

Conyers, Tamika

From: John Drake <drakesgunsmithing@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 2:52 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Please do not mess with our solar power. I just got my solar and very much enjoy reducing carbon emissions and lower power bills. I live on a fixed income and need to have a fixed power bill and solar allows me to have it.

As far as I know Duke Progress does not have a plan for military veterans or seniors when it comes to fixed billing and less expensive power.

Thank you

John Drake

Sent from my iPhone

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Randy Wetzel <rwetzel613@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 2:54 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

I oppose the changes to net metering that Duke energy is proposing. Now more than ever we need to promote rooftop solar. I personally would have expanded my solar projects on my residence and commercial buildings if there was more favorable net metering terms. With the proposed changes, I don't know that it would make financial sense to have even installed the system that I did.

We need to work together to reduce our CO2 emissions.

Sincerely,
Randy Wetzel

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Louise Marlowe <uboclm@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 3:13 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Duke Energy petition

It is important not to let energy companies set the rules. I support Josh Stein's recommendations.

BTW, I installed solar panels on my house and am delighted with the monthly cost savings and the energy I provide for the grid. I installed those panels because I doubted that federal, state, or municipal governments would do enough to change our energy production. But my effort is a tiny drop in the bucket. Solar energy does not have to come from solar farms alone. Why not put panels on existing structures of all sorts? Cover parking lots with panels to offer shade, auto charging stations, as well as energy for the grid? And offer free solar installation to low-income citizens: More clean energy and reduced electric bills for people who are on tight budgets.

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Barbara Tuset <btuset@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 3:16 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

To the NC Utilities Commission,

Please do not accept Duke's petition to change net metering. As AG Stein suggests, we need to know the impact of net metering, both financially to Duke, and on adoption of solar in producing non-carbon based energy here in NC.

This is a critical time to drive conversion by property owners everywhere not introduce doubt and mistrust in our alternative energy solutions. There are plenty of Duke customers, myself included, who can't use solar because of how my house is situated; Duke will have plenty of customers to pay for their infrastructure.

Thank you.

Barbara Tuset

btuset@gmail.com | 703-638-4746

One touch of nature makes the whole world kin. William Shakespeare

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Julieta Biegner <biegnerj@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 3:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS comment

Dear Commissioners,

As a North Carolina resident and ratepayer, I would request a rejection of Duke Energy's plan under Docket E-100, Sub 180CS. As a scheme that would undermine net metering and weaken the much-needed expansion of solar energy in our state's energy system, Duke's plans are not what is best for North Carolina residents.

Many thanks,

Julie Biegner

OFFICIAL COPY

May 02 2022