E-2, Sub 1338

```
1
     PLACE:
               Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina
               Tuesday, April 16, 2024
 2
     DATE:
               10:03 a.m. - 10:59 a.m.
 3
     TIME:
 4
     DOCKET:
               E-2, Sub 1338
 5
    BEFORE:
              Hearing Examiner Kimberly Murrell
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
                        IN THE MATTER OF:
12
                       Marleen D. Asbury,
13
                  3117 Brushy Mountain Street,
14
                   Cary, North Carolina 27519
15
                                               Complainant
16
                                V.
17
                   Duke Energy Progress, LLC,
18
                                               Defendant
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
E-2, Sub 1338
```

```
1
    A P P E A R A N C E S:
 2
    FOR MARLEEN D. ASBURY:
    Marleen D. Asbury, Pro se
 3
 4
     3117 Brushy Mountain Street
 5
     Cary, North Carolina 27519
 6
 7
     FOR DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC:
 8
    Robert W. Kaylor, Esq.
    Robert W. Kaylor, P.A.
 9
10
     Post Office Box 30036
11
     Raleigh, North Carolina 27622
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

E-2, Sub 1338

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	EXAMINATIONS
3	PAGE
4	MARLEEN D. ASBURY
5	Direct Statement by The Witness10
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Kaylor18
7	Examination by Hearing Examiner Murrell19
8	Examination by Mr. Kaylor20
9	CHRISTOPHER ALLEN ASBURY
10	Direct Statement by The Witness24
11	Cross Examination by Mr. Kaylor26
12	BOB DONALDSON
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Kaylor29
14	Cross Examination by Ms. Asbury44
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

E-2, Sub 1338

1	
1	EXHIBITS:
2	IDENTIFIED/ADMITTED
3	Complainant Exhibit 1 15 / 50
4	Complainant Exhibit 2 16 / 50
5	Complainant Exhibit 3 16 / 16
6	Complainant Exhibit 4 17 / 17
7	Duke Exhibit 1 51 / 51
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

2.0

PROCEEDINGS

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Good morning.

Let's come to order and go on the record. My name is

Kimberly Murrell, Staff Attorney for the North

Carolina Utilities Commission. I have been assigned

as the Hearing Examiner to conduct the hearing in this

proceeding today.

I have a few housekeeping matters. I apologize for the warm and fuzzy conditions. Please let me know if you get too warm and you need to take a brief recess, we can try to accommodate you as best we can. Please remember, because the fans are running to talk into the microphone so that we can hear you, and, if some reason, you can't hear me, remind me that you can't hear me and I'll try to speak up as best I can.

I now call for hearing Docket Number E-2, Sub 1338. This is the matter of Marleen Asbury versus Duke Progress Energy, LLC (sic). This docket involves the Complaint filed by Ms. Marleen Asbury on January 17th, 2024, against Duke Energy Progress, LLC, related to metering and billing.

This Commission ordered the Complainant -the Complaint to be served on Duke Energy Progress,
which I may refer to as the Company or Duke.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Duke filed its Answer and Motion to Dismiss on February 2nd, 2024.

On February 7th, 2024, the Commission issued an Order serving Duke's Answer and Motion to Dismiss on Complainant. In the Order, the Commission directed Ms. Asbury, who I may refer to as Complainant, to review the Answer and Motion to Dismiss and advise the Commission by February 20th whether the Answer was acceptable and, if not, whether she desired a public hearing.

On February 21st, Ms. Asbury advised the Commission that the Answer was not acceptable and requested a public hearing.

On October 5th, 2021 (sic), the Commission issued an Order scheduling this matter -- 2024, excuse me -- issued an Order scheduling this matter for hearing at this time and place, which brings us here today.

I will now ask the parties to make appearances for the record, beginning with the Complainant.

Ms. Asbury, would you like to speak from where you're sitting or would you be comfortable taking the witness stand?

E-2, Sub 1338

1 MS. ASBURY: No, this is fine. 2 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. Ms. Asbury, would you please state your name and 3 address for the record? 4 5 MS. ASBURY: Yes. My name is Marleen Asbury, 3117 Brushy Mountain Street, Cary, North 6 7 Carolina 27519. 8 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Next, who will be 9 presenting Duke's case in evidence? 10 MR. KAYLOR: Hearing Examiner, I'm Robert 11 Kaylor on behalf of Duke Energy Progress. 12 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you. Ιf 13 there are no other preliminary matters to consider, we 14 will begin with the Complainant since you have the burden of proof. 15 16 Ms. Asbury, as the Complainant, you have the 17 burden to prove your case and you have the right to present evidence first. Duke has the right to 18 19 question you and any other witnesses who may speak on 2.0 your behalf, and then I may ask you some questions to follow up as well. 21 22 Also, I would ask that if you refer to any 23 written documents, that you would present them to be 24 marked and entered as an exhibit in this hearing, even

1	if you've already filed them with the Commission.
2	That just helps us to keep the documents accurate and
3	keep the record clear of everything that transpires
4	here today and any documentary evidence that you
5	present.
6	Lastly, I also want to make sure that you
7	understand that I will not render a decision in this
8	matter today. Rather, as the Hearing Examiner, I will
9	listen to the evidence presented here today. A
L 0	decision will be issued in written form and the
11	Commission's Chief Clerk's Office at a later time.
L2	Does that make sense?
L3	MS. ASBURY: Yes.
L 4	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Are you ready to
L 5	be sworn in and present your evidence?
L 6	MS. ASBURY: Yes, ma'am.
L 7	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Would you like to
L 8	affirm or swear?
L 9	MS. ASBURY: Swear. It doesn't matter.
20	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: There is a Bible
21	to your left.
22	MS. ASBURY: I swear I'm Marleen Asbury
23	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: I'm sorry. I'll
24	ask you the guestion.

2.0

Please place your left hand on the Bible and raise your right hand.

MARLEEN D. ASBURY;

having been duly sworn,

testified as follows:

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Now Ms. Asbury, now that you're under oath, you are going to present factual testimony about the matters in your complaint. Please feel free to explain the situation and provide to me any documentation regarding your complaint against Duke. When you are finished, the attorney for Duke will the chance to ask you some questions about your testimony and then as I mentioned before, I may have additional questions after that.

THE WITNESS: Do you want the evidence now or after I talk?

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: You can pass the documents up as you're discussing them. But no, you don't need to pass them up now, just as you come up. And when you pass up the documents, if you'll remember to sort of tell me what they are as you pass them up.

THE WITNESS: First of all, can I tell you, when I moved in there, when it all started --

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Before we get

started, do you have any other questions about the procedure?

THE WITNESS: No.

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Keep the mic pulled to your mouth as best you can and try to speak clearly and slowly. Thank you.

DIRECT STATEMENT BY THE WITNESS:

Yeah, I'm over at Crown Park Apartments in Cary. I moved in there in 2018. And the second -- that was August the 1st of 2018, I moved in.

On September the 1st, I got a call from management. And also the maintenance guy told me that the -- and it's a brand new apartment. I was the first one there, and they were still doing construction on it. They had put the meters in and when they put them in they hooked them up wrong to the wrong apartments. So he said he was going to change my meter. I had no problem with it. I said, hey, anybody can make a mistake. And from that day until August the 24th of 2023, one gentleman of 2000, 2012 apartment called in and said he had a high bill. He hadn't lived there very long and there had been many others there in that apartment. That's the apartment that I was supposed to be hooked up wrong to that Duke

says -- they came out. Well, let me say, they came out first and he said that his meter was hooked to mine, mine to his, and they changed them and they fixed it. And I had already got a bill that month. It had already came out for I think it was like \$98.00, somewhere around that area.

Then, from there, they -- I went to call in to pay my bill. I always pay it the first of the month. It's not due to the 20th. And I got a bill, they said I owed \$2112.83. I said, "what"? So I called in and they said, yes, they are going to prorate you back to twenty-one.

Then I -- after that -- and I talked to many people at Duke's, managers, and they could not give me an answer why. I said, my meter was, you know, changed already in 2018. And I knew it was right.

And they could not give me an answer for that. I said, do you go back in your records, look in 2018.

And they said we don't have those records anymore. I said -- so I'm thinking to myself, would I stay here today? Even the Internal Revenue tells you, you must hold your records for at least seven years. They did not. Those records are lost. So I have no proof that that meter was changed other than my management.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

put it in on the 11th.

May 06 202

Then, after that, they sent me another bill. They reduced to it to \$2021.05. And then they went from there to \$759.22, plus \$237.29 for that month. total of \$965.93. And in all this time I paid my I don't mind paying anything that I owe but bills. when I feel that I don't owe it, I'm -- just like I told them I'm going to fight this. And also, since Bob Donaldson came out from Duke, I have found more evidence that there was different meters. Not just different meters, different account numbers. Now, he tried to say that the meter, the number was only changed. No. meter was changed on the 11th because on the fifth when they came out to change that meter, they did not come up to the apartment to see if it shut on and off. I called Duke. They came back out on the 11th, changed it, and he had the maintenance come up to my apartment to make sure it would shut on and off, and

When Mr. Donaldson came out on -- let's see -- anyway when Mr. Donaldson came out, he had noticed on every one of these -- and I've got pictures of it. Every one of these meters has a plate, a metal

it did not. He went to the truck, got another meter,

May 06 202

plate with a number on it of your apartment. So there's no way you make a mistake. And that was done in 2018 after they made that mistake. But when the guy came out on 2011, and this is my opinion, he took that plate off and that's to cover him on the fifth, that they did not come out and check to see it shut on and off. And when he put that meter in on the 11th, they had him come up there, it did shut on and off. So it was right.

And that's about all I've got to say on the matter that I can think of right off. I'm kind of nervous. This is my first time ever having to do this. But like I said, you don't never change account numbers. Even if the meters, let's say hypothetically that Duke is right, you don't change account numbers, and I have two different account numbers and I can show you on the bills.

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you, Ms. Asbury.

MR. KAYLOR: Hearing Examiner, if she has bills or anything like that that she would like to introduce that are from Duke, we have no objection to those being identified, and they can be placed in the record at any time.

I want to

2.0

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you.

Thank you, Ms. Asbury. Are there any

documents that you would like to pass up for me to

4 review at this time?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.

6 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: And this is some notes that I made that I wanted her to see.

There also was, the last six months, inconsistency of them, the payments each month. If you look at that, it's like \$179, \$195, \$267, \$187, \$194. You don't have that kind of inconsistency and you don't -- nothing's changed. And a lot of times, like I told Mr. Donaldson, I didn't even turn the heat on. And when he came in, you know, he asked if could

on. And when he came in, you know, he asked if could he come to my apartment. And I said, "sure". I had no problem with that. He was going to supposedly to try to find out. Well, the first thing he said when he come in, he looked at a shelf that I had there, and he said, "you're a professional cook". I said, "no, I'm not professional but I like to cook". And it's almost like trying to find evidence that where I'm using the power. Then he points out a little heater

that I have. And I've got pictures of it.

May 06 2024

```
1
    show you it. That thing, if it costs $10 a month,
 2
    it'd be lucky. And that's -- it's very seldom I use
 3
    that.
              I also got the meter numbers here and I can
 4
 5
    show you where the plate's missing. And that's it.
 6
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
 7
    Ms. Asbury. If you would pass that up. I have
 8
    here --
9
              MR. KAYLOR: No objection to these
10
    documents.
11
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
12
    Mr. Kaylor.
13
              The first set of documents that Ms. Asbury
14
    has passed up appear to be about 13 sets of bills.
15
    We're going to mark that as Complainant Exhibit 1.
16
    Duke has stipulated that it's okay -- I mean, they
17
    have no objection to these records, so these documents
18
    will be marked as Complainant Exhibit 1.
19
                                (WHEREUPON, Complainant
2.0
                                Exhibit 1 is identified.)
21
               HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: We also have a
22
    second document which appears to be a set of notes
23
    from Complainant regarding the bills. And so we'll
24
    mark those as Complainant's Exhibit 2.
```

1	(WHEREUPON, Complainant
2	Exhibit 2 is identified.)
3	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: And the last,
4	third set, appear to be pictures.
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Those are pictures of
6	the meters. And you'll see where I put a pink marker
7	to show you which meter was mine. And where that
8	number is on the globe is where my maintenance guy, he
9	said, "this ain't going to happen again." He put it
10	on there, 3117.
11	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. Thank you.
12	Mr. Kaylor, would you like to view these pictures?
13	MR. KAYLOR: Not at all. No objection to
14	the pictures.
15	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. Thank you.
16	So these pictures of the meter Ms. Asbury has provided
17	will be marked as Complainant Exhibit 3. And these
18	are deemed admitted into the record, or accepted and
19	admitted into the record.
20	(WHEREUPON, Complainant
21	Exhibit 3 is identified and
22	received into evidence.)
23	THE WITNESS: What about the bills that I
24	sent in to the Commission Board? I have some here

E-2, Sub 1338

```
1
    that I had paid that used to be my old meter before
 2
    they changed it. And in '21 -- that was another
 3
    thing. In '21, they changed it to smart meters. And
    now it's all controlled by the office. I can't verify
 4
 5
    to see the kilowatts I'm using. Nothing.
                                                So I have
    to take their word that's what I'm using.
 6
                                                But I can
 7
    show you bills that I had on the meter before and were
 8
    never that high.
 9
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Would you like to
10
    pass that up?
11
             (Exhibits handed to Hearing Examiner)
12
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
13
    Ms. Asbury. Mr. Kaylor.
14
              MR. KAYLOR: No objection.
15
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Thank you.
                                                      So
16
    the fourth document passed up by Ms. -- by Complainant
17
    will be marked as Complainant Exhibit 4 and it appears
18
    to be a payment record for bills on this account back
19
    through -- up through 2022. So we'll mark this as
2.0
    Complainant's Exhibit 4 and this exhibit is also
21
    accepted and will be entered into the record.
22
                                (WHEREUPON, Complainant
23
                                Exhibit 4 is identified and
24
                                received into evidence.)
```

```
1
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Ms. Asbury, do
 2
    you have anything additional to say before Mr. Kaylor
 3
    asks you questions?
 4
              THE WITNESS: No. That's about all I can
 5
    think of right now.
 6
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you.
 7
    Mr. Kaylor, are you ready to cross examine?
8
              MR. KAYLOR: Thank you, Chair.
9
    CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. KAYLOR:
10
         Ms. Asbury, I'm Robert Kaylor. I represent Duke
11
         Progress. Just a couple of questions.
12
               In your apartment you mentioned that you're
13
          a cook. What kind of stove do you have? Is it
         gas or electric?
14
         I've got electric.
15
16
         And do you also have a refrigerator?
17
    Α
         Yes.
18
         Do you also have like a --
19
         It's a little small chest freezer. I gave her a
2.0
         picture of it. And the heater is only about a
21
         foot wide and about a foot high. And what it is
22
         it's like a little fireplace that you don't even
23
         have to turn the heat on, you can just use it for
24
         the ambience.
```

- 19 E-2, Sub 1338 So you're saying that's a chest freezer in 2 addition to your --3 Yeah. Α 4 -- refrigerator? 5 It's a little chest freezer in the pictures 6 there. It's not that big. 7 Okay. So in addition to your refrigerator you 8 have a freezer also. Α Yes. 10 MR. KAYLOR: I have nothing further to ask 11 the Complainant. 12 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you, 13 Mr. Kaylor. 14 THE WITNESS: And, oh, by the way, there's 15 only 1100 square feet in that apartment. It's a two 16 bedroom, 1100 square feet. 17 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you, 18 Ms. Asbury. 19 Ms. Asbury, I have one additional question
- 20 for you.
- 21 EXAMINATION BY HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
- 22 I think you noted that you were turning your
- 23 power down. You weren't using that much heat?
- 24 A lot of time we never had the heat on at Yeah.

E-2, Sub 1338

```
all.
 2
         Okay.
 3
                                (WHEREUPON, the Court
 4
                                Reporter requested that the
 5
                                witness allow the Hearing
 6
                                Examiner to complete their
 7
                                question before answering.)
 8
               HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you.
                                                       I'11
 9
    repeat the question.
10
          So you mentioned that often times you would turn
11
          down your heat or air. Did you ever turn the
12
         meter or breaker all the way off?
13
         Yes.
    Α
14
         Where you completely turn the meter off at the --
15
          I mean, turn the breaker off, like, flip the
16
         switch?
17
         Oh. Not the breaker.
                                 No.
18
         Okay. I just wanted to make sure. I have --
         Just the thermostat; I turned the heat off.
19
2.0
               HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Thank you.
21
    Mr. Kaylor, any follow up questions to that?
22
               MR. KAYLOR: Yes.
23
    EXAMINATION BY MR. KAYLOR:
24
         Let me just go through a series of questions here
```

```
to confirm what you actually have in your unit.
 2
          So, it's heated by a heat pump with auxilliary
 3
         heat; is that correct?
 4
         (Nods head in agreement).
    Α
 5
         You have a stove and range; that's correct?
 6
          I have an electric stove. Yes.
 7
         And you have a microwave?
 8
    Α
         Yes.
         And you indicated you have a refrigerator and a
10
         freezer?
11
         Yes.
12
         You have a washer/dryer?
13
    Α
         Yes.
14
         Do you have a water purifier?
15
         My water purifier is just a portable on top of
16
         the counter.
17
         Okay. And I think you have -- do you have
18
         multiple TVs or just one?
19
          I have my one TV in my living room. I never use
2.0
         my bedroom. I've got one in there but I don't
21
         use it.
22
         And do you have a computer to use?
23
         Yes.
```

Thank you.

That's all we have.

MR. KAYLOR:

```
1
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Thank you,
 2
    Mr. Kaylor.
 3
              Ms. Asbury, if you have nothing further, do
    you have another witness that you would like to call?
 4
 5
              MS. ASBURY: My son can come up to verify
 6
    everything I've said.
 7
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: I mean, it's up
8
             This is your --
    to you.
9
              MS. ASBURY: And he might -- yeah. He might
10
    have something that I've forgot that I didn't mention.
11
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.
                                                 I'm sorry.
12
    What is your son's name?
13
              MS. ASBURY: Chris. Chris Asbury.
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Mr. Asbury, if
14
15
    you would, approach the witness stand.
16
              MS. ASBURY: Oh.
                                By the way, when you
    mentioned about the electric stove, we've been on a
17
18
    carnivore diet and I've been using an air fryer for
19
    quite a few months. So I never use that stove.
20
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Ms. Asbury, once
21
    you've had a chance to speak then you will need to
22
    actually wait. You will have an opportunity at the
23
    end to give any additional information on rebuttal.
24
    Okay?
           Thank you. And please remember to speak one at
```

May 06 2024

```
1
    a time.
              Thank you.
 2
              Mr. Asbury, thank you. Would you place your
 3
    left hand on the Bible and raise your -- would you
    state your name for the record? I'm sorry.
 4
 5
              MR. ASBURY: Christopher Allen Asbury.
 6
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you. And
 7
    would you place your left hand -- would you place your
 8
    left hand on the Bible and raise your right hand?
 9
                   CHRISTOPHER ALLEN ASBURY;
10
                    having been duly sworn,
11
                     testified as follows:
12
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Thank you.
13
              THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
14
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Now that you're
15
    under oath, Mr. Asbury, you're going to present
16
    factual testimony related to the Complaint.
17
    feel free to explain the situation. And if you have
    any documentation you'd like to pass up at that time,
18
19
    please do so; explain what the documents are.
2.0
    when you're done, the attorney for Duke will -- may
21
    ask you some questions, and I may have additional
22
    questions after that.
23
              Do you understand this procedure?
24
               THE WITNESS:
                             I do.
```

```
1 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. You may
2 begin.
```

DIRECT STATEMENT BY THE WITNESS:

Aside from the testimony my mother gave, I have a question for you, sir. There was the original bill --

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: I'm sorry. This is your opportunity to testify so you can give any information that you would like to give. Mr. Kaylor will ask you questions afterwards.

THE WITNESS: Certainly. Okay. Well, I do know this, that the original bill that we got was like \$2112 and some cents. Okay. The question that I would have is, if we were to pay the bill in full and not have any complaints or not even appear in a courtroom like this, would anything have been said or done to distinguish that bill or lessen it because of mistakes of Duke Energy. I say no. I say it would have been just another day in paradise, you know, because that's just business. If the bill was false, or with error, or maybe the amount was just not configured right, I'm not sure how to describe it, but I do know that thing was reduced at least five times.

Is that five times, mom, that -- more than

1 once. I know that much.

MS. ASBURY: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: And each time they would lessen it, lessen it, lessen it. And it would be only after we got an attorney involved and threatened to take them to court. It's just a little bit suspicious to me. Maybe dishonest. And so what I'd like to do is get an explanation for that, because nobody, including Bob Donaldson that was at the house discussing these matters with us, could not give an explanation. When I presented the proof to him, he said, "well, that was somebody else's error". Or whatever he said. But I would like to have an explanation of that. I mean, it's -- either it's \$2112 or it's not. Who's lying here? Who's presenting a mistruth? And if it is, why -- then it went down to like less than \$1000.

And that's one of the reasons why we're here at this Court, is because there are some people at Duke Energy that doesn't know basic math, and we're paying the price for that. I don't think that's fair and I don't think it's right. And I think the Commission should consider paying back the money, in my opinion, they've

stole away from our account. And that's all I have to say.

- 3 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
- 4 Mr. Asbury. Mr. Kaylor.
- 5 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. KAYLOR:
- 6 Q Mr. Asbury, did you give your address for the 7 record? If you did, I didn't hear it.
- 8 A That would be 3117 Brushy Mountain Street, Cary,
- 9 North Carolina, and that would be 27519.
- 10 Q So that's the same address as your mother?
- 11 A That's right.
- 12 Q And do you recognize Mr. Donaldson?
- 13 A I do.
- 14 Q Okay.
- MR. KAYLOR: Thank you. That's all I have.
- 16 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
- 17 Mr. Kaylor.
- Mr. Asbury do you have anything additional
- 19 you would like to follow up and say?
- THE WITNESS: Well, everything my mother has
- 21 | told has been true to the facts. You know, I've lived
- 22 | with this woman for many, many years, and there's no
- 23 | way she's lying in testimony. I can tell you that.
- 24 Everything she says is the absolute truth.

As far as the meters and the matters of
swapping out meters and the plate, that looks
suspicious as well. I mean, every meter that's in the
office of the manager of our apartment complex has a
plate on there except for ours, and they had to mark
the glass in order to distinguish that particular
globe and distinguish that from the others. I find
that suspicious as well.
I think a little bit of dishonest is at hand
here but that's just my opinion. So, about that,
that's about all I have to say.
HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
Mr. Asbury. If you if there's nothing further.
MR. KAYLOR: Nothing further from Duke.
HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: I'm sorry.
MR. KAYLOR: No further questions.
HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you. You
may be excused. You may be seated.
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Ms. Asbury, do
you have any other witnesses or
MS. ASBURY: No. I want to say something.

MS. ASBURY: When Mr. Donaldson was out, he

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.

1	even asked the maintenance guy what happened to the
2	plate. And he said, "I don't know".
3	When they came out on the 11th and, like I
4	said, they changed that meter, that's when the plate
5	was missing.
6	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.
7	MS. ASBURY: When I went back down there,
8	and he was there, there was no plate.
9	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
10	Ms. Adkins.
11	Duke, are you ready to present your case?
12	MR. KAYLOR: Yes. We would call Bob
13	Donaldson to the stand.
14	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you.
15	MR. KAYLOR: State your name and address for
16	the record, please.
17	MR. DONALDSON: Bob Donaldson, Regulatory
18	Affairs Manager, Duke Energy.
19	(Pause)
20	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Sorry.
21	Mr. Donaldson, are you ready to be sworn in?
22	MR. DONALDSON: Yes.
23	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Will you place
24	your left hand on the Bible and raise your right hand?

1	BOB DONALDSON;		
2	having been duly sworn,		
3	testified as follows:		
4	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,		
5	Mr. Donaldson. Now, that you're under oath, you're		
6	going to resent factual testimony about the matters in		
7	the Complaint. Please feel free to give us any		
8	additional information regarding Duke's case and pass		
9	up any documents and exhibits, and please explain		
10	which documents you may be passing up so that we can		
11	make sure the record is clear. Do you understand the		
12	procedure?		
13	THE WITNESS: I do.		
14	HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: You may begin.		
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KAYLOR:		
16	Q Mr. Donaldson, how long have been employed by		
17	Duke Energy?		
18	A Over 25 years.		
19	Q What is your educational background?		
20	A Bachelor of Science, Mechanical Engineering, NC		
21	State 1984.		
22	Q And you mentioned your title. Could you state		
23	your title again?		
24	A Regulatory Affairs Manager in North Carolina.		

1	Q	And what do those duties encompass for the				
2		Company?				
3	А	Basically, working with the Public Staff,				
4		Commission staff, and the Commission. I'll just				
5		say specifically here related to escalated				
6		customer complaints, a number of regulatory				
7		matters in operations from generation,				
8		transmission, and distribution.				
9	Q	And you're familiar with the Asbury Complaint, I				
10		believe?				
11	А	I am.				
12	Q	And did you help me in preparing the answer to				
13		the Complaint which has been filed with the				
14		Commission?				
15	А	I did. I investigated this entire case and				
16		drafted the response that we filed with the				
17		Commission.				
18	Q	So, you say you investigated, so you're familiar				
19		with all the details that have been described by				
20		the Complainant and her son; is that correct?				
21	А	Yes.				
22	Q	So, in the Complaint, the Complainant says that				
23		she believes that the bills had been prorated				
24		someway. Does have any bills been prorated				

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	with	respect	to	this	account?
---	------	---------	----	------	----------

- No bills have been technically prorated. used was the prior meter in the meter base that serves her apartment. We used that meter data specifically to back-bill the customer per Commission Rule.
- And I think you heard the Complainant state that there was now a new meter which she couldn't read or she couldn't tell what her usage was; is that correct?
- I'm not quite understanding the Complaint but there is a current meter there that is referenced in our response.
- Can customers read that meter to determine their usage?
- Yes, they can. And I just want to add, in regards to -- about her energy use and having interest in it, maybe on a daily basis; do you get your bill electronically or by mail?

2.0 MS. ASBURY: No. I get it by mail. 21 always call in and check it. When the bills --

22 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: I'm sorry.

I'm bad about that. 23 THE WITNESS: I just

24 want to help.

2.0

1 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: That's okay.

2 Mr. Kaylor, please continue on.

BY MR. KAYLOR:

- Q Explain what your investigation encompassed with regard to the wrong meter being billed to the Complainant?
- A Yeah. This is a cross-meter situation which can occasionally happen in apartments. And I basically followed this chronologically from when the Complaint came through from another resident in the apartment as she referenced, I basically investigated that chronologically from the time that Complaint started all the way through. A cross-meter situation is simply where a meter serving one customer is being billed to another customer account.
- Q How did the Company become aware of this cross-meter situation?
 - A From the Complaint and the resident that was in 3112 Brushy Mountain Street. That's in the response as well and she referenced that.
- Q So, did you on occasion go out and visit the property yourself?
- 24 A I visited once. It was on February 8th at 9:00

1 a.m.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Q Can you explain the back-charge that the Company initiated initially with regard to, once it realized, in their mind, there had been a cross-meter issue?

I'd like to explain that. And this is in Α the response as well. We reference a 672 period -- a day period where we basically did a complete, what we call a cancel and rebill. go all the way back to where we have records on that meter on that account. This isn't necessarily the most -- it can be a little confusing and I apologize for that on behalf of the Company. But basically what we did to do the back-bill, and this is all in the response, is we basically took the meter that is physically in her meter base that was applied to the other person's account, now it's on hers, and we basically went all the way back 672 days to a date I have in the response there. And then we credited that account all the way up to the 150-day rule, Commission Rule. So explain what you mean by the 150-day Q

2.0

A	Basically, for back billing, and I've got it
	referenced here let me just reference kind of
	in our response, page 3, it's paragraph "f". And
	I put this in here just for this reason so
	everybody would understand and the customer would
	understand.

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: I'm sorry. What are you referencing again?

THE WITNESS: In our Response --

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.

THE WITNESS: -- page 3, paragraph "f" at the bottom.

A It says -- we basically said, "Upon discovery of the undercharge, the Complainant's account was rebilled the difference between the correct meter usage and the incorrect meter usage for the entire 672 day period. The rebill difference was then credited for all additional usage, except the last 150 days allowed for back billing by Commission Rule R8-44(4)a."

And I put this in the response as well. To get the point across I underlined it. "To be clear, the Complainant was not and has not been back billed for the 672 day period, only the 150

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

days allowed by Commission Rule."

Mr. Asbury often references the \$2000 bill. It was never the intent of the Company to bill anybody \$2000. I apologize on behalf of the Company. That was confusing. We kind of used the term "cancel rebill". It's just a methodology in the process. But instantly, okay, there was a credit applied to the account. And all that -- all the math kind of is spelled out there in the response. But it was never the intent to bill the customer for that entire period, only for the Commission Rule back bill period.

BY MR. KAYLOR:

- 15 So when did you actually visit the site and look 16 at the meters and discuss that with the Company's 17 representative?
- 18 That was on February 8th at 9:00 a.m.
- 19 February 8th of this year?
- 2.0 Yes.
- 21 And what did you determine upon that visit?
- 22 Α When we visited -- I tell you what, I've got my
- 23 notes right there if I could grab those.
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Mr. Kaylor, if

2.0

you'll pass them up. You're passing up notes. These are just notes, not exhibits, just notes.

MR. KAYLOR: Correct.

Yes. So again, we went February 8th, 9:00 a.m.

We met there at the meter room and basically went in the meter room, identified the meter number that we believed to be the current meter. I think we were there with a couple of people from the apartment. I think one is the assistant property manager and one is the maintenance man, I guess. They were with us.

We identified the meter and the meter number that is on her account at that time and now. All right. And at 9:12 a.m., we turned the main circuit breaker off beside that meter and then we were going to proceed up to the apartment to confirm that the power was out. So we turned that breaker off. We proceeded up to their apartment, and the power was out. And then we basically -- you know, we just had some Q and A, and just talked about the issues at hand. I tried to kind of go through my response with the parties here. And after we did that we basically, we went back down and turned the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

breaker on. And we turned the breaker on, let's see here, I got a time, at 9:52 a.m.

BY MR. KAYLOR:

Q So let's back up a second and let's read the meter numbers that were on Ms. Asbury's account.

What was the original meter number on her account?

- A Okay. All right. So let's bring all of this up.

 So -- and this is in my response and this has

 been verified by two service technicians in some

 visits that are in my response. The one that was

 physically in her meter base is the meter ending

 in -- I'll just use three numbers -- ending in

 413.
- Q And what was the other meter number for the adjacent-type apartment?
- 17 A The meter for the adjacent apartment physically 18 there was 411. That's referenced.
- 19 Q So explain how the bills were sent over these
 20 three-year period to those different addresses,
 21 based on the meter numbers?
- 22 A So over this period of time, up until the
 23 complaint came about from this other resident,
 24 the meter number 411 which served 3112 Brushy

May 06 2024

1		Mountain was being billed to 3117 and Ms. Asbury.
2	Q	So let's state that again. The meter number 411
3		which was the adjacent apartment, and the usage
4		there in that apartment was being billed to
5		Ms. Asbury?
6	А	Ms. Asbury.
7	Q	And how was she being billed?
8	А	She was being billed yeah, she was well,
9		your question should be for the other resident.
LO		The other resident was being billed on 413.
11		Okay. So here, I'm going to kind of classify it
L2		this way: 413 was generally the higher usage.
L3		Okay. 411 was the lower usage.
L 4	Q	So the adjacent apartment that had lower usage
L 5		was paying for a meter that showed higher usage.
L 6	A	Correct.
L 7	Q	And so this was the purpose of you're saying
L 8		switched meters, basically?
L 9	A	Right. Okay. Now, I want to clarify this. Once
20		this was discovered by the service technician,
21		there was not a physical meter swap. This is
22		common in the utility industry. This is the
23		first go-around to get it all straight. We just
2.4		swap meter numbers on accounts. We don't swap

3

4

5

24

- physical meters.
 - So you changed the meter number and then you did a study or an investigation to determine what Ms. Asbury should have been billed over these periods going back to 2021?
- 6 Correct. Α
- 7 And then various bills were sent out to reflect 8 that. Various changes were made to that based on 9 the Commission Rule; is that correct?
- 10 Correct.
- 11 Now, was there at some point another meter put in 12 that serves her apartment?
- 13 Α There was.
- 14 And why -- how did that happen and why did that 15 happen?
- 16 Yeah. So let's go through kind of the sequence 17 here. So once we swapped meter numbers, 18 obviously Ms. Asbury started getting new correct 19 bills with higher usage. And she questioned 2.0 that. And as such, you know, she wanted another 21 investigation to confirm from our first service 22 technician that confirmed the meter swap, called 23 again.

And I'm going to go back to my response

2.0

here. This was kind of in the "Second Defense" section. This is on page 5 of my response.

MR. KAYLOR: This will be page 5 of the Company's Answer.

A So this is a very important kind of fact here in chronology. All right. So in the Second Defense, we basically state, With respect to Complainant's comments that meter 413 was not historically her correct meter, the Company's confirmation of cross meters is incorrect. She kept claiming that. And then, the energy usage is incorrect. So I kind of go through the whole response there.

So she contacted the Company on

September 6th to come out and, I guess, kind of

confirm the meter again if need be. We came out.

This was -- now, this was when we actually did a

physical meter change and I want to be able to

explain why that meter change was made.

On September 11th, the Company completed the service order. He came out. And she makes comments about he went back to a truck and get a meter. I want to explain what that is. So he came out. He basically removed -- you know, he

came to remove a meter, all right, to confirm that the power was out in the apartment.

- Q So just to be clear here, he removed the meter ending in 413; is that correct?
- A Correct.
- Q Okay. Proceed.
 - A That is correct. Here's a key fact, maybe not detailed in the response, but I want to bring this up and about this part about why the power didn't go off. AMI meters have in them what is called a remote disconnect switch. We use these now to remotely disconnect customers. So it's basically like a switch or a relay in a meter. All right. So, he basically he removed the meter, okay, and then when he went to put it back in that switch was open and he could not restore service to her apartment. So, in other words, the remote disconnect switch was not operating properly. All right.

And one thing I want to make clear about that is, that has nothing to do with its metrology and its measuring of energy use. It's simply a switch in the meter. So, when he realized that, he noticed, hey, I have to restore

23

24

1		Ms. Asbury's service. So that's why he went back
2		to the truck and got another meter and put it in,
3		and that's her current meter today.
4	Q	And how does what's the three last numbers of
5		that meter?
6	A	Of today's meter?
7	Q	Yes.
8	A	It is 214. And I confirmed that on February 8th
9		when I visited and turned the power off to her
10		apartment. That service technician, on September
11		11th, removed 413 and put in 214. And the most
12		fact is we back billed on 413 which was
13		physically there in that meter base.
14	Q	So, have you done an analysis of the meter
15		readings for a time period on meter number 413
16		compared with readings on meter number 214?
17	А	I have and at the time when I provided this
18		response, I looked at a 29-day period of
19		January 2023 and then January 2024. Okay. In
20		2023, it was meter 413, and I'm really reciting
21		this from the response, the energy usage for that

same 29-day period, the same intervals and

29-day period was 1400-kilowatt hours; the prior

meter. All right. Then, in January 2024, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

everything, different meter, current meter, okay, 1491-kilowatt hours; very, very close.

I just want to add to that, I've looked at some additional data since that timeframe. looked at that same type of comparison from February 18, 2023 to 4-14-2023, okay, on meter ending in 413. Those kilowatt hours are 2172. Her current meter today from 2-18-2024 to 4-13-2024, still the same 55-day period. is a leap year in there so that's why it's one day off. The kilowatt usage there is 2047. Also, very close and very similar. And I do all that to make a point that to confirm or basically support that these meter numbers -- that these meters were in the meter base and reading accurately. So, all in all, after all of this was discovered and the Commission, I mean the Company realized that the Complainant had been under-billed, you did come up with a new bill. And did you offer

- A We did.
- 24 Q And she --

the Complainant a method where she could spread

out those payments like a payment plan?

E-2,	Sub	1338	

,
A She refused.
Q And as she indicated, her bills are current.
She's always paid
A I just if I wanted to emphasize anything,
Ms. Asbury is a good customer and is a good payer
on her account. So, we thank her for that.
Q Thank you, Mr. Donaldson.
MR. KAYLOR: I have no further questions.
HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
Mr. Kaylor.
Ms. Asbury, do you have any questions for
Mr. Donaldson?
MS. ASBURY: Yes, I do.
CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. ASBURY:
Q He says on the 5th, when they came out to change
that meter, and never came to the apartment to
see if it shut on and off and that's what they do
every time, because they did the first time when
I moved in, in 2018, and then when I so he
left and that's why I called Duke back to come
back out on the 11th, and she sent him out, he
came up, before he even pulled that meter
HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Ms. Asbury. I'm

sorry. Do you have a question for Mr. Donaldson?

```
1
              MS. ASBURY:
                            Yeah.
 2
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: This is your --
 3
    I'm sorry.
 4
              MS. ASBURY: My question is the 5th, he's
 5
    saying that the meter was only changed once. No. It
 6
    was changed in 2018, and he wants to ignore that fact,
 7
    that they don't have records.
 8
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.
 9
              MS. ASBURY: Then, it was changed --
10
              MR. KAYLOR: Objection. I believe you need
11
    to ask a question and let the witness answer.
12
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Ms. Asbury,
13
    again, so at this time, this is your opportunity to
14
    ask Mr. Donaldson any questions that you have and then
    you have to give him the opportunity to respond.
15
16
              MS. ASBURY:
                            Okay.
17
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Does that make
18
    sense?
19
              MS. ASBURY: Yes.
2.0
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: So you can ask
    your question.
21
22
    BY MS. ASBURY:
23
         What I'm asking is on the 5th, how come they
24
         didn't come to the apartment to see if it shut on
```

```
and off? They just left. Put the meter in.
 2
         That was right after the guy called on August the
 3
         24th.
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. So you
 4
 5
    need to let him answer the question.
 6
         I have work order records of simply what they did
 7
         as far as exchanging the meter. I've already,
 8
         kind of, explained the connection/reconnection
 9
         issue. That's all I have is the Company records
10
         on that.
11
               I also just want to correct, she keeps
12
         referencing the 5th. It was September 11th.
13
         have that in the response.
14
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Thank you.
15
    Ms. Asbury, your next question, if any.
16
              MS. ASBURY: There was two -- there was two
17
    times they came out. They came out on the 5th and
18
    they came out on the 11th. And, in fact --
19
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.
20
    Ms. Asbury, you have to ask him a question.
21
    have an opportunity to say any additional --
22
    BY MS. ASBURY:
23
         How do you explain it? They did come out.
24
         I don't have any Company records on that.
```

```
1
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.
 2
    Ms. Asbury, do you have another question or --
 3
              MS. ASBURY: Yeah. My question -- they
    don't have a record of it. They don't have a record of
 4
    2018 but he don't have a record of that either.
 5
              This is what I'm saying. This whole thing.
 6
 7
    And then to go back and prorate me.
8
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay, Ms. Asbury.
9
    So if you don't have any additional with questions,
10
    we're going to move on from that.
11
              THE WITNESS: Can I share one comment?
12
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Anything related
13
    to --
              THE WITNESS: It's kind of related to that.
14
15
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.
16
              THE WITNESS: Ms. Asbury keeps referring
17
    back to the 2018 thing. What I would just like to
18
    point out, based on the meters that have been in her
    meter base and all the prior period, she has been
19
2.0
    under-billed. She has been the recipient of being
    under-billed. And she keeps referring to 2018, and
21
22
    all I'll say is this whole matter, it's only about
23
    150-day back bill from when it was discovered, per the
24
    Commission Rules. I just want to bring that up.
```

```
1
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.
 2
    Ms. Asbury, if you do not have any further questions,
 3
    we're going to move on to Duke.
 4
              MS. ASBURY: No.
 5
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. Do you
    have any follow-up --
 6
 7
              MR. KAYLOR: No further questions for
8
    Mr. Donaldson.
9
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you.
    Mr. Donaldson, you may be excused. Thank you. And
10
11
    then --
12
              MR. ASBURY: Could I ask him a question?
13
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: I'm sorry. One
14
    second.
15
              MR. ASBURY: May I ask a question. Would
16
    that be possible or am I too late on that?
17
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: You can -- can
    you confer with your mother and see if she --
18
19
              MS. ASBURY: Yeah. Sure, he can ask him.
20
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Can you confer
21
    with your mother and see if she can articulate your
22
    question?
23
              MS. ASBURY: So in other words, you want him
24
    to do it or me to do it?
```

```
1
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: You to do it.
 2
              (Discussion at Complainant's table)
 3
    BY MS. ASBURY:
 4
         Yeah. The word -- when you said it's not
 5
         prorated. When I talked to the manager -- and
 6
         she is very good at Duke -- in fact, they put me
 7
         to her after many, after many weeks -- and she
 8
         checked everything out and she said it was
 9
         prorated back to '21. That's how they came up to
10
         the two thousand something figure. Yeah.
11
         prorated is a guess. It's not actual.
                                                  That's my
12
         question.
13
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. Are you
    done, Ms. Asbury?
14
15
         I think we already -- I thought I already
16
         addressed the proration issue. But we used
17
         actual meter data to do the back bill for meter
18
         ending in 413.
19
         There has been four meters. Deny that one.
20
              MR. KAYLOR: I object. I think -- I object
    to the question.
21
22
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Ms. Asbury,
23
    again, you have to ask a question. So, if you're done
```

asking direct questions then we're going to move on.

```
1
              (Discussion at Complainant's table)
 2
              MS. ASBURY: No, there's no, more.
 3
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: You're done?
              MS. ASBURY: Yes.
 4
 5
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Thank you,
 6
    Ms. Asbury.
 7
              So, Mr. Donaldson, you're excused and may be
 8
    seated.
9
              MR. KAYLOR: Hearing Examiner, I believe
10
    that the Answer is usually a part of the record, but I
11
    would like to ask that the Company's Answer be a part
    of the record for this proceeding, also.
12
13
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Yes.
                                                So the --
14
    Duke has referred to the Answer, which was already a
15
    part of the record, and that is allowed and it is part
16
    of the record. We will consider it. So, it's
17
    considered admitted even though it's already a part of
18
    the record.
19
              MR. KAYLOR:
                            Thank you.
20
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Thank you.
21
    just to follow up -- so all hearing exhibits that have
22
    been passed up so far will be considered admitted.
23
                                (WHEREUPON, Complainant
24
                                Exhibits 1 and 2 are
```

```
1
                                received into evidence.)
 2
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: And I believe --
 3
    did you have --
 4
              MR. KAYLOR: We had no exhibits other than
 5
    the Answer itself.
 6
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay.
                                                 So we
 7
    will, I guess, consider the Answer as Duke Exhibit 1,
 8
    and which you don't have a copy of, but it is a part
    of the record. If you can hear me.
9
10
                                (WHEREUPON, Duke Exhibit 1
11
                                is identified and received
12
                                into evidence.)
13
              MR. KAYLOR: And that concludes our case.
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you.
14
              This now concludes Duke's case.
15
16
    allow the parties the opportunity to file proposed
17
    orders within 30 days of the mailing of the
    transcript.
18
19
              Ms. Asbury, you are not required to file
20
    anything but you may if you wish. If Duke files a
21
    proposed order and you do not, it will not prejudice
22
    you in any way. I will decide the case based on the
23
    evidence presented here today.
24
              The proposed order is not evidence, but it
```

```
1
    is a suggested interpretation of the evidence.
 2
              Do you have any questions?
 3
              MS. ASBURY: No. But are you taking final
    statements?
 4
 5
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: I'm sorry.
 6
              MS. ASBURY: Are you taking final
 7
    statements?
8
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: You would like to
9
    give a closing statement?
10
              MS. ASBURY: Yes.
11
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. Are you
12
    prepared to give a closing statement?
13
              MR. KAYLOR: No objection.
14
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. So there
15
    is no objection, Ms. Asbury. You are -- I'm sorry.
16
    You're now, I'm going to say, back under oath. I
17
    mean, you're no longer under oath. You can give your
    closing statement.
18
19
              And Mr. Kaylor, are you going to give a
2.0
    closing statement?
              MR. KAYLOR: I'll wait and hear --
21
22
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Okay. I just
23
    wanted to make sure.
24
              So, Ms. Asbury, you may proceed.
```

```
E-2, Sub 1338
```

```
1
              MS. ASBURY: What I want to say, I'm not the
 2
    only one in this condition. I've got a lady from my
 3
    church, she's 82-years-old. Her bills used to be
    $100.
 4
 5
              MR. KAYLOR: I object to reference to --
 6
              MS. ASBURY: They sent her a bill --
 7
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Hold on,
8
    Ms. Asbury.
9
              I couldn't hear you, Mr. Kaylor.
10
              MR. KAYLOR: I said I object to reference to
11
    other parties.
12
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Your objection is
13
    noted, duly noted.
14
              MS. ASBURY: What about the case that was in
15
    Ohio that they -- the same as me. And he had direct
16
    deposit out of his account. They took $4000. He had
17
    checks bouncing. He had to get the news involved in
18
    it. And he finally got his money back.
19
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Is that it?
2.0
              MS. ASBURY: That's it.
21
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,
22
    Ms. Asbury.
23
              Mr. Kaylor.
24
              MR. KAYLOR: Nothing further from the
```

1 Company.

2 HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Thank you,

3 Mr. Kaylor.

2.0

Ms. Asbury and Mr. Kaylor, I'll take note of your closing statement and all of the information you've presented here today.

As I stated before, I will allow the parties the opportunity to file proposed orders within 30 days of the mailing of the transcript.

Ms. Asbury, you are not required to file anything but you may if you wish. If Duke files a proposed order and you do not, it will not prejudice you in any way. I will decide the case based solely on the evidence presented here today. The proposed order is not evidence but a suggested interpretation of the evidence.

Do you have any questions? Any follow-up questions related to what I just said?

MS. ASBURY: Yes. When you're talking about the proposed order, in other words, if they put an order in, for what? I mean --

HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: They can submit an order proposing how the case should be decided, but it is simply an interpretation of their evidence. And

```
so you are also allowed to file a proposed order if
 2
    you would like which just is simply an interpretation
    of the evidence and how you believe the case should be
 3
    decided, and I will take them into consideration.
 4
 5
              MS. ASBURY: But isn't everything that's
    given here today that I gave you - pictures, all of
 6
 7
    that - you'll go by that, too?
 8
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: Yes, that's
9
              I'll go by all of the evidence that was
    correct.
10
    presented here today. All of the testimony, including
11
    the documentary evidence and then any and all
12
    documents that are already in the case file.
13
              MS. ASBURY: So I don't see me, you know,
14
    filing a proposed order.
15
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: No problem.
                                                       You
16
    do not have to.
17
              MS. ASBURY: I think you've got enough to.
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL: That's correct,
18
19
    Ms. Asbury. You are not required to file a proposed
20
    order. And it will not be held against you if you do
21
    not.
22
              MS. ASBURY:
                            Okay.
23
              HEARING EXAMINER MURRELL:
                                          Thank you.
                                                      Okay,
24
    with that then, we are adjourned.
```

```
E-2, Sub 1338
                Thank you very much for being today. Stay
 1
 2
     cool.
 3
                 (The proceedings were adjourned)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

\sim	177	D	П	т	177	т	\sim	7\	П	777
	Ŀ	ĸ			Г	Ι		А		Ľ

I, KIM T. MITCHELL, do nereby certify that
the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were
taken before me, that I did report in stenographic
shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the
foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to
the best of my ability.

Kim T. Mitchell

Kim T. Mitchell