| 1  | PLACE:                                                 | Via Videoconference                     |  |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|
| 2  | DATE:                                                  | Wednesday, June 12, 2024                |  |
| 3  | TIME:                                                  | 6:48 p.m 7:33 p.m.                      |  |
| 4  | DOCKET:                                                | E-2, Sub 1318                           |  |
| 5  |                                                        | EC-67, Sub 55                           |  |
| 6  | BEFORE:                                                | Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell             |  |
| 7  |                                                        | Commissioner Kimberly W. Duffley        |  |
| 8  |                                                        | Commissioner William M. Brawley         |  |
| 9  |                                                        | Commissioner Tommy Tucker               |  |
| 10 |                                                        |                                         |  |
| 11 |                                                        |                                         |  |
| 12 |                                                        | IN THE MATTER OF:                       |  |
| 13 | I                                                      | n the Matter of Joint Application of    |  |
| 14 | Duke Energy Progress, LLC, and North Carolina Electric |                                         |  |
| 15 | Membership Corporation for a Certificate of Public     |                                         |  |
| 16 | Convenience and Necessity to Construct a 1360 MW       |                                         |  |
| 17 | Natural Gas-Fueled Combined Cycle Electric Generating  |                                         |  |
| 18 | Fac                                                    | cility in Person County, North Carolina |  |
| 19 |                                                        |                                         |  |
| 20 |                                                        | VOLUME 1                                |  |
| 21 |                                                        |                                         |  |
| 22 |                                                        |                                         |  |
| 23 |                                                        |                                         |  |
| 24 |                                                        |                                         |  |

```
APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
    FOR THE DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC:
    Jason Higginbotham, Esq.
 3
 4
    Duke Energy Corporation
 5
    525 South Tryon Street
    Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
 6
 7
 8
    FOR NORTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION:
 9
    Tim Dodge, Esq.
10
    3400 Sumner Boulevard
11
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27616
12
13
    FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
14
    William Freeman, Esq.
    Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
15
16
    4326 Mail Service Center
17
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4326
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

| 1  | TABLE OF CONTENTS                   |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 2  | EXAMINATIONS                        |
| 3  | PAGE                                |
| 4  | JEFFREY WENNBERG                    |
| 5  | Direct Examination by Mr. Freeman15 |
| 6  | ADAM HELSEL                         |
| 7  | Direct Examination by Mr. Freeman19 |
| 8  | SHARON PATERSON                     |
| 9  | Direct Examination by Mr. Freeman22 |
| 10 | SEAN WELLS                          |
| 11 | Direct Examination by Mr. Freeman26 |
| 12 | MAC LEGERTON                        |
| 13 | Direct Examination by Mr. Freeman29 |
| 14 | ALONZO ROLLINS                      |
| 15 | Direct Examination by Mr. Freeman33 |
| 16 | MICHELLE CARTER                     |
| 17 | Direct Examination by Mr. Freeman37 |
| 18 |                                     |
| 19 |                                     |
| 20 |                                     |
| 21 |                                     |
| 22 |                                     |
| 23 |                                     |
| 24 |                                     |

| 1  | EXHIBITS              |   |
|----|-----------------------|---|
| 2  | IDENTIFIED / ADMITTED | 1 |
| 3  | No Exhibits of Record |   |
| 4  |                       |   |
| 5  |                       |   |
| 6  |                       |   |
| 7  |                       |   |
| 8  |                       |   |
| 9  |                       |   |
| 10 |                       |   |
| 11 |                       |   |
| 12 |                       |   |
| 13 |                       |   |
| 14 |                       |   |
| 15 |                       |   |
| 16 |                       |   |
| 17 |                       |   |
| 18 |                       |   |
| 19 |                       |   |
| 20 |                       |   |
| 21 |                       |   |
| 22 |                       |   |
| 23 |                       |   |
| 24 |                       |   |

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening. Let us come to order and go on the record, please. I'm Charlotte Mitchell, Chair of the North Carolina Utilities

Commission. With me tonight are Commissioners

Duffley, Brawley; and Commissioner Tucker is attempting to join. I'm hoping he will join us momentarily.

I now call for hearing Docket Number E-2, Sub 1318 and EC-67, Sub 55, In the Matter of Joint Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC, and the North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct 1,360-Megawatt Natural Gas Fueled Combined Cycle Electric Generating Facility in Person County, North Carolina.

(Technological disruption)

Before we proceed further and as is required by the State Government Ethics Act, I remind members of the Commission of our duty to avoid conflicts of interest, and inquire at this time as to whether any Commissioner has a known conflict with respect to matters coming before us in this docket?

(No response)

| 1  | The record will reflect that no conflicts              |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | have been identified, so we will proceed.              |
| 3  | (Technological disruption)                             |
| 4  | Mr. McCoy would you thank you.                         |
| 5  | On March 28, 2024, Duke Energy Progress,               |
| 6  | LLC, or DEP, and the North Carolina Electric           |
| 7  | Membership Corporation, or NCEMC, NCEMC together with  |
| 8  | DEP being referred to as the Joint Applicants, filed   |
| 9  | with the Commission a Joint Application pursuant to    |
| 10 | North Carolina General Statute § 62-82, 62-110.1, and  |
| 11 | Commission Rule R8-61(b) for a Certificate of Public   |
| 12 | Convenience and Necessity, or CPCN, to construct and   |
| 13 | operate an advanced class combined cycle gas turbine   |
| 14 | facility for the generation of electricity at the site |
| 15 | of its existing Roxboro plant in Person County, North  |
| 16 | Carolina.                                              |
| 17 | In support of the Application, the Joint               |
| 18 | Applicants filed the direct testimony of five          |
| 19 | witnesses, portions of which are confidential. The     |
| 20 | Application explains that DEP will operate the         |
| 21 | proposed facility and own approximately                |
| 22 | 1,135 megawatts of its capacity while NCEMC would own  |
| 23 | the remaining approximate 225 megawatts.               |
| 24 | The Application asserts the following:                 |

| First, placing the proposed facility into service by   |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| January 1st, 2029, will enable DEP to permanently      |
| retire Coal-Fired Units Number 1 and Number 4 at the   |
| Roxboro site; second, siting the proposed facility     |
| will allow for the efficient utilization of existing   |
| plant infrastructure currently supporting Roxboro      |
| Coal-Fired Units 1 and 4; third, connecting the        |
| proposed facility to DEP's transmission network will   |
| require limited transmission upgrades as shown by the  |
| interconnection study processes undertaken on the      |
| proposed facility; fourth, constructing the proposed   |
| facility will provide DEP with generating capacity     |
| that is more flexible than the Roxboro units with five |
| times their ramping ability, which is key to DEP's     |
| balancing the variable operating characteristics of    |
| renewable generation and ensuring system reliability.  |
| The Application also provides the proposed             |
| facility will rely on natural gas as fuel supply, is   |

facility will rely on natural gas as fuel supply, is hydrogen capable, and will utilize ultra-low sulfur diesel stored on site as backup fuel.

The testimony accompanying the Application discusses the Joint Applicants need for and cost of the facility.

On April 10th, 2024, the Commission issued

| 1   | an Order Scheduling Hearings, Establishing Procedural |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 2   | and Filing Requirements, and Requiring Public Notice. |
| 3   | The Scheduling Order scheduled one public witness     |
| 4   | hearing to be held remotely by way of WebEx on this   |
| 5   | date and at this time, as well as a second public     |
| 6   | witness hearing to be held in Person County tomorrow, |
| 7   | June 13th, 2024, beginning at 7:00 in the evening.    |
| 8   | The Scheduling Order also scheduled an expert witness |
| 9   | hearing to begin immediately following the hearing in |
| LO  | Docket Number E-7, Sub 1297, which is scheduled to    |
| L1  | begin immediately following the hearing in Docket     |
| L2  | Number E-100, Sub 190, which is scheduled to begin at |
| L3  | 2:00 in the afternoon on Monday July 22nd, 2024. If   |
| L 4 | the hearing on the Application is not concluded by    |
| L 5 | August 9th, 2024, the hearing will resume at 2:00 in  |
| L 6 | the afternoon on Tuesday September 3rd, 2024,         |
| L 7 | continuing as necessary until its conclusion.         |
| L 8 | In response to a motion filed on April 16th,          |
| L 9 | 2024, the public notice requirement for NCEMC was     |
| 20  | amended pursuant to an Order issued on April 17th,    |
| 21  | 2024 by the Commission.                               |
| 22  | On June 6th, 2024, DEC filed its Affidavits           |
| 23  | of Publication confirming that public notice was      |
| 24  | provided in accordance with the requirements of the   |

initial Scheduling Order.

On June 10th, 2024, NCEMC filed its

Affidavits of Publication confirming that public notice was provided in accordance with the requirements of the April 10th and the April 17th Scheduling Orders.

The Public Staff, which represents the Using and Consuming Public, has been made a party to this proceeding in accordance with North Carolina General Statute § 62-15(d). The Public Staff represents the Using and Consuming Public and is in the process of conducting an independent investigation of the Application and will file its testimony setting forth its recommendations to the Commission on June 20th, 2024.

In addition, the following parties have petitioned to and been allowed to intervene in this proceeding: The Carolina Industrial Group for Fair Utility Rates II; the Carolina Utility Customers Association, Incorporated; the Environmental Defense Fund; and the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, Sierra Club, and Natural Resources Defense Council.

That brings us to tonight.

The purpose of tonight's hearing is to hear

from DEP's and NCEMC's customers regarding their concerns with the pending Application. Each public witness that has registered in advance with instructions in the Commission's Scheduling Order will be given the opportunity to under oath should he or she wish to do so.

In order to facilitate this remote hearing, we'll use the following procedures: In conducting this hearing, the Commission functions in a quasi judicial capacity. We will follow for the most part the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and Rules of Evidence. Because the Commission is functioning as a court, I cannot respond to your questions, rather we are here to receive evidence from you-all in the form of your testimony. In addition, for these reasons, if you choose to testify tonight, you will be asked to affirm the truthfulness of your testimony.

Public witnesses will appear by audio connection only. Commissioners and counsel for the parties will appear by video and audio connection.

Any public witness that wishes to view a live video of the proceeding may access it by way of YouTube, which is linked from the Commission's homepage; however, be sure to mute your computer when you're called to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

testify to avoid feedback. This hearing is being transcribed by our court reporter and it's critical that we limit all interference with her ability to hear us and those of you who wish to testify.

Public witnesses will be called on in the -to testify in the order in which they have called in. When it's your turn to speak, the Webex administrator will send you a request to unmute. You will hear the message "You are being asked to unmute yourself. unmute, press \*6." It's important that you listen for this message. Once you unmute yourself, please state your name to signify that you've been unmuted. that time, I will ask you to provide an affirmation as to the truthfulness of your testimony and, once you have provided that affirmation, the attorney for the Public Staff will ask you a series of questions and then you will be allowed to testify. To ensure that all witnesses have an opportunity to participate tonight, witnesses will be limited to three minutes to testify.

Counsel for any party may ask questions of the witnesses and, in addition, the Commission will have an opportunity to ask questions. This means that if you provide testimony, you may be asked questions

2.3

| by attorneys or by Commissioners. However, just as a | а |
|------------------------------------------------------|---|
| quick reminder, the Commission and the attorneys for |   |
| the parties are not allowed to respond to your       |   |
| questions during this hearing tonight.               |   |

With that, we will begin. I apologize to those of you that we have kept waiting for your opportunity to testify.

I ask now that counsel identify themselves for the record, and we will begin with the Applicants.

Commissioners, Jason Higginbotham appearing on behalf of Duke Energy Progress.

MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: Good evening,

MR. DODGE: Good evening, Chair Mitchell,
Members of the Commission, I'm Tim Dodge appearing on
behalf of North Carolina Electric Membership
Corporation.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Public Staff?

MR. FREEMAN: Good evening. Thank you for being here. I am Will Freeman with the Public Staff. And just as a quick note, the Public Staff is here representing, as the Chair said, all the members of the Using and Consuming Public in this matter before the North Carolina Utilities Commission, and we have been reviewing the Joint Application that the Chair

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

described. We have a team of engineers, accountants economists, and attorneys analyzing the Joint Application. And, as the Chair said, we will be filing on June 20th publicly our position, testimony and exhibits. That will be available for your review online.

The purpose of this hearing tonight is to hear from customers like you. Your voice and perspective are important. Each of you who indicated that you wanted to make a statement will be called on. If you are called on but no longer wish to make a statement, please say that and we will move on to the next witness. After you've been unmuted and sworn, I will ask your name, the spelling of your name, your address, and your electric provider, and then I will ask you to give your evidence and statement to the Commission. Your words will be made a part of the official record of this proceeding, so please speak slowly and carefully so that your information can be taken down accurately. We appreciate all of you attending this public hearing and thank you for your patience. If, in the course of the hearing, you do have any technical questions, you can ask me. We have engineers attending who may be able to get an answer

## E-2 Sub 1318 and EC-67 Sub 55 Volume 1

```
1
    quickly, otherwise, we can follow up after this
 2
    hearing with email. Thank you.
 3
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Freeman.
    Let me check in with the Applicants to see if they
 4
 5
    have any remarks to make before we get started this
    evening. Mr. Higginbotham?
 7
              MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: None on behalf of Duke
 8
    Energy Progress. Thank you, Chair Mitchell.
 9
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Dodge?
10
              MR. DODGE: None on behalf of NCEMC tonight.
11
    Thank you, Chair Mitchell.
12
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Well, with that,
13
    let's go ahead and get started.
              Mr. McCoy, would you please unmute the first
14
15
    witness?
16
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Chair Mitchell, I'm
17
    here.
18
              CHAIR MITCHELL: All right, Commissioner
19
    Tucker, we hear you.
20
              Mr. McCoy?
21
                            (Pause)
22
              Have we got a witness that's ready to
23
    testify?
24
              MR. WENNBERG: This is Jeff Wennberg.
```

| 1  |      | JEFFREY WENNBERG;                                |
|----|------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 2  |      | having been duly affirmed,                       |
| 3  |      | testified as follows:                            |
| 4  |      | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, take it away.       |
| 5  |      | MR. FREEMAN: Thank you.                          |
| 6  | DIRE | CT EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN:                   |
| 7  | Q    | Mr. Wennberg, would you please spell your name,  |
| 8  |      | provide your address, and then tell us who your  |
| 9  |      | electric provider is?                            |
| 10 | А    | Yes. My name is Jeffrey Wennberg. J-E-F-R-E-Y    |
| 11 |      | W-E-N-N-B-E-R-G. I reside at 7015 Cedar Road in  |
| 12 |      | Sanford. And my electric utility is Duke Energy  |
| 13 |      | Progress.                                        |
| 14 | Q    | Thank you. If you would please share with us     |
| 15 |      | your testimony.                                  |
| 16 | A    | Thank you very much. And I want to thank the     |
| 17 |      | Utilities Commission for providing this          |
| 18 |      | opportunity to testify. I hold a Bachelor's      |
| 19 |      | degree in Physics and a Masters in Industrial    |
| 20 |      | Management. My pertinent past employment         |
| 21 |      | includes Commissioner of the Department of       |
| 22 |      | Environmental Conservation for the State of      |
| 23 |      | Vermont and Senior Project Manager at the Center |
| 24 |      | for Climate Strategies in Washington, DC.        |

I encourage the Commission's support of Duke Energy Progress' Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct a 1360-megawatt natural gas combined cycle electric generating facility in Person County. I support this proposal because it will achieve significant GHD reductions faster than any alternative. It will enhance North Carolina's grid stability and resilience and it will do so at the lowest cost to the ratepayers.

The U.S. power sector emissions dropped from 41 percent between 2007 and 2023, while electricity demand and production increased by 6 percent. Coal-fired generation dropped from 50 percent to 17 percent nationally, while wind and solar generation increased from 1 to 15 percent. At the same time, natural gas increased from 19 percent to 42 percent. This dramatic reduction in emissions was largely the result of fuel switching to natural gas.

Duke Energy Progress proposes to replace two coal units with two advanced natural gas-fired units at the same site by the end of the decade.

Wind and solar must be sited where the energy

Jul 12 202

resource is economically viable requiring new transmission lines and related facilities. Even if these energy resource locations were identified today, a notion that 1.36 gigawatts of new wind and solar generation could be available to the grid within six years is unrealistic.

Finally, contrary to the advertising campaign being waged against this proposal, renewable generation is not less expensive than natural gas with the ratepayers. The 10 states with the highest percentage of non-hydro renewable generation have average electric rates, higher than the U.S. average, and substantially higher than North Carolina. Specifically, the top 10 renewable states' average residential rate is 23 percent higher than North Carolina, commercial rates are 28 percent higher, and industrial rates are 34 percent higher. This is consistent with my prior work with the Center for Climate Strategy.

In most cases, the renewable portfolio standard proposal cost jobs, reduce disposable income and depress the jurisdiction's domestic product. At the national level, the RPS was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

projected to result in a net 10-year loss of 58,600 jobs and 35.5 billion in gross domestic products. By contrast, the clean energy standard, which limits emissions as opposed to mandating specific generation technologies, resulted in employment income and GSP growth. North Carolina has neither an RPS nor a CES, but the approved Carbon Plan, which by the way this proposal from Duke Energy Progress is consistent with, the approved Carbon Plan functions essentially as a clean energy standard. The goal should be to reduce GHG emissions, not favor or mandate a particular technology or industry. Duke Energy's proposal fully supports that and will do so without jeopardizing grid reliability and at an affordable cost to the ratepayers, and much more quickly than any alternative could achieve.

Again, I thank you for the opportunity.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Wennberg.

Let me see if there are questions for you from counsel for any of the parties.

MR. FREEMAN: No questions.

MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: No questions.

| 1  | CHAIR MITCHELL: Questions from                       |
|----|------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | Commissioners?                                       |
|    |                                                      |
| 3  | (No response)                                        |
| 4  | Mr. Wennberg, there are no questions for you         |
| 5  | tonight. We appreciate you being here with us. Thank |
| 6  | you for your testimony.                              |
| 7  | MR. WENNBERG: Thank you very much for the            |
| 8  | opportunity.                                         |
| 9  | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, Let's move on to          |
| 10 | the next witness, please.                            |
| 11 | MR. MCCOY: Caller, are you there?                    |
| 12 | MR. HELSEL: Yes. This is Adam Helsel. Can            |
| 13 | y'all hear me?                                       |
| 14 | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Helsel, we can hear              |
| 15 | you.                                                 |
| 16 | ADAM HELSEL;                                         |
| 17 | having been duly affirmed,                           |
| 18 | testified as follows:                                |
| 19 | CHAIR MITCHELL: You may proceed,                     |
| 20 | Mr. Freeman.                                         |
| 21 | MR. FREEMAN: Thank you.                              |
| 22 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN:                   |
| 23 |                                                      |
|    |                                                      |
| 24 | and provide your address, and tell us your           |

- 1 electric service provider.
  - A Yes. Sorry. The Webex overdid that -- was talking while you were talking, but if I remember correctly. My name is Adam Helsel, A-D-A-M H-E-L-S-E-L. I live at 2413 Lake Wheeler Road in Raleigh, North Carolina. My electric service provider is Duke Energy Progress.
  - Q Thank you for that. If you could please provide us with your testimony.
  - A Yes. I just wanted to speak in favor of the project. So I agree completely with what the first speaker said. And I have a background in chemical engineering and material science engineering. I have my PE license in chemical engineering. And while I cannot speak for my company, I am the ESG representative for my company, and we believe in what President Obama's -- it's not an official statement from my employer and I'm not mentioning my employer's name, but we believe in what the Blue Ribbon Energy Commission said. Again, that is all of the above strategy, greenhouse gas reduction; utilizing a combined cycle plant is an excellent stopgap measure until we can move on to more

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reliable sources like nuclear energy or develop battery storage to support renewables. But in my opinion as an engineer in the current time frame that we are looking at, this is probably the best option because it gets us to greenhouse gas reduction quicker and it provides a substantial greenhouse gas reduction.

So, I would just like to advocate for this project and I would also like to say if there are decenters to this, there is a mechanism for supporting renewable energy in North Carolina that I actually personally subscribe to. called NC Green Power. So I actually purchase blocks of renewable energy. So that is a capitalist way of moving the pendulum to that And people are more than welcome to sign up for that if they want to support renewable energy. And if that has not taken significant hold, then we are being told by the market that solutions like this are probably going to be the best option until we can build out nuclear and other advanced energy options. So, that's all I have to say about that.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Helsel, let me see if

| 1  | there are questions for you from counsel.         |
|----|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | MR. FREEMAN: No questions.                        |
| 3  | CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioners, any                |
| 4  | questions?                                        |
| 5  | (No response)                                     |
| 6  | Mr. Helsel, we appreciate your being here         |
| 7  | with us tonight. Thank you very much for your     |
| 8  | testimony, sir.                                   |
| 9  | MR. HELSEL: Thank you for your time.              |
| 10 | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may unmute         |
| 11 | the next witness.                                 |
| 12 | MS. PATERSON: Hello.                              |
| 13 | CHAIR MITCHELL: Would you let us know your        |
| 14 | name, please, ma'am?                              |
| 15 | MS. PATERSON: This is Sharon Paterson.            |
| 16 | CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Paterson.                     |
| 17 | SHARON PATERSON;                                  |
| 18 | having been duly affirmed,                        |
| 19 | testified as follows:                             |
| 20 | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, you may take         |
| 21 | it away.                                          |
| 22 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN:                |
| 23 | Q Ms. Paterson, would you please spell your name, |
| 24 | let us know your address, and tell us your        |

electric provider?

- A My name is spelled S-H-A-R-O-N P-A-T-E-R-S-O-N.

  My address is 2084 Weston Green Loop in Cary,

  North Carolina, and my electric provider is Duke

  Energy.
- Q Thank you. If you could please tell us yourtestimony.
  - A Certainly. As I said, my name is Sharon Paterson and I'm a residents of Cary, North Carolina, a customer of Duke Energy, and I'm also a Director at MIQ, a nonprofit whose name MIQ is shorthand for Methane Intelligence.

I want to thank you very much for this opportunity to speak with you this evening. I assume that some of the testimony you may hear will be from environmentalists who would prefer that Duke Energy be building more renewable power instead of more fossil fuel-powered electric generation. But while I'm not here to speak about the choice between renewable versus fossil fuel, I am here to talk about how to implement fossil fuel plants in a way that keeps greenhouse gas emissions to a minimum.

I would like to tell you about an

opportunity that Duke has to operate their new gas-fired power plant, should it be approved, in a very environmentally friendly way. MIQ is a certifying body that provides performance standards for the natural gas supply chain and ends up lowering methane emissions. To date, we have certified over 20 percent of the natural gas production in the United States. Natural gas that is certified to 0.05 percent methane intensity, also known as Grade A gas, in the production sector of the gas supply chain is plentiful and easily available in our state. This figure, 0.05 percent is 95 percent lower than the national average.

What that means is this: A 1360-megawatt combined cycle gas turbine plant, such as what Duke and the joint -- their partners are proposing operating at an assumed 60 percent efficiency, will first roughly 67,749,000 MMBtu of gas per year. If that gas were certified Grade A gas or 0.05 percent methane intensity, it would reduce the atmospheric methane by over 11,200 metric tons compared with uncertified gas. This is the equivalent of about 207,700

gasoline-powered cars driven for one year. North Carolina has nearly 3.3 million cars registered, so it would be like taking 16 percent of all the cars off the road in North Carolina each year. You might think that kind of significant methane reduction could be expensive, but at current premiums for certified gas, the cost per household would only be about six cents per month. And that's over and above any methane reductions that would be achieved by switching from coal to gas generation.

As a resident of North Carolina, I would like to see our state pursue a rapid reduction in greenhouse gases. From my personal point of view, I would recommend that the approval of the proposed gas plant be conditional on Duke supplying the facility with only certified gas to assure that the greenhouse gas is associated with the life cycle of the facility be as low as possible.

I invite you to contact me for any further information about certified gas and I thank you very much for this opportunity to speak to you today.

```
1
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Paterson.
 2
    Let me see if there are questions for you from the
 3
    counsel for the parties.
 4
               MR. FREEMAN: (Shakes head no).
 5
               MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: (Shakes head no).
               MR. DODGE: (Shakes head no).
 7
              CHAIR MITCHELL: There are no questions from
 8
    counsel. Commissioners, any questions?
 9
                          (No response)
10
              Ms. Paterson, we thank you very much for
11
    your testimony tonight. Appreciate your time.
12
              MS. PATERSON: Thank you.
13
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, next witness,
14
    please.
15
               MR. WELLS: Hi. This is Sean Wells.
16
                          SEAN WELLS;
17
                   having been duly affirmed,
18
                     testified as follows:
19
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, you may take
20
    it away.
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN:
21
22
         Sean Wells, if you could please spell your name,
23
         give us your address, and then tell us your
24
         electric provider.
```

- A Sure thing. My name is Sean Wells, spelled S-E-A-N W-E-L-L-S. My address is 8908 Freewynn Way (spelling uncertain), in Raleigh, North Carolina 27613. My provider is Duke Energy Progress.
- Q Thank you. If you could please share your testimony with us.
  - A Sure thing. So, in general, I guess I'm not super familiar with how these gas-fired turbine generators work. I'm not really that sort of engineer, rather I'm a major in computer science and I work in the financial industry. So, although I can't really give financial advice, you know, I can give a different perspective instead.

I know going over some of the information over the past few months, since this recent proposal, it started to make me believe that this might be a short-term solution that's masquerading as a long-term solution. You know, in the financial services industry, it's really important to be planning long-term and trying to find the best solution for retirement. And this proposal here, it assumes that the technology is

able to materialize by 2050, it assumes that a market is going to materialize, and it assumes that it's going to be economical and cost-effective.

And again, in my line of work, these many assumptions will cause a loss of billions of dollars. I think, if I recall, I saw a statistic that said that Duke would be able to convert 3 percent of the hydrogen gas by 2035 and would be able to hit a 100 percent by 2050, and I really feel like that is a bold prediction. I feel like it is possible but again it's an assumption that has not been fully proven out yet.

I would like the Commissioners and the Board to please reconsider and reevaluate some of this information. Thank you for your time.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Wells, let me see in there are questions for you from counsel.

(No response)

No questions. Questions from the Commissioners?

(No response)

Not hearing any questions. Mr. Wells, we

```
Jul 12 2024
```

```
1
    appreciate your time tonight. Thank you for being
 2
    with us.
 3
              Mr. McCoy, next witness, please.
 4
               MR. LEGERTON: Yes, I'm here.
 5
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Would you let us know your
 6
    name, please, sir?
 7
               MR. LEGERTON: Spell it?
 8
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Tell us --
 9
               MR. LEGERTON: Did you say spell it?
10
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Tell us your name, please.
11
               MR. LEGERTON: It's Reverend Mac Legerton.
    M-A-C --
12
13
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Legerton.
14
               MR. LEGERTON: L-E-G-E-R-T-O-N.
15
                         MAC LEGERTON;
16
                   having been duly affirmed,
17
                     testified as follows:
18
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, you may take
19
    it away.
20
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN:
21
         Reverend, if you could please spell your name,
    Q
22
         tell us your address, and tell us your electric
23
         provider.
24
         It's M-A-C, last name L-E-G-E-R-T-O-N.
                                                  My
```

- address is P.O. Box 9 in Pembroke, North Carolina. My provider is Lumbee River EMC.
- Q If you could please share your testimony with us.
- A Yes. I'm opposed to the granting of a
  Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity
  for the methane gas-powered facility in Person
  County. I'm opposed because it is neither
  convenient nor necessity for the public in order
  to meet the increasing demand for electricity in
  our state.

If Duke Energy would rapidly shift to clean renewable energy, there are ways to do so that will make renewable energy much cheaper than methane gas and nuclear production. Making it cheaper is a matter of will, not skill. Methane gas and nuclear energy are highly expensive, unclean, dangerous, and harmful sources of electricity. What is a public convenience and necessity is to shift as rapidly as possible to clean renewable energy sources.

Methane gas mistakenly called natural gas is on its way out of Commission in a very short while for the same reasons that coal is now on its way out. The utility companies know this but

they want to construct as many methane gas and nuclear facilities at a high cost to ratepayers before the public wakes up and realizes that clean renewable energy can be cheaper, and is more reliable, more abundant, more economically sustainable, cleaner, safer, and less harmful. It will be community states and nations that make this rapid shift. It will be the most economically secure and independent from the rising costs and harms caused by the continued use, much less the expansion of methane gas and nuclear energy production.

It is the responsibility of the North
Carolina Utilities Commission to fully inform
ratepayers of the impacts of all proposed
approaches to energy production. NCUC needs to
require Duke Energy to inform the public of where
all of its proposed methane gas and nuclear
facilities are projected to be sited, and their
full economic and social cost to ratepayers are
documented. Then, and only then, will the public
know and feel the full impact of this tragedy and
travesty of the unnecessary economic human,
environmental, and climate costs of what is being

| 1  | planned as our future in North Carolina. We need       |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | to be fully informed right now and not in the          |
| 3  | piecemeal fashion of what these unnecessary            |
| 4  | projects are being rolled out for Duke Energy's        |
| 5  | benefit and at the expense of all of us in the         |
| 6  | public. Thank you.                                     |
| 7  | CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Legerton.               |
| 8  | Let me see if there are questions for you from counsel |
| 9  | for the parties.                                       |
| 10 | MR. FREEMAN: No questions.                             |
| 11 | MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: No questions.                        |
| 12 | MR. DODGE: No questions.                               |
| 13 | CHAIR MITCHELL: Questions from                         |
| 14 | Commissioners?                                         |
| 15 | (No response)                                          |
| 16 | There are no questions from Commissioners.             |
| 17 | So Mr. Legerton, we appreciate your coming out tonight |
| 18 | and your providing testimony to us.                    |
| 19 | MR. LEGERTON: Thank you.                               |
| 20 | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may unmute              |
| 21 | the next witness, please.                              |
| 22 | MR. ROLLINS: Yes I'm Alonzo Rollins.                   |
| 23 | ALONZO ROLLINS;                                        |
| 24 | having been duly affirmed,                             |

| 1  |      | testified as follows:                             |
|----|------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2  |      | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman.                      |
| 3  | DIRE | CT EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN:                    |
| 4  | Q    | If you could please spell your name, give us your |
| 5  |      | address, and tell us your electric service        |
| 6  |      | provider.                                         |
| 7  | А    | Alonzo Rollins, 110 Leona Avenue, and my provider |
| 8  |      | is Duke Energy.                                   |
| 9  | Q    | And could you please spell your name for us?      |
| 10 | А    | A-L-O-Z-O R-O-L-I-N-S.                            |
| 11 | Q    | Thank you. If you could please provide your       |
| 12 |      | testimony.                                        |
| 13 | А    | Yes. You know, I'm here speaking this evening     |
| 14 |      | I actually also have a reside up in a community   |
| 15 |      | by the name of Stovall, North Carolina, which is  |
| 16 |      | a neighboring county to Person County. You know   |
| 17 |      | I, myself, am speaking this evening with the      |

company profit down here in Cumberland County.

And for right now, I stated as a victim with this PFAS chemical. Not actually a GenX do we have an affect by, but our groundwater did wound up being affected by a PFAS chemical. And I never thought that, you know, we would actually have to be a

concern of actually having been a victim of big

part of anything like that. I heard about GenX years ago when it first came out in the news and I just didn't think we would be a part of it but we wound up being a part of it.

And so I called in this evening, you know, not really knowing a whole lot about the burning of natural gas to turn turbines to generate electricity. I did come across information as to where, just second to burning coal with the production of coal ash, does the burning of natural gas fall second. This is information that I came across just really trying to Google information to understand the burning of natural gas to turn turbines to producing electricity.

So, you know, I definitely hope, and I do appreciate this evening, actually, you know, getting this flyer in the mail that actually alerted me to this conversion of coal ash plants up in Person County now being -- trying to convert to burning natural gas to produce electricity. I mean, you know when it came to the awakening of us being affected by PFAS here in North Carolina, down in Cumberland County, I never got anything from anybody in the mail that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we possibly could be affected. It just happened to be word of mouth, you know, that I actually came across this awakening of us actually being affected by this -- this PFAS, this chemical, you know, all behind, you know, the profit of the big company profits.

It was just really, really, really a lot to deal with it because right now, you know, it's a natural resource that we've lost.

- Mr. Ross, if we could -- I understand the PFAS, but just to -- if you can speak a little more on the topic of the Person County, Roxboro, new power plant, if you don't mind.
- So now, like I say, I actually have a reside up around the community of Stovall, North Carolina, which is neighboring to Person County. So I do have this concern, you know. And I hope to be continually informed, you know, to try to best understand what it is that I now may be affected by, you know, with being a neighbor to this conversion of coal ash burning facilities to natural gas burning facilities. Because, you know, this is an opportunity for me up in that reside of -- or that community of Stovall that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm really hoping is the location to be able to breathe some clean air and drink some clean water you know and right now, you know, this is what I could possibly be faced with. But like I say, I'm really trying to have an opportunity to best understand what you're doing here with burning this natural gas in order to turn turbines.

So, you know, I really hope that -- like I said, I got this flyer from Duke Energy. I really hope that as this goes on that you can continue to try to reach out to persons to have them to be aware of what it is that's going on around them, to try and best understand what's being done, because you know, to be backed in a corner again, to find out that you're literally being a victim, you know of the environment like this here is just not a good feeling. It's just not a good feeling. So I hope that everybody can really understand where it is that I'm coming from this evening here and my concern of having received this information from Duke Energy about these conversions of coal ash plants. Because I now only look to do business burning natural gas which is only -- like I say, which I've got an

| 1   | understanding is only second to the burning of      |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2   | coal to produce this electricity.                   |
| 3   | Q Thank you, Mr. Rollins.                           |
| 4   | A So I thank you.                                   |
| 5   | Q Thank you very much.                              |
| 6   | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Rollins, we appreciate          |
| 7   | your comments tonight. Let me see if there are      |
| 8   | questions for you from counsel.                     |
| 9   | Counsel, any questions for the witness?             |
| LO  | (No response)                                       |
| L1  | Commissioners, questions for the witness?           |
| L2  | (No response)                                       |
| L3  | All right, Mr. Rollins, there are no                |
| L 4 | questions for you tonight. We appreciate your being |
| L 5 | here with us. Thank you for your testimony.         |
| L 6 | MR. ROLLINS: Thank you.                             |
| L 7 | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, please unmute            |
| L 8 | the next witness.                                   |
| L 9 | MS. CARTER: This is Michelle Carter.                |
| 20  | MICHELLE CARTER;                                    |
| 21  | having been duly affirmed,                          |
| 22  | testified as follows:                               |
| 23  | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman.                        |
| 24  | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FREEMAN:                  |

- Q Michelle Carter, could you please spell your name, give us your address, and tell us your electric provider? Thank you.
- A Yes. My name is Michelle, spelled

  M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E. Last name is Carter, spelled

  C-A-R-T-E-R. My address is 112 Snow, S-N-O-W

  Crest C-R-E-S-T Trail T-R-A-I-L, and that's in

  Durham, North Carolina, zip code 27707, and I am

  a Duke Energy customer.
- Q Thank you very much. If you could please share your testimony with us.
- A Thank you so much. And thank you to the
  Utilities Commission for allowing me back to
  speak today.

My name is Michelle Carter and I'm the Clean Energy Campaign Director at the North Carolina League of Conservation Voters. I'm speaking today to oppose the proposed methane gas plant in Person County, North Carolina.

Growing up in southern California, I have early memories of the oil and gas industry's activities throughout my home state. Long Beach, California is an hour from rural home town and it's home to one of the largest domestic oil

fields in the continental United States.

Abandoned oil wells litter parking lots and green spaces directly harming the communities like mine living in these areas. Long Beach suffers from water and air contamination, abandoned infrastructure, and continual gas leaks from these forsaken wells.

While North Carolina is not a production state, Duke and Dominion Energy are proposing one of the largest gas infrastructure buildup in the entire United States. Between methane gas pipelines, gas storage facilities, and gas combustion plants, proposals for new gas, transportation, and combustion will bring the same pollution and harm to North Carolinians that I have seen my entire life.

Duke Energy's proposal to construct a gas

plant where a coal plant has released pollutants

for decades is irresponsible and expensive.

Studies have shown that 99 percent of new gas

plants are more expensive than constructing

renewables in these same areas. Furthermore, EPA

Section 111 rules will double the cost per

megawatt of these gas plants forcing ratepayers

into unwanted monthly costs. Methane gas is not the least cost solution but instead provides more profit for Duke Energy shareholders.

Our state has already dealt with the legacy of coal ash for decades and will now be faced with the consequences of pipeline leakage. There is a gas leak from a methane pipeline once every 40 hours on average in the United States. And there are zero pipelines that have never leaked. Methane gas is colorless and odorless, further exposing residents in the nearby area to unknown harm.

As a working professional in the energy sector, I do understand the existing transmission infrastructure to keep costs down and to reduce the time that new generation needs to be interconnected to the grid. However, I question why solar and battery storage cannot be built here and use that infrastructure instead providing a reprieve for residents from that pollution and increasing the number of sustainable jobs for their residents of Person County.

North Carolina is the number one state for

rural clean energy jobs in the nation. By
refusing to site renewable energy here, the
Utilities Commission will submit Person County
into additional decades of the reliance on fossil
fuel economy and the negative health impacts that
come with it. Between tax credits and point of
sale rebates, the Inflation Reduction Act offers
our state the best possible chance to cut our
dependence on fossil fuels. Methane gas is not a
bridge fuel to a clean energy economy when the
technology we need is available now and it's more
affordable than ever.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

And I once again urge the Commission to deny this application.

CHAIR MITCHELL: All right, Ms. Carter. Let me see if there are questions for you from counsel for the parties.

MR. FREEMAN: No questions.

MR. DODGE: No questions.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Questions from

Commissioners?

(No response)

Ms. Carter, there are no questions for you

| 1  | tonight. We appreciate your testimony. Thank you for |
|----|------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | being with us.                                       |
| 3  | MS. CARTER: Thank you.                               |
| 4  | CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, if you would              |
| 5  | unmute the next witness, please, sir.                |
| 6  | MR. MCCOY: That is all of the callers.               |
| 7  | CHAIR MITCHELL: Well, it looks like we have          |
| 8  | come to the end of our list of registrants,          |
| 9  | participants this evening. With that, we will be     |
| 10 | adjourned. Thank you very much, everybody.           |
| 11 | (The proceedings were adjourned)                     |
| 12 |                                                      |
| 13 |                                                      |
| 14 |                                                      |
| 15 |                                                      |
| 16 |                                                      |
| 17 |                                                      |
| 18 |                                                      |
| 19 |                                                      |
| 20 |                                                      |
| 21 |                                                      |
| 22 |                                                      |
| 23 |                                                      |
| 24 |                                                      |

## 1 CERTIFICATE

I, KIM T. MITCHELL, do hereby certify that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

9 Kim T. Mitchell
Kim T. Mitchell

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION