

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Richard Rouse  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2022 2:20 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Rouse

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Richard Rouse

### Email

rouse.rick@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. The current monthly charge to use the grid more than compensates Duke for reselling unused solar power to other customers. The current credit system should also be changed to where you never lose credits for solar. Please do not allow Duke to take advantage of solar customers.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 22 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** Dora Tarver  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2022 4:20 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dora Tarver

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dora Tarver

### Email

doratarver@doratarver.com

### Docket

E100 sub 180

### Message

The rider for net metering that steals our kWhs every June needs to STOP! We receive no compensation for the energy we generate that is taken from us annually. It should remain with our accounts and allow us to use it toward our bill OR we are compensated in dollars for the energy we generated at the current market price of kWhs. What is needed to stop this theft?

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 22 2022

**From:** Tommy O Eller  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:20 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Tommy O Eller

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Tommy O Eller

### **Email**

t.o.eller@gmail.com

### **Docket**

E100 sub 180

### **Message**

As an owner of solar panels and being on a net meter with Duke Energy Progress, I must object to Duke's proposal. First, this seems to be an attempt to "fix" something that isn't broken. It is my understanding the Duke Energy is obligated to provide electricity generated in some proportion by renewable energy. We are such a provider. Second, Duke claims that netmetering in its present form is unfair to those who do not own solar panels. The claim is made without any substantiation that I have been able to find in its application. Third, they (Duke) further claims additional costs to the company to provide netmetering to patrons such as myself. I, once again, do not find any justification (at least that is readable) in this application. Any extra cost that I can see is that they have to hire a person or persons to read the meter each month which is not necessary for those without a netmeter. For the above reasons, I, therefore, implore the Commission to reject Duke Energy's petition. We feel we are doing our part to help preserve the planet by reducing carbon emissions whatever our other motivations may have been and do not feel we should be charged a premium for doing so.

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** James Bernier  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:10 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James Bernier, Jr.

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James Bernier, Jr.

### Email

JamesBernier@outlook.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I oppose Duke Energy's proposed changes to net metering. The assumptions on which I based my solar investment should not be changed retroactively by my utility. I relied on the net-metering program in making my decision to go solar. The law requires the Utilities Commission to do a complete cost-benefit analysis of rooftop solar before the rules are changed, and I do not believe that study has been done. Please reject Duke's proposal, perform a thorough cost-benefit study, and then require Duke to make a new proposal based on that study. Thank you James Bernier, Jr.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 22 2022

**Conyers, Tamika**

---

**From:** shirley galbrecht  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:10 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by shirley galbrecht

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

shirley galbrecht

### Email

sag@embarqmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello, I was shocked when I read this. I can't even imagine how Duke Energy could be so myopic in their decision making and plans for energy production. We need clean, renewable, safe, planet protecting, climate change fighting energy solutions now! The Commission needs to help guide them because right now and demand responsible and forward thinking energy plans. If not prevented, this will lead to disasters not only for NC citizens but for Duke Energy itself. They are just too short sighted to see it. Thank you, Shirley Galbrecht

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 22 2022