1	PLACE:	Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina
2	DATE:	Wednesday, November 8, 2023
3	TIME:	10:01 a.m 10:19 a.m.
4	DOCKET:	W-938, Sub 6
5		W-1328, Sub 8
6	BEFORE:	Commissioner Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.
7		Commissioner Kimberly W. Duffley
8		Commissioner Karen M. Kemerait
9		
10		
11		IN THE MATTER OF:
12	Joint	Application By Red Bird Utility Operating
13	Company, LLC d/b/a Red Bird Water and Baytree	
14	Waterfront Properties, Inc. For Transfer of Public	
15	Utility Franchise and For Approval of Rates	
16		
17		
18		VOLUME 2
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	APPEARANCES:		
2	FOR RED BIRD UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, LLC d/b/a RED		
3	BIRD WATER AND BAYTREE WATERFRONT PROPRETIES, INC.:		
4	Dan Higgins, Esq.		
5	Burns, Day & Presnell, PA		
6	Post Office Box 10867		
7	Raleigh, North Carolina 27608		
8			
9	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:		
10	Gina Holt, Esq.		
11	North Carolina Utilities Commission		
12	4326 Mail Service Center		
13	Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4326		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDINGS

2.2

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Good morning. Let us come to order and go on the record. I'm Floyd B. Mckissick, Jr., a Commissioner with the North Carolina Utilities Commission, and I will be presiding over this hearing today. With me are Commissioners Kimberly W. Duffley, and Karen M. Kemerait.

I now call for hearing Dockets Number W-938, Sub 6, and W-1328, Sub 8, which is the Application of Baytree Waterfront Properties Inc., hereinafter referred to at times as Baytree, and Red Bird Utility Operating Company LLC, doing business as Red Bird Water, hereinafter referred to at times as Red Bird for Transfer of Public Utility Franchise and for Approval of Rates.

Before we proceed further, as I am required by the State Government Ethics Act, I remind members of the Commission of our duty to avoid conflicts of interest, and I inquire at this time as to whether any Commissioner has any known conflicts of interest with respect to these dockets.

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Let the record reflect that I have no such conflict and that no such

1 conflicts have been identified by the other 2 Commissioners.

2.2

On October 9, 2020, Red Bird and Baytree filed with the Commission an Application for Authority to Transfer the Wastewater Utility System and Public Utility Franchise serving the Windermere Point Subdivision in Montgomery County, North Carolina, from Baytree to Red Bird and for Approval of Rates.

The Transfer Application requests that the Commission approve the transfer of the wastewater system assets, approve the transfer of the public utility franchise from Baytree to Red Bird, and improve wastewater rates as currently approved.

The present rates for the Windermere Point Subdivision were approved in Dockets W-938, Sub 5, and M-100, Sub 138, and have been in effect since January 1, 2017. Baytree currently serves 26 residential customers in the Windemere Pointe Subdivision.

Red Bird supplemented the Application several times after the initial filing, with the last supplemental filing occurring on August 2, 2023.

On August 9, 2023, the Public Staff filed a letter indicating that these dockets now include all of the information required for a complete

Application.

2.2

On September 11, 2023, Red Bird filed its objections and confidential response to the Public Staff's confidential ninth set of data requests.

On September 13, 2023, the Commission issued an Order scheduling hearings, establishing discovery quidelines, and requiring customer notice.

The Order scheduled a public witness hearing to be held on Wednesday, October 18, at 7 p.m. in the Montgomery County Courthouse in Troy, North Carolina, for the purpose of receiving public witness testimony on the Application, as well as an expert witness hearing to begin on November 6, 2023, at 1 p.m. at the Dobbs Building Utilities Commission Hearing Room 2115 in Raleigh, North Carolina, and continuing as necessary until conclusion.

On September 20, 2023, the Public Staff filed a letter notifying the Commission that the parties had come to an impasse regarding the content of the customer notice. On that same date, Red Bird filed a Motion for Approval of Notice to Customers.

On September 25, 2023, the Commission issued an Order granting Red Bird's motion and approving the Company's proposed customer notice.

On October 9, 2023, Red Bird filed the confidential and public-redacted direct testimony and exhibits of Josiah Cox consisting of 30 pages and four exhibits.

On October 10, 2023, the Public Staff filed a motion to revise the Order scheduling hearings and discovery guidelines.

On October 11, 2023, the Commission issued an Order amending discovery guidelines, filing dates for prefiled testimony, and witness lists and requiring reports.

On October 12, 2023, the Public Staff filed a motion to cancel the public witness hearing scheduled for Wednesday, October 18.

On October 13, 2023, the Commission issued an Order canceling the public witness hearing.

On October 23, 2023, the Public Staff filed the direct testimony of John R. Hinton, consisting of five pages, one appendix, and one exhibit; the confidential and public-redacted direct testimony of Hermanth Meda, consisting of seven pages, one appendix, and four exhibits; and the confidential and public-redacted testimony and exhibits of D. Michael Franklin, consisting of 19 pages and one appendix.

On October 30, 2023, Red Bird filed the rebuttal testimony of Josiah Cox, consisting of 18 pages, and Caitlin O'Reilly, consisting of five pages.

On November 1, 2023, Red Bird filed a witness list and estimated cross-examination times.

On this past Friday, November 3, 2023, Red Bird filed a motion for leave to file corrected rebuttal testimony and requested that the expert witness hearing be rescheduled.

On November 6, 2023, the Commission issued an Order granting Red Bird's motion for leave to file corrected testimony -- corrected rebuttal testimony, I should state -- and rescheduled the public witness hearing to begin on this date, time, and location, and continuing as necessary until conclusion.

Also on November 6, Red Bird filed the corrected rebuttal testimony and exhibits of Josiah Cox, consisting of 20 pages and two exhibits.

Of course, that brings us to today. And what I'd like to do is recognize the attorneys who are here on behalf of the Applicant and on behalf of the Public Staff.

MR. HIGGINS: Good morning, Commissioners.

My name is Dan Higgins. I'm appearing on behalf of

```
1 Red Bird Utility Operating Company, LLC.
```

2.2

MS. HOLT: Good morning. I'm Gina Holt, with the Public Staff here on behalf of the Using and Consuming Public. And with me at counsel table are attorneys James Bernier and Davia Newell.

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Thank you.

And one thing I want to do is remind the Applicant that this is a legal proceeding and the Commission is required to act within a certain period of time on these Applications. However, the Commission is not required to grant these Applications. The Commission is required to conduct a proceeding in order to determine whether granting a transfer such as this one that's before us meets the statutory requirements.

The Commission is not able to make this determination if the Applicant fails to timely provide the required information, including rebuttal testimony and showing up for scheduled hearings. Failing to adhere to procedural schedules set forth by the Commission in these proceedings will be grounds for the Commission to dismiss your Application.

So I want to remind everyone, including the Public Staff, that the Commission is working to meet

the statutory deadlines for many Applications at this time. Not only the Application relating to water transfers such as this proceeding, but also all the other matters before the Commission including several rate cases.

The Applicant appears to be under the impression that any day without a publicly scheduled hearing on our schedule is free and that the parties can request that particular day for a rescheduled hearing pretty much at their prerogative and whim, and that's not the case. The Commission has a full schedule. Only some of which of the hearings that are there and publicly known to you are matters that we're taking care of. We are also conducting other business other than those things that appear on our public schedule in terms of hearings. And it doesn't mean just because there's a date on the calendar that you don't see a hearing that we're going to be available to move forward and conduct a hearing in this particular case.

So I wanted to kind of state that for the record because I've been concerned about the way things have worked in this particular proceeding. I mean, if I \rightarrow if I go back and I look at the initial

scheduling Order that was entered on September 13 of '23, it establishes, you know, an expert witness hearing of November 6 of 2023. And on October 10, 2023, there was a revised scheduling Order that was entered that re-stated that the expert witness hearing was going to be scheduled for November 6, 2023. And in the October 10, 2023, revised scheduling Order, it stated that Red Bird needed to submit rebuttal testimony and exhibits by October 30, 2023. And Red Bird did, in fact, file rebuttal testimony of Josiah Cox and Caitlin O'Reilly on Monday, October 30, 2023, but they waited until Friday, November 3, 2023, to file a motion to file corrected rebuttal testimony. And the request of a 30-day extension of a 180-day deadline. So, I mean, it gives me concern, of course, at that time and in that particular -- in that particular matter that was filed. You also requested that you be able to file that revised testimony by Tuesday, November 7th.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that revised testimony by Tuesday, November 7th. Now, we did communicate through our staff, the Commission's staff, that we wanted to get that in by Monday. By Monday the sixth of November instead of waiting until Tuesday, November 7th. And, of course, they requested a hearing date on Monday, December, 11th, and Tuesday,

```
December 12th. I think that was being somewhat
presumptuous, and that's disturbing to me and to the
Commission that there would be that type of
presumption made relating to our schedule and to our
availability.
```

And one thing I'd like to do, and I want to hear from the Applicant is, when did you first discover that the rebuttal testimony that was filed on Monday, October 30, was an error? Or that it contained errors?

MR. HIGGINS: Commissioner's, I apologize for the circumstances we find ourselves in. It's the first time I've been in this posture quite frankly, and I appreciate that my clients regrettable mistaking of information from the Baytree Lake Subdivision and including that in their initial rebuttal filed October 30th is what has brought us to the point we are.

We filed that rebuttal on Monday,

October 30th on -- late on the afternoon of Wednesday,
which would have been November 1, we learned of the
mistake. And then had subsequent discussions with

Public Staff and we -- and with regard, I certainly
did not intend to be presumptuous as to the

Commission's schedule. Public Staff had identified

dates that they thought might be available for a hearing, and we offered those up. And so, with apologies for how that appears to have been construed, it was simply a function of the conversations we had with Public Staff about how we might move forward.

2.2

I know that the Commission is unhappy with the situation. I get that, but I also know that the Commission wants a complete record and a record that's based on accurate information, and so we have attempted to get us to that point. And I apologize for the confusion, the delay, and the circumstances that we're in.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Now, are you prepared to move forward today? I know you do not your witness here.

MR. HIGGINS: I am not. I communicated with Commission's staff that my witnesses are in a hearing for the Arizona Commission starting this morning. So that is a regrettable consequence of where we find ourselves. So I don't have witnesses that are available today.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: And did you communicate to the witness that this matter was put on for today to be heard so that they could make a

```
1
    determination as to whether to come here or go and
 2
    attend a hearing in another jurisdiction?
 3
              MR. HIGGINS: I did apprise the -- once I
    got word of -- that the Commission wanted to move
 4
 5
    forward or intended to move forward on Wednesday, I
    immediately communicated that information.
 7
    response was they were already committed to be in a
 8
    hearing at the Arizona Commission starting this
    morning. And so, quite frankly, they had a dilemma in
9
10
    terms of what to do, and they're -- they were not able
    to be here today. They couldn't be in two places at
11
12
    once, and --
13
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK:
                                        When was the
14
    Arizona hearing scheduled, because I know we had this
15
    as a backup date on our calendar since the original
16
    date of -- the beginning date was, you know, set.
17
              MR. HIGGINS: Commissioner McKissick, I'm
18
    not -- I'm not involved in the Arizona docket. I
19
    can't -- I'm sorry, I can't provide you with that
```

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Okay. Well, I will be heard if you would like to make any kind of motion at this time.

20

21

22

23

24

information.

MR. HIGGINS: Well, if I -- I would ask that

```
1
    the Commission recess this hearing to the earliest
    possible convenient date for the Commission and that
 2
 3
    we may resume then and have -- I don't think this will
 4
    take us a day. I think we can complete this in less
 5
    than -- in one day or less. And so with that in mind,
 6
    I would ask that the Commission recess this until my
    witness can be -- witnesses can be available, and
 7
8
    Public Staff can be available, and as the Commission's
9
    schedule allows.
10
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Okay. And would
11
    you be waiving the 30 days?
12
              MR. HIGGINS: Yes, sir. Red Bird --
13
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: It may, in fact,
14
    take longer. Now, let me ask you this: at this point
15
    in time, you don't know what your client's
16
    availability is in terms of other potential dates that
17
    we may set today?
18
              MR. HIGGINS: I don't have dates,
19
    Commissioner, but may I respectfully assure you that I
20
    would expect them to make the -- absolutely every
21
    effort to accommodate -- I don't know how to proceed
22
    in this regard. If the Commission could tell us
23
    potential dates and we would not -- I would not
24
    presume to suggest any further dates, but if we could
```

have some guidance, we would make every effort to be here as soon as possible.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Okay. And I'll now hear from Public Staff in terms of your thoughts as it relates to this issue.

MS. HOLT: The Public Staff does not object to a short delay in progressing with the hearing, especially in light of the fact that we received corrected information just Monday, and we would like the opportunity to conduct discovery on that corrected testimony.

the information that is available to us at this time, we're going to go ahead and set this hearing to begin on December 13th, and it will continue on to the 14th. Do I -- I'd like to hear from the Public Staff. Do you feel that's a reasonable timeframe for you to work within? In terms of rebuttal testimony or any discovery.

MS. HOLT: Yes, we think so.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: And, of course, I feel that these are dates that are -- we can be available, and so we're going to set it on for hearing at that time and we'll reflect into the record that

```
1
    the Applicant agrees to a 30-day waiver. Would that
 2
    be correct, sir?
 3
               MR. HIGGINS: Yes, sir, it would.
               COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: All right. With
 4
 5
    that said, we will go ahead and conclude our matters
 6
    for today.
 7
               Are there any further matters that either
 8
    the Applicant or the Public Staff would like to bring
 9
    to our attention that needs to be addressed at this
10
    time?
               MR. HIGGINS: Nothing further for the
11
12
    Applicant.
13
               MS. HOLT: Nothing further from us.
14
               COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: All right. Let the
15
    record reflect that we will stand in recess until
16
    December 13, 2023, at 10 a.m., to begin the hearing in
17
    this proceeding.
18
               Thank you.
19
                                (The hearing was adjourned
                                at 10:19 a.m., and set to
20
21
                                reconvene at 10:00 a.m., on
2.2
                                December 13, 2023.)
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I, KAYLENE M. CLAYTON DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Kaylene Clayton

Kaylene M. Clayton