

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Robert Arey  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:38 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Arey

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Robert Arey

### Email

robertarey@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I believe that any changes that make the expansion of solar energy more difficult is bad for our state and our environment. Any solar energy installed by someone other than Duke Energy saves Duke capital investments and maintenance, and should be promoted and rewarded accordingly. The idea that Duke would want to disincentivize solar installations and operation is suspicious.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Susan Sullivan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:38 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Susan Sullivan

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Susan Sullivan

### Email

sksullivan24@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. To truly help our climate we need to encourage more solar and not put barriers in the way and make it more costly for people who go solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Maranda Tilley  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:38 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Maranda Tilley

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Maranda Tilley

### Email

mtilley51087@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Good afternoon! I am writing to ask you to please Rehect this new proposal from Duke Energy! And also why we are at it let's make Central Electric pay their solar customers properly! We Solar customers are fighting to help our country and community!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Kailash Rathi  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:38 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kailash Rathi

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kailash Rathi

### Email

kailashrathi@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. This will take our state backwards in our progress towards reducing usage of fossil fuels, reducing emissions. Totally unacceptable to allow Duke to make changes to the game at this time. It is a public utility and hence profits should not be on its forefront. Our environment is important and homeowners like me have spent 10s of thousands of \$ to get Solar just to help the environment even though economically it does not make sense in ROI perspective. Please reject Duke proposal and lets expand use of clean energy in NC and make us a beacon for whole of US.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** scott davis  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by scott davis

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

scott davis

### Email

sdavis9517@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

duke energy, one of the largest profiteers of energy in the country, is again trying to railroad the consumer into we just invested \$30K in solar, to help others in the energy community benefit from lower costs, and to reduce our dependence on dukes overpriced energy supply. Now Duke proposes to take this choice away from us as consumers? why continue to allow DUKE to be a monopoly and control all pricing for everyone now and in the future? Duke want to change compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents). that makes solar invest impractical, and allows duke to profit from my individual investment, while penalizing me, and other solar consumers/investors, for the investment I've made in my home and energy production.?? Duke shareholds should bear the cost for dukes expansion, instead of the consumers. • NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. WE Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. • Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. • Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. • The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. • Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: • higher fixed monthly fees • time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand • Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on the signed/agreed upon net metering plan for the life of our system.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Carl Franklin  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Carl Franklin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Carl Franklin

### Email

carljean1@bellsouth.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please keep Duke net metering pledge the same. This will encourage the rooftop solar installation. Thanks, Carl Franklin  
Black Mountain NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Tho  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Tho

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Tho

### Email

tpatcampbell@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to urge the NCUC to conduct a full cost-benefit analysis before allowing Duke Energy to adopt new metering rules which may stifle investment in rooftop solar panels, in accordance with NC House Bill 589. It is unfortunate that our utilities are largely provided by monopolies, and more unfortunately still that our government so often bends to their will as they steal our future and sell it back to us for a profit. Make sure that you aren't complicit in this theft. Make sure that the proposed changes aren't going to hurt our State's citizens, and the future of my children.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Jake Feltenberger  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:37 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jake Feltenberger

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jake Feltenberger

### Email

jfeltenberger77@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am completely against the proposed NEM changes Duke recommended to the NCUC. I have been in sales for quite some time and what you will be creating by passing these rules is a buyer beware scenario. You need to lock the NEM rules that were in place when the interconnection agreements were signed unless they improve for your NC residents. Otherwise those that have solar will tell those that are seeking solar to be careful as the rules and paybacks can change it seems whenever it seems advisable by Duke. Buyer beware scenarios slow down the process of the sale. Do you really want to slow down the growth of solar? We need renewables now more than ever, especially with the oncoming surge of EV vehicles and thousand of people moving into the Southeast USA. We will have supply and demand issues that are going to have a harsh impact on utility rates and possibly cause longer then necessary black/brown outs. With a strong distributed energy grid we can keep businesses operating as they're supposed to be maintaining the tax revenues I'm certain the state desires. Strong solar also means strong job growth, local jobs, quality jobs, sustainable jobs for the long future. Sincerely, Jake Feltenberger - Asheville, NC



**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Jonathan Blitz  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:36 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jonathan Blitz

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jonathan Blitz

### Email

blitz@barristerandbrewer.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We have over \$100,000 invested in our solar installation. We made this investment predicated on a 20 year system operational window with net metering. It is fundamentally unfair to destroy the value of our installation. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers like us should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. To do otherwise deprives is of our investment backed expectations in violation of the 5th Amendment to the US Constitution and similar NC Constitutional rights.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Douglas Gager  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:36 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Douglas Gager

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Douglas Gager

### **Email**

dwgrooster@msn.com

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

I oppose the proposed change change to the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers\*\* in a way that would reduce the amount we are paid for the excess solar energy you share with the grid.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Novella Frieslander  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:36 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Novella Frieslander

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Novella Frieslander

### Email

ncasro2@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We had our solar panels and batteries installed earlier this year. With the cry for clean energy and the potential savings, we felt it was a good investment. I am appalled that Duke Energy would try to profit from us. It's only fair, kilowatt per kilowatt, even exchange. We give to them helping them, we should receive the same back, even exchange. Please do not allow them to change the rules for net metering. This is wrong in so many ways.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Anand Babu Perumbala  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:36 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Anand Babu Perumbala

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Anand Babu Perumbala

### **Email**

abperumbala@gmail.com

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

I request the Governor Cooper of NC to reject the proposal from Duke in changes to Net Metering.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Chris Vrikkis  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:35 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Chris Vrikkis

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Chris Vrikkis

### Email

Chris.vrikkis@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal. Changes to Net-Metering will harm consumers, the environment, and investment.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Jon LaScala  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:35 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jon LaScala

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jon LaScala

### Email

jon.lascala@me.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

As a proud owner of rooftop solar, helping to turn North Carolina's energy landscape green and save money. I'm writing to implore you to protect North Carolina and our privately owned solar arrays from Duke Energy. The Net Energy Metering docket presently before the North Carolina Utilities Commission would increase solar customers' monthly fixed costs and decrease compensation for excess solar energy exported to the grid. I made a decision to invest in solar energy based on the rules in place at the time. Duke Energy should not be allowed to retroactively change the value of those private investments. Rooftop arrays are one of the few sources of energy in North Carolina not controlled by a monopoly utility. Duke Energy does not want to compete with rooftop solar, which is clean, affordable energy. Duke Energy prefers building costly fossil-gas plants. We need to make rooftop solar more attractive to homeowners, increase access for low-income families and save all ratepayers money on their bills. Please stop Duke Energy from taking North Carolina backwards. Rooftop solar must be a formidable part of North Carolina's goal of reducing carbon dioxide in the electricity sector 70 percent by 2030. Instead, Duke would have us spend our money to import fossil fuels. From 2017 through 2021, Duke Energy customers paid over \$10 billion for coal and fossil gas. That money came out of ratepayers' pockets and went right out across state lines. And the fossil fuel bills for 2022 will be much higher. Attorney General Josh Stein has requested that the Net Energy Metering docket be delayed until a Carbon Plan has been approved. I agree. Although rooftop solar has the potential to provide 35 percent of North Carolina's electricity, it is barely mentioned in Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan. Let's use local North Carolina energy. We are in a climate emergency. This is no time to put the brakes on rooftop solar. Please help protect the value of private investments and ensure that North Carolina meets the challenge, for us and generations to come.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Jhana P Jackson  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:35 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jhana P Jackson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jhana P Jackson

### Email

Jj101073@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I do not want any changes to my solar agreement with duke energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Tara Powell  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Tara Powell

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Tara Powell

### **Email**

tara.powell88@gmail.com

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

Please reject this proposal to allow Duke Energy to reduce the amount they pay for excess solar energy that we share with the grid. Now is a time to look for alternative energy solutions and solar is one of them. Don't let Duke Energy profit more than they already are



**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Sean L Murphy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sean L Murphy

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sean L Murphy

### Email

slmurphy99@aol.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I retired from the AF after more than 40 years honorable service and moved to Wilmington NC. I put expensive solar panels on my roof expecting a certain output and offset from Duke energy. It will take me 15-20 years to get my return on investment and I understand that Duke energy is trying to change the rules. I understand that NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I am asking that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. There are many negative consequences in changing the rules for us who have already invested a lot of money in solar (personally \$26K). Some are: Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. I also understand that depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: -higher fixed monthly fees -time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand -compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) The bottom line is that Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you, Maj Gen (ret) Sean L Murphy

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Arthur Gause  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Arthur Gause

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Arthur Gause

### Email

highlandersho@hotmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To the Commission, As an NC home owner and solar customer, I have the following points of concern. \*NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I ask that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. \*Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. \*Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. \*The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. \*Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: - higher fixed monthly fees - time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand - compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) For these reasons, Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you for your consideration.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Pomeroy Smith  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Pomeroy Smith

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Pomeroy Smith

### Email

pom.smith@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please vote to reject the rate change proposal submitted by Duke Energy to reduce payments for excess solar energy generated by my solar panels.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Guy Tufte  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Guy Tufte

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Guy Tufte

### Email

guytufte@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As private solar generate I seriously oppose any net metering changes that would reduce the amount of credit they owe me for contributing to their sellable energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Jeremy Long  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jeremy Long

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jeremy Long

### Email

jlong@wmsuro.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal, Solar Energy is helping our communities contribute to a better way of using energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Ed Romine  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ed Romine

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ed Romine

### Email

eromine@osweilerbros.com

### Docket

E100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy to change our current net metering allowance. I purchased my solar panels because of the program they offered at that time. Had I known that they were considering changing it, I would not have spent \$36,000.00 on my solar system. I think this is very unfair.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Gary Ban  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Gary Ban

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Gary Ban

### **Email**

gban1963@gmail.com

### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

The monopoly utility should be increasing the credits received for solar not trying to screw homeowners out of the investment made. I installed solar 3 years ago and come to find out that excess supply provided does not provide value to the homeowner. This is just wrong. The homeowner made the investment, therefore should be credited 100% for all power generated via solar not what is just allows. In addition, a more user friendly reporting of generation and credits needs to be provided to each home owner. The current billing is not clear at all so the homeowner has to trust the utility - Duke in this case. This needs to change as well.

**From:** Kenneth Maxwell  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kenneth Maxwell

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kenneth Maxwell

### Email

kcfoxie@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

My name is Kenneth Christopher Maxwell (formerly Christopher Stephen Browder), residing at 3512 Carolyn Drive, Raleigh, NC 27604. I have had a 4.3kW solar array since 2016, and net metering was a primary driver in my decision to install it to offset the usage of our electric vehicles. I oppose Duke Energy's proposal to revise the terms to which Customer Owned Generation operates under, for the following reasons: \* NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. \*\*\*I Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar.\*\*\* \* Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. \* Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. \* The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. \* Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees: - time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand - compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) - Duke Energy should NOT BE ALLOWED to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.



**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** John Pychinka  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John Pychinka

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John Pychinka

### Email

jpbuzz252@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I urge the NCUC research and view all the facts of Net Metering with an open mind before making any changes the rules currently in place. Duke energy is a business looking out for only their best interest and their bottom line. (Profits). Not renewable energy. They cheated out hundreds of new solar customers last year with their false claims of solar rebates and not delivering. I'm one of them. Regards John Pychinka

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Paul Devlin  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Devlin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Paul Devlin

### Email

ped91@vt.edu

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello, I am sending this to state that I am opposed to Duke energy's proposal to reduce credit for net metering. This would have a huge financial impact on many citizens of the State that installed solar panels in an attempt to help the environment. There is really no reason other than greed on the part of Duke Energy to propose a reduction in the credit that is given for power that is provided to the grid. I hope the state will not allow such a selfish proposal to get approved. Thank you, Paul

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** cinda chima  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by cinda chima

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

cinda chima

### **Email**

cindachima@gmail.com

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

My husband and I recently installed a solar energy system on our nearly century-old house. In order to install solar, we also had to replace our slate roof. We knew that, even with the current net metering policy at Duke Energy, we were unlikely to live long enough to recoup our investment. We installed solar anyway, because we thought it was the right thing to do. I am greatly concerned about Duke Energy's proposals to change the net metering policy in NC without offering any independent research to support their claim that solar users are prospering at the expense of other electric customers. These homeowner systems help Duke meet their renewable energy goals with no risk or investment on their part. I strongly oppose changing the terms in place when the system was installed (this year.) If Duke proceeds with this, then they should purchase a storage battery for every affected customer so that customers can elect to keep the energy they generate rather than offer it to Duke at bargain-basement prices.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** James S.Bonds  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James S. Bonds

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James S. Bonds

### Email

james.s.bonds@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Raphael Orenstein  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Raphael Orenstein

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Raphael Orenstein

### **Email**

rorenst@ncsu.edu

### **Docket**

E-100 sub 180

### **Message**

I object to Duke Energy's proposal. Do we believe in climate change or not? If so, we have to encourage (not discourage as this proposal would do) people to place solar panels

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Elizabeth A Eades- Guerrero  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Elizabeth A Eades- Guerrero

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Elizabeth A Eades- Guerrero

### Email

elizabeth.eadesguerrero@tyson.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I do not think it is correct to reduce the amount of credit that I receive from my excess solar energy. In fact, I think I should receive more for it. Currently I don't get "paid" for my excess solar, I only receive credits on my bill. If considering legislation on limiting the return for solar, please consider those of us middle class citizens who live in North Carolina who made \$20,000 plus investments on our homes.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Dong Zhang  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dong Zhang

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dong Zhang

### Email

zhangd05@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commission, I am a current solar panel user and really enjoy it. Pls do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Dudley Smith  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:32 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dudley Smith

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dudley Smith

### Email

dudley@smithq.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To whom it may concern, As both a Duke Energy customer and residential solar energy provider, I am disheartened that Duke Energy would push for regulation that would devalue the excess energy I provide to the North Carolina electrical infrastructure. The energy I generate comes from solar panels I paid to install on my roof with no financial assistance from Duke Energy, yet their proposal suggests that the energy I generate is less valuable than what they provide. In these times of inflation and global warming, North Carolina needs to be thinking about the future and how to expand clean energy for solar and wind and help move Duke Energy out of the power generation business and move into the distribution and management business. Before the iPhone, AT&T believed it could increase revenue by becoming a "content provider" and did not want to simply manage "dumb pipes" as they perceived their phone networks. It took Steve Jobs to show them that investing in infrastructure and "sticking to their knitting" was the better way to survive. Duke Energy should heed this lesson and work to make its energy production facilities obsolete and focus on the distribution and management of the energy grid. Thank you, --Dudley SMith

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022



**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Christopher Ricci  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:32 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Christopher Ricci

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Christopher Ricci

### Email

ricci.srq@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We are current residential solar owners. Please do not let energy companies monopolize the industry. Solar is the new energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Billy E Jones  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:32 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Billy E Jones

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Billy E Jones

### Email

bejones2951@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I already put in a lot more power to Duke Energy then I get returned and Benefit so I don't think I should have to pay more to put more power end of their lines to keep the grid so the country can sub stain from fossil fuels

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Alex Kreymer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:32 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Alex Kreymer

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Alex Kreymer

### **Email**

alex@akinvestments.com

### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

Please reject this proposal, as it will slow the growth of solar in NC. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. The NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Finally, Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you for your consideration.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Rhonda Hughes  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:31 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Rhonda Hughes

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Rhonda Hughes

### Email

rhonda.hughes@biogen.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal and conduct a full cost-benefit study. I am hopeful rates will not rise for existing solar customers and our net metering plans will not adjust helping to ensure the value of solar remains. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Charles bynum  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:32 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Charles bynum

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Charles bynum

### Email

Bynum7591@att.net

### Docket

E-100

### Message

To whom it may concern. I am a homeowner with solar panels. I am against the proposed changes to net metering. Solar Panels are currently being used by homeowners, businesses, and power companies. Duke Energy has offered rebates as an incentive to install solar panels and now they want to change the rules midstream. Full disclosure, I do not receive my electricity from Duke Energy, but from REMC. I get the wholesale rate for excess electricity generated and knew that going into deal with REMC. I wish all NC utility companies had net metering, but without seeing the #'s, I feel those utilities that do provide it should be required to maintain the status quo.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Vijay Patel  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:31 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Vijay Patel

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Vijay Patel

### Email

vjee83@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The Net Energy Metering docket presently before the North Carolina Utilities Commission would increase solar customers' monthly fixed costs and decrease compensation for excess solar energy exported to the grid. We made a decision to invest in solar energy based on the rules in place at the time. Duke Energy should not be allowed to retroactively change the value of those private investments. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Robert Allis  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:31 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Allis

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Robert Allis

### Email

boballis@me.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing as a solar customer to urge you to reject the proposal from Duke Energy regarding the net metering rules. The proposal is extremely complex, and being this complex serves only 2 purposes: to maximize any income for Duke Power and to obfuscate to the general public what is really happening. Duke claims that customers pay less than their fair share for grid usage, but they have not proven that. The NCUC must conduct its own cost-benefit study of rooftop solar so the state of North Carolina does not fall for the monopolistic tendencies and tricks that Duke Energy has employed with success in the past. This proposal will also slow solar adoption in NC, which has a ripple effect into other parts of the economy. Thousands of North Carolinians have invested in solar power to help move our state into the future of energy, and puts NC's established goals for energy at risk. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Morris J. Clarke  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:31 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Morris J. Clarke

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Morris J. Clarke

### Email

admefmc@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear North Carolina Utilities Commissioners, I am a life-time resident of North Carolina. I want you to reject any efforts and proposals from Duke Energy to reduce payment/credits paid to roof-top solar PV collector owners. Each year we generate excess KWHs that are already given to Duke Energy on May 31st annually. We should be rewarded for our investments in solar energy production rather than being penalized by the current Duke Energy proposal before your commission. Morris J. Clarke 5312 Bakers Mill Road Durham, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022



**From:** A S Reddy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:31 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by A S Reddy

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

A S Reddy

### Email

sridhar.ibm@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

DO NOT LET DUKE REDUCE SOLAR CREDITS!!! NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Dawn Marie Green  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dawn Marie Green

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dawn Marie Green

### Email

indigo\_29902@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. We should be increasing our use of alternative power instead of reducing it. Our recent gas prices prove the point very so well. If we can get off of the limited fossil fuels, the better we will be!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Steve Mueckenhoff  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Steve Mueckenhoff

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Steve Mueckenhoff

### Email

smechoguy@comcast.net

### Docket

E-100-Sub 180

### Message

Reject duke energy proposal

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Jhonatan Hurtado  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jhonatan Hurtado

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jhonatan Hurtado

### Email

gundaming@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reason why I went solar is to help environment and save. I did not choose solar to make an already multi million company take advantage of what I paid for. Not ok!!!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** James W Scheffel  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James W Scheffel

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James W Scheffel

### Email

malcombcatt@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As an owner of a net metered 12kWh solar array I ask you to reject the proposal on docket E-100 Sub 180.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Scott Crouse  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Scott Crouse

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Scott Crouse

### Email

scrouse1975@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello, I'm asking you to oppose Duke Energy's attempt to change the economics of my solar investment. Their argument that I pay less than my fair share of using their grid, a grid I'm forced to be a part of, is without merit. They're threatened financially by energy independence and this is a pathetic attempt to diminish their losses. Their plan will cost jobs and impact the the environment negatively. Thank you for doing the right thing. Scott Crouse.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** James Burbee  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James Burbee

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James Burbee

### Email

burbee94@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

This is complete nonsense, our solar investment must be protected! Governor Cooper stand by the citizens who helped get you elected. STOP...this change in Net Metering by Duke Energy!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Brian Schillig  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Brian Schillig

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Brian Schillig

### Email

bdsdvm@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 sun 180

### Message

I ask you to please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before allowing any changes to net metering in our state of NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022



**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Doug Fournier  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:29 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Doug Fournier

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Doug Fournier

### Email

dfournier@twc.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I just received an email about Duke Power partitioning you for the reduction in what they pay us for excess electricity that my solar panels provide to them. I think this is extremely underhanded of them since they didn't let us (owners of solar panels) know about the change and we think it should not be allowed at ALL! They don't own the solar panels and we are letting them use our generated electricity from our solar panels to charge other customers who don't have them. If this is the way they want to do their electricity, then make it available to disconnect from the grid and we will put in more solar panels, batteries and let them just suffer with less customers which is the whole reason for their existence. They have done nothing but caused havoc on the residents of the states around their ash ponds, power plants with coal ash in the air, well water contaminated, and then they won't pay to have the trees cleaned from the power lines every year properly. So far this year, 2022, we have lost power 8 times and we are only have way through the year. It is all due to trees coming down and breaking power lines. It is time to say NO to the Duke Power money hungry robbers that they are. That is why I got solar panels so I could take money out of their pocket and put it into something that I own and not them!!!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Karen Davis  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:29 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Karen Davis

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Karen Davis

### Email

KARA371064@YAHOO.COM

### Docket

specify Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy's net metering proposal. We ask that you do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022