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COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Good morning, everyone. Let's take care of a few procedural matters before we get started today. I do want to remind all of the parties to please remember to provide the court reporter digital copies of all of the cross and redirect exhibits introduced into evidence each day at email - reporter@NCUC.net, and if you can do that within three days of the introduction of those exhibits, that would be appreciated.

MS. HAWKINS: Thank you. I think regarding the proposed documents for admission into evidence, I think we've reached an agreement as to nine of the documents. We're still discussing the discovery -- the inclusion of the discovery responses. But at this point, we're, I think, in agreement about the operating agreements and other similar documents.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. And so let's introduce at this time. So it's these first nine?

> MS. HAWKINS: First nine, yes.
> COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: First nine that
we'll introduce into the record: SVC Pelican Partners, LLC Operating Agreement, Bates number SharpVue 1015-1052 in the amount of 38 pages. The second document, Pelican Legacy Holdings Operating Agreement, SharpVue 831-882, and that consists of 52 pages. SharpVue -- the third document is SharpVue Investors Subscription Booklet, Bates number SharpVue 1410-1437. It consists of 28 pages. The next document is the Management Agreement, Bates number 1053-1059, consisting of seven pages. The next document is SharpVue Real Estate Partners II AI, LP Agreement, SharpVue 1130-1212, consisting of 83 pages. The next document is SharpVue Real Estate Partners II, LP Agreement, and it's Bates number SharpVue 1213-1294, consisting of 82 pages. The next document is Pelican Co-invest, LLC Operating Agreement, SharpVue 1060-1107, consisting of 48 pages. The next document is Pelican Services, LLC Operating Agreement, SharpVue 1113-1129, consisting of 17 pages. And then the last agreed-upon document is the Shared Services Agreement, SharpVue 1295-1213, consisting of six pages. So are you still working on the
remainder of the documents within this --
MS. HAWKINS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- in this piece of paper? So I will let you discuss those. We'll come back and revisit this issue tomorrow.

Okay. So it is Thursday morning --
MR. FERRELL: Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Yes, go ahead. I'm sorry.

MR. FERRELL: I just want to make a quick note on the admission of those documents. I just think we should note the admission of Pelican Services, LLC Operating Agreement. That is the predecessor's name. That is now Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation. Again, we talked about that yesterday with the name change, and I thought maybe the record should be clear on that.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So the Pelican Services, LLC Operating Agreement is going to be Bald Head Island?

MR. FERRELL: It will. Prior to -- at closing. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Prior to closing. MR. FERRELL: Those changes will be
formally made. I just wanted to make sure the record was clear on that.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Give me the name again?

MR. FERRELL: It's the Applicant Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation, LLC, yes. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And that will be changed before closing, and that's on the record now.

MR. CREECH: Presiding Commissioner Duffley, I have one other comment if I could, please.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Hold on.
So all of these are confidential designation?

MR. FERRELL: Yes. These are all confidential, attorney's eyes only designations.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Thank you, Mr. Ferrell.

Mr. Creech.
MR. CREECH: I just want to note not all of these documents are final documents. So they are being introduced, but it's my understanding that at least two of them have not been executed,
so I thought we might want to make that clear as well.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So we'll receive these documents into the record at the appropriate time once they're finalized.

MR. FERRELL: Okay. So they'll be held out of the record until executed?

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Correct. We're going to leave -- we'll leave the record open for the submission of those documents. I believe that we're leaving the record open for some additional documents after the hearing concludes. So we'll leave the record open for the finalization of those documents.

MR. FERRELL: If I might, just for point of clarification. Again, we can always have a witness testify to this, but it's my understanding that a lot of these documents will be finalized and executed as part of the closing process of the transaction, and so obviously the closing of the transaction is not going to occur on the transportation assets prior to any ruling from the Commission, so --

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Thank you for
that clarification. I'll take it under advisement, and we'll talk about this issue again tomorrow morning.

MR. FERRELL: Thank you. I wanted to make sure.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay.
Mr. Trathen.
MR. TRATHEN: My apologies. Two small procedural matters.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Sure.
MR. TRATHEN: First housekeeping, I had neglected to seek admission into the record of Mr. Gardner's Exhibit 1 to his direct testimony yesterday. I'd ask that that be admitted into evidence.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Without objection, the Exhibit 1 to Mr. Gardner's testimony will be received into evidence.
(Gardner Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.)

MR. TRATHEN: His direct testimony. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: His direct testimony.

MR. TRATHEN: And there was one hangover
issue. We had asked for judicial notice with respect to the prior testimony of Mr. Roberts in the Sub 21 Docket transcript Volume 3, pages 254-312, his testimony on the same issues that we're discussing before this Commission. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: I'll hear from you, Mr. Ferrell.

MR. FERRELL: Thank you. I guess as a first point, we would have a concern because the witness was not presented or asked any questions about that prior testimony. Certainly, the witness was up for several hours and could have been cross examined if there was something in there that was worth talking about. I went and read the pages that were marked last night, and it's only the cross examination of the Village. None of the other questions to Mr. Roberts in the Sub 21 hearing are included in the request, and it's just about primarily the investor presentations, again, which we heard plenty about yesterday. So we would have concerns with admitting it after the witness testified in Direct, number one.
And then number two, it's incomplete
because Mr. Roberts' testimony starts at the beginning of Volume 3 and then goes into Volume 4. And they just kind of cherry-picked out their cross, but had not included any of the Commissioners' questions and any other lawyer's cross examination questions or any of the direct testimony. And so for those reasons, we would object to the request.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ferrell. And I'm going to take this under advisement at this time, and we'll rule at a later time.

So now, had -- so it is Thursday morning. I have the witness list here. We are on witness number five and we have 11 witnesses. So just everyone keep that in mind. It's Thursday morning.

We can begin.
Whereupon,
JULIE PERRY,
having previously been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. STYERS:
Q. Thank you. Let me just start by apologizing
for the challenges that I had with my exhibits yesterday and thanking you for your patience during my struggles with them, so $I$ want to start with that today. And I want to make sure the record is clear, so I'd like to take one minute or two with Ms. Perry to make sure that we have the records clear as to what's been admitted as cross examination Exhibits 2-5.

You have the exhibits -- the cross examination exhibits that I showed you yesterday, Ms. Perry?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And the document labeled BHIT Perry Cross Exhibit 2 is the Commission's final Order in Docket Number A-41 Sub 7; is it not?
A. Yes. You called it what? You called it what?
Q. Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 2, the Commission's final Order in Docket Number A-41, Sub 7.
A. JGP but, yeah. Is that right? I don't want to mess it up.
Q. And on page 5 of that document, Finding of Fact 8, references a Stipulation Exhibit A?
A. On page 5, Finding of Fact 8?
Q. References a Stipulation Exhibit A, correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. Stipulation Exhibit A has been labeled

Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 5; is that correct?
A. This is the one without the footnotes, yes.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Without the footnotes, yes.
Q. And then the numbers on that Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 5 come from Jim Hoard late-filed Exhibit 2, Schedule 1, which has been labeled BHIT Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 3?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And the plant in service per company prior to adjustments on that Exhibit 3 is a footnote referencing Mayfield Exhibit 1, Schedule 2; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And Mayfield 1, Exhibit 2 has been labeled as BHIT Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 4; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Pages 2-5 of that Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 4 are the plant in service depreciation expense table that was part of the rate case application?
A. Hold on. I'm sorry. Let me put it back in Order. 2-1. Schedule 2-1?
Q. Exhibit 1, Schedule 2-1. Pages 2-5 of what's been marked as Perry Exhibit Cross Examination Exhibit 4.
A. Yes. The pages aren't numbered but, yeah.
Q. They're the plant and depreciation expense table, correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Thank you very much. I just wanted to make sure the record was clear in that regard.

The affidavit that was attached to
Kevin O'Donnell's testimony has an appendix that cites 11 cases, and you've also referenced a 12th case, the Frontier Natural Gas, G-40, Sub 136 Orders 12th; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. All of those cases are electric or gas industry cases, correct?
A. All of those cases have happened in the last 25 years under this Commission, and they're electric and gas cases, yes.
Q. I'm just asking, the ones that you've cited here as -- are all gas and electric cases?
A. True.
Q. All of the acquired companies in those cases were operating regulated utilities with approved rates and rate base, correct?
A. The acquiring utility was?
Q. The acquired.
A. The acquired utility. All of them were regulated not necessarily at a rate case, but all of them were regulated utilities with the CPCN.
Q. Correct. And to your knowledge, they all had rates -- they had approved rates prior to the acquisition?
A. They had approved rates, but some of them were not set in this Commission, as far as the rate case-type scenario.
Q. So your affidavit -- do you have the affidavit in front you, Ms. Perry?
A. I can in a second. I do.
Q. So your affidavit on page 3 of 5, paragraph 7, do you have it in front of you?
A. I do.
Q. Three lines from the bottom of that paragraph says, "Commission's past Orders have always included language in regulatory conditions disallowing the
recovery of any acquisition premium and other merger-related costs from ratepayers." Is that what your affidavit states?
A. That is true. And that's the reason because you have that three-part test that the Commission has given -- I think you guys and the Applicants even listed that out, as far as the Public Staff where there's a three-part test for approving mergers.
Q. I'm just asking --
A. I know, and yeah, that's one of the reasons why you have to look first at the three-part test for approving mergers, which eventually somebody needs to walk through, and then part of that three-part test is to protect ratepayers, and acquisition premium impact can harm ratepayers in most cases, and so that's why we have excluded that in these cases.
Q. Do you have the summary, your summary, of your testimony with you?
A. I didn't have to read it, but yeah. Let's see. Yes, I do. Yes, sir.
Q. So if you would turn to page 2 of your summary, the first full paragraph there before the paragraph back up at the top of page 2. Your last sentence of that paragraph at the top of page 2 notes
that, "Although there may be a few exceptions in the water utility industry, this Commission has consistently disallowed the recovery of acquisition premiums for ratepayers and all other regulated industries." Is that what --
A. That is what that says. And that's because, basically, water is completely different. It has the Safe Drinking Water Act. And they have a lot of exceptions, and everyone needs their clean drinking water, but that is true. And we're trying to show that this case has got the merger conditions, everything laid out like every other merger in the case. I mean, you guys have done merger conditions. Public Staff has done merger conditions. And everything is laid out just like these 25 or -- these however many I listed, 11, 12 customers. I mean, it's more in line with the traditional mergers that we've seen over the years.
Q. I understand your position. I just want to make sure I read your summary correctly.
A. That's exactly what it says, yeah.
Q. But you do note that it's not always, but there have been a few exceptions? You would agree with that?
A. Only in the water industry.
Q. So the regulatory principles of ratemaking and the right to earn a reasonable return on investment are the same for all utilities; are they not, Ms. Perry?
A. Of course. That's regulation for you. That's ratemaking. You have a monopoly. You have CPCNs. And you're allowed to earn a reasonable ongoing level of typically your original cost assets.
Q. And that principle applies to all utilities regardless if they're electric, gas, water, or ferries? You would agree with that for a general principle?
A. Of course, but it's got to be a reasonable ongoing level. We were always charged as the Public Staff as keeping the rates as low as possible for the most reasonable level for customers and to provide good service, so I mean, you've got to take all those principles into account.
Q. I understand. I distributed to the room yesterday two other exhibits that have not yet been labeled for identification, so I'd like to label those at this time. And Ms. Perry, did I hand up to you the Orders in Docket W-274, Sub 122, and Docket W-1000, Sub 5?
A. No. But I know them well. I may as well
have them.
MR. STYERS: May I approach?
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: You may.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. STYERS: So I'd like --
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Gray [sic], we need one more. Commissioner Brown-Bland, which one do you need?

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Sub 5.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Sub 122 case.
MR. STYERS: Okay. Yes ma'am. May I approach? We were trying to distribute things in advance during the break as directed by the Chair.

Okay. And does everyone else have those.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BROWN I don't have the 122.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: We need one more.
COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: I think I have two copies of Sub 5.

MR. STYERS: So you need the 122 as
well?
COMMISSIONER HUGHES: I need 122 as
well.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: I don't have enough. Thank you, sir.

MR. STYERS: And if there are only -and I can certainly distribute more. Again, I was trying to do this during the afternoon break.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Let's move it along. I think everybody has what they need.

MR. STYERS: Okay. Thank you. So the document that is the Order in Docket Number W-274, Sub 122, I'd like to be marked for identification as BHIT Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 7.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: The document that's Docket Number $W$-274, Sub 122, will be identified and marked as Bald Head Island Transportation Perry Cross Number 7.
(Bald Head Island Transportation (BHIT)
Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.)

MR. STYERS: And the document that has at the top "Order Approving Transfer and Denying Acquisition Adjustment" in Docket $W$-1000, Sub 5, I would ask be marked for identification as BHIT Exhibit Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 8.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. The Order
in Docket Number $W$-1000, Sub 5, will be identified as Bald Head Island Transportation Perry Cross Number 8.
(Bald Head Island Transportation (BHIT) Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)
Q. So I'd like to refer you to the W-274, Sub 122, Perry Cross Examination 7 document.
A. Sure.
Q. This case was not listed in the exhibit to your affidavit, was it Ms. Perry?
A. No, because this is -- this is water, and, like I said, there's exceptions in the water industry, but not necessarily what we consider what's relevant in this proceeding.
Q. Okay. This case -- having handed out yesterday, you said you were familiar with -- you'd have the chance to review it; is that correct?
A. I'm sorry, what?
Q. Having handed it out to your counsel yesterday, I think you said earlier you were familiar with this?
A. No. I didn't say get it from them. I researched it just because $I$ saw it in your client's
testimony.
Q. So you're familiar with this Order?
A. I'm very familiar with this Order.
Q. So would you refer to -- turn to page 11. So the top first full paragraph reads, does it not, "Heater admits that Hardscrabble water system is currently in good condition, requiring little improvement, but argues that this is not a good reason to deny the acquisition adjustment since the long-term viability of the system is significantly improved by the transfer to Heater."

Is that what that paragraph reads, Ms. Perry?
A. That is. Now there's other language later on that explains that a little further. That really talks about the long-term viability and people buying larger utilities, buying smaller utilities and necessarily -they don't think you just have to buy utilities that's in disrepair, and that's kind of where that's coming from in this case.
Q. So the bottom of that same page, the last paragraph, page 11 states, "The Commission concludes that the acquisition is in the best interests of the customers and that Heater should be allowed to make the requested debit acquisition adjustment to rate base
after the transfer has been completed."
Is that what that sentence reads?
A. It is. But there's a lot of other things in this Order that we need to go over that really -- that get to that conclusion.
Q. And the last paragraph -- last sentence of that paragraph, "The Commission believes that its decision herein based upon the facts and circumstances presented promotes and serves this position and is in the public interest."
A. Yes. I think because they used the three-prong test. Which, I think if you look at the three-pronged test, you've got a one, two, three going on, and you've got the prudent purchase price of the system, you've got the arms-length transaction, and you got the benefits. And in this Order, what they said was -- and they further explained it in the $W-1000$, Sub 5 Order, but they go on to say in this Order that "the customers have to be in a better situation" -- and this means financially, like money-wise -- "better situation financially after the merger than they were before." In other words, you know, your rates aren't going up. In this case, they did give them the acquisition premium, but they did not allow Carolina

Water -- I mean Heater, or UI now, to roll them into the uniform rates because customer rates would have been impacted and there would have been a negative financial burden on customers. So I think, yes, that's what it says, but there was also some other caveats in this Order, which I think is huge because it actually plays into your case with the benefits, and I haven't seen any quantifiable benefits in this merger. So I like this Order for this reason. Sorry. Just to say.
Q. Can I now turn to Perry BHIT Exhibit Perry -excuse me, BHIT Cross Examination Exhibit Perry Number 8, the Order in $W-1000$, Sub 5 , since you just referenced that in your previous answer?
A. Sure. I like this Order too.
Q. So turn to page 27, please.
A. Sure.
Q. So the first sentence at the top of page 27 reads, "Assuming the appropriateness of adopting a general rule prohibiting the inclusion of acquisition adjustments in rate case in the absence of a showing of special circumstances" --
A. I'm sorry. Where are we at? At the top?
Q. The top sentence on page 27.
A. Sorry. I went down low. Okay.
Q. That first sentence on the top of page 27 reads, "Assuming the appropriateness of adopting a general rule prohibiting the inclusion of acquisition adjustments in rate base in the absence of a showing of special circumstances justifying a contrary decision, the next question becomes one of identifying the circumstances under which rate base treatment of acquisition adjustments should be deemed proper." Is that what that sentence reads, Ms. Perry?
A. Can $I$ just read it one more time? Okay. I'm sorry.
Q. Certainly.
A. I'm just going to look at it on a case-by-case basis, basically.
Q. Exactly. Exactly.
A. Got you.
Q. Then in the middle of the paragraph, I've tried to highlight and underlined the sentence to make sure you see the sentence starting, "Although the number."

Do you see that sentence?
A. I do.
Q. That sentence reads: "Although the number of relevant considerations seems virtually unlimited, all
of them apparently relate to the question of whether the acquiring utility paid too much for the acquired utility and whether the customers of both the acquired and acquiring utilities are better off after the transfer than they were before the time."

Is that what that sentence reads? Did I read that correctly, Ms. Perry?
Is that what that sentence reads? Did I read
A. Right. And it's basically what I just said, was they have to be better off after the merger -after the transfer than before the merger, financially.
Q. And, in this context, the Order assumes that there is both an acquired utility and that -- that the acquired entity is also a utility because it talks about the acquired utility is how that sentence reads. Does it not? It uses the term "acquired utility" in that sentence?
A. In this case, but we're in this case, so in this case --
Q. It refers to an acquired utility is what's referenced in that sentence?
A. The acquiring utility page. Well, I think entity utility. Okay. But in this case --
Q. It was an acquired utility?
A. Acquired. That's saying the person acquiring
like in this SharpVue wasn't -- would've been a utility.
Q. Then at the end of that paragraph, the Commission highlighted underlined portion and it reads, does it not, "The Commission should refrain from allowing rate base treatment of an acquisition adjustment unless, the purchasing utility establishes by the greater weight of the evidence that the price the purchaser agreed to pay for the acquired utility was prudent, and that both the existing customers of the acquiring utility and the customer of the acquired utility would be better off or at least no worse off than the proposed transfer, including rate base treatment of any acquisition adjustment than would otherwise be the case."

It was really verbose, but did I just read that correctly?
A. I'm just rereading that because $I$ just had to giggle in the middle of it. Let's see. So you're just trying to highlight utility in all these words basically. Would otherwise be the case but --
Q. And this is consistent with your earlier testimony just a few minutes ago, correct?
A. Yeah. But Bald Head Island Limited has been
deemed a utility from the Commission based on statute, and so SharpVue's jumping into their shoes, and they would, as they keep saying -- they're ultimately a utility. But $I$ think what you're trying to get at is this is all about just utility to utility. But we've had -- Frontier was a -- not a utility bind, you know. We had First Reserve/BlackRock. They bought a utility, you know, that type of thing. So I think you've got to be -- in this case, yes. Utilities are buying a utility. A larger utility is buying little utility.
Q. And ultimately as you said a few moments ago, it's ultimately a case-by-case determination based upon the criteria articulated here. You would agree with that?
A. That's what the Commission says, but I think they've set it out. We looked, we did our research. Thank goodness for lawyers that can pull this database that I don't have access to anymore. But, you know, this has pretty much been -- their three-pronged test is now the situation for acquisition premiums. But I'm going to reiterate you have to go back to the three-part test for mergers to approve before you even get to the acquisition premium to determine if this merger should even be approved before you can even get
to this three-pronged test, which I have issues with that as well. So on the three-prong test, you have your prudent purchase price, which I think there's been issues here that I'm not going to talk about, but I don't know if it's been reevaluated or reaccessed since we had this new Order in 21 come out about the regulation arms-length that's not my area. That's --
Q. I'm just asking if $I$ read --
A. You know, I'm just telling you that this is what they say on a case-by-case basis. There's an Order concluded that this three-prong test would be done on a case-by-case basis and that there had to be benefits and they had to be quantifiable benefits in Order for it to happen. And no negative impacts to ratepayers at all. So I think that is what the Order says, but it further says the three-prong test will determine the case-by-case. I just have to --
Q. I don't disagree with any of that, Ms. Perry.
A. I know. I'm just saying. It's true.
Q. I just want to understand that this is a case-by-case determination on the facts.
A. Right. Using the three-pronged test, yes.
Q. So it is your over the last five or six years

BHIL has been trying to sell the system to the Authority and you were aware of that. We had meetings to discuss about that, did we not?
A. You guys came and -- the Utility came into the Public Staff and said that they were looking at -the first $I$ heard about it was when the Municipal Ferry Authority came to --
Q. I'm just asking if that's your understanding.
A. That's the first time $I$ heard about it. Then I've read articles -- that's the first time, as a Public Staff person, the first time $I$ heard about them selling it was when the Municipal Ferry Authority was being created.
Q. To your knowledge, has BHIL made any significant capital investments in the system over this past five years it's been trying to sell the system?
A. Well, you just got to look at rate base from year to year. So I think we have -- I mean, you can look at the --
Q. I'm just asking to your knowledge. To your knowledge, have they made any major capital investments in the last five years?
A. Well, I'd have to go back and look at my earnings calculation just to see how rate base has gone
up. Because you've got some rate base, some assets depreciating out, and you've got things adding in. And I'm not trying to be difficult but I don't have those records in front of me. I used to.
Q. I'm just asking from your knowledge in your head. Do you have any knowledge in your head --
A. I know that they haven't purchased a ferry boat, but $I$ know there's an engine that came on. So, no -- I mean, I'm looking at the financials from 2021. I've looked at them from '19 and I looked at them in 2010.
Q. So I'm just asking what you know.

Do you know of any major capital investments that have been made in the last five years by BHIL in these systems?
A. No.
Q. No.
A. Into what system? Can you back that question up? Which system? I'm sorry. You have to clarify. All three transportation systems?
Q. Yes. To your knowledge, the ferry and tram, the parking, or the barge.
A. I don't know. I know they had to do some things with parking with the gravel and all that kind
of mess I think I heard of that in the testimony in the 21 Docket and I think -- I've heard here and there some things, but nothing, you know.
Q. Let's turn back to page 4 of your affidavit. At the very top, you state that -- and I'll paraphrase the beginning and then I'll quote that, you know, if they were to not disallow what you characterize as the acquisition premium that, quote -- am I reading this correctly, "That the Commission would be opening up the possibility that rates could increase to a point much higher than what is reasonable and appropriate for these assets and ratepayers would be harmed."

Did I read that correctly in your affidavit?
A. You did. And, I mean, I calculated the impact of the acquisition premium. And I know Taylor did too. By the way, there's an error in Taylor's calculation. We can talk about that whenever you want to on the taxes. But we do need to -- but you do need to look at impact to customers. So what I found was that when I looked at the acquisition premium and the prices that you have signed, which I know are estimates at this point in time, it made the revenue requirement go higher than it is now, and they're earning 49 percent now on the barge and the parking.
Q. Do you have with you the calculations that you've made regarding the rate impact if the rate base was the fair market value in $\$ 23$ million? Have you done those calculations?
A. I took Taylor's and I just sort of did it right beside. I took his, and I corrected them.
Q. Do you have that with you?
A. I do. Let me see if $I$ can find it. And I don't mean to criticize, because taxes are taxes. And when you do revenue requirement it's not always the easiest thing to do. So Taylor did one --

MR. STYERS: May I approach?
THE WITNESS: Sure. For parking, and he did one for barge. We just got them last night. You guys are making me do this after $I$ got off the stand.

MR. SCHAUER: Commissioner Duffley and Mr. Styers, we do have copies of this material of Taylor's exhibit.

If you're going to ask questions, would
it be helpful to distribute those copies?
MR. STYERS: I could.
THE WITNESS: This is his. The green is mine.

MR. STYERS: We have copiers I have not planned to introduce them through this witness, but if it would be helpful, $I$ don't have an objection tot that.

MR. SCHAUER: Sure. I mean, if you're going to ask questions about it, I think it would be beneficial.

MR. STYERS: I was asking questions about Ms. Perry's --

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Gray, let's move it along. If you could distribute the documents, that would be helpful.

THE WITNESS: I can just real quickly show him and then you can distribute.

MR. STYERS: If I may just ask the witness questions from here.
Q. So, in looking at parking, can you tell me what you -- what --
A. So it looks like here what the rebuttal -- this is a rebuttal exhibit, I think, from parking -- from Taylor if $I$ can remember this correctly, but he's trying to show that if you give him the -- if the acquisition premium is allowed, then we won't be earning over 49 percent anymore. We'll be
back in the overall rate of return which is sort of crazy. So here we go, look in here. You see how he comes in here and he infers the net income from the rate base, the purchase price. He takes the return, right, and he gets an income. And then he assigns -then he calculates interest. Basically, he left off the O\&M when he did the revenues to calculate taxes. So taxes are double what they are in this, which makes the revenue requirement higher. And I'm sorry I'm glazing everyone over, but taxes can be kind of crazy. It's a revenue requirement thing.

MR. STYERS: Would you have any objection to Ms. Perry's calculations that she's just showed me regarding the parking rate impact as Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 9, and we'll distribute that later?

MR. SCHAUER: I have copies of Mr. Taylor's exhibit.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Gray, we're going off the rails here.

MR. STYERS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Get back to your motions --

MR. STYERS: Okay. All right. Okay.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- your motions and questions for the Commissions.

MR. STYERS: So -- yes. I will make it very brief. I'll cla- -- I will finish up.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, and I just
calculate things like that because I'm an
accountant and that's what we do. And I apologize.
Q. The 2010 rate case was settled by stipulation parties, was it not?
A. It was. Yes, sir.
Q. The settlement established ticket prices less than what Bald Head Island Transportation requested?
A. As far as I remember, but yeah.
Q. And the rates were less because the agreed-upon revenue requirement was less than requested?
A. Obviously.
Q. Okay. And the imputation of revenue was one mean by which the revenue requirement was lowered?
A. The what-what?
Q. The imputation was one way that the revenue requirement was --
A. Yeah. There was lots of adjustments but that was one of them, yes.
Q. And that resulted in a lower ticket price?
A. Along other things but, yeah.
Q. And that was needed for that case to settle.

And you would agree with that?
A. Well, if you did the imputation today, it would be about three times that. But okay.
Q. I was trying to ask questions yesterday about the rate case documents you kept referring to imputation of parking earnings?
A. Yeah. Right.
Q. When I asked you to agree that no Commission approved rates had ever allowed you recovery depreciation, you agreed and you referred to the rate case and the imputation; is that correct?
A. That and -- yes, and other things. I mean, there's so many other things in that rate case that were pulled in from, you know, decades ago, but yes.
Q. And this has been marked and distributed Bald Head Perry Cross Examination 1?
A. Okay. Which one are we at? I'm sorry.
Q. The one that was --
A. Parking?
Q. -- you were asking about the imputation calculating that wasn't my document but you were
relying upon the discuss the imputation?
A. Okay. I got it back from my attorney. I just got to figure out where I put it. Yes, I have it. Sorry.
Q. At the very top of that Perry Cross

Examination 1. The first words at the top of that page are "Confidential, for settlement purposes only." Is that the first word at the top of that page?
A. That is.
Q. And if I turn to page 2, the next page. The very top words are "Confidential, for settlement purposes only."
A. That's right. But the Commission, in their Order -- can I just say the Sub 21 mentioned that -asked for specific language in their Order. It said, "Although we know it was for settlement purposes and it was confidential, we are taking it -- it's too big a issue and there's too many things in here that not to take notice of it." So --
Q. And the other pages of that Exhibit 1 all are noted that they are for settlement purposes only, correct?
A. Sure. Most settlements are done that way with all cases that we have.
Q. And I'll refer you back, if I may, to Perry Exhibit Cross Examination 2 which is the Order in the rate case settlement.
A. Okay.
Q. In $A-41$, Sub 7.
A. No. I'm fine. I just have all this water quarters [phonetic spelling] everywhere. Got it.
Q. And turn to page 10, please. And finding 21 at the bottom of that page?
A. Sure.
Q. And that finding states, "The stipulation provided that, except this provided stipulation, the stipulation shall not be considered to allow support, confirm, or provide a basis for Commission regulation for jurisdiction over rates, service, or complaints regarding the parking services provided by BHIL or the assets utilized for those services in this rate case." Is that what that Order states?
A. That is what that Order states, yes. I'm answering your question. I'm trying to be better about that, but, you know, you're applicants have already offered the $\$ 523,000$ imputation in the case or the 21 case or 22 case. So by in that, they're bringing it into this case which the number would be higher if you
calculated it in the 2021 basis, but, so yeah. I see that, but I think it's important to note that all of these -- all of these operations have been in regulation since day one.
Q. I understand that's your position.
A. Well, they've been in service since day one. MR. STYERS: No further questions. MR. FERRELL: No questions.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HIGGINS:
Q. Good morning, Ms. Perry. Dan Higgins for the Bald Head Island Club. Look at your Exhibit 5, Cross Examination Exhibit 5, please, which is the Exhibit A document which does not have the footnotes.
A. Thank you for that, yeah. Yes, sir.
Q. Tell me, please, what the numbers on line number 4 represent.
A. Line number 4? The other operating revenues?
Q. Yes, ma'am.
A. Okay. So that basically includes -- and let me just get my notes so I can exactly give you -because there's quite a few things in there. There is the imputation of the 523,000 in there. There's also a gain on sale of the Indigo Plantation. That's the marina from that -- it's a credit. So there's a lot of
different things in this number. But, basically, that represents other income. It reduces the amount of revenue increase that the company needs to request from customers. And I'm going to --
Q. So let me ask you a question that might shortcut that.
A. Okay.
Q. Would the line 4, column B, number of $\$ 771,000$ be the imputation of the parking revenue and the gain on sale of Indigo?
A. It would be. I think there's one other little piece to it, that is actu- -- but that's the majority of it, yes. Yes, sir.
Q. Are you familiar with Mr. O'Donnell's testimony in the Sub 21 Docket?
A. I am. Yes, sir.
Q. Would you agree that he testified in that docket that in the 2010 rate case the Public Staff developed the revenue imputation from Limited's parking operation which imputation went to the ferry operation based on his evaluation of the parking operation as if it were a regulated asset?
A. Yes, sir. They -- the Public Staff went in and looked at the original rate base. They calculated
a return on it. They added in the expenses, the depreciation, all of the -- everything we use when we do -- when you guys usually see our NOI, our summary schedules in the regulated world and you see how it's broken out with income statement, the rate base -basically, that's what he did. He went and calculated the revenue requirement and then sort of got a levelized, you know, revenue requirement and then compared it to what they were actually earning at the time in the 2010 timeframe. And the difference -- the excess earnings over what they were earning is what was the imputation.
Q. Okay. In calculating the revenue requirement, one of the components would be depreciation, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And, to be clear, yesterday Mr. Styers asked you a number of questions about depreciation relating to the parking assets?
A. Yes.
Q. Was depreciation of the parking assets taken into account by the Public Staff in calculating the excess earnings of a parking operation that were the basis for the imputation?
A. Absolutely.

MR. HIGGINS: I don't have any other questions. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Does the Village have any questions -- or Mr. Creech.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CREECH:
Q. I just have one question, if I could. The Hardscrabble case, obviously, has been put before you. One quick question, do you know or would you agree that the applicant in that case originally solved the acquisition adjustment in their initialing filing; do you know?
A. In the Hardscrabble case?
Q. Yeah.
A. Well, they got the acquisition premium in that case.
Q. Right. Right.
A. I mean, so.
Q. But did they request it in their initial filing, do you know?
A. I don't know the Order said. Did the Order say?
Q. I'd have to take a look at it.
A. Well, if you could point me to it, I don't
recall, but $I$ would assume they did. But I think they were looking at if the acquisition premium was, like, $\$ 15,000$, and $I$ think the uniform rates of Carolina Water Service were just so much larger, and the per customer rate base -- and that's how they kind of looked at that, was the purchase rate. So it was \$100 versus $\$ 1,500$ or something. So the roll-in would've been really, really detrimental to customers for the rates. And so they figured if they gave them this acquisition premium and they based their rates on this $\$ 15,000$, the customers are a whole lot better off then if they would have rolled them into Carolina Services and their rates probably would've been five times -- just like in this case, everything is about five or six times what the original cost rate base is.

Mr. CREECH: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay.

Mr. Schauer.
MR. SCHAUER: Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHAUER:
Q. I'd like to start with the $W$-1000, Sub 5 case that you were discussing with Mr. Styers at the end.
Do you have that in front of you?
A. I do. Can you give me, like, 10 seconds just
to get a little organized? There's just a lot of papers that just, kind of, went through my hands. Okay. Yes, sir?
Q. On 16 -- page 16 of that decision, do you see the title towards the bottom of the page where it says, This Transfer is in the Public Interest and Should be Approved. NTWS is a Financially-Troubled, but not Operationally-Troubled, Public Utility.
A. I do see that.
Q. Okay. And so the Commission in this situation decided to approve the transfer because the current system was operationally-troubled, correct?
A. Correct. Yes.
Q. Okay. Then the Commission ultimately denied the request for an acquisition premium, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that was because they determined that including the acquisition premium would result in an increase in rates for ratepayers, correct?
A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. Can we look at the other Order Mr. Styers showed you, the W-274, Sub 122 decision?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Can you look at page 11, please?
A. Yes.
Q. The copy that you were given highlights two sentences at the very bottom, the last paragraph.
A. Okay.
Q. But it doesn't highlight the sentence in-between?
A. Right.
Q. Can you please read that aloud?
A. Sure. "The Commission has articulated a position of encouraging the Orderly transfer of water systems from developers and small owners to reputable water utilities, like Heater, and from reputable water utilities to municipals and other governmental owners."
Q. Is that the situation here?
A. No, sir.
Q. All right.
A. No, sir. I think we've seen in most of these Orders that they're -- when there's an exception, it's going from -- we have a smaller utility that really -and they might not be in complete disrepair but they need someone who is experienced that's going to hold them forever, $I$ think is one of the languages in one of the Orders basically said. They know if Heater or Carolina Water Service was to buy them, that they were
going to keep them, and they were going to run them right. And they were a mature, you know, smart utility that's in the business of it. And that's kind of what we've seen.
Q. All right. And a decision that you and counsel for BHIL discussed -- that we don't have a copy of -- was the I believe, the BlackRock/First Reserve acquisition?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with that transfer proceeding?
A. Only way too familiar.
Q. You -- I believe you cited that as a representative example of why an acquisition premium should be denied.

Can you elaborate on that answer that you provided to Mr. Styers?
A. Sure. In that case, it was the first case we'd had where a private equity firm was coming in to buy. Before then, they'd always been publically-traded companies come in to buy. Frontier, you know, they've been -- they've changed hands a number of times. There's a history there. And so, in this case, the -it was an out-of-state company coming in and they were,
like, they saw that there had been impairment write-downs, negative acquisition premiums because Sempra had built this system, hundreds of billions of dollars of systems and they couldn't sell it. They wanted to get rid of it. They realized, oh, gosh, we're not in the business of gas. We need to get out of the gas business. And they couldn't sell it. And so they ended up selling it for pennies of the dollar. Okay. Really.

So what happened was -- and then it was sold again and that company wanted to come in and recapture the impairment write-downs and negative acquisition premiums and probably even the acquisition premium in this case. And, actually, they asked if they could hold it to the next rate case. Well, as everyone knows, we have not had a Frontier rate case. So I think what we found, and the Public Staff found, was that it would -- the harm to customers would be immeasurable. The rate pays would've been 5 times what it was. There was no way that the customers could sustain those rates. It wasn't good. And so we held ground and said we're not going to approve the merger if you give them the acquisition premium or even leave it open to the possibility. Because these merger
conditions, merger policies of the Commission are potential risk and potential harm. It doesn't have to be harm that's today. It could be what if. So, you know, so you got to make sure that your benefits outweigh the potential harm.

And, in this case, most regulated utilities most -- and also now, private equity firms that come in know that the acquisition premium is one of the things that's going to cause rates to can go up. They don't ask for it. We don't even have to settle with them. They just come in and say, "We're not trying to get this. We're not trying to get the merger, you know, cost." And that's how it plays out in these mergers. So that case was very interesting because there was a fight internally about the rate base. That's where the rate base language came, in that case. We, typically -- I think the Public Staff said, well, a difference between Duke and Piedmont is there's no rate base language. That's because nobody was fighting the acquisition premium. And I think -- so when you're looking at that, you have to make sure you're covering your bases and that everything is going to be on the right page. So that was an important case for us. I mean, it was new. It was a private equity firm. They
said they were going to hold them for 10 years. They held them for 3. You know, so, you know, we -- but we're learning. And it's just all learning. And I think they did well while they had them, and then they sold them. And so, it's just an important case to look at, as far as, you can't go back and get what it was paid for if it's not the original cost rate base in providing service.
Q. Right. And consistent with the Public Staff's position in the Frontier acquisition, the Public Staff opposes an acquisition premium in this transfer as well, correct?
A. Say that one more time.
Q. The Public Staff opposes an acquisition premium in this transfer --
A. Yes, they do.
Q. -- just like they did in the Frontier case?
A. Yes. I thought the Public Staff did a great job with their conditions. I could add some -- I love to edit things. I could edit a few more, but it was all good.

MR. SCHAUER: Commissioner Duffley, I have 3 exhibits I'd like to hand out that relates to the document that Ms. Perry was discussing with

Mr. Styers, so that document is available for the Commissioners and for the record.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. You may pass them out.

MR. SCHAUER: Thank you.
Commissioner Duffley, while these are being handed out, may $I$ suggest labels for the exhibits? The document that, I guess, I'd say is in portrait form --

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay.
MR. SCHAUER: -- with -- at the top says, "Comparison of Rate Base," and then the first line item is Parking Facilities Net Operating Income. If we could label that as Village Perry Direct Redirect Exhibit 1.

All right. Then there's a landscape --
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: That's so identified.
(Village Perry Direct Redirect
Examination Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)

MR. SCHAUER: Thank you. There's a landscape version that's very similar, but instead of line 1 dealing with parking, it talks about

Barge Net Operating Income. And so it's a similar calculation, just applies to the barge. If we could label that as Village Perry Direct Redirect Exhibit 2, please.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: It'll be so identified.
(Village Perry Direct Redirect
Examination Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)

MR. SCHAUER: Thank you. And the third document that has highlighting on it, which we'll explain, but it's excerpts from the prior two documents, if we can label this as Village Perry Direct Redirect Exhibit 3, please.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: That document is so identified.
(Village Perry Direct Redirect
Examination Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)

MR. SCHAUER: Thank you.
Q. Ms. Perry, Mr. Styers and you were looking at a document and I believe that was a version of -- we'll start with Exhibit 1, which is the parking rate base calculations.


Do you have that in front of you?
A. I do.
Q. Have you seen this document before?
A. I did. I think it was a rebuttal exhibit for Taylor. Is that somehow -- is that one? Yeah. I have seen it before.
Q. Was it provided by the Applicants?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with this document?
A. I am.
Q. All right. Can we also look at Exhibit 2 which is the same calculations, but for the barge rate base.
Do you have that document in front of you?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Have you seen this document as well?
A. Last night, yes.
Q. Was this provided by Applicants?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And what is your understanding of what these two documents are, which are Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2?
A. So what I'm believing these to be is that Taylor was trying to calculate revenue requirement to
show that, if they were able to get the acquisition premium -- or they say purchase price as their rate base, but acquisition premium -- in this case, that they would -- they calculated their earnings, they inferred a bunch of -- they took their current net income, they backed in the overall -- they divided by the overall rate of return in last rate case for Bald Head Transportation, and came up with a rate base and they looked a purchase price and they calculated the revenue requirement. And they're basically trying to say that if they calculate on the new purchase price numbers, that their revenues would be less than they are now. And I'm assuming that they're going to come up here in about a day and a -- or tomorrow and say that they're benefiting the customers because the rates would be less -- is what $I$ was reading into this -these documents. And it does so for barge and for parking.
Q. Ms. Perry, to move things along. I'm sorry. The testimony you provided in response to some of Mr. Styers' questions was that you identified an error in this calculation, correct?

```
A. Yes, sir.
```

Q. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 3, please?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. To acquaint everyone with

Exhibit 3 and its relationship to Exhibit 1 and 2, the top section where it says, "Inferred Parking Revenue Requirement," and it has lines 6 through 14.

Do you see how -- with the exception of some of the highlighted areas -- that matches Exhibit 1, lines 6 through 14?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And then the second section on Exhibit 3, where it says, "Barge Revenue Requirement," lines 6 through 14 , do you see how that matches Exhibit 2 where it says, "Inferred Barge Revenue Requirement," lines 6 through 14, again, with exceptions of the highlighting, which we'll talk about in a second?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Focusing on Exhibit 3 --
A. Okay.
Q. -- and the inferred parking revenue requirement, am I correct that between lines 8 and 9, there's an addition to the calculation on what you identified is that the 2021 operating expenses, excluding income taxes, needed to be added to the
calculation in Order to correctly determine the amount of income that needed to be taxed?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And when you added that number, it increased the taxes?
A. Yes, probably doubled it.
Q. Okay. And as a result of that, what happened to the inferred parking revenue requirement?
A. So in both of these instances when -- you know, when you're calculating a revenue requirement, it's basically rate base times return, plus your expenses and depreciation, plus taxes. That's just our little real quick and dirty little calculation, but it works. So if you look here, what we needed to add in -- they didn't have the income to cover the O\&M when they calculated the taxes in this calculation. So it -- and, actually, if you look at the 2021 barge revenues for instance, which are in excess of earning in the 40 percent at this point -- this new purchase price will actually end up being the $\$ 4$ million range, because taxes basically doubled when you had to add in the expenses -- the revenue to cover the expenses. Same thing with parking and barge. That was parking. I'm sorry.
Q. So --
A. Yes.
Q. If I could just reiterate that testimony to make it clear. So, in other words, what you did in the highlighted sections, the area you identified, is it should be inferred net income, which is line 8?
A. Yes.
Q. Then you add operating expenses?
A. Right.
Q. And then you subtract interest expense and that gives you the income subject to tax?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And Mr. Taylor's calculation omitted the addition of operating expenses?
A. Right. Yes, sir.
Q. And as a result of your correction, it shows that the revenue requirement for the parking would increase, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And I note an error on Exhibit 3. Below line 14 it says, "2021 Barge Revenues," that should say parking revenues.
A. That's where I got the --
Q. Sorry.
A. That's okay.
Q. And then the same correction needed to be made on the lower part to the barge revenue requirement, correct?
A. True.
Q. And that also showed that the inferred revenue requirement, if you included the acquisition premium, would be greater than the 2021 barge revenues, correct?
A. That is correct. And keep in mind, they're earning in the 40 percent range right now. So that would even be higher.
Q. And then, in addition to these two increases in rates, if you were to calculate the rate base of the parking -- I'm sorry -- if you were to calculate the revenue requirement for parking based on rate base, you would therefore remove the imputation of parking revenue to the ferry, correct?
A. So what ha- --
Q. It would no longer be needed?
A. Right. So if the company came in here and got this acquisition premium or whatever the purchase price, you know, they could come into the next rate case and go, "We're no longer over earning. So guess
what, parking, you don't get any money." Therefore, the ferry rates are going to go up. Plus, I think they might go up with all these leases I keep hearing about, but that's another story.
Q. Right. But the ferry rates are currently based on an attribution of revenue from parking that goes to the ferry and that results in a lower rate charge for the ferry?
A. That lowered rates. It was the excess earnings, yes.
Q. And if you were to calculate revenues based on rate base for all three operations, that transfer of money would be removed and rates would increase for the ferry; is that your understanding?
A. If you didn't have the imputation, yes. And if you did this. Because they can say, "We are now earning our overall rate of return because we got the acquisition premium. Yay. And parking doesn't deserve any more excess earnings." And so then, yeah, ferry rates would jump up. And I think that's the whole point. These mergers -- before you even get to these acquisition premiums, you've got to look and see if it's in the best interest of the customers. And that's all we're trying to do here, so.

MR. SCHAUER: Right. Thank you. No further questions.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Commission questions? Chair Mitchell.

EXAMINATION BY CHAIR MITCHELL:
Q. Ms. Perry, just sticking with this redirect exhibit you've just been talking about, Number 3, Exhibit Number 3. Just help me understand why -- why does the 2021 operating expenses excluding income taxes occur on line 13 as well?
A. We didn't pick it up. So that was their number. So we -- what my attorney was trying to do was just copy out of theirs. So if you look at the actual formula for the inferred -- you know, basically for the inferred let's go back to my thing. Rate base, times return, plus expenses, plus taxes. So some of those middle lines are just to calculate the income taxes. So if you go in and actually look, the 1.61, for instance, for barge or for parking, the $\$ 4$ million, you're picking up the return -- the net offering income for return which is the 1.9 the 1.4 , and then you're picking up the taxes which is 605 to come up with your 404 number.

> Do you see what I mean?
Q. Okay.
A. So I think the reason -- the reason they are not highlighted that way -- and I am so sorry that, you know, Excel is Excel. You can't see the formulas this way, but if you do the math I promise you it was -it's that -- it's just return. It's revenues, plus expenses, plus taxes.
Q. So what I'm understanding is that operating expenses excluding income taxes isn't double counted for purposes of?
A. No. No. That was theirs.
Q. Okay.
A. So they went in and just did the return on rate base which is the revenues. And then they removed the interest and then they calculated taxes. And then they went back afterwards and added taxes -- I mean the expenses in to cover revenue requirement, but they didn't factor the expenses in when they calculated the income before taxes before tax to apply tax rates to.
Q. Okay. Got it.
A. I'm sorry. I know taxes can blur everybody's brains here, but I'm --
Q. Thank you. Okay. I want to talk some about your affidavit. I just want to make sure I understand
correctly --
A. Sure.
Q. -- what your -- your numbers. I know you amended them yesterday. So --
A. Yeah. Let me get it.
Q. And this -- we may have to go into confidential session on these questions. So I don't know if you want to see other Commissioner have questions that are not confidential.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Do other
Commissioners have nonconfidential questions?
Commissioner Clodfelter.
EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
Q. Ms. Perry, I think this is nonconfidential. My recollection is that you were asked about BHIT Cross Examination Exhibit 3 in nonconfidential session.

Mr. Styers, can you confirm that? That's the exhibit I want to ask you about.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes. I'm sorry. I
don't have them memorized. Okay. Yes, sir.
Q. This was yesterday afternoon and you've been through a lot since then, so $I$ just want to be sure I'm understanding correctly.
A. Okay.
Q. I recall your testimony, I understand what you were talking about under line 4 and the column titled "Settlement adjustments."
A. Ys.
Q. I recall your testimony about that. You also provided some testimony about line 17 the item -- the amount listed in the column titled, "Settlement adjustments." And I'm not really sure I clearly heard what your explanation was for that settlement adjustment.
A. Okay. Sure.
Q. If you will walk me through it so I've got it?
A. No problem. And I think the exhibits are -I've got the exhibits, but we can always make those available for you too. So the plant adjustment incorporates -- and that's actually the -- let me go back here. This is this one. So, yeah. So what happened here was there was two adjustments plus some cash, working capital sort of things that go along with the formula approach to when things change. But the Bald Head Island terminal was the biggest one in this one. So they started out as Mr. Styers shows me that they had a $\$ 6$ million plant --
Q. Slow down for a minute.
A. Okay.
Q. I need to take it in pieces.
A. Yes, I'm so sorry.
Q. If you'll -- I apologize for my interruption.
A. Yeah.
Q. I have to break it in pieces.
A. Okay.
Q. And you got it all integrated --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. -- very tightly together. So back up in line 4, we were talking about an adjustment that was really derived from Indigo Plantation property disposition, right?
A. Line 4?
Q. Line 4, that adjustment. In part -- excuse me -- in part, you were explaining that that number was derived in part from Indigo Plantation?
A. That's the part when there's a gain on the sale.
Q. Right. But it relates to the Indigo Plantation?
A. Indigo Plantation, yes.
Q. So no we move down to line 17. We're now
talking about --
A. The Bald Head terminal.
Q. -- the Bald Head terminal?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I go that piece now. Go ahead.
A. Okay. I'm so sorry. So yeah, so then in the rate base piece of it, not the -- that was the income piece. On the rate base side of it, there are two adjustments. One is just an update for plant items, you know, different -- you know, they do along the course, as far as they update plants. That's just the normal thing. The biggest one is the $\$ 616,000$ plant adjustment where the Public Staff went in and determined that the lease -- the operating lease that they had between Limited and Transportation, it was more because of how the assets are used and how they're used in the operation for providing service. I mean, that literally, if you've been there, and I have a million times, you know, that terminal on the island was built just for that ferry. To get everybody off. To get everybody on. To get the luggage on. Get everybody picked up, people waiting. And so that -the Public Staff said that they needed to be in rate base not as a lease. Okay? And so they imputed the
island terminal into rate base at original cost. And they removed the lease amount and they removed the lease dollars. It was a two-for-one thing but --
Q. So the amount listed here is $\$ 636,380$, and how much of that adjustment was based upon what you just described?
A. $\$ 616,186$.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. Thank
you, Ms. Perry. That's all.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Commissioner
Kemerait.
EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT:
Q. Good morning, Ms. Perry. I have a couple of questions. The first one relates to --

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: We're getting
some feedback. Maybe I don't know if someone's mic
is on. Maybe turn the mic -- did their mic stay on?

Okay. Try again.
Q. Okay. Ms. Perry, I've got a couple of questions. The first one relates to page 7 of Mr. O'Donnell's testimony that you adopted.
A. Okay. I'm sorry if you give me one second. Paper everywhere. Okay. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And the question is, can you provide an example that illustrate this concern? And then the last sentence says, "To avoid situations like this, the Commission is typically only allowed the original cost of plant investment, less depreciation, or the net book value for purchases of regulated or nonregulated assets."

Do you have any examples of those
nonregulated assets that's referred to in that sentence?
A. Well, I think --
Q. Or I should say, can you explain?
A. Yeah, what he's saying?
Q. Give some context to this statement about nonregulated assets?
A. Okay. So I'm not exactly sure what -- I got to read what he said before. If you give me one second. I've just got to put this in context. I think what they're saying and it sort of happened here. Regardless of if it's held by a nonregulated affiliate, it's still an affiliate. You have to look at the affiliate transaction transfer price and rules. But, like in this case with the Indigo Plantation, they transferred it to a nonregulated utility, right, but at
original cost. Because they kept the original cost. And I think there's some Orders out there that say, "Once it's in original cost, wherever it goes it stays original cost." And so that's how I interpret that statement. As far as that goes, we have many, many cases with all the Duke and Piedmonts where, if you're going to transfer assets from regulated utility to nonregulated utility, you know, the original cost stays. And, you know, we were trained in these regulatory -- whatever, you know, proceedings -- not proceedings, but conferences with, like, Deloitte \& Touche. They would say, you know, when that asset goes into service, public service -- it doesn't have to be utility service, public service it's original cost and when it transfers to whatever entity, whether it be regulated or nonregulated, the original cost stays with it. And that's kind of what I'm going for there.
Q. Okay. Thank you. That's helpful. And I have got a couple questions related to what Mr. Styers asked you yesterday.
A. Sure. Okay.
Q. And I'm going to ask these same questions to the Public Staff and to the Applicant so this will give them notice about what I'm -- what information I'll be
looking for.
A. No fair.
Q. And it's related to the methodology of determining the rate base, not about the acquisition adjustment. That's a separate issue.
A. The methodology?
Q. Methodology for the rate base.
A. Sure.
Q. And I think the applicant's position is that this is a unique situation that we don't have an example of how the Commission has handled the situation in the past. And Mr. Styers asked you yesterday about a scenario, but your answer was you don't know how this was handled. But his question was about your opinion about the methodology for the rate base when, for example, Duke would buy a power plant from an independent power producer. And you said that was -you did not deal with that. So you did not have an opinion on that.
A. Can I caveat and say they are IRP proceedings. And this stuff has gotten too complicated, so when you start talking about transmission assets and this and that -- I mean, there is a whole host of different proceedings that you guys
sit in these hearing rooms and listen about. And, honestly, I just didn't -- there's so many moving parts. I didn't intend to say I didn't know how to handle rate base treatment, but I think with that specific example, there are so many different things out there.
Q. Right.
A. That's kind of what $I$ was saying.
Q. Right. Understood and so you did not have an opinion about that.
A. Yeah.
Q. I have two other scenarios -- and I will be asking the other witnesses about -- to see if they have an opinion about that hypothetical scenario. But, two other hypothetical scenarios. I want to see if you have an opinion on how the Commission has handled rate base in these situations. For example, if a municipality or a water or wastewater authority transferred a system to a regulated water or wastewater public utility, how does the Commission determine the rate base for those transferred assets that come from a municipality or a water or wastewater provider?
A. Original cost. Original cost.
Q. And do you have any examples of dockets off
the top of your head in which that has happened?
A. Well, okay, so. Every docket that you -every transfer that you get -- and I think I walked through our 1-17 yesterday saying, you got to provide your original cost, you got to provide your purchase price, you know, that type of thing. So every docket's got the original cost in it, as far as what it is. But, I mean, unless you're getting an acquisition premium, you're always transferring at original cost. There's no -- so, I mean, every docket, really, has got just original cost in the transfer unless there's an acquisition. Coming from a municipal, it would be an original cost. It's very usual that if they take it over, it's going to be at original cost. Now, if the acquisition premium is something that's out there, that's a separate decision. But, these are all transferred. I don't think you -- this purchase price versus fair market price, which was kind of discussed yesterday, I don't even think that's a thing for --
Q. And let me give you another example.
A. Okay.
Q. So thank you for that information. Another example would be if a developer constructs a water or wastewater system and then transfers that system --
sells and transfers that system to a regulated water or wastewater company, how is the rate base determined in that instance, and then, along with that question, does the Commission require developers to contribute any portion of the value of those assets? How is that situation handled in regard to the rate base?
A. Well, now this is my new consulting business that we're talking about. So really, it's a contract. A lot of that's going to be contractual. Okay. At the very beginning, you're going to look at the tap fees. You're going to look at the contribution. Some developers are like, "I'm done. Here you can just have it," so you're rate base is zero. Okay. You can have -- most of them are going to say here's what I have in it, and even if they buy it, we're going to do it at original cost. I mean, original cost is the day that it was placed in service for public service. I mean, that's what Deloitte \& Touche taught us in our little, you know, ratemaking 101 sessions. And then, if you transfer it, you transfer it at original cost. The contributions, that type of thing that's all going to be in a contract. And oh, Chuck Junis would probably just ream me if $I$ said this wrong, but $I$ think, you know, the tap fees, all that type of stuff,
those are water. And there's a lot of that that goes on with that. But, in this case, you know, the Mitchells are the first owners. The Mitchells are the only owners.
Q. Yeah, so my specific question about that is, does the Commission ever require contribution from the developers? Is there a policy or a rule? Or is there a -- in which the va- -- some portion of the value has to be contributed during the transfer?
A. I don't think -- I've never heard of a rule or a statute from this Commission that requires anyone to impute contributions unless it's in a contract between the parties that built the system.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Okay. Okay.
That's helpful. Thank you. And I'm going to be asking the same questions to the other witnesses as well.

EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
Q. So I have a follow-up to that, and we're getting close to our morning break. But let's take the example, we keep talking about utility assets versus nonutility assets?
A. Yeah. Okay.
Q. And so would -- let's say that,
hypothetically, the appellate courts come back and say the parking is not a utility asset.
A. Okay.
Q. How does that change -- change your opinion on this acquisition premium?
A. Well, just to back up, typically, in all the cases that I've ever worked on and there's a lot. It's always the total acquisition premium, you know, in our cases. If you look at -- if you read those Orders, we actually provided the data request response to the company with the actual language in there. That might be helpful. For each one of those 11 or 12 Orders. And it basically is saying all of the acquisition premiums. Now, there's always going to be regulated assets and nonregulated assets in every transfer merger, typically. I mean, the Dukes, the Piedmonts, this case, in general. There's always both assets. And whenever we have put it in testimony, or the company comes in on the application, it's the total acquisition premium. Okay. So in this case, it really shouldn't matter because, you know, acquisition premiums are acquisition premiums. In this case, SharpVue did an estimate of what they signed their purchase price to be which was, you know, parking,
barge, and the ferry. They did less for the ferry and more for parking and barge. And that's great that they were able to do it. There's also another piece of the acquisition premium sitting out there, but since I didn't have a balance sheet for Limited, I couldn't figure out what it was, so $I$ couldn't really put that in my chart. And so for acquisition premium, I don't think it matters. I don't think that you would -- you would, whether it's regulated or unregulated, you should not get it, period. So that's where we stand.
Q. Okay. Another hypothetical. We heard testimony yesterday about how their ridership is going to increase, and more houses are going to be built on Bald Head Island. And let's say that, you know, there's a piece of land nearby, across the street from the back parking lot, if whatever entity owns -- BHIT or BHIL -- bought that piece of land to develop it for parking lot, what would it be?
A. So right now, it's in the parking assets. It's land that's in the parking assets and they're going to trans- --
Q. No. Let's say that they purchased it before closing. Let's say Bald Head Island Limited purchased this property -- purchased additional property, they
don't own now.
A. It's not in the case?
Q. Not in the case.
A. Okay.
Q. Just, hypothetically, they go out and purchase additional land before the closing?
A. Okay.
Q. And they modify the closing price a little bit. How -- what would you say that would be? Would that be original cost? Or would it be purchase price?
A. So you -- okay. I'm doing this in my head. I'm visualizing.
Q. It's part -- and let's just hypothetically assume there's a parking lot right on it. There's parking spaces, they don't have to develop it. They've just purchased additional parking.
A. Okay. So right now, what we're talking about right now. It's not in any of the property that we're talking about right now.
Q. No. This is a hypothetical.
A. Have we closed on the merger yet?
Q. No. So we're changing everything. They buy -- they buy a new parking lot --
A. Right.
A. Whose "they"?
Q. So Bald Head Island Limited --
A. Limited, okay.
Q. -- purchases a $\$ 1$ million parking lot?
A. Okay.
Q. And then they change the purchase price. And doesn't matter what the purchase price is.
A. Yeah.
Q. But what would you say should be transferred? Is it original cost?
A. Well, it's not part of the merger at this point, because it happened outside of this proceeding at this point because right now it's not part of the assets that are listed from what $I$ can imagine. And then, if you did buy it and then you turned around and sold it again. I mean, I assume it's going to be in a nonregulated entity at that point in time, and it's not providing ferry transportation or parking services, from what you said. So, I mean, it would just be a nonregulated transaction. Just like I think these developers, they've got property on the island and that type of thing so. So what we're trying -- what the
acquisition premium language says is it will not impact the rates. It's not that you can't do it. Not that you can't sell this for a nice little profit. You can. Sell your land and make your profits in a couple of years or whatever you're doing. But it's not going to affect Bald Head Transportation rates. It's not going to affect parking rates. And it's not going to affect barge rates. And that's where it comes down to it is that you're not going to affect the customers' rates with that acquisition premium. In other words, you can have an acquisition premiums on your books. Everybody does. Duke, Piedmont, Public, you know. They all have acquisition premiums on their books, but they're not allocating them to the actually regulated utility and recovering them through rates.

Did that help? Did it help?
Q. That did help me.
A. Okay.
Q. So back on page 7.
A. Of?
Q. Of O'Donnell's.
A. Thank you. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So lines 6 through 9, it says, "Placing assets in rate base at market value would essentially
turn the keys to regulation of utility rates to arbitrage specialists that would buy and sell assets on an endless basis and force consumers to pay higher and higher rates for assets for which they have already paid."

Does this -- do you agree that this statement assumes no action by the Utility Commission to determine just and reasonable rates?
A. I think he's -- I think you always have authority to -- and I don't write the same way Kevin does. I'm going to caveat that, again. But I think that what they're saying is, if a company comes in and they are, you know, trying -- getting their acquisition premium and that this Commission would approve it -- I think is what he's saying. That leads open to future people to come and go, "Oh, we're going to come and get ours. Oh, we're going to come and get ours. We're going to come and get ours." Or, you know, things can be bought and sold and then the ratepayers are left going, wait a minute, you know, how much can this asset go up just because the market prices are going up? That's not fair to keep rates as low. So I don't think he means that there's no jurisdiction. I think it means that, if the Commission was to allow acquisition
premiums like they haven't for 25 years, that it would just set a precedent that could snow -- that he's saying would snowball.

Is that a better way to say that?
Q. It is, but the public convenience and necessity, that's an elastic standard, correct?
A. It is. But there are -- can you just ask me about that? There are three things that have to go into that. Okay? And, I mean, what's so important with that is that, you've got no -- you know, no harm to ratepayers, you know, right. And most of the time, that means no acquisition premium because it does tens to increase rates. You've also got no merger-related costs. But you've also got this benefit thing going on in there and that thing, it has to provide benefits. And I know Mr. Styers crossed me on this three-pronged test for acquisition premiums, but it goes back to the actual benefits. And if you look at the testimony on these mergers, it's always got to be quantifiable benefits. We've got qualitative benefits all day long. Okay. Access to capitals. We're going to do this. We're going to get some ferries. But nothing's concrete. Like there's nothing actually been written out this is a benefit. The only benefit we have is,
oops -- and I hate this. I hate the way people have been saying this. Somebody's passed away, and it's now an estate, and nobody wants to run it. But most transfers are about $I$ don't want to run this system anymore. There's nothing unique about not wanting to run a system anymore. That's the reason we have these transfers. But the benefits here -- the risks -- the benefits have to outweigh the potential cost and risk to ratepayers. And in this case, there is not one quantifiable benefit. If you'll look at some of these other mergers. We went in and found merger savings. We went in and found bill credits, good faith, whatever you want to call it, to get these mergers passed, because the standards -- the three-prong standards, which are elastic -- I agree with you on that -- are not always -- you got to go through the check-off list before you even get to the acquisition premium. You got to say, "Is this merger even good for ratepayers?" I mean, where's the -- are they protected enough? Is the benefit there? I mean, are they getting any benefit? Any benefit except that somebody else wants to run the company now because the Mitchells don't. I mean, that -- and that's what $I$ just think is really important when you're looking. That's the first thing
we look at when we look at -- well, we used to. I'm sorry. I used to be Public Staff, so I keep saying that. So I think you have to be -- you just have to look at both very closely. We, you know, in the last Frontier two mergers they gave bill credits back on savings that they knew they were going to get. And they didn't even -- weren't even going to have the savings for two years. But they went ahead and gave it back, because they knew they knew it was coming. Because they were going to change management, there were going to be some things that changed, and so they gave back the merger savings to the customers early on. And so I think -- and that's honestly been one of the biggest pieces to getting these mergers approved, is making sure all three of those tests are met.
Q. Thank you for that, Ms. Perry. If I could move to page 8 of the testimony -- Mr. O'Donnell's testimony. And this -- I'm still trying to obtain clarity about utility assets versus nonutility assets. And utility property versus nonutility property. So on page -- or on lines, I guess that's 13 and 14. He talks about, "This is not analogous to the acquisition of nonutility property from an unrelated to seller." So if -- and this is, again, a hypothetical, if the

Commission were to determine that the, like, let's say, parking was nonutility property for purposes of this transaction, would you agree that market value should be included in rate base?
A. I'm going to say no. But I want you to repeat the question, because I don't think I caught all of the first part of that question.
Q. Okay. So I'll let you read -- why don't you read from lines 5 through 14?
A. That's a question and then.
Q. The question and then the beginning of the answer.
A. Okay.
"Q. Ms. Mayfield states in her prior testimony in the sub 21 Docket that the land used for parking has become increasingly valuable over time and should therefore be included in the rate base at market value as opposed to book value. Do you agree with her contention?
"A. No. First off. It is important to acknowledge that property at issue has been used since inception and in support of the utility operation and owned by an affiliate
of the utility. This is not analogous to the acquisition of nonutility property from an unrelated seller."
Q. Okay. Stop there.
A. Yeah.
Q. So let's assume that it is nonutility property from an unrelated seller.

Does that change that analysis?
A. Nonutility property from an unrelated seller. You know, this is going to be a system. So they're buying a system. Even then, if it's a utilities -- I mean, if it's providing a utility service, which in essence -- and I'm going to mess the statute up but, you know, basically what they deemed Bald Head Island Limited was a utility, so this is hard to say.
Q. Right. So I'm giving you a hypothetical, not what Sub 21 stated.
A. You know, for the transfer pricing is going from a nonregulated entity to a utility is done at the higher of fair market value or cost, okay. But I think in this instance, I mean, they are all integral and essential in providing this utility service. I've been there since 19- -- I'm going to tell you my life story here -- but 1994. My son was 4 weeks old in 1998, and

I took him to Bald Head. Okay. All those assets were built together to provide the utility services, the ferry utility service. And so I think so many of these pieces -- and I get the fact that we're in appeal and there's some issues about what but --
Q. Right. And you did answer my question
so --
A. I did. I just don't think it's pertinent here. I just don't.
Q. I hear what you're saying.
A. Yeah. There's a transfer pricing. It's a transfer pricing issue going back and forth. And we do deal with that a lot but, $I$ think this is not it.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.
Are there any other nonconfidential questions
before we move into confidential session?
CHAIR MITCHELL: I do have one and
then --
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay.
EXAMINATION BY CHAIR MITCHELL:
Q. All right. Ms. Perry, this will lead in to my confidential questions.

But do you have Jim Hoard's testimony in front of you from Sub 7?
A. You know, I gave it to Kevin in the last hearing, and he never gave it back.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Sub 7? Does anyone
have Jim Hoard's testimony they can put in front of the witness?

THE WITNESS: I do remember it well.
CHAIR MITCHELL: You can use mine.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. Thank you. I did read it this week, but $I$ just did not make a copy.

CHAIR MITCHELL: It is -- well, are the exhibits included on there? I want to just make sure it's -- make sure because I'm gonna ask her about --

MR. CREECH: I've got it.
MR. SCHAUER: We have a copy.
THE WITNESS: I mean, I pretty much know verbatim what it says but.
Q. I just want to ask you about a number that's why $I$ want it in front of you.
A. Oh. Okay. Gotcha. Thank you.
Q. I'm going to ask you about Hoard Exhibit 4, Schedule 1.
A. Okay.
Q. And he sort of -- he -- before we get there,
look at page 6 of the testimony where he's describing Deep Point Terminal.
A. Sure.
Q. Just let me know when you're there.
A. Okay. Page 6. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. He says the --

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And,
Chair Mitchell, let me just identify this. It is
Hoard Testimony in Docket A-41, Sub 7, that was
filed on September 30th, 2010.
Q. Okay. So on pages 8 and 9 -- I mean, I'm sorry. On lines 8 and 9 of page 6.
A. Okay.
Q. I'm going to read it to you and just want you to agree with me that I've read it correctly, or tell me if I've read it incorrectly. It said, "The total cost of the facility was $\$ 28.5$ million, 11.8 of which has been allocated to BHIT."

Do you see that? Did I read that correctly?
A. You did. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And what is the facility that he's referring to?
A. I'm going up top. The Deep Point facility is what I'm assuming.
Q. Okay. And then so I want to ask you about that allocation.

Do you know anything about that allocation that he references in line 9?
A. No. But I think -- have you been there?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. I'm just curious because, I mean, visual is a great thing. So, you know, you got to see that that they have built -- they got offices, you know, in there for their people. They've got baggage handling. They've also got the marina up to the right that's got slips for all those other boats and that type of thing too. So -- and what he did do in this -just to say, is he changed the lease that was in here to the --
Q. Well, we're going to get to that, ma'am.
A. Okay.
Q. But my question really for you --
A. Yeah. I don't know. I'm not sure. I'd have to go back and look at the work papers.
Q. So he references an allocation. I mean, is it reasonable to assume that the allocation relates to BHIT's use of that facility for --
A. Yeah. I'm sure it is.
Q. A portion of the total facility that could be attributable to BHIT?
A. Yeah. I mean, if you can look at individual components a little further down, the main ferry terminal is 80 percent. Landing's at 100 percent, the marina, the bulkhead base, and then you get to the maintenance pier, 95 percent. So I'm assuming that, you know, certain things are -- yeah. I'm assuming that's exactly what that is. It's probably how much is really for Bald Head Island Transportation.
Q. So might some of those percentages change over time as BHIT's use of that facility changes over time?
A. If -- they may. They may.
Q. Okay.
A. There's more people. But there's also -- I'm not sure --
Q. Meaning more passengers?
A. More passengers, but I'm not sure there's any -- this is actually the constructed thing. I'm not sure they've added any construction or any -- and correct me if I'm wrong, but, I'm not sure that they've added any additional slips and maybe they have. Have they upgraded? I mean, they added this great waiting
room, you know, for people to wait for the ferry. And they may be using that for other things. And they may have upgraded some of those things, but I'm not sure they've actually expanded the terminal at all. And that's kind of what this is. It's about that. But, you know, Bald Head Island Transportation's revenues has increased double since the last rate case. So it looked like -- and so, yes, there may be some changes in the allocation in the next rate case.
Q. Okay. Thank you. I was just curious as to whether it would be reasonable to assume --
A. If I had access to the work papers, which I don't, because I'm a good employee. I didn't take anything with me. I could tell you, but maybe the Public Staff can.
Q. All right. Well, maybe I'll -- I see some members of the Public Staff back there. So they're on notice that I may ask that same question.

So just looking down on line 12. You see total facility; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you've got that $\$ 11,812,643$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that? Okay. And now flip
to -- flip to Hoard Exhibit 4, Schedule 1?
A. This is some little writing.
Q. I know. I'm going to say, for what it's worth, this is what lawyers see when y'all put these spreadsheets in front of them.
A. Okay. Really? Okay. I'm so sorry.
Q. It just looks like a bunch of numbers --
A. So, so sorry. Okay. So, like, Exhibit 1, Schedule --
Q. -- all over the page. So, yeah. What I want -- I want to ask you about that. Just look at the first column is identified as plant, and I think that number relates --
A. I'm sorry. Can you shoot me to the right place again?
Q. Yeah. So look at the first column of numbers.
A. I know. Which schedule? I'm sorry. MR. HIGGINS: Exhibit 4.
Q. Exhibit 4, Schedule 1.
A. Okay. They don't have schedules on them. Oh, Schedule 4, Exhibit 4? Okay. Is it -- I'm sorry. Q. It's okay.

MR. CREECH: It's printed two per page.

THE WITNESS: I'm getting there.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So while you're getting there, we're going to take our morning break, and we'll be back at 11:25.
(Recess was taken from 11:15 a.m.
to 11:28)
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Let's go
back on the record.
CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY CHAIR MITCHELL:
Q. All right. Ms. Perry, I want to, kind of, move through this quickly if we can. I'm just
cognisant of day and time.
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. All right. So do you have Hoard Exhibit 4, Schedule 1 in front of you?
A. One sec. Yes.
Q. Okay. Okay. And do you see the column labeled plant?
A. Yes. I'm sorry, ma'am. Yes.
Q. Okay. It's the first column?
A. Got you.
Q. So that $11,812,643$ that matches his testimony back on line 12 page 6; is that correct?
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. What is -- I mean, what is -- from where does that number derive?
A. Okay. So this was a --
Q. Based on what you can tell.
A. Yeah. So pre- -- I almost think it's barge and parking to be honest with you, because it doesn't match the $\$ 9.4$ million.
Q. So that gets to my question but, before you say that number --

CHAIR MITCHELL: This document that has
been labeled as Bald Head Perry Cross Examination Exhibit 1, says at the top, "Confidential, for settlement purposes only," but it's not labeled as a confidential exhibit. So I need someone to help me understand whether it's confidential.

MR. STYERS: I think at this point, this
many years later, there's nothing -- there's no
data in that information that's still confidential.
CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.
MR. STYERS: So the point of my
highlighting it was, not that there's any data in there that was confidential, but because it's for settlement purposes only. So I have no problem with you discussing it.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. So can we then strike that "Confidential, for settlement purposes"?

MR. STYERS: You can strike that confidential, yes.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Just for clarity of the record.

MR. STYERS: Yes. I would have no objection to that in my exhibit.
Q. Okay. All right. So, Ms. Perry, sort of following -- I mean, you kind of picked up on where I'm going. The --
A. Actually, it's right here on the schedule. I didn't mean to interrupt you, but on Schedule 3 -- if you go back one. And I apologize for -- everything on adds up to this 11.8. So I can go through and pick out what changed between those two numbers that we haven't talked about. I didn't say. But this is what makes up that 11.8.
Q. When you say "this"? I mean, are you refe- --
A. On page 3. The bottom number $\$ 11,812,643$, which is the top number on Schedule 4, page 1.
Q. Okay. What page 3 are you referring to?
A. Right before. So where you have Hoard Exhibit 4, Schedule 1, there was Hoard Exhibit 4, Schedule 3. Gosh. I'm just looking at this the way people handed it to me.
Q. Okay. Got it.
A. So if you'll look at the bottom of that page right there, you'll see the total cost of 28 , and then the allocated cost of 11.812. So what makes up that 28 is all those -- and I just have to look and see what -I would need to do more reconciliation just to help refresh my brain on what's going on here. But that the tot- -- that's the breakdown of what's in that property.
Q. Okay. I'm not. Okay. All right. Actually, I'm with you now.
A. Okay. Sorry.
Q. And then why -- what can you tell me about the discrepancy between the 9,475,297 and the 11.812?
A. Well, that's where I need my calculator.
Q. I mean, why. If you don't know that's fine. I recognize that this is Jim Hoard's testimony and you're not Jim Hoard, so --
A. Well, I'm very familiar with the work papers. I just don't have the in front of me. I didn't take
them with me. So -- but let's -- I think we can easily figure it out if we looked at the -- the work papers and what we have for the settlement. The settlement ended up with the 9.4 versus the 11.88 . That could have been the difference of the allocation that was settled upon too.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know for sure. I just -- but this is where the numbers are coming from.
Q. When you say "this," you mean?
A. This is Schedule 3, it's the 28. When you were saying they allocated the 28 down to the 11 . And so was that a settled allocation? It could've been since that 9.4 is -- I mean, since this is now from 11.8 to 9.4 , that could have been a settlement piece of it. I'm not -- I could tell you if $I$ had more time to look at it, probably.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, I follow you there and I understand that the components identified in Mr. Hoard's testimony and then on the schedule of his testimony illustrate, you know, how we get to that 11.8 plus.
A. But the 9.4 is more representative of what's on the books for parking.
Q. Okay.
A. Okay? So if you actually looked at the
financials, the 9.4 that is in the settlement exhibit that looks very similar to this that we talked about yesterday, is more actually what's on the financial statements for parking.
Q. Okay.
A. So I'm -- I would just need to do a little digging.
Q. And there's no -- so -- but it doesn't affect the number. I mean, so I think -- is it your understanding that the imputation of revenue associated with parking is 5.3097 , I mean, as indicated in the Order, and that number derives from plant and service as identified as the $\$ 11$ million -- the $\$ 11$-plus million dollars?
A. You know, this is confusing. So this is the Deep Point terminal, not parking. This is why we're getting confused.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm so sorry. I just had an epiphany. And it'll take me 30 seconds to clear up the epiphany. This is the Deep Point terminal, and all they're doing is -- if they wanted to make sure the cost base -- and

I think this is what he's figuring out here. The cost is really 11.82 Bald Head Island terminal and they determined that the lease was not cost based. It was based on some other numbers. And so he turned into a cost based lease base based on this, and actually increase the lease expense for Limited.

This other Deep Point terminal, I think he was just trying to show you how to get the lease payments on an annualized basis, is what he's doing here. And so the 11.8, he's taking this and he's -because, you know, a lease payment's going to be -- is basically, like when we do these special contracts -which we don't want to talk about that anymore ever -but, you know, you do a levelized payment over 20 years or 15 years or whatever. And so -- sorry, I got to make a joke every once in a while. You're going to have a levelized lease payment. So it would be -nobody would sign a lease that was going to change every single year; you would hope, right? He's turning that lease that they had into a cost-based lease and making a levelized payment. I'm so sorry. This confused me, because it looked so much like the parking. So I apologize for that one. Does that help?

```
Q. It does, but, I guess --
```

A. What's the question -- is it. Did I not answer the question?
Q. Let's see. So we have -- I guess, well, I'm just going to leave it alone. I want to ask you about you're rate base numbers. We need to go into confidential session.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Let's move
into confidential session, Mr. McCoy. (Due to the proprietary nature of the testimony found on pages 104 to 111, it was filed under seal.) XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX
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(Confidential testimony ended at
11:46 a.m.)
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Can someone could grab the men out in the hallway, please?

Okay. Before we go to Commission questions, any other questions from Commissioners?

Okay. Commission questions? Questions on Commission questions?

MR. STYERS: No.
MR. SCHAUER: No. Nothing from the Village.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Perry.

THE WITNESS: Let me collect my paper, and I'll be out of here.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Very good. Thank you. You may step down and you're excused.

Okay. While she's gathering up, are there any motions?

MR. STYERS: Yes. Thank you.
Applicants would ask that Perry Exhibit -- Cross Examination Exhibits 2 through 8 be admitted into the record as evidence.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Without objection, that motion is allowed, and Cross Examination Exhibits 2 through 8 will be received into evidence at this time.
(BHIT Perry Cross Examination Exhibits 2
through 8 were admitted into evidence.)
MR. SCHAUER: The Village would move that Bald Head Perry Cross Exhibit 1 will be moved into evidence.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Without
objection, Perry -- how did we name it again?
MR. SCHAUER: This one was named Bald Head Perry Cross Exhibit 1.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Bald Head Perry Cross Exhibit Number 1.

MR. SCHAUER: Yes. The Village would also ask to move into evidence Village Perry Direct Redirect Exhibits 1, 2, and 3.

> COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And without
> objection, Village Perry Direct Redirect Exhibits 1
> through 3 are introduced into evidence and received into evidence at this time as well as the Bald Head Perry Cross Number 1 will be received into evidence at this time.
> (Village Perry Direct Redirect
> Examination Exhibits 1 through 3; and Bald Head Perry Cross Examination

> Exhibit 1 were admitted into evidence.) COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Swearing or
> affirming?

THE WITNESS: Swearing is fine.
Whereupon,
L. LEE LLOYD,
having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHAUER:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Lloyd.
A. Good morning.
Q. Can you please state your name and official address for the record?
A. Lee, Lloyd, 230 North Elm Street, Suite 2000, Greensboro, North Carolina.
Q. And can I suggest you pull the microphone a little closer? Thank you. Did you cause to be filed in this proceeding direct testimony, consisting of 15 pages and six exhibits?
A. I did.
Q. Do you have any corrections to your testimony?
A. I do not.
Q. If I asked you the questions in these prefiled submissions today, would your answers be the same?
A. They would.
Q. Did you cause to be filed in this proceeding a summary of your testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that summary true and accurate to the best of your information and belief?
A. Yes.

MR. SCHAUER: I would ask that
Mr. Lloyd's Direct Testimony be copied into the record as if given orally from the stand, and that
the corresponding exhibits be marked for
identification as set out in his prefiled submission.
```

                    1 1 5 \text { COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Without}
    objection, the testimony of Mr. Lloyd will be
    received into evidence and treated as if given
    orally from the witness stand, and the exhibits
    will be identified as premarked.
    (Confidential Exhibits JLL-1 through
    JLL-6 were identified as they were
                    marked when prefiled.)
                    (Whereupon, the Prefiled Direct
                    Testimony of L. Lee Lloyd was copied
                    into the record as if given orally
                    from the stand.)
    ```

\title{
BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION
}

DOCKET NO. A-41, SUB 22

Direct Testimony of J. Lee Lloyd
On Behalf of the Village of Bald Head Island
February 20, 2023

\section*{Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, TITLE, AND ADDRESS.}
A. My name is J. Lee Lloyd. I am the owner and Senior Advisor of J. Lee Lloyd, LLC, an independent mergers and acquisitions/corporate finance consulting firm. My business address is 230 North Elm Street, Suite 2000, Greensboro, North Carolina, 27401, USA.

\section*{Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?}
A. I am testifying on behalf of the Village of Bald Head Island.
Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND RELEVANT EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
A. I earned a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration, with a Concentration in Accounting, from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 1982 and earned a Juris Doctor with Honors from the University of North Carolina School of Law in 1986. I have previously worked with Arthur Andersen \& Co. in Greensboro, NC as a Certified Public Accountant in the Tax Advisory Group; with Goldman, Sachs \& Co. in New York City as Vice President, Investment Banking Division in the Mergers and Acquisitions Group; with Lloyd \& Company, a boutique middle-
market investment banking firm, as Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director; and with Brooks, Pierce, McLendon, Humphrey \& Leonard, LLP, as Partner and Special Counsel with a corporate law practice concentrated in the areas of mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance transactions. I have provided M\&A/corporate finance advisory services through my current firm, J. Lee Lloyd, LLC, since January 2014. Attached as Exhibit JLL-1 is my professional biography.

\section*{Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE ADVISORY SERVICES YOU PROVIDE TO CLIENTS?}
A. I advise business owners, corporate executives, family offices and clients of wealth management and professional service firms on the financial, legal and strategic considerations that impact complex M\&A and corporate finance transactions. I estimate that approximately \(65 \%-75 \%\) of my client advisory engagements since 2002 have included substantive interactions with private equity firms. With over 35 years of professional experience in investment banking, corporate law and accounting, I have helped clients successfully negotiate and close M\&A and financing transactions ranging from \(\$ 10\) million to \(\$ 6\) billion, including crossborder transactions in over 28 countries.
Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. I will share key observations I have made regarding the proposed transaction involving Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. ("BHIT") and SharpVue Capital, LLC and its affiliates ("SharpVue"). Based on my experience and observations
regarding the proposed transaction, I also will identify potential risks to the Public and other concerns with the proposed transfer of the common carrier certificate from BHIT to SharpVue.

\section*{Q. WHAT MATERIALS DID YOU REVIEW IN PREPARATION FOR YOUR TESTIMONY? \\ A. I reviewed the Asset Purchase Agreement, the operating agreement of Pelican Legacy Holdings, LLC, SharpVue's and BHIT's responses to data requests issued by the Village and the Public Staff, documents produced by SharpVue and BHIT, and the Amended Application and associated testimony filed by SharpVue and BHIT. \\ Q. WHAT RELEVANT MATERIALS WERE YOU NOT GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW IN PREPARATION FOR YOUR TESTIMONY?}
A. I have not been able to review a loan commitment letter from [BEGIN AEO CONFIDENTIAL] [BEGIN AEO CONFIDENTIAL] or any term sheets or loan proposals received by SharpVue from [BEGIN AEO CONFIDENTIAL] [END AEO CONFIDENTIAL] or other thirdparty lenders. A thorough assessment of SharpVue's proposed transaction and its impact on the potential risks to the Public cannot be performed without better understanding the borrowing costs, minimum debt service requirements, operating restrictions, and loan covenants that SharpVue will face.

I also have not been provided a summary of any incentive equity arrangements or comparable incentive compensation arrangements between SharpVue and BHIT's current senior management. In addition to understanding the economic incentives in place for SharpVue and its investors and how those incentives may affect SharpVue's operating and financial strategies in the future, the economic incentives SharpVue puts in place for senior management are also relevant in assessing the potential risks to the Public of the proposed transaction.

\section*{Q. AS BACKGROUND, CAN YOU DESCRIBE SHARPVUE'S ROLE IN THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION?}
A. SharpVue is an alternative investment management firm acting as the "Sponsor" of the proposed transaction. As is typical in many private equity-backed transactions, SharpVue in its role as Sponsor is responsible for (i) identifying and preliminarily evaluating the potential transaction opportunity, (ii) negotiating the purchase price and terms of the transaction, (iii) arranging the equity funding and debt financing required to fund the purchase price and transaction expenses, (iv) ensuring that senior management is in place post-closing to capably manage the transportation operations and acquired assets, and (v) providing investment management services post-closing as Manager of Pelican Legacy Holdings, LLC ("PLH"), monitoring and optimizing the returns on its investor group's [BEGIN AEO CONFIDENTIAL] [END AEO CONFIDENTIAL] equity investment in the proposed transaction. Irrespective of any actual investment SharpVue may make in the proposed transaction, SharpVue will "control" the

5 A. As is typical in many private equity-backed transactions, SharpVue will receive
future business operations and assets of PLH through an Investment Management Agreement with PLH and as Manager of PLH.
Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE SHARPVUE'S FEE STRUCTURE IN THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION? [BEGIN AEO CONFIDENTIAL]





















5 A. Yes.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And the summary will be received into evidence at this time.
(Whereupon, the Prefiled Summary of the
Direct Testimony of L. Lee Lloyd was copied into the record as if given orally from the stand.)

\section*{Summary of Direct Testimony of J. Lee Lloyd On Behalf of the Village of Bald Head Island}

SharpVue is an alternative investment management firm acting as the "Sponsor" of the proposed transaction, meaning that it identifies the transaction opportunity, negotiates the transaction's terms, arranges for funding, ensures that management is in place postclosing, and monitors and optimizes the returns on its investor group's [BEGIN AEO CONFIDENTIAL] [END AEO CONFIDENTIAL] equity investment in the proposed transaction. SharpVue will "control" the future business operations and assets of PLH through an Investment Management Agreement with PLH and as Manager of PLH.

As is typical in many private equity-backed transactions, SharpVue will receive [BEGIN AEO CONFIDENTIAL]










This concludes the summary of my direct testimony.

MR. SCHAUER: All right. The witness is available for cross examination.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HIGGINS:
Q. Mr. Lloyd, Dan Higgins for the BHI club. Turn if you would, please, to page 9 of your testimony. I'm interested in your testimony that appears there between lines 3 and 12; are you with me?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Are you saying there regarding the investor presentations that have been circulated that the sale -- I'm sorry.

MR. HIGGINS: It's just been brought to my attention that this is AEO information.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Do we
have --
MR. HIGGINS: Perhaps I can reserve this question.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Please reserve that question when we go into confidential session.

Do you have nonconfidential questions?
MR. HIGGINS: That's the only question I have.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Do we have nonconfidential questions for Mr. Lloyd?

MS. HEDRICK: I have a handful of nonconfidential and then some confidential. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Why don't you go ahead and ask your nonconfidential questions at this time, and then we'll head into confidential session.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. HEDRICK:
Page 136
Q. Mr. Lloyd, good afternoon. Or good morning, I guess. We're still in morning. My name is Elizabeth Hedrick. I'm one of the attorneys representing Bald Head Island Transportation and Bald Head Limited.

You testified in your prefiled testimony that 65 to 75 percent of your work since 2002 has involved private equity firms; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did any of that work include private equity transactions or advice involving utilities?
A. It involved several transactions involving infrastructure companies, no regulated utilities.
Q. Okay. Has any of your work focused on utilities?
A. I'm a generalist. I've done transactions involving regulated utilities, but I'm not an expert in
regulated transactions.
Q. Okay. And so, for example, on your resume that you attached to your prefiled testimony, which has been premarked JLL-1, you don't list utilities on your industry experience, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now you offered some concerns about the management fee structures and incentive structure in your testimony; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Are you aware that these types of structures are not unusual for utilities?
A. I'm aware that these structures are not unusual for private equity transactions.
Q. What about utilities?
A. If there's a private equity firm engaged in a utility transaction, these would be typical.
Q. Do you know if that's true for non-private equity utilities?
A. I don't engage in non-private equity utility transactions as a matter of course.
Q. Okay. You testified that it's unlikely, in your mind, that SharpVue can hold the assets long-term because of investor expectations, debt service
requirements, and what you perceive as SharpVue's intention to avoid making investments in capital improvements, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Would you agree that you're making some assumptions in drawing that conclusion?
A. I think my response is going to include a lot of confidential information. Just so the Commission -COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Hold this question for confidential session.

MS. HEDRICK: I think we can go on and go into confidential session, because what I'm going to cover is probably going to elicit the same kind of information then.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. So let's
head into confidential session at this time.
(Due to the proprietary nature of the
testimony found on pages 138 to 185, it was filed under seal.)
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XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
(Confidential testimony ended at
12:57 p.m.)
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Now, were there any Commission questions on any of the questions for the non-confidential questions?

Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lloyd. We appreciate you being here and you're excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And we are going to break for lunch. It's almost 1:00. So let's come back at -- excuse me? You want to do exhibits, the motions now?

MR. SCHAUER: Well, we could do it when we reconvene.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Let's do the motions when we reconvene. I'm sure people are
hungry.
So it is almost \(1: 00\), so we'll reconvene at 2:05.

And before we go off the record I did have -- Mr. Ferrell, your objection to taking judicial notice, can you remind me what are your specific objections? I know the incomplete versus full.

Do you have a second objection? Can you clarify that for me?

MR. FERRELL: I think the first objection was just the fact that we had a witness on the stand, and instead of asking the witness a cross examination question based on some testimony, it seems like the plan is to put the testimony into the record as an exhibit. And then potentially cited in some subsequent brief or proposed Order without the witness having a chance to, you know, address the issue potentially if there was some additional context that was provided on the witness stand. And, again, that plus the fact that the proposal was just to put in only the cross examination from the Village, not any of the other testimony of Mr. Roberts or any other cross
examination or Commission questions. So those were the two primary bases.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you for that, Mr. Ferrell.

So we are going to go off the record for lunch.
(The hearing recessed at 1:27 p.m.
and set to reconvene at 2:05 p.m. on
Thursday, March 9, 2023.)
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