

Lawrence B. Somers Deputy General Counsel

Mailing Address: NCRH 20 / P.O. Box 1551 Raleigh, NC 27602

> o: 919.546.6722 f: 919.546.2694

bo.somers@duke-energy.com

June 25, 2018

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

M. Lynn Jarvis Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300

RE: Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Response to Intervenor Canaday's June 7, June 15 and June 22, 2018 Filings

Docket No. E-2, Sub 1150

Dear Ms. Jarvis:

I enclose Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Response to Intervenor Canaday's June 7, June 15 and June 22, 2018 Filings, for filing in connection with the referenced matter.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Sinderely,

Lawrence B. Somers

**Enclosures** 

cc: Parties of Record

## BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1150

In the Matter of ) Application of Duke Energy Progress, ) LLC For a Certificate of Environmental ) Compatibility and Public Convenience and **DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS,** ) Necessity Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ LLC'S RESPONSE TO 62-100 et seq. to Construct Approximately ) **INTERVENOR CANADAY'S** 11.5 Miles of New 230kV Transmission ) JUNE 7, JUNE 15, and JUNE 22, Line in Cleveland area of Johnston ) **2018 FILINGS** County, North Carolina

Duke Energy Progress, LLC ("DEP" or the "Company") hereby responds to Intervenor Oliver L. Canaday's ("Mr. Canaday") June 7, 2018 filing for the Commission to suspend its May 7, 2018 *Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration* ("Reconsideration Order"), his June 15, 2018 filing to rescind the Commission's January 12, 2018 *Order Granting Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity* ("CPCN Order"), and his June 22, 2018 "motion to compel" as follows:

1. Mr. Canaday's June 7, 2018 filing is referenced as an objection to the Reconsideration Order, but appears to simply rehash Mr. Canaday's prior arguments. Mr. Canaday does not credibly raise any change in circumstances or a misapprehension or disregard of a fact that provides a basis for the Commission to rescind, alter, or amend a prior order as required under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-80. Order on Clarification, Docket No. E-100, Sub 148 (Feb. 15, 2018) at 2, citing State ex rel. Utilities Comm'n v. North Carolina Gas Service, 128 N.C. App. 288, 293-294,

494 S.E.2d 621, 626, *rev. denied*, 348 N.C. 78, 505 S.E.2d 886 (1998). Accordingly, Mr. Canaday's June 7, 2018 filing should be summarily dismissed.

- 2. Mr. Canaday's June 15, 2018 filing appears to move the Commission to rescind its CPCN Order and, if this is an accurate reading of the filing, it is untimely and should be dismissed. The Commission already considered and rejected Mr. Canaday's arguments in the CPCN Order and in the Reconsideration Order, and Mr. Canaday has no valid legal basis for his requested relief from the Commission.
- 3. Finally, Mr. Canaday's June 22, 2018 filing is styled as a "motion to compel" and appears to seek to have DEP provide support for its decision to select the Commission-approved route for the Cleveland-Matthews Transmission Line. The record in this matter is closed, and the Commission based its CPCN Order upon careful consideration of the evidence submitted by DEP and Mr. Canaday, including detailed evidence supporting DEP's selected transmission line route. As such, a motion to compel is untimely and improper at this stage of the proceeding. Furthermore, although this filing purports to be a motion to compel, it appears that Mr. Canaday's motion is also another late attempt to have the Commission reconsider its CPCN Order and/or Reconsideration Order and as such should be denied.

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Duke Energy Progress, LLC respectfully requests that the Commission deny and dismiss the filings made by Mr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DEP respectfully asserts that the exact intent of Mr. Canaday's motion to compel is unclear. Mr. Canaday's repeated filings in an effort to challenge the Commission's CPCN Order are untimely and should be denied.

Canaday on June 7, June 15, and June 22, 2018 on the grounds that they are meritless and untimely.

Respectfully submitted, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2018.

Lawrence B. Somers
Deputy General Counsel
Duke Energy Corporation
NCRH 20/P.O. Box 1551

Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-1551

Tel: (919) 546-6722

bo.somers@duke-energy.com

Robert W. Kaylor Law Office of Robert W. Kaylor, P.A. 353 Six Forks Road, Suite 260 Raleigh, North Carolina 27609 Tel: 919.828.5250

bkaylor@rwkaylorlaw.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Response to Intervenor Canaday's June 7, June 15 and June 22, 2018 Filings, in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1150, has been served by electronic mail, hand delivery or by depositing a copy in the United States mail, postage prepaid to the following parties:

David Drooz
Heather Fennell
Public Staff
North Carolina Utilities Commission
4326 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-4326
david.drooz@psncuc.nc.gov
heather.fennell@psncuc.nc.gov

Oliver Canaday 713 Camellia Avenue Pana City, FL 32404

This the 25<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2018.

Lawrence B. Somers
Deputy General Counsel
Duke Energy Corporation
P. O. Box 1551 / NCRH 20

Raleigh, NC 27602

Telephone: 919.546.6722 bo.somers@duke-energy.com