

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
UTILITIES COMMISSION
RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1306

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of)

)
Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC)
for Approval of Clean Energy and Energy)
Efficiency Portfolio Standard (CEPS))
Compliance Report and Cost Recovery Rider)
Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. 62-133.8 and)
Commission Rule R8-67)

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
KIMBERLY A. PRESSON
FOR DUKE ENERGY
CAROLINAS, LLC**

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Kimberly A. Presson, and my business address is 525 South
3 Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina.

4 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION WITH DUKE ENERGY AND**
5 **DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.**

6 A. In my capacity as Renewable Compliance Manager, I am responsible for the
7 development and implementation of clean energy compliance strategies for
8 Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (“Duke Energy Carolinas,” “DEC” or “the
9 Company”), Duke Energy Progress, LLC (“Duke Energy Progress” or
10 “DEP”) and Duke Energy Ohio, LLC. My responsibilities include
11 compliance with North Carolina’s Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency
12 Portfolio Standard (“CEPS”)¹, compliance with Ohio’s Renewable
13 Portfolio Standard and evaluation of clean generation initiatives and
14 customer programs that relate to CEPS compliance.

15 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL**
16 **BACKGROUND.**

17 A. I received a Bachelor of Arts in Business Administration from Furman
18 University.

19 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR BUSINESS BACKGROUND AND**
20 **EXPERIENCE.**

¹ On October 10, 2023, Session Law 2023-138 (“Senate Bill 678”) became law. The law modified the name of the former Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (“REPS”) by changing the name to the Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard. The law introduced, among other things, clean energy facilities and clean energy resources, and modified the definition of a Renewable Energy Certificate to include those clean energy resources.

1 A. I began my career with Duke Power Company (now known as Duke Energy
2 Carolinas) in 1990, where I held various positions in the customer service
3 and the finance organizations. I joined the Rates Department in 2019 and
4 moved to my current position as Renewable Compliance Manager in the
5 Business Development and Compliance Department in 2021.

6 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE NORTH**
7 **CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION?**

8 A. Yes, I most recently provided testimony in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1320 on
9 DEP's 2022 REPS compliance report and application for approval of its
10 REPS cost recovery rider.

11 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

12 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe Duke Energy Carolinas'
13 activities and the costs it has incurred, or projects it will incur, in support of
14 compliance with North Carolina's Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency
15 Portfolio Standard under N.C. Gen. Stat. ("G.S.") § 62-133.8 during the
16 twelve months beginning on January 1, 2023 and ending on December 31,
17 2023 ("Test Period"), as well as during the twelve months beginning on
18 September 1, 2024 and ending on August 31, 2025 ("Billing Period").

19 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXHIBITS TO YOUR TESTIMONY.**

20 A. My testimony includes twenty-eight exhibits. Presson Confidential Exhibit
21 No. 1 is the Company's 2023 CEPS Compliance Report. Presson
22 Confidential Exhibit No. 2 provides actual and forecasted CEPS compliance
23 costs, by resource, that the Company incurred during the Test Period and

1 projects to incur during the Billing Period in support of compliance with
2 CEPS. Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 3 is a worksheet detailing the other
3 incremental costs included in the DEC CEPS filing and lists the labor costs
4 by activity as directed by the North Carolina Utilities Commission
5 (“Commission”) in its August 17, 2018 Order in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1162.
6 Presson Exhibit Nos. 4-28 contain updates and results of studies the costs
7 of which the Company is recovering via the CEPS Rider.

8 **Q. WERE THESE EXHIBITS PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR**
9 **DIRECTION AND UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?**

10 A. Presson Confidential Exhibit Nos. 1-3 were prepared by me or under my
11 supervision. Presson Exhibit Nos. 4-28 include status reports and results of
12 studies not prepared under my supervision; however, in my role at Duke
13 Energy I am familiar with the studies.

14 **COMPLIANCE WITH CEPS REQUIREMENTS**

15 **Q. WHAT ARE DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS’ CEPS**
16 **REQUIREMENTS UNDER G.S. § 62-133.8?**

17 A. Pursuant to G.S. § 62-133.8,² as an electric power supplier, Duke Energy
18 Carolinas is required to comply with the overall CEPS requirement (“total
19 requirement”) by submitting for retirement a total quantity of renewable
20 energy certificates equivalent to the following percentages of its North
21 Carolina retail sales in the prior year:

² In its *Order Clarifying Electric Power Suppliers’ Annual REPS Requirements*, Docket No. E-100, Sub 113 (November 26, 2008), the Commission clarified that the calculation of these requirements for each year shall be based upon the electric utility’s North Carolina retail sales for the prior year.

- 1 ▪ Beginning in 2012, three percent (3%);
- 2 ▪ In 2015, six percent (6%);
- 3 ▪ In 2018, ten percent (10%); and
- 4 ▪ In 2021 and thereafter, twelve-point five percent (12.5%).

5 Furthermore, each electric power supplier must comply with the
6 requirements of G.S. § 62-133.8 (d), (e), and (f) (individually referred to as
7 the “solar set-aside,” “swine waste set-aside,” and “poultry waste set-aside,”
8 respectively). That is, within the total requirement described above, each
9 electric power supplier is to ensure that specific quantities of qualifying
10 solar RECs, swine waste RECs, and poultry waste RECs are also submitted
11 for retirement. The Company generally refers to its total requirement net of
12 the three set-asides as its “general requirement.”

13 Specifically, each electric power supplier is to comply with the solar
14 set-aside by submitting for retirement qualifying solar RECs equivalent to
15 the following percentages of its North Carolina retail sales in the prior year:

- 16 ▪ Beginning in 2010, two-hundredths of one percent (0.02%);
- 17 ▪ In 2012, seven-hundredths of one percent (0.07%);
- 18 ▪ In 2015, fourteen-hundredths of one percent (0.14%); and
- 19 ▪ In 2018 and thereafter, two-tenths of one percent (0.20%).

20 Each electric power supplier is also to comply with the swine waste
21 set-aside by submitting for retirement qualifying swine waste RECs
22 equivalent to its pro-rata share of total retail electric power sold in North

1 Carolina multiplied by the statewide, aggregate swine waste set-aside.³ As
2 an electric public utility, Duke Energy Carolinas' swine waste set-aside
3 requirements, as modified by the Commission,⁴ are as follows:

- 4 ▪ In 2018, its pro-rata share of two-hundredths of one percent (0.02%)
5 of the total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the year
6 prior;
- 7 ▪ In 2019, its pro-rata share of four-hundredths of one percent (0.04%)
8 of the total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the year
9 prior;
- 10 ▪ In 2020 and 2021, its pro-rata share of seven-hundredths of one
11 percent (0.07%) of the total retail electric power sold in North
12 Carolina in the year prior;
- 13 ▪ In 2022 and 2023, its pro-rata share of five-hundredths of one
14 percent (0.05%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina
15 in the year prior;
- 16 ▪ In 2024, its pro-rata share of fourteen-hundredths of one percent
17 (0.14%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the
18 year prior; and
- 19 ▪ In 2025 and thereafter, its pro-rata share of two-tenths of one percent

³ In its *Order on Pro Rata Allocation of Aggregate Swine and Poultry Waste Set-Aside Requirements and Motion for Clarification* in Docket No. E-100, Sub 113 (March 31, 2010), the Commission approved the electric power suppliers' proposed pro-rata allocation of the statewide aggregate swine and poultry waste set-aside requirements, such that the aggregate requirements will be allocated among the electric power suppliers based on the ratio of each electric power supplier's prior year retail sales to the total statewide retail sales.

⁴ The Swine set-aside requirement was modified in the Commission's December 11, 2023, *Order Modifying Swine Waste Set-Aside Requirement and Granting Other Relief* ("2023 Delay Order").

1 (0.20%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the
2 year prior.

3 The 2023 Delay Order also modified the swine waste set-aside for
4 each electric membership corporation and municipality according to the
5 following schedule:

- 6 ■ In 2023, zero percent (0.00%) of total retail electric power sold in
7 North Carolina in the year prior;
- 8 ■ In 2024 and 2025, its pro-rata share of seven-hundredths of one
9 percent (0.07%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina
10 in the year prior; and
- 11 ■ In 2026 and 2027, its pro-rata share of fourteen-hundredths of one
12 percent (0.14%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina
13 in the year prior;
- 14 ■ In 2028 and thereafter, its pro-rata share of two-tenths of one percent
15 (0.20%) of total retail electric power sold in North Carolina in the
16 year prior.

17 Finally, each electric power supplier is also to submit for retirement
18 qualifying poultry waste RECs equivalent to its pro-rata share of the
19 aggregate state-wide poultry waste set-aside requirement. Duke Energy
20 Carolinas' poultry waste set-aside requirements, as modified by the
21 Commission,⁵ are as follows:

⁵ The Poultry set-aside requirement was modified in the Commission's March 4, 2022, *Order Modifying the Swine and Poultry Waste Set-Aside Requirements and Providing Other Relief*.

- 1 ▪ Beginning in 2014, its pro-rata share of 170,000 megawatt-hours
2 (“MWh”);
- 3 ▪ In 2018, its pro-rata share of 300,000 MWh;
- 4 ▪ In 2019, its pro-rata share of 500,000 MWh;
- 5 ▪ In 2020, its pro-rata share of 700,000 MWh;
- 6 ▪ In 2021, its pro-rata share of 300,000 MWh;
- 7 ▪ In 2022, its pro-rata share of 700,000 MWh; and
- 8 ▪ In 2023 and thereafter, its pro-rata share of 900,000 MWh.

9 The requirements described in this testimony and accompanying
10 exhibits reflect the aggregation of the CEPS requirements of Duke Energy
11 Carolinas’ retail customers as well as those wholesale customers,
12 specifically Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corporation, Rutherford
13 Electric Membership Corporation, the Town of Dallas, the Town of Forest
14 City, and the Town of Highlands (collectively “Wholesale”), for which the
15 Company has been contracted to provide CEPS compliance services.

16 **Q. WHAT WERE THE COMPANY’S NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL**
17 **SALES FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2022, THE YEAR ON WHICH THE**
18 **COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR 2023 ARE BASED?**

19 A. Duke Energy Carolinas’ North Carolina retail sales for calendar year 2022
20 were 59,059,117 MWhs. North Carolina retail sales for DEC’s Wholesale
21 CEPS customers were 2,668,189 MWhs.

22 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS’ CEPS**
23 **REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TEST AND BILLING PERIODS FOR**

1 **ITS RETAIL CUSTOMERS AND WHOLESALE CUSTOMERS**
2 **FOR WHICH IT PROVIDES CEPS COMPLIANCE SERVICES.**

3 A. The Company's total requirement for compliance year 2023 is 7,649,211
4 RECs. The Company submitted for retirement the following RECs, the sum
5 of which are included in the total requirement stated above: 123,457 solar
6 set-aside RECs, 29,530 swine waste set-aside RECs and 337,038 poultry
7 waste set-aside RECs, along with 33,610 SB 886 RECs (which count as
8 67,220 poultry waste set-aside RECs) for the equivalent of 404,258 poultry
9 waste set-aside RECs.

10 For the prospective Billing Period, which spans two calendar years,
11 with different requirements in each year, the Company's estimated
12 requirements are as follows⁶:

13 For compliance year 2024, the Company estimates that it will be
14 required to submit for retirement 7,446,972 RECs to meet its total
15 requirement. Within this total, the Company is also required to retire the
16 following: 120,178 solar RECs, 82,335 swine waste RECs and 404,258
17 poultry waste RECs.

18 For compliance year 2025, the Company estimates that it will be
19 required to submit for retirement 7,719,022 RECs to meet its total
20 requirement. Within this total, the Company estimates that it will be
21 required to retire approximately 124,533 solar RECs, 121,200 swine waste
22 RECs and 404,258 poultry waste RECs.

⁶ The Company's projected requirements are based upon retail sales estimates and will be subject to change based upon actual prior-year North Carolina retail sales data.

1 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH ITS GENERAL**
2 **REQUIREMENT FOR 2023 FOR DEC RETAIL AND ITS**
3 **WHOLESALE CEPS CUSTOMERS?**

4 A. Yes, the Company met its 2023 general requirement of 7,091,966 RECs.
5 Specifically, the RECs to be used for 2023 compliance have been
6 transferred from the NC-RETS Duke Energy Electric Power Supplier
7 account to the Duke Energy Compliance Sub-Account and the Compliance
8 Sub-Accounts of its Wholesale customers. Upon completion of this
9 regulatory proceeding, the Commission will finalize retirement of the
10 RECs.

11 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY COMPLY WITH ITS GENERAL**
12 **REQUIREMENT IN 2024?**

13 A. Yes, the Company is positioned to comply with its general requirement in
14 2024.

15 **Q. WHAT ACTIONS HAS DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS TAKEN**
16 **DURING THE TEST PERIOD TO SATISFY ITS CURRENT AND**
17 **FUTURE CEPS REQUIREMENTS?**

18 A. During the Test Period, Duke Energy Carolinas continued to produce and
19 procure RECs to satisfy its CEPS requirements. Specifically, the Company
20 took the following actions: (1) executed and continued negotiations for
21 additional REC purchase agreements with clean energy facilities; (2)
22 maintained an open solicitation for clean energy proposals of various types;
23 (3) continued operations of its solar and hydroelectric facilities; (4)

1 enhanced and expanded energy efficiency programs generating savings that
2 can be counted towards the Company's CEPS requirement; (5) performed
3 research studies, both directly and through strategic partnerships, to
4 enhance the Company's ability to comply with its future CEPS
5 requirements; (6) monitored the development of projects selected in the
6 Competitive Procurement of Renewable Energy ("CPRE") Program of
7 North Carolina House Bill 589 (SL 2017-192) ("NC HB 589"), the RECs
8 from which will be used to meet the Company's future CEPS requirements;
9 and (7) monitored the progress of the 2022 Solar and the 2023 Solar plus
10 Storage Procurements as directed by Session Law 2021-165, the RECs from
11 which will also be used to meet the Company's future CEPS requirements.

12 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY ANTICIPATE ANY CHANGES TO**
13 **ENERGY EFFICIENCY CERTIFICATE REPORTING IN THE**
14 **FUTURE?**

15 A. The Public Staff of the North Carolina Utilities Commission initiated
16 discussions with the Company regarding the timing and processes relating
17 to reporting into NC-RETS those Energy Efficiency Certificates ("EECs")
18 which are used to satisfy a portion of DEC's CEPS Compliance obligation.
19 In prior years the number of EECs DEC reported into NC-RETS consisted
20 of those EECs earned for the year including a true-up of prior year EECs
21 relating to Evaluation Measurement and Verification ("EM&V"), or
22 participation updates, or both. The Public Staff and the Company are
23 currently exploring options to modify the EEC reporting process such that

1 only EECs for programs having fully progressed through EM&V and
2 participation review cycles are reported into NC-RETS. Migrating to this
3 EEC reporting process will result in a significant reduction of banked EECs
4 available for CEPS Compliance use while not materially contributing to the
5 EEC bank for several years. This proposed approach may eventually
6 eliminate the ongoing need for a discrete worksheet detailing EEC
7 inventories and linking them to EM&V reports as required by the
8 Commission in its August 20, 2013, *Order Approving REPS and REPS*
9 *EMF Riders and 2012 REPS Compliance* in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1034.
10 Conversations are ongoing with the Public Staff. As such, the Company has
11 elected to delay reporting 2023 EECs into NC-RETS and will do so at a
12 later time.

13 **Q. IS THE COMPANY ABLE TO USE RECS GENERATED FROM**
14 **NET METERING FACILITIES TO SATISFY ITS CURRENT AND**
15 **FUTURE CEPS REQUIREMENTS?**

16 A. Yes. The Company is entitled to retain RECs from systems of customers
17 participating in net metering through the Net Metering Rider (“Rider NM”)
18 and the Non-Residential Solar Choice Rider (“Rider NSC”) where, in either
19 case, the base tariff associated with the net metering service lacks time-of-
20 use periods and demand charges (“NMNTD”). While Rider NM was closed
21 to new residential participants on and after October 1, 2023, the Company
22 will continue to receive RECs as described for residential customers
23 continuing to be billed on this rider until December 31, 2026. Rider NM

1 was closed to new non-residential participants on and after January 15,
2 2024; the Company will continue to receive RECs for non-residential
3 participants who continue to be billed on this rider until December 31, 2033.

4 The Company also retains RECs as described under Rider NSC which
5 became effective for service rendered on and after January 15, 2024.

6 **Q. HOW ARE THE RECS CALCULATED AND RECORDED FOR**
7 **THOSE NET METERING FACILITIES DELIVERING RECS TO**
8 **DEC?**

9 **A.** Per the Commission's June 5, 2018 *Order Approving Rider and Granting*
10 *Waiver Request* ("NMNTD Order") in Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 1106 and E-
11 7, Sub 1113, for NMNTD customers, DEC may use the PVWattsTM Solar
12 Calculator developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory
13 ("NREL") for estimating the generation from NMNTD customers' solar
14 facilities, as permitted by Commission Rule R8-67(g)(2). Commission Rule
15 R8-67(g)(2) allows the use of a scalable conversion factor for estimating
16 annual generation from program participants. For those facilities delivering
17 RECs to DEC, the Company reports the aggregate amount of electricity
18 produced by those facilities under Rider NM and new Rider NSC directly
19 into NC-RETS in a separately identified generation project. DEC complied
20 with these requirements and reported generation from NMNTD customers
21 to NC-RETS. The RECs from these facilities are currently in DEC's REC
22 inventory and available for use for future compliance requirements.

1 **Q. ARE THERE OTHER COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS IN THE**
2 **NMNTD ORDER WITH WHICH DEC MUST COMPLY?**

3 A. Yes. The NMNTD Order also requires DEC to provide a monthly report to
4 NC-RETS listing participating customers, their location, and the kW
5 capacity of their installations. Additionally, the NMNTD Order requires
6 that the report be available on the NC-RETS website. DEC has complied,
7 and continues to comply, with this requirement.

8 **Q. WILL ALL OF THE COMPANY’S RESIDENTIAL NET**
9 **METERING TARIFFS CONTRIBUTE RECS ELIGIBLE TO BE**
10 **USED FOR CEPS COMPLIANCE?**

11 A. No. Generation for residential customers served under the Company’s
12 revised net energy metering tariffs – the Residential Solar Choice Rider
13 (“Rider RSC”) and the Net Metering Bridge Rider (“Rider NMB”) – will
14 not contribute RECs to the Company’s CEPS portfolio. Under the
15 Company’s revised net metering tariffs filed in Docket No. E-100, Sub 180
16 and approved by the Commission’s March 23, 2023, *Order Approving*
17 *Revised Net Metering Tariffs*, residential customers served under the new
18 Rider RSC and Rider NMB retain all RECs produced by their facility.

19 For clarity, the Company only retains RECs from systems of
20 NMNTD customers participating in net metering through either Rider NM
21 or Rider NSC.

1 **Q. HOW DOES THE CPRE PROGRAM OF NC HB 589 (SL 2017-192)**
2 **IMPACT DEC’S COMPLIANCE WITH ITS GENERAL**
3 **REQUIREMENT?**

4 A. Under G.S. § 62-110.8(a), DEC and DEP are responsible for procuring
5 renewable energy and capacity through a competitive procurement program
6 with the purpose of adding 2,660 MW of renewable energy to the state’s
7 generation portfolio in a manner that allows DEC and DEP to continue to
8 serve customers’ future energy needs reliably and cost-effectively. To meet
9 the CPRE Program requirements, the Companies issued requests for
10 proposals to procure energy and capacity beginning on February 21, 2018.
11 The Companies solicited bids in three procurement windows, the last of
12 which closed on February 3, 2022. After the CPRE Tranche 3 bid window
13 closed, the CPRE Program was ultimately left with a 441 MW shortfall. The
14 Companies filed a petition in both the CPRE Program dockets and the 2022
15 Solar Procurement Proceeding and received the Commission’s approval to
16 procure the remaining MWs through the 2022 Solar Procurement process.

17 Renewable energy facilities eligible to participate in the CPRE
18 solicitation(s) include those facilities that use renewable energy resources
19 identified in G. S. § 62-133.8(a)(8), the CEPS statute. The renewable energy
20 facilities developed or acquired by the Companies, or the renewable energy
21 procured from a third party through a power purchase agreement under the
22 CPRE Program and in the 2022 Solar Procurement, must also deliver to the
23 Companies the environmental and renewable attributes, or RECs,

1 associated with the power. The NC retail allocated portion of the actual and
2 estimated REC production from these projects during the Test and Billing
3 Periods can be found in Presson Exhibit No. 2. Additional details regarding
4 DEC's CPRE compliance activities for the current Test Period are being
5 filed concurrently with this CEPS filing and may be reviewed in Docket No.
6 E-7, Sub 1307.

7 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH ITS SOLAR SET-ASIDE**
8 **REQUIREMENT FOR 2023 FOR DEC RETAIL AND ITS**
9 **WHOLESALE CEPS CUSTOMERS?**

10 A. Yes, the Company met its 2023 solar set-aside requirement of 123,457 solar
11 RECs. Specifically, the RECs to be used for 2023 compliance have been
12 transferred from the NC-RETS Duke Energy Electric Power Supplier
13 account to the Duke Energy Compliance Sub-Account and the Compliance
14 Sub-Accounts of its Wholesale customers. Upon completion of this
15 regulatory proceeding, the Commission will finalize retirement of the
16 RECs.

17 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY COMPLY WITH ITS SOLAR SET-ASIDE**
18 **REQUIREMENT IN 2024?**

19 A. Yes, the Company will comply with its solar set-aside requirement in 2024.

20 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN UPDATE ON THE COMPANY'S EFFORTS**
21 **TO COMPLY WITH ITS SOLAR SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT.**

22 A. The Company will comply with its solar set-aside requirement in 2024
23 through a diverse and balanced portfolio of solar resources. The Company's

1 efforts to comply with the solar set-aside requirement include REC
2 procurement from solar facilities and generation from DEC-owned solar
3 photovoltaic (“PV”) systems. The Company has constructed the following
4 five utility-scale solar PV facilities in North Carolina:

- 5 • the 55 MW Monroe Solar Facility which was placed in service
6 in March 2017;
- 7 • the 15 MW Mocksville Solar Facility which was placed in
8 service in December 2016;
- 9 • the 6 MW Woodleaf Solar Facility which was placed in service
10 December 2018;
- 11 • the 69 MW Maiden Creek Solar Facility which was placed in
12 service in December 2020 as a part of the CPRE Program; and
- 13 • the 25 MW Gaston Solar Facility which was also placed in
14 service in December 2020 as a part of the CPRE Program.

15 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE OPERATIONAL STATUS OF THE**
16 **COMPANY’S PV DISTRIBUTED GENERATION ASSETS**
17 **INSTALLED IN 2010 AND 2011.**

18 A. The Company’s solar PV distributed generation (“PVDG”) facilities have
19 provided valuable learning experiences for the Company over the past
20 thirteen years. Most of the systems were operational and generating power
21 for the benefit of customers during the Test Period. In December of 2022,
22 in accordance with the terms of the lease agreements between DEC and each
23 PV system host, the Company began removing rooftop panels at one of the

1 commercial sites so leaks could be repaired. Another site requested removal
2 of its panels in April 2023 to facilitate scheduled roof replacement. Due to
3 safety concerns, new building and electrical code requirements, the age of
4 the systems and lifetime of existing components, the Company is planning
5 to remove most of the PVDG systems over the course of the next two years.
6 Six residential systems as well as the McAlpine system will remain in
7 service.

8 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH ITS POULTRY WASTE**
9 **SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT FOR 2023 FOR DEC RETAIL AND**
10 **ITS WHOLESALE CEPS CUSTOMERS?**

11 A. Yes, the Company met its 2023 poultry waste set-aside requirement of
12 404,258 RECs. The Company submitted for retirement 337,038 poultry
13 RECs and 33,610 SB 886 RECs (which count as 67,220 poultry waste set-
14 aside RECs) to meet its 2023 poultry waste set-aside requirement of
15 404,258 RECs. Specifically, the RECs to be used for 2023 compliance have
16 been transferred from the NC-RETS Duke Energy Electric Power Supplier
17 account to the Duke Energy Compliance Sub-Account and the Compliance
18 Sub-Accounts of its Wholesale customers. Upon completion of this
19 regulatory proceeding, the Commission will finalize retirement of the
20 RECs.

21 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY COMPLY WITH ITS POULTRY WASTE**
22 **SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT IN 2024?**

1 A. Yes, the Company expects to comply with its poultry waste set-aside
2 requirement in 2024. Longer term compliance is dependent on the
3 performance of current poultry waste-to-energy contracts, achievement of
4 projected delivery requirements of those poultry waste-to-energy facilities
5 currently operating, and the ability of three new facilities to reach expected
6 commercial operation dates in 2024.

7 **Q. WHAT ACTIONS HAS THE COMPANY TAKEN DURING THE**
8 **TEST PERIOD TO PROCURE OR DEVELOP POULTRY WASTE-**
9 **TO-ENERGY RESOURCES TO SATISFY ITS POULTRY WASTE**
10 **SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENTS?**

11 A. In the Test Period the Company (1) continued direct negotiations for
12 additional supplies of in-state resources; (2) continued pursuit of poultry-
13 derived directed biogas from facilities located in North Carolina in order to
14 direct such biogas to combined cycle plants for combustion and electric
15 generation; (3) worked diligently to understand the technological,
16 permitting, and operational risks associated with various methods of
17 producing qualifying poultry RECs and to aid developers in overcoming
18 those risks; when those risks could not be overcome, the Company worked
19 with developers via contract amendments to adjust for more realistic
20 outcomes; and (4) maintained an open solicitation for out-of-state poultry
21 REC opportunities when available in the market. Additional information on
22 the Company's compliance with the poultry waste set-aside requirement

1 can be found in the Company's Joint Semiannual Progress Report, filed on
2 December 1, 2023, in Docket No. E-100, Sub 113A.

3 The Company remains committed to satisfying its statutory
4 requirements for the poultry waste set-aside and will continue to pursue
5 procurement of these resources reasonably and prudently.

6 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY COMPLIED WITH ITS SWINE WASTE**
7 **SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT FOR 2023 FOR DEC RETAIL AND**
8 **ITS WHOLESALE CEPS CUSTOMERS?**

9 A. Yes, the Company met its 2023 swine waste set-aside requirement of 29,530
10 RECs. Specifically, the RECs to be used for 2023 compliance have been
11 transferred from the NC-RETS Duke Energy Electric Power Supplier
12 account to the Duke Energy Compliance Sub-Account. Upon completion of
13 this regulatory proceeding, the Commission will finalize retirement of the
14 RECs.

15 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY COMPLY WITH ITS SWINE WASTE SET-**
16 **ASIDE REQUIREMENT IN 2024?**

17 A. Compliance with the swine waste set-aside for 2024 and beyond is uncertain
18 and will be difficult to meet as the swine waste obligation increases. Swine
19 waste-to-energy compliance challenges have been numerous and varied.
20 Existing contracts have not reached contracted levels of production. New
21 contracts have failed to come online in the timeframe originally planned and
22 have taken longer than expected to ramp up production. On the other hand,
23 two new swine waste-to-energy projects came online in 2023, and another

1 is expected to come online in early 2024. The ability of the new facility to
2 come online and for all facilities to produce the full volume of RECs
3 expected under their contracts will determine the levels of compliance that
4 the DEC is able to meet in the near term.

5 Successfully developing additional swine waste-to-energy projects
6 in North Carolina has been a slow and tedious process over the last few
7 years due to several factors. The Company understands that current swine
8 waste-to-energy projects have encountered difficulties due to issues
9 including local opposition to siting of the facilities, the inability to secure
10 firm and reliable sources of swine waste feedstock from waste producers in
11 North Carolina, difficulties securing project financing, technological
12 challenges encountered when ramping up production, and issues with
13 lower-than-expected production due to revised industry expectations, farm
14 waste management and biosecurity practices. In addition, COVID-19,
15 together with the war in Ukraine, created or exacerbated global supply chain
16 disruptions, affecting prices and availability of equipment and building
17 materials. Disruptions in work flows due to COVID-19 led to depleted
18 inventories. Market price volatility for certain materials (e.g. stainless steel,
19 rebar) has caused reluctance by suppliers to carry inventories, which in turn
20 created shortages for those materials and goods. All these factors together
21 have caused shipment delays thereby increasing wait times on completion
22 of new facilities. Developers have also communicated potential delays as
23 they work through the regulatory process, interconnections with local

1 utilities, environmental regulations, and other stakeholder concerns which
2 affect their development plans.

3 The Company remains actively engaged in monitoring existing
4 facilities and facilities that are under construction, providing assistance
5 where possible to help projects be successful. The Company continues to
6 seek additional resources and makes every reasonable effort to comply with
7 the swine waste set-aside requirement.

8 **Q. WHAT ACTIONS HAS DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS TAKEN**
9 **DURING THE TEST PERIOD TO PROCURE OR DEVELOP**
10 **SWINE WASTE-TO-ENERGY RESOURCES TO MEET ITS SWINE**
11 **WASTE SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENTS?**

12 A. In the Test Period the Company (1) continued direct negotiations for
13 additional supplies of in-state resources; (2) secured a contract for
14 additional swine waste-to-energy resources; (3) continued pursuit of swine-
15 derived directed biogas from North Carolina facilities, working with
16 Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. to locate favorable biogas injection
17 sites in order to direct such biogas to combined cycle plants for combustion
18 and generation; (4) worked diligently to understand the technological,
19 permitting, and operational risks associated with various methods of
20 producing qualifying swine RECs and to aid developers in overcoming
21 those risks; when those risks could not be overcome, the Company worked
22 with developers via contract amendments to adjust for outcomes that the
23 developers believe are achievable based on new experience; (5) explored

1 modifications to current bioenergy contracts by working with developers to
2 add swine waste to their fuel mix; (6) maintained an open solicitation for
3 out-of-state swine REC opportunities when available in the market; and (7)
4 continued support of research studies through North Carolina State
5 University centered around low-energy drying of swine waste for fuel and
6 fertilizer products. Additional information on the Company's compliance
7 with the swine waste set-aside requirement can be found in the Company's
8 Joint Semiannual Progress Report, filed on December 1, 2023, in Docket
9 No. E-100, Sub 113A.

10 The Company remains committed to satisfying its statutory
11 requirements for the swine waste set-aside and will continue to pursue
12 procurement of these resources reasonably and prudently.

13 **Q. IS DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS CONTINUING TO EXECUTE**
14 **ADDITIONAL REC PURCHASE AGREEMENTS?**

15 A. Yes. The Company continues to evaluate and execute additional REC
16 purchase agreements and maintains an open solicitation for proposals from
17 developers of clean energy resources.

18 **Q. DID THE COMPANY SELL ANY RECS DURING THE TEST**
19 **PERIOD?**

20 A. No, the Company did not sell RECs in the Test Period.

21 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY HAVE ANY RECS IN ITS INVENTORY**
22 **THAT IT CANNOT USE FOR ITS OWN CEPS COMPLIANCE**
23 **REQUIREMENTS?**

1 A. Yes. DEC has RECs in its inventory that it cannot use for its own CEPS
2 compliance requirements. The RECs were generated by specific
3 hydroelectric generating facilities owned by the Company, each of which
4 has a generation capacity of 10 MW or less and was placed into service prior
5 to January 1, 2007.

6 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE COMPANY CANNOT USE THESE**
7 **RECS TO MEET ITS OWN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS.**

8 A. Under G.S. § 62-133.8(b)(2), an electric public utility, such as DEC, may
9 meet its CEPS compliance requirement through several methods, including
10 by “generat[ing] electric power at a new clean⁷ energy facility.” The
11 Commission accepted the registration of these DEC-owned hydroelectric
12 facilities as renewable energy facilities, but not as *new* renewable energy
13 facilities, in its July 31, 2009 *Order Accepting Registration of Renewable*
14 *Energy Facilities* in Docket Nos. E-7, Subs 886, 887, 888, 900, 903 and 904
15 and its December 9, 2010 *Order Accepting Registration of Renewable*
16 *Energy Facilities* in Docket Nos. E-7, Subs 942, 943, 945 and 946
17 (collectively, “Registration Orders”). In the Registration Orders, the
18 Commission specifically cited its June 17, 2009 *Order on Public Staff’s*
19 *Motion for Clarification* in Docket No. E-100, Sub 113, where it concluded
20 that these utility-owned hydroelectric facilities do not meet the delivery
21 requirement of G.S. § 62-133.8(a)(5)(c), which requires the delivery of
22 electric power to an electric power supplier, such as DEC, by an entity other

⁷ Senate Bill 678 changed language in G.S. § 62-133.8(b)(2) from “renewable” to “clean”.

1 than the electric power supplier to qualify as a new renewable energy
2 facility.

3 **Q. WHAT HAS THE COMPANY PROPOSED TO DO WITH THE**
4 **HYDROELECTRIC RECS THAT IT CANNOT USE FOR ITS OWN**
5 **CEPS COMPLIANCE?**

6 A. In the 2017 REPS cost recovery proceeding in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1162,
7 the Company proposed to exchange a portion of these hydroelectric RECs
8 for RECs within the inventory of the North Carolina Electric Membership
9 Corporation (“NCEMC”). Unlike DEC, NCEMC can use these
10 hydroelectric RECs to comply with its CEPS requirements because G.S. §
11 62-133.8(c)(2)(d) allows electric membership corporations and
12 municipalities to meet their CEPS requirements through the purchase of
13 RECs derived from clean⁸, as opposed to *new* clean⁹, energy facilities.
14 Additionally, the Company noted that the REC exchange would benefit
15 DEC’s customers because it would allow DEC to meet part of its general
16 CEPS requirements through the RECs exchanged with NCEMC at no cost
17 to DEC’s customers rather than through the purchase of additional RECs
18 from new clean energy facilities. NCEMC’s customers are held harmless in
19 the transaction as this exchange simply replaces RECs in NCEMC’s
20 inventory with different RECs that NCEMC will use to meet its general
21 requirement. The Public Staff of the North Carolina Utilities Commission
22 supported the Company’s proposed REC transfers with NCEMC, and the

⁸ Id.

⁹ Id.

1 Commission concluded that the proposed transfer was reasonable and
2 served the public interest in its *Order Approving REPS and REPS EMF*
3 *Riders and 2017 REPS Compliance Report*, issued on August 17, 2018, in
4 Docket No. E-7, Sub 1162.

5 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY EXCHANGED ANY OF THESE**
6 **HYDROELECTRIC RECS WITH NCEMC?**

7 A. Yes. The Company has executed contracts with NCEMC exchanging a
8 portion of these hydroelectric RECs for an equal number of general
9 requirement RECs in NCEMC's inventory that DEC can use for CEPS
10 compliance.

11 **COST OF CEPS COMPLIANCE**

12 **Q. WHAT ARE THE COMPANY'S COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CEPS**
13 **COMPLIANCE DURING THIS TEST PERIOD AND THE**
14 **UPCOMING BILLING PERIOD?**

15 A. Duke Energy Carolinas' costs associated with CEPS compliance are
16 reflected in Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 2 and are categorized by
17 actual costs incurred during the Test Period and projected costs for the
18 Billing Period.

19 **Q. IN ADDITION TO RENEWABLE ENERGY AND REC COSTS,**
20 **WHAT OTHER COSTS OF CEPS COMPLIANCE DOES THE**
21 **COMPANY SEEK TO RECOVER IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

22 A. Presson Confidential Exhibit Nos. 2 and 3 identify "Other Incremental
23 Costs," "Solar Rebate Program Costs," "PowerPairSM Program Costs," and

1 “Research Costs” the Company incurred, and estimates it will incur, in
2 association with CEPS compliance.

3 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE OTHER INCREMENTAL COSTS**
4 **INCLUDED FOR RECOVERY IN THIS PROCEEDING.**

5 A. Other Incremental Costs include labor costs associated with CEPS
6 compliance activities and non-labor costs associated with administration of
7 CEPS compliance. Among the non-labor costs associated with CEPS
8 compliance are the Company’s subscription to NC-RETS and accounting
9 and tracking tools related to RECs, reduced by agreed-upon liquidated
10 damages paid by sellers for failure to meet contractual milestones and
11 amounts paid for administrative contractual amendments requested by
12 sellers.

13 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE INFORMATION ON THE NC HB 589 (SL 2017-**
14 **192) SOLAR REBATE PROGRAM (“SOLAR REBATE**
15 **PROGRAM”).**

16 A. As required by G.S. § 62-155(f), DEC developed a Solar Rebate Program
17 offering incentives to residential, non-residential, and non-profit customers
18 in North Carolina for the installation of small customer owned or leased
19 solar energy facilities participating in the Company’s net metering tariff.
20 The goal of the Solar Rebate Program is to provide an economic incentive
21 for customers to adopt solar power by reducing the upfront costs of
22 installing solar equipment. The incentive is limited to 10 kilowatts
23 alternating current (“kW-AC”) for residential solar installations and 100

1 kW-AC for non-residential solar installations. The program incentive is
2 limited to 10,000 kW of installed capacity annually. The program began
3 January 1, 2018, and continued until December 31, 2022, with limits for
4 each participant class. At the end of 2022, 1,079 kW of unsubscribed
5 capacity remained. This was made available to any participant meeting the
6 solar rebate rider requirements when the 2023 rollover allocation process
7 began on January 11, 2023. Solar Rebate Program participation caps for all
8 customer types and application periods were met following the close of the
9 random selection period on January 18, 2023. The waitlist established
10 during the January 2023 random selection process will remain until all
11 customers with rebate reservations are paid. The program is now closed to
12 new applicants, and all capacity has been reserved. Currently thirty-seven
13 DEC customers with a total of 2,345 kW AC of reserved capacity are
14 awaiting rebate payments upon completion of their solar project
15 installations. The Company expects all projects to be online in 2024
16 resulting in the Company paying incentives for those reservations.
17 Accordingly, on February 2, 2024, the Company filed its plan not to extend
18 deadlines beyond 2024 and has communicated this intention to parties on
19 the waitlist.

20 Additional information regarding the status of the solar rebate
21 program, including the Company's most recent Joint Biannual Solar Rebate
22 Program Report and various filings related to extended deadlines, may be
23 found in Docket Nos. E-7, Sub 1166 and E-2, Sub 1167.

1 **Q. ARE COSTS RELATED TO THE NC HB 589 (SL 2017-192) SOLAR**
2 **REBATE PROGRAM INCLUDED FOR RECOVERY IN THIS**
3 **FILING?**

4 A. Yes. Pursuant to G.S. § 62-155(f), each public utility required to offer a
5 solar rebate program “shall be authorized to recover all reasonable and
6 prudent costs of incentives provided to customers and program
7 administrative costs by amortizing the total program incentives distributed
8 during a calendar year and administrative costs over a 20-year period,
9 including a return component adjusted for income taxes at the utility's
10 overall weighted average cost of capital established in its most recent
11 general rate case, which shall be included in the costs recoverable by the
12 public utility pursuant to G.S. 62-133.8(h).” G.S. § 62-133.8(h) provides for
13 an electric power supplier’s cost recovery and customer charges under the
14 CEPS statute; NC HB 589 (SL 2017-192) amended it by adding a provision
15 to allow for the recovery of incremental costs incurred to “provide
16 incentives to customers, including program costs, incurred pursuant to G.S.
17 § 62-155(f).” Therefore, DEC included for recovery in this filing both costs
18 incurred during the Test Period and projected to be incurred in the Billing
19 Period related to the implementation of the NC HB 589 Solar Rebate
20 Program. As detailed on Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 3, these costs
21 include the annual amortization of incentives paid to customers, program
22 administration costs including labor, information technology, and
23 marketing costs offset by early termination fees assessed to customers who

1 received a rebate check but failed to maintain participation in the Net
2 Metering Rider. Projected incentive costs for the Billing Period are based
3 on the currently approved rebate amounts: \$0.40 per watt for residential
4 installations, \$0.30 per watt for non-residential installations and \$0.75 per
5 watt for non-profit installations.

6 **Q. ARE COSTS RELATING TO THE POWERPAIRSM SOLAR AND**
7 **BATTERY INSTALLATION PROGRAM INCLUDED FOR**
8 **RECOVERY IN THIS FILING?**

9 **A.** Yes. Program costs for both the Test Period and Billing Period related to
10 the PowerPairSM Solar and Battery Installation Program (“PowerPairSM
11 Program”) are included in this filing pursuant to the Commission’s March
12 23, 2023, *Order Declining to Approve Proposed Smart Saver Solar*
13 *Program and Requiring Development of Pilot Program* (“Order
14 Establishing Pilot”) and the Commission’s January 11, 2024, *Order*
15 *Approving PowerPair Pilot Program, with Conditions, and Approving*
16 *Modifications to Energywise and Power Manager Residential Load Control*
17 *Programs* (“Order Approving PowerPair”) both in Docket No. E-7, Sub
18 1261. The pilot program established by the Commission provides that
19 participants receive an incentive of \$0.36/watt toward the cost of installing
20 a solar array and \$400/kWh for the battery storage component. The solar
21 incentive is capped at 10 kW per installation, and the battery storage
22 component is limited to 13.5 kWh. In its Order Establishing Pilot the
23 Commission provided a cost recovery mechanism for all reasonable and

1 prudent costs of the PowerPairSM Program participant incentives and
2 program administrative costs by instructing the Company to amortize the
3 total program incentives and administrative costs over a 20-year period,
4 including a return component adjusted for income taxes at DEC's overall
5 weighted average cost of capital as established in its most recent general
6 rate case, to be included in the costs recoverable by DEC through N.C. G.
7 S. § 62-188.8(h).

8 Therefore, as provided in both the Order Establishing Pilot and the
9 Order Approving PowerPair, DEC included amortization of actual costs
10 incurred in the Test Period and those costs projected to be incurred in the
11 Billing Period related to the implementation of the PowerPairSM Program.
12 These costs are detailed on Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 3 and include
13 the amortization of incentives projected to be paid to customers as well as
14 program administration costs including labor, information technology and
15 marketing costs.

16 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE DETAIL ON THE INTERNAL LABOR COSTS**
17 **INCLUDED IN DEC'S CURRENT APPLICATION FOR CEPS**
18 **COST RECOVERY.**

19 A. DEC charges only the incremental cost of CEPS compliance, the NC HB
20 589 (SL 2017-192) Solar Rebate Program, and the PowerPairSM Program to
21 the CEPS cost recovery rider. Consistent with that policy and DEC's
22 practices in previous applications for cost recovery for CEPS compliance,
23 internal employees who work to comply with G.S. § 62-133.8 and G.S. §

1 62-155(f) charge only that portion of their labor to CEPS or the specific
2 program mentioned. Labor related to the Solar Rebate Program and the
3 PowerPairSM Program is isolated in the cost of those programs which is in
4 turn amortized to CEPS for cost recovery. The departments/functions that
5 charged labor to CEPS during the Test Period are detailed in Presson
6 Confidential Exhibit No. 3.

7 **Q. HOW DO EMPLOYEES CHARGE THEIR INTERNAL LABOR**
8 **COSTS TO CEPS?**

9 A. Employees positively report their time, which means that each employee is
10 required to submit a timesheet every two weeks in the Company's time
11 reporting system. Hours reported for the period are split according to the
12 accounting entered in the time reporting system for that specific employee.
13 As the nature of the employee's work changes, the division of hours is
14 updated for the reporting period. Additionally, each year prior to filing for
15 approval of the DEC CEPS Compliance Report and Cost Recovery Rider,
16 the labor hours charged to CEPS, the HB 589 (SL2017-192) Solar Rebate
17 Program, and the PowerPairSM Program are carefully reviewed and
18 confirmed for accuracy.

19 **Q. ARE CEPS-RELATED RESEARCH COSTS INCLUDED FOR**
20 **RECOVERY IN THIS FILING?**

21 A. Yes. With respect to Research activities during the Test Period and
22 projected for the Billing Period, the Company has incurred or projects to
23 incur costs associated with the support of various pilot projects and studies

1 which encourage the development of renewable energy, energy efficiency
2 or improved air quality and is related to distributed energy technology and
3 the Company's CEPS compliance.

4 **RESEARCH STUDY RESULTS**

5 **Q. THE COMMISSION'S *ORDER APPROVING REPS AND REPS EMF***
6 ***RIDERS AND 2012 REPS COMPLIANCE* REQUIRES DUKE**
7 **ENERGY CAROLINAS TO FILE WITH ITS 2023 CEPS RIDER**
8 **APPLICATION STUDY RESULTS FOR ANY STUDIES THE**
9 **COSTS OF WHICH IT HAS RECOVERED VIA THE CEPS RIDER.**
10 **IS THE COMPANY SUPPLYING SUCH STUDIES IN THIS**
11 **FILING?**

12 **A.** Yes. The Company's Research efforts are an integral part of its CEPS
13 Compliance efforts. The following summary outlines efforts undertaken by
14 the Company in the Test Period and specifies the availability of applicable
15 study results.

- 16 • 2023 Resource Adequacy Study – During 2023 the Companies
17 retained Astrapé Consulting, LLC to analyze the DEC and DEP
18 reserve margins relating to both islanded (where there are no
19 neighboring balancing authorities) and interconnected (where
20 neighboring balancing authorities exist). The 2023 Study built upon
21 studies it performed in previous years. In 2023, attention focused on
22 accurately modeling the shifting neighbor resource portfolios
23 including coal retirements and the buildout of solar, wind and

1 storage resources on other utilities' systems as well as cold weather
2 load response and unit performance. This changing resource mix,
3 along with the cold weather load response, shifts the resource
4 adequacy risk of the Companies' neighbors to the winter. Because
5 of this, there is a reduction in market assistance available to the
6 Companies during periods of extreme winter weather, thus
7 increasing the Companies' need to carry a higher reserve margin to
8 maintain a reliable system. These study results provide the planning
9 reserve margin target for use in development of the Company's
10 consolidated Carbon Plan and Integrated Resource Plan ("CPIRP")
11 filed August 17, 2023, in Docket No. E-100, Sub 190, as well as the
12 Supplemental Planning Analysis filed January 31, 2024. The results
13 of this study were included as Attachment I to the CPIRP and can
14 also be found as Presson Exhibit No. 4.

15 During 2023 the Companies also retained Astrapé
16 Consulting, LLC to conduct a wind resources Effective Load
17 Carrying Capability ("ELCC") study to determine the winter
18 capacity value for future wind resources on the Companies' system
19 for use in development of the Companies' CPRIP and Supplemental
20 Planning Analysis. Because solar and wind are intermittent
21 resources, a solar or wind facility's ability to provide reliable
22 capacity when it is needed is different from that of a fully
23 dispatchable resource such as gas-fired turbine, which can be called

1 upon in any hour to produce energy, notwithstanding unit outages.
2 The Wind ELCC study evaluated three different wind portfolios at
3 four different capacity levels in conjunction with the existing solar
4 portfolio as well as expanded solar portfolios that totaled 10,000
5 MW, 15,000 MW and 20,000 MW. The wind resources were
6 simulated along with the different solar portfolios to capture the
7 synergistic effects of the two resource types when modeled together.
8 The Wind ELCC Study was included as Attachment II to the
9 Companies' 2023 CPIRP and can also be found as Presson Exhibit
10 No. 5.

11 • 2023 Solar Integration Services Charge Study – In 2023 the
12 Company once again engaged Astrapé Consulting, LLC to update
13 its two previous Solar Integration Services Charge (“SISC”) studies
14 in order to satisfy one of the Commission’s directives from its
15 November 22, 2022, *Order Establishing Standard Rates and*
16 *Contract Terms for Qualifying Facilities* in Docket No. E-100, Sub
17 175 to address whether reserve levels used to calculate the SISC
18 could be further refined depending on each day’s volatility forecast
19 and to consider the effect, if any, of the Southeastern Energy
20 Exchange Market on the calculation of SISC. As part of this effort,
21 Astrapé analyzed and quantified the costs of the ancillary service
22 impact associated with integrating existing and future solar
23 generation on both the DEC and DEP systems. The final report was

1 included as Exhibit 10 in the Company’s November 1, 2023,
2 Biennial Avoided Cost filing in Docket No. E-100, Sub 194. A copy
3 of the report is included as Presson Exhibit No. 6.

4 • Adopting DVAR to Mitigate PV Impacts on a Distribution System,
5 Phase 2 – In late 2021, the Company kicked off a second phase of
6 the project with North Carolina State University (“NC State
7 University” or “NCSU”) to assess the effectiveness of the American
8 Superconductor Corporation Dynamic Volt-Amp Reactive
9 Compensation Solution (“mini-DVAR”) in mitigating various
10 power quality issues on distribution circuits due to increasing
11 penetration of PV. Phase 2 of the study focused on the development
12 of more dynamic dispatching schemes for the mini-DVAR such that
13 the expected benefits are maximized. No charges were incurred in
14 the Test Period for this study. Results for this second phase are
15 included as Presson Exhibit No. 7.

16 • Application of High-Level Screening Tool for Data Analytics for
17 Operational Planning – The Company contracted with the Electric
18 Power Research Institute (“EPRI”) in 2023 to fund a supplemental
19 project using EPRI’s in-house High-Level Screening (“HiLS”) tool
20 to analyze a set of operational data and create visualizations
21 assisting the Company in identifying critical operating conditions to
22 enhance subsequent planning and operating capabilities. The
23 timelines for implementing network upgrades and constructing

1 interconnection facilities for a growing number of clean energy
2 resources requires the evaluation and identification of system
3 conditions that are outside of the traditional Spring and Fall “outage
4 season” windows when system loads are lower. Utilizing the HiLS
5 research to identify those time periods throughout the year which
6 are favorable for these system improvements and upgrades, the
7 Company can enable their on-time completion without diminishing
8 system reliability in the process. Participating in the HiLS
9 supplemental project allows Duke Energy to contribute to industry
10 research to enable the interconnection of a growing number of
11 renewable resources. Additionally, the increasing variability of both
12 renewable generation and customer loads has resulted in power
13 system operators encountering conditions that were not previously
14 commonplace. Using HiLS to screen for and understand common
15 operating conditions requires analysis and visualizing large datasets
16 can be significantly challenging simply due to their size. The
17 development of the EPRI HiLS tool will enable the analysis and
18 clustering of data with similar operating hours and conditions based
19 on load and generation variability. Results of this assessment will
20 support the Company’s operations teams’ need to identify time
21 periods and system conditions which can be leveraged to develop
22 more informed outage and maintenance scheduling for the
23 Company’s operations thus improving reliability and reducing

1 unwanted customer interruptions and outages. Further details
2 relating to the study can be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit
3 No. 8.

4 • Battery Cost Index – In 2023 the Company subscribed to the
5 Fastmarkets Battery Cost Index to leverage Fastmarkets’ research
6 and expertise to promptly update storage costs informing resource
7 planning models and cost benefit analysis. Fastmarkets is a trusted
8 cross-commodity reporting agency in the agriculture, forest
9 products, metals, and mining markets. The Battery Cost Index is an
10 innovative solution addressing the many challenges of traditional
11 cost analysis methods and metrics involving integrating price
12 indices for essential battery materials effectively tracking the
13 influence of material price volatility and enabling users to compare
14 material and manufacturing costs across a variety of cathode
15 chemistries. This proprietary tool provides valuable insights for
16 decision-making and requires a subscription to review. Please visit
17 the Fastmarkets website at www.fastmarkets.com for more
18 information regarding access to the tool. While the Company is
19 limited in what it can share regarding data extracted from the tool, a
20 sample is included as Presson Exhibit No. 9.

21 • Biogas Utilization in North Carolina – No costs were incurred in the
22 Test Period relating to the Biogas Utilization in North Carolina
23 study undertaken by RTI International (“RTI”). The Company

1 previously provided funding for a project requested by the NC
2 Energy Policy Council to determine the potential bioenergy/biogas
3 resources available in NC and to identify the most beneficial and
4 optimum utilization of resources to maximize economic,
5 environmental, and societal advantages. The second phase of the
6 RTI study, a portion of which extends the scope of Phase 1 to
7 include other sources of biogas feedstock, is now complete. Phase 2
8 was augmented by stakeholder outreach and policy option
9 evaluations addressing what the state’s objectives for the captured
10 emissions should be and includes an analysis of the physical,
11 economic, and political challenges to potential objectives. The
12 Phase 2 report can be found as Presson Exhibit No. 10.

- 13 • Bus Load Allocation Analysis – As an extension to the “Power Flow
14 Analysis to Improve Integrated Volt/Var (“IVVC”) and Energy
15 Efficiency Programs,” the Company contracted with NCSU to more
16 deeply investigate the options that exist within our Distribution
17 Management System (“DMS”) software which may be adjusted to
18 minimize the differences between power flow results and
19 measurements, focusing on the configuration of Bus Load
20 Allocation (“BLA”). The result of the study will be a set of
21 recommended settings that may be implemented by the Company to
22 reduce the differences between power flow results and
23 measurements, thereby improving the DMS’ ability to effectively

1 operate the IVVC program. The program scope can be found as
2 Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 11.

3 • Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas – The Company renewed its
4 membership to the Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas in 2023 to
5 add a valuable resource of knowledge and public policy advocacy
6 in this growing sector of potential animal waste supply. The
7 Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas provides its members with
8 exclusive whitepapers, support on model pipeline gas specifications
9 and access to other members for discussions on current and future
10 projects. The Company previously provided funding through the
11 Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas for a study by Colorado State
12 University of methane leakage from renewable natural gas
13 processing facilities to promote improved practices. The final report
14 from that study can be found as Presson Exhibit No. 12.

15 • DC Microgrids/DC Home Study – In 2023 the Company began
16 collaborating with Direct Energy Partners and Renewable Design
17 Associates to validate, demonstrate and quantify the benefits of a
18 Community Microgrid coupled with a direct current (“DC”) link
19 connected to a mock DC powered home at the Company’s Mount
20 Holly test lab. Recognizing that an increasing amount of equipment
21 is DC powered, this study focuses on future DC Microgrid
22 architecture from a utility perspective to better serve customers. The
23 study will document the benefits of utility-owned and managed DC

1 distribution, storage, shared renewables, and fast charging. The
2 benefits of DC technologies and systems include: (1) eliminating
3 losses of more than 15% relating to conversions from direct current
4 to alternating current and back to direct current by matching PV,
5 battery, and electric vehicle (“EV”) with modern DC loads; (2)
6 reducing copper wiring costs by as much as 50%; and (3) reducing
7 integration costs as systems are simplified. The study is ongoing and
8 a status update on the project can be found as Presson Exhibit No.
9 13.

- 10 • DER Commissioning Procedures and Toolkit – The Company
11 contracted with EPRI in 2023 to participate in a collaborative
12 research project which aims to identify the best practices for
13 Distributed Energy Resource (“DER”) commissioning and to
14 design, develop and demonstrate a DER Commissioning Toolkit to
15 simplify and automate the process to the greatest extent possible.
16 The IEEE 1547-2018 DER interconnection standard made grid
17 support functions mandatory for all DERS. Smart inverter functions
18 such as volt-var, volt-watt, and abnormal voltage and frequency
19 ride-through capabilities are required for DERS. Commissioning
20 tests are a key step in the interconnection process to confirm a DER
21 plant meets the grid support function settings and power quality
22 requirements are met at the point of applicability as specified by the
23 utility. A DER plant’s performance depends on many individual

1 hardware and software components which are not possible to
2 evaluate together during nationally recognized testing laboratory
3 certification testing. As utilities adopt the IEEE 1547-2018 standard,
4 detailed commissioning tests have become critically important for
5 the safety and proper operation of these systems. Tests are more
6 complex, and the volume of DER systems being added to the electric
7 grid is increasing quickly. The procedures developed in this project
8 will identify practical ways to verify DER performance
9 requirements. The toolkit will include the hardware and software
10 components necessary to record and automate data transfer,
11 analyses, and reporting in the field. An overview relating to the
12 study can be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 14.

- 13 • Developing Large DER Protection Guidelines and Settings for
14 Mitigating System-wide Impacts across Transmission and
15 Distribution Systems – In late 2021, the Company started the project
16 with the North Carolina State University, the University of North
17 Carolina at Charlotte (“UNCC”), and Clemson University through
18 the Center for Advanced Power Engineering Research (“CAPER”).
19 The project investigates the ability to develop a strategy for
20 evaluating protection device, recloser settings and control
21 algorithms for inverter-based resources (“IBRs”) with high
22 penetration levels of DER at both the distribution and transmission
23 levels with an integrated simulation model. There were no charges

- 1 incurred in 2022 for this ongoing CAPER project. A status update
2 on the project can be found as Presson Exhibit No. 15.
- 3 • Electric Power Research Institute – In 2023 the Company
4 subscribed to Program 174 – DER Integration, the costs of which
5 were recovered via the CEPS Rider. EPRI designates results from
6 studies under this program as proprietary or as trade secrets and
7 licenses such results to EPRI members, including Duke Energy
8 Carolinas. As such, the Company may not disclose the information
9 publicly. Non-members may access these studies for a fee.
10 Information regarding access to this information can be found at
11 <https://www.epri.com>.
 - 12 • Experian EV Data – In 2023 the Company subscribed to receive
13 quarterly updates from Experian which will include zip code-level
14 data relating to both the number of electric vehicles in operation as
15 well as new electric vehicle registrations plus the make, model,
16 drivetrain, and fuel used for vehicles in operation. The dataset
17 includes light, medium, and heavy-duty vehicles. This information
18 will be used to further advance EV forecasting, charging
19 infrastructure sizing and location, as well as tariff development
20 aimed at increasing EV adoption rates while minimizing emissions
21 and demands on electric infrastructure. Experian considers
22 information from its service to be proprietary and confidential.

- 1 Information regarding access can be found at
2 <https://www.experian.com/automotive/auto-quarterly-trends>.
- 3 • Grid Resilience – In late 2022 the Company contracted with Open
4 Energy Solutions, Inc (“OES”) to develop a framework and related
5 perspectives on the value of grid resiliency for Duke Energy. OES
6 tested a range of analytical methods for valuing the resilience
7 benefits of distributed energy resources. The project also focused on
8 example algorithms for grid resilience value levers using available
9 public research and Duke Energy system data. The study was
10 completed in August 2023, and no charges were incurred in the Test
11 Period. Study results can be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit
12 No. 16.
 - 13 • Impacts of Managed Charging and Other Innovative Rates for EV
14 Charging on Utility Load and System – In 2023 the Company
15 initiated a project with UNCC to examine the effect of innovative
16 rates on EV growth, charging time, utility daily load, the utility
17 system and utility carbon emissions. Although EVs are currently a
18 small percentage of overall utility demand, their penetration rates
19 are rapidly increasing. The primary tariffs offered to households
20 owning EVs are either flat rate or time of use (“TOU”) rates. Flat
21 rates do not encourage charging in off-peak times; TOU rates have
22 limited acceptance and the on-peak/off-peak hours are set well in
23 advance of actual conditions. Innovative rates, such as managed

1 charging, might use vehicle data or a second meter, could reflect
2 real-time conditions, and charging could be under greater control of
3 the electricity dispatcher. The study will use simulations and data
4 provided by the Company, giving special attention to managed
5 charging and the effect of charging on the utility's distribution
6 system. The progress report for this multi-year study can be found
7 as Presson Exhibit No. 17.

8 • Low Energy Drying of Swine Sludge for Fuel and Fertilizer
9 Research Study – In 2023 the Company continued support of the
10 various projects being undertaken by the Animal and Poultry Waste
11 Management Center at NCSU. This work is centered around drying
12 swine lagoon solids, bagged lagoon sludge and lagoon sludge mixed
13 with agricultural wastes at a farm-based level to create a higher
14 MMBtu fuel that can be safely and easily transported to a central
15 plant for combustion. An update on the project can be found as
16 Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 18.

17 • Microgrid Electromagnetic Transient (“EMT”) Study Enhancement
18 – The Company kicked off a project with EPRI in 2023 to enhance
19 the Company's microgrid study process to increase the level of
20 automation and expand its applicability to cater to the growing needs
21 of microgrid analysis. This project will be completed mid-2024 and
22 is expected to deliver a tool to support CYME to PSCAD conversion

1 and PSCAD Simulation/plotting automation. The projects scope can
2 be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 19.

3 • Monitoring and Operational Assessment of DER Reactive Power
4 Control – In 2023 the Company contracted with EPRI to continue
5 the work started in late 2022 relating to its evaluation of the
6 software-based controls of advanced inverters according to the IEEE
7 Standard 1547-2018 (“Standard”). Projects in the Smart Inverter
8 Pilot established in the “Joint Notice of Interconnection Settlement
9 and Petition for Limited Waiver” filed with the Commission in
10 Docket No. E-100, Sub 101 on September 3, 2020, are being
11 commissioned and beginning to operate on the Company’s
12 distribution system. Monitoring and assessing each project’s
13 performance is important. This study collects operational data,
14 assesses the delivery of the systems’ active and reactive power
15 compared to the Standard, identifies any undesirable impact to the
16 feeder system, examines adverse interaction with local or central
17 controls of traditional regulating devices (e.g., voltage regulator,
18 capacitor bank), proposes potential updates for better coordination
19 and further improves the operation’s effectiveness. Results of the
20 2022 study are attached as Presson Confidential Exhibit Nos. 20 and
21 21. The 2023 Study is ongoing.

22 • NC State University’s Future Renewable Electric Energy Delivery
23 and Management (“FREEDM”) Systems Center – Duke Energy

1 supports NC State University's FREEDM Center through annual
2 membership dues. The FREEDM partnership provides Duke Energy
3 with the ability to influence and focus research on materials,
4 technology, and products that will enable the utility industry to
5 transform the electric grid into a two-way power flow system
6 supporting distributed generation.

- 7 • Power Flow Analysis to Improve Integrated Volt/Var and Energy
8 Efficiency Programs – In late 2021 the Company contracted with
9 CAPER to address the issue of inaccurate power flow analysis
10 results in the current DMS when there are DER on a distribution
11 system. The objective of the project was to identify factors that
12 contribute to the differences between calculated power flow results
13 versus power flow measurements from the field on certain identified
14 feeders. When there are only slight differences between calculated
15 power flow results and power flow measurements, DMS has
16 accurate and detailed information about the state of the grid, so that
17 voltage reduction due to IVVC and energy savings can be
18 maximized. When there are large differences between calculated
19 power flow results and power flow measurements, it is very difficult
20 to achieve the full benefits of IVVC. This project analyzed DMS'
21 calculated power flow and offer suggestions for its improvement,
22 especially on circuits which contain high levels of DER. The project
23 was split into 2 phases:

- 1 ○ Phase 1 identified factors that contribute to the differences
2 between calculated power flow results versus power flow
3 measurements from the field and was primarily
4 accomplished by comparing the results of the DMS power
5 flow with the results of power flow from CYME, which is
6 an industry standard application. It was determined the DER
7 on the distribution system is a key contributor. Various
8 differences in modeling and power flow algorithms were
9 investigated, which highlighted process improvements that
10 can be implemented to improve power flow results in both
11 DMS and CYME at the Company. No charges were included
12 for this phase in the Test Period; Phase 1 final results are
13 included as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 22.
- 14 ○ The second phase of this study incorporated data analytic
15 tools to continue identifying factors contributing to the
16 differences between calculated power flow results versus
17 power flow measurements from the field and focused on
18 feeders with consistently large differences between the
19 power flow results and the measurements. The study team
20 developed a completely novel method of combining two
21 Machine Learning based tools, Binary Logistic Regression
22 and K-means clustering, to group similar feeders and then to
23 highlight the factors that most substantially contribute to the

1 discrepancy between the power flow results and the
2 measurements. This method identified features of the
3 Company's model and software that can be adjusted to
4 minimize the differences between the power flow results and
5 the measurements, including adjustments to the BLA
6 settings. The Company plans to continue using the
7 developed method to identify additional adjustments as
8 needed in the future. No charges were included for this phase
9 in the Test Period. Phase 2 final results are included as
10 Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 23.

- 11 • Reliability Assessment for Utility PV Inverter System – In
12 December 2022 the Company kicked off a second phase of the
13 Reliability Assessment for Utility PV Inverter System project with
14 UNCC to support the development of safe and reliable utility PV
15 and energy storage systems. The extended project conducted
16 technology and standard reviews on PV and utility battery arc fault
17 and fire prevention, evaluated the current arc fault detection and arc
18 flash prevention methods, researched real-time arc fault detection
19 and battery fire detection technology, and provided technical
20 recommendations to reduce fire hazards, enhance electrical safety,
21 and increase PV and utility energy storage system fire resilience.
22 There were no costs incurred for this project in the Test Period. The
23 study's final results can be found in Presson Exhibit No. 24.

- 1 • Resilient Community Microgrids with Dynamic Reconfiguration to
2 Serve Critical Loads in the Aftermath of Severe Events – In 2021
3 the Company supported UNCC in the research project awarded by
4 the Department of Energy’s Office of Energy Efficiency and
5 Renewable Energy under DE-FOA-0002243. Duke Energy supports
6 this project with the expectation that it addresses all topics of
7 interest: (1) the study will recommend a methodology which
8 specifies relay-protection elements and settings for utilization in
9 island mode of operation; (2) the study will recommend
10 methodologies for island black start sequences; and (3) a
11 performance evaluation of the microgrid-control will be provided.
12 This three-year project is expected to be complete in April 2024, and
13 no charges were incurred in the Test Period. The progress for this
14 project can be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 25.
- 15 • Smart Electric Power Alliance (“SEPA”) – The Company renewed
16 its membership to the Smart Electric Power Alliance in 2023. SEPA
17 provides its members with exclusive whitepapers and working
18 group event opportunities on various topics including DER
19 integration, DER management systems, energy efficiency and
20 demand response, electric vehicle development, microgrid and grid
21 resiliency. Please visit SEPA’s website at <https://sepapower.org> for
22 more information on SEPA.

- 1 • Solutions for Islanding of Microgrids with High Inverter-Based
2 Resources – The Company contracted with Quanta Technologies in
3 2023 to investigate and study alternative solutions for the islanding
4 operation of microgrids with high penetrations of inverter-based
5 resources. This study conducted comparative analysis and EMT
6 studies on two potential solutions for improving the islanding of
7 inverter-based microgrids. The study was completed in 2023, and
8 the final report can be found as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 26.
- 9 • Southeastern Wind Coalition, Inc (“SEWC”) – The Company
10 renewed its membership in the Southeastern Wind Coalition in
11 2023. SEWC conducts research on land-based wind, offshore wind,
12 and energy storage, which informs the Company of potential clean
13 energy generation opportunities that may enable the Company to
14 comply with CEPS in a cost-effective manner. In addition, SEWC’s
15 work is to advance wind policies across the southeast by holding
16 conferences, addressing prohibitive state policies related to wind
17 deployment, and ensuring workforce development and educational
18 outreach. Please visit SEWC’s website at <https://sewind.org> for
19 more information on SEWC.
- 20 • Strategic and Flexible Controllable Load Resources – In 2023 the
21 Company kicked off a study with Tierra Resource Consultants to
22 develop a framework for real-time grid control of strategic and
23 flexible Controllable Load Resources (“CLRs”). CLRs are loads

1 which can be flexible and agnostic relative to when their loads are
2 increased or decreased. They include flexible computing resources,
3 fleet EV chargers, and other data center CLR's, and enable quick
4 response to fluctuations in both non-dispatchable renewable
5 resources as well as grid constraints. Work is currently being
6 coordinated with Duke Energy's Emerging Technology Office. The
7 project team is also assessing grid value streams attributed to
8 automated-load-fill controls as well as performance attributes and
9 corresponding contributions to the Company's evolving grid
10 management requirements. This phase of the study is expected to be
11 completed in mid-2025. An overview of the study can be found as
12 Presson Exhibit No. 27.

- 13 • Verifying Performance of Bulk Power-System-Connected Solar,
14 Wind and Storage Plants – The Company started a project with
15 EPRI in 2023 intended to improve verification practices for
16 technical interconnection requirements of IBRs that will be
17 connected to transmission systems. During the project EPRI will
18 provide or compile information that will be useful in assessing the
19 current state of verification practices and provide information and
20 webinars from the industry and scientific communities about
21 verification practices. EPRI will provide the comparison table of
22 NERC IBR event causes and IEEE 2800 requirements to verify all

1 causes were addressed by the Standard. The project scope can be
2 found as Presson Confidential Exhibit No. 28.

3 **Q. ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THE ACTUAL COSTS INCURRED**
4 **IN THE TEST PERIOD HAVE BEEN, AND THAT THE**
5 **PROJECTED COSTS OF THE BILLING PERIOD WILL BE,**
6 **PRUDENTLY INCURRED?**

7 A. Yes. Duke Energy Carolinas believes it has incurred and projects to incur
8 all these costs associated with CEPS compliance in a prudent manner. The
9 Company continues to exercise thorough and rigorous technical and
10 economic analysis to evaluate all options for compliance with its CEPS
11 requirements. Duke Energy Carolinas has developed strong foundational
12 market knowledge related to clean energy resources. The Company
13 continues to enhance and develop expertise in this field through the various
14 solicitations for clean energy and the operation of its unsolicited bid
15 process, its construction of DEC-owned utility-scale solar facilities, its
16 participation in industry research, and daily interaction with developers of
17 clean energy facilities. As a result of these efforts, the Company has been
18 able to identify, procure, and develop a diverse portfolio of clean energy
19 resources to meet its CEPS requirements in a prudent, reasonable, and cost-
20 effective manner.

21 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

22 A. Yes.