OFFICIAL COPY

From:

Hart Pillow <hart.pillow@gmail.com>

Sent: To:

Subject:

Friday, July 15, 2016 7:38 PM

Statements

Docket M-100 Sub 145

FILED

JUL 1 8 2016

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Hello, Ed Finlely,

I have been following the actions of Duke Energy and the Utilities Commission regarding Duke's plan to merge with Piedmont.

The information I have gotten tells me that our NC Utilities Commission is making deals with Duke Energy in secret.

Aren't you supposed to be looking after my interests as a citizen?

I need you and the Utilities Commission to be open, clear, and to act with integrity in these mattters with Duke Energy.

What you do today will greatly impact our tomorrows here in North Carolina and in the wider world.

Please let me hear from you that you are willing and pledge to be transparent as you continue serving North Carolinians.

Sincerely,

Armstrong H. Pillow

Armstrong Hart Pillow hart.pillow@gmail.com (336) 364-3004 229 Potluck Farm Road Rougemont, NC 27572

don't ask yourself what the world needs; ask yourself what makes you come alive. and then go and do that. because what the world needs is people who have come alive.

- howard thurman

From:

Evans, James P < jpevans@med.unc.edu>

Sent:

Friday, July 15, 2016 2:39 PM

To: Subject: Statements

Docket M-100 Sub 145

FILED
JUL 18 2016

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Dear Mr. Finley,

I appreciate you taking the time to read my email. As a citizen of NC and an advocate for responsible public policy, I'm writing to express my dismay about the relationship between regulators and Duke Energy.

It would appear that the regulatory process is neither regulatory in nature, nor a process. Rather, it seems that Duke Energy's desires, which are too often at odds with what is best for the people of NC (not to mention the environment) are the only considerations.

I am in full support of the recent petition filed by NC WARN that seeks to foster open discussion, something that is woefully lacking in this arena, to the detriment of our state.

As a scientist, I was particularly insulted by Duke Energy's success in preventing testimony by experts in the realm of natural gas and global warming.

I urge you to adopt rules that require negotiations be open to qualified parties and that sufficient time is provided for proper communication and study of proposals. The NC Utilities Commission should work for the people and the state, not for Duke Energy and the profits of a few.

Thanks again for taking the time to address my concerns.

Jim

James P. Evans MD, Ph.D
Bryson Distinguished Professor of Genetics & Medicine
Editor-in-Chief; Genetics in Medicine
CB #7264
Department of Genetics
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7264
USA

Tel:(919) 966-2007 Fax:(919) 843-0291

email: jpevans@med.unc.edu

From:

Margaret Parker <mppljcpe@verizon.net>

Sent:

Saturday, July 16, 2016 4:19 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket M-100 Sub 145

FILED

JUL 1 8 2016

Dear Mr. Finley,

Clerk's Office

N.C. Utilities Commission actions of late have been clearly in favor of Duke Energy instead of NC rate payers. Isn't it time to end back room deals and speak for NC paying customers with clear transparency?

Thank you,

Margaret Parker

Chairman Ed Finley:

From:

Nancy Blood <nlblood@frontier.com>

Sent:

Saturday, July 16, 2016 11:03 AM

To:

Statements

Subject: Docket M-100 Sub 145

FILED
JUL 18 2016

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

I support NC WARN's petition calling for open and fair processes by the NC Utilities Commission in power plant, rate hike, and merger cases. And I am shocked that the Commission recently blocked testimony by two natural gas experts as part of public input into the discussion of the possible merger of Duke Energy and Piedmont Natural Gas..

The taxpayers of North Carolina demand a process that is transparent rather than rigged.

Sincerely,

Nancy Blood 111 E. Ellerbee St. Durham, NC 27704