year's charges reflect a full year of
12 service.

1 The increase in Columbia Gas Transmission LLC (**Columbia FSS/SST**)
 2 demand and storage charges is attributable to rate increases filed in the
 3 following FERC Dockets: RP21-00565-000, RP21-00687-000, and RP20-
 4 1060-000. In FERC Docket No. RP20-1060-000, Columbia, FERC Staff,
 5 and other intervening parties reached a settlement agreement, which
 6 increased Columbia's Storage Service Transportation (SST) demand rates
 7 from \$6.900/dt to \$12.603/dt.

8 The increase in **Peaking Contracts** charges is due to an expiring contract
 9 and settlement agreement reached between PSNC and one supplier, and
 10 the start of a peak-day supply contract with a new supplier.

11 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CHANGE IN COMMODITY GAS COSTS.**

12 A. Commodity gas costs for the current review period and the prior twelve-
 13 month period are as follows:

	12 Months Ended		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	March 31, 2022	March 31, 2021		
Gas Supply Purchases	\$234,564,960	\$119,272,275	\$115,292,685	96.66%
Transportation Charges from Pipelines	1,810,488	1,751,831	58,657	3.35%
Storage Injections	(37,399,976)	(15,946,430)	(21,453,546)	(134.54%)
Storage Withdrawals	26,358,398	23,760,675	2,597,723	10.93%
Total Commodity Gas Costs Expended	\$225,333,870	\$128,838,351	\$96,495,519	74.90%
Gas Supply for Delivery (dt)	53,885,299	52,587,485	1,297,814	2.47%
Commodity Cost per dt	\$4.1817	\$2.4500	\$1.7318	70.68%

14
 15 **Gas Supply Purchases** increased by \$115,292,685 during the current
 16 review period, as compared with the prior twelve-month review period. The

1 primary driver for this change was an increase in the commodity cost of gas,
2 though an increase in volumes purchased played a role as well. The
3 average commodity cost of gas for the Company increased 70.68% during
4 the review period.

5 The increase in **Storage Injections** was due to a higher average cost for
6 gas supplies injected into storage. The average cost of gas placed in
7 storage during the current review period was \$3.7332 per dt, as compared
8 with \$1.9338 per dt for the prior period.

9 The increase in **Storage Withdrawals** was due to a higher average cost of
10 supply withdrawn from storage. PSNC's average cost of gas withdrawn was
11 \$3.1692 per dt in this review period as compared with \$2.2365 per dt in the
12 prior review period.

13 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CHANGE IN OTHER GAS COSTS.**

14 A. Other gas costs for the current review period and the prior twelve-month
15 period are as follows:

1

	12 Months Ended		Increase (Decrease)
	March 31, 2022	March 31, 2021	
Deferred Account Activity	(\$45,130,899)	(\$37,794,115)	(\$7,336,784)
Estimate to Actual Gas Cost True-Up	8,447,498	6,862,663	1,584,835
CUT Deferral	(14,793,134)	(11,478,607)	(3,314,527)
CUT Increment/Decrement	15,718,734	27,568,767	(11,850,033)
High Efficiency Discount Rate	(417,596)	(408,430)	(9,166)
IMT Deferral	(2,835,353)	2,033,724	(4,869,077)
Gas Loss - Facility Damages	-	(18,930)	18,930
Total Other Gas Costs	<u>(\$39,010,750)</u>	<u>(\$13,234,928)</u>	<u>(\$25,775,822)</u>

2 The **Deferred Account Activity** amounts reflect offsetting accounting
3 journal entries for most of the information recorded in the Company's
4 Deferred Gas Cost Accounts during the review periods.

5 The **Estimate to Actual Gas Cost True-Up** amount results from the
6 Company's monthly account closing process. Each month, the Company
7 estimates its current month's gas costs for financial reporting purposes and
8 trues-up the prior month's estimate to reflect the actual cost incurred.

9 The **CUT Deferral** entries relate to the Order issued in Docket No. G-5, Sub
10 495 (Sub 495 Order), in which the Commission approved the use of a
11 Customer Usage Tracker (CUT) by the Company beginning November 1,
12 2008. The Company charges or credits other cost of gas in its accounting
13 journal entry that offsets the CUT deferral.

1 The **CUT Increment/Decrement** entries relate to the Sub 495 Order in
2 which the Commission authorized the Company to collect or refund
3 outstanding balances in the CUT Deferred Account by imposing either an
4 increment or a decrement to customer rates, effective April and October of
5 each year. The increase in the current review period is due to higher under-
6 collections in the current review period as compared to the prior review
7 period.

8 The **High Efficiency Discount Rate** and the **Conservation Program**
9 **Accrual** entries represent nine months of accruals and expenses
10 associated with \$750,000 of annual conservation-related expenses, as
11 allowed in the Sub 495 Order.

12 **SECONDARY MARKET TRANSACTIONS**

13 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE COMPANY'S SECONDARY MARKET**
14 **ACTIVITIES DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD.**

15 A. During the review period the Company recorded \$29,083,730 of margin on
16 secondary market transactions. These transactions included capacity
17 releases, asset management arrangements, and other similar dealings. Of
18 this amount, \$21,812,797 ($\$29,083,730 \times 75\%$) was credited to the All
19 Customers' Deferred Account, for the benefit of ratepayers.

1 Below is a chart that compares the margins recorded by PSNC on the
 2 various types of secondary market transactions in which the Company
 3 engaged during both the current review period and the prior review period.

	12 Months Ended		Increase (Decrease)	Change
	March 31, 2022	March 31, 2021		
Capacity Release	\$2,890,741	\$2,290,999	\$599,742	26.18%
Asset Management	25,256,959	22,606,318	2,650,641	11.73%
Bundled Sales	25,280	33,402	(8,122)	(24.32%)
Straddles	918,400	740,850	177,550	23.97%
Spot Sales	(7,650)	-	(7,650)	
Total Secondary Market Margins	<u>\$29,083,730</u>	<u>\$25,671,569</u>	<u>\$3,412,161</u>	<u>13.29%</u>

4

5 **Capacity Release** is a short-term posting of unutilized firm capacity on the
 6 electronic bulletin board that is released to third parties at a biddable price.
 7 The overall net compensation from capacity release transactions increased
 8 by 26.18% in the current review period, due primarily to an increase in
 9 volumes released, as compared with the prior period.

10 **Asset Management Agreements (AMAs)** are contractual relationships
 11 where a party agrees to manage gas supply and delivery arrangements,
 12 including transportation and storage capacity, for another party. Typically, a
 13 shipper holding firm transportation and/or storage capacity on a pipeline or
 14 multiple pipelines temporarily releases all or a portion of that capacity along
 15 with associated gas production and gas purchase agreements to an asset
 16 manager. The asset manager uses that capacity to serve the gas supply
 17 requirements of the releasing shipper, and, when the capacity is not needed

1 for that purpose, uses the capacity to make releases or bundled sales to
2 third parties. The 11.73% increase in net compensation from AMAs results
3 from an increase in the value of interstate pipeline and storage capacity
4 released under these agreements.

5 **Bundled Sales** are sales of delivered gas supply to a third-party consisting
6 of gas supply and pipeline capacity at a specified receipt point. For a third
7 consecutive review period proceeds from bundled sales decreased,
8 dropping by 24.32% over the prior review period. As was the case in the
9 prior review period, the decline was attributable to lower sales volumes.

10 **Straddle** transactions are physical exchanges of gas allowing a third-party
11 to either put gas to the LDC or call on gas from an LDC for a fee. For the
12 review period, total net compensation from straddles increased, due to
13 higher fee revenue from options written.

14 **Spot Sales** are the sales of gas supply on the daily market when the daily
15 spot price is higher than the first of the month index price. The Company
16 made one spot sale during the current review period.

17 **DEFERRED ACCOUNTS AND ACCRUED INTEREST**

18 **Q. BASED ON YOUR REVIEW OF GAS COSTS IN THIS PROCEEDING,**
19 **WHAT ARE THE APPROPRIATE DEFERRED ACCOUNT BALANCES**
20 **AS OF MARCH 31, 2022?**

- 1 A. The appropriate All Customers' Deferred Account balance is a debit balance
 2 of \$26,767,209, owed to the Company, as filed by PSNC. This balance
 3 consists of the following deferred account activity:

Beginning Balance as of April 1, 2021	\$8,065,604
Commodity Cost (Over) Under Collections	6,436,109
Demand Costs (Over) Under Collections	42,799,423
(Increment) / Decrement Activity	(9,254,551)
Secondary Market Transactions	(21,812,797)
Supplier Refunds	(1,144,170)
Miscellaneous	(453,917)
Interest	2,131,508
Ending Balance as of March 31, 2022	<u>\$26,767,209</u>

- 4 Regarding the Sales Customers' Only Deferred Account balance at
 5 March 31, 2022, Creel Exhibit 1, Schedule 8 reflects a debit balance of
 6 \$10,922,343, owed to the Company. Public Staff witness Johnson
 7 recommends transferring the Company's Hedging Deferred Account credit
 8 balance as of March 31, 2022, of \$9,818,653 to the Sales Customers' Only
 9 Deferred Account. Therefore, the recommended balance in the Sales
 10 Customers' Only Deferred Account is a net debit balance of \$1,103,690,
 11 owed by the customers to the Company, as follows:

Ending Balance per Creel Exhibit I, Schedule 8	\$10,922,343
Transfer of Ending Credit Balance in Hedging Activities Deferred Account	(9,818,653)
	<hr/>
Ending Balance, as Recommended by the Public Staff	<u>\$1,103,690</u>

1 **Q. DID PSNC HAVE ANY CHANGES TO ITS DEFERRED ACCOUNT**
2 **INTEREST RATE DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?**

3 A. Yes. In the Commission’s *Order Approving Stipulation, Granting Rate*
4 *Increase, and Requiring Customer Notice*, issued January 21, 2022, in
5 Docket G-5, Sub 632, Finding of Fact Number 33 provides that “beginning
6 in the month in which this Order is issued, PSNC will use a net of tax rate
7 of 6.57% for all deferred accounts.” The Supplemental Direct Testimony of
8 Glory J. Creel, filed in this docket on June 17, 2022, addressed the issue
9 and states that, “The Company reviewed the 6.57% annual interest rate
10 approved in Docket No. G-5, Sub 632, and determined that no adjustment
11 is necessary at this time.” (P. 2, LL 5-6) The Public Staff has reviewed the
12 Company’s interest rate calculations and found that PSNC continues to use
13 the 6.57% interest rate and has made the appropriate adjustments in its
14 deferred accounts, consistent with the Commission’s Sub 632 Order. The
15 Public Staff will continue to review the interest rate each month to determine
16 if an adjustment is needed.

17 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

18 A. Yes.

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE**SHAWN L. DORGAN**

I am an accounting graduate of Appalachian State University, having earned a B.S.B.A. in Accountancy in 1988 and a Master of Science in Accountancy (concentration in taxation; functional equivalent of a Master of Science in Taxation) in 1997. After graduation, I entered the public accounting industry, working first at the Charlotte practice office of Deloitte & Touche LLP, and later for several local and regional accounting firms in the metro-Charlotte, metro-Raleigh, and metro-Atlanta areas. I am a Certified Public Accountant, licensed in the State of North Carolina.

Since joining the Public Staff in May 2016, I have provided accounting support in conjunction with rider rate proceedings, particularly in program cost reviews of demand-side management and energy efficiency programs authorized for the state's electric utilities under N. C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.9. In addition, I have provided expert witness testimony in annual review of gas cost proceedings for Frontier Natural Gas Company, and Public Service Company of North Carolina.

I also have provided accounting and testimonial support in general rate cases involving investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities, serving as the lead technical accountant in the 2019 Duke Energy Progress general rate case (Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219).