STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1285

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

n the Matter of)
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of Demand-Side Management	SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT
and Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Rider	TESTIMONY OF CASEY Q. FIELDS FOR
Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.9 and Commission Rule R8-69	DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND POSITION
- 3 WITH DUKE ENERGY.
- 4 A. My name is Casey Q. Fields, and my business address is 411 Fayetteville Street,
- 5 Raleigh, North Carolina 27601. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services,
- 6 LLC ("Duke Energy") as Strategy and Collaboration Manager for the Carolinas in
- 7 the Customer Solutions Regulatory Enablement Group.
- 8 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME CASEY Q. FIELDS WHOSE DIRECT TESTIMONY
- 9 AND EXHIBITS WERE FILED IN THIS DOCKET ON FEBRUARY 28,
- 10 2023?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. ARE YOU INCLUDING ANY EXHIBITS WITH YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL
- 13 **DIRECT TESTIMONY?**
- 14 A. Yes. I am including Revised Fields Exhibit 1 and Revised Fields Exhibit 3. These
- exhibits contain certain corrections to the Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 3 that were filed
- with my direct testimony.
- 17 Q. WERE THESE EXHIBITS PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR
- 18 **DIRECTION?**
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT
- 21 TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 22 A. The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to provide a correction to the energy
- efficiency ("EE") or demand-side management ("DSM") costs that the Company

1		proposes to recover in this proceeding. The corrections (i) remove and lower the
2		total costs to be recovered in Fields Exhibit 1 that was filed with my direct
3		testimony and (ii) lower the programs costs in Fields Exhibit 3 that was filed with
4		my direct testimony.
5		II. <u>CORRECTIONS TO DIRECT TESTIMONY</u>
6	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CORRECTIONS TO YOUR DIRECT
7		TESTIMONY.
8	A.	In the process of responding to the annual cost review performed by the Public
9		Staff, the Company reviewed several invoices related to research for future Grid
10		Edge products and services. The Company determined that these invoices were
11		inappropriately coded to the DSM/EE rider in this docket because this work was
12		not specific to DSM/EE offerings. As a result, the associated costs included in the
13		invoices should not be recovered through the DSM/EE Rider. Therefore, the
14		Company is no longer proposing that these costs be recovered through the DSM/EE
15		Rider.
16	Q.	HAS DEC COMMUNICATED THESE CORRECTIONS TO PUBLIC
17		STAFF?
18	Α.	Yes, DEC communicated these to Public Staff prior to filing these corrections.

- 1
- 1
- 13
- DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT 19 Q.
- 20 **TESTIMONY?**
- 21 Yes. A.